ICES WGWIDE REPORT 2010

ICES ADVISORY COMMITTEE

ICES CM 2010/ACOM:15

Report of the Working Group on Widely Distributed Stocks (WGWIDE)

28 August - 3 September 2010

Vigo, Spain



International Council for the Exploration of the Sea

Conseil International pour l'Exploration de la Mer

International Council for the Exploration of the Sea Conseil International pour l'Exploration de la Mer

H. C. Andersens Boulevard 44–46 DK-1553 Copenhagen V Denmark Telephone (+45) 33 38 67 00 Telefax (+45) 33 93 42 15 www.ices.dk info@ices.dk

Recommended format for purposes of citation:

ICES. 2010. Report of the Working Group on Widely Distributed Stocks (WGWIDE), 28 August - 3 September 2010, Vigo, Spain. ICES CM 2010/ACOM:15: 612 pp.

For permission to reproduce material from this publication, please apply to the General Secretary.

The document is a report of an Expert Group under the auspices of the International Council for the Exploration of the Sea and does not necessarily represent the views of the Council.

© 2010 International Council for the Exploration of the Sea

Contents

| Executive Summary1 | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|---------|--|----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1 Introduction | | | | | | | | |
| | 1.1 | .1 Terms of Reference | | | | | | |
| | 1.2 | 2 List of participants | | | | | | |
| | 1.3 | Quality and Adequacy of fishery and sampling data | 4 | | | | | |
| | | 1.3.1 Sampling Data from Commercial Fishery | 4 | | | | | |
| | | 1.3.2 Catch Data | 13 | | | | | |
| | | 1.3.3 Discards | 13 | | | | | |
| | | 1.3.4 Age-reading | 15 17 | | | | | |
| | | 1.3.6 Quality Control and Data Archiving | 17 | | | | | |
| | | 1.3.7 Stock Data Problems Relevant to Data Collection | 21 | | | | | |
| | | 1.3.8 InterCatch | 22 | | | | | |
| | 1.4 | Comment on update and benchmark assessments | 23 | | | | | |
| | 1.5 | Reference points relevant for WGWIDE | 23 | | | | | |
| | 1.6 | Special Requests to ICES | 23 | | | | | |
| | 1.7 | Ecosystem considerations for widely distributed and migratory pelagic fish species | 23 | | | | | |
| FC | OSVST | FM FACTORS AFFECTING THE STOCKS INCLUDED IN WGWIDF | 25 | | | | | |
| Cli | mater | variability and climate change | 25 | | | | | |
| Cir | culati | on pattern | 25 | | | | | |
| Та | mnora | turo | 20 | | | | | |
| Dh | utonla | nkton | 20 26 | | | | | |
| 7- | ytopia | | 20 | | | | | |
| 20 | opiani | kton | | | | | | |
| Sp | ecies i | nteractions | 27 | | | | | |
| No | rth Se | a | 27 | | | | | |
| No | rwegi | an Sea | 28 | | | | | |
| Ba | rents S | Sea | 28 | | | | | |
| ST | OCK SI | PECIFIC ECOSYSTEM CONSIDERATIONS | 29 | | | | | |
| No | rwegi | an spring spawning herring | 29 | | | | | |
| Blu | ie whi | ting | 30 | | | | | |
| Но | rse M | ackerel | 31 | | | | | |
| 2 | Nor | theast Atlantic Mackerel | 33 | | | | | |
| - | 2.1 | ICES advice and international management applicable to 2009 and | | | | | | |
| | าา | 2010 | 33 25 | | | | | |
| | ∠.∠ | 111C 11511C1 Y 111 2007 | | | | | | |

| | 2.2.1 Catch Estimates | 35 | | | | |
|------|--|-----|--|--|--|--|
| | 2.2.2 Discard Estimates | 37 | | | | |
| | 2.2.3 Fleet Composition in 2009 | 38 | | | | |
| 2.3 | Data available | 39 | | | | |
| | 2.3.1 Catch data | 39 | | | | |
| | 2.3.2 Effort and Catch per Unit Effort | 40 | | | | |
| | 2.3.3 Survey Data | 41 | | | | |
| | 2.3.4 Length Composition of Catch | 41 | | | | |
| | 2.3.5 Weights at Age in the Catch and Stock | 41 | | | | |
| | 2.3.6 Maturity Ogive | 42 | | | | |
| | 2.3.7 Estimates From Tag Recaptures | 43 | | | | |
| 2.4 | Combined survey recruitment indices | 43 | | | | |
| 2.5 | Acoustic and Pelagic trawl surveys | 44 | | | | |
| | 2.5.1 Ecosystem surveys in the Nordic Seas in July-August | 44 | | | | |
| | 2.5.2 Acoustic estimates of mackerel in the Iberian Peninsula and Bay of Biscay | 45 | | | | |
| 26 | Results from the International Mackerel and Horse Mackerel Fog | | | | | |
| 2.0 | Survey 2010 | 46 | | | | |
| | 2.6.1 Data analysis for mackerel annual egg production | 46 | | | | |
| | 2.6.2 Mackerel fecundity and atresia estimation | 47 | | | | |
| | 2.6.3 Quality and reliability of the 2010 egg survey | 48 | | | | |
| | 2.6.4 Mackerel biomass estimates | 48 | | | | |
| | 2.6.5 Mackerel egg sampling during the international pelagic ecosystem survey in the Nordic seas | 49 | | | | |
| 2.7 | Stock Assessment | 49 | | | | |
| | 2.7.1 State of the Stock | 50 | | | | |
| 2.8 | Short term forecast | 50 | | | | |
| 2.0 | 2.8.1 MSV framework | 50 | | | | |
| | 2.8.2 Short term forecast | 51 | | | | |
| 2.9 | Uncertainties in assessment and forecast | 53 | | | | |
| | 291 Uncertainties in assessment | 53 | | | | |
| | 2.9.2 Uncertainties in forecast | 53 | | | | |
| 2.10 | Comparison with previous assessment and forecast | 54 | | | | |
| 2.11 | Management Considerations | 55 | | | | |
| 2.12 | Ecosystem considerations | 56 | | | | |
| 2.13 | Regulations and their effects | 56 | | | | |
| 2.14 | Changes in fishing technology and fishing patterns | 57 | | | | |
| Hors | e Mackerel | 174 | | | | |
| 3.1 | Fisheries in 2009 | 174 | | | | |
| 3.2 | Stock Units | | | | | |
| 3.3 | Allocation of Catches to Stocks | 175 | | | | |
| 3.4 | Estimates of discards | 175 | | | | |
| 35 | Trachurus Species Mixing | 175 | | | | |

3

| | 3.6 | Length Distribution by Fleet and by Country: | 176 |
|---|---------------------|--|------------|
| 4 | Nori IIIa IVc | th Sea Horse Mackerel: Divisions IVa (first and second quarters), (excluding Western Skagerrak in third and fourth quarter), IVb, and VIId | 191 |
| | 4.1 | ICES advice Applicable to 2009 | 191 |
| | 4.2 | The Fishery in 2009 on the North Sea stock | 191 |
| | 4.3 | Fisherv-independent Information | 191 |
| | | 4.3.1 Egg Surveys | 191 |
| | 44 | Biological Data | 192 |
| | 1.1 | 4.4.1 Catch in Numbers at Age | 192 |
| | | 4.4.2 Mean weight at age and mean length at age | 192 |
| | | 4.4.3 Maturity at age | 192 |
| | | 4.4.4 Natural mortality | 192 |
| 5 | Wes VIa, | tern Horse Mackerel - Divisions IIa, IIIa (Western Part), IVa, Vb, VIIa–c, VIIe–k, AND VIIIa-e | 198 |
| | 5.1 | ICES advice applicable to 2009 and 2010 | 198 |
| | | 5.1.1 Stock description and management units | 198 |
| | 5.2 | Scientific data | 199 |
| | | 5.2.1 The fishery in 2009 | 199 |
| | | 5.2.2 Egg survey estimates | 199 |
| | | 5.2.3 Other surveys for western horse mackerel | 200 |
| | | 5.2.4 Effort and catch per unit effort | 200 |
| | | 5.2.5 Catch in numbers | 200 |
| | | 5.2.6 Mean length at age and mean weight at age | 200 |
| | | 5.2.7 Maturity ogive | 200 |
| | | 5.2.8 Natural mortality | 200 |
| | | 5.2.9 Fecundity data | 201 |
| | | 5.2.10 Data exploration | 201 201 |
| | E O | S.2.11 Assessment model | 201 |
| | 5.3 | 5.2.1 Stack accomment | 202 |
| | E 4 | 5.5.1 Stock assessment | 202 |
| | 5.4 | Short-term forecast | 202 |
| | 5.5 | Uncertainties in the assessment and forecast | 203 |
| | 5.6 | Comparison with previous assessment and forecast | 204 |
| | 5.7 | Management Options | 204 |
| | | 5.7.1 MSY FRAMEWORK | 204 |
| | | 5.7.2 Management plans and evaluations | 205 |
| | 5.8 | Management considerations | 206 |
| | 5.9 | Ecosystem considerations | 206 |
| | 5.10 | Regulations and their effects | 206 |
| | 5.11 | Changes in fishing technology and fishing patterns | 207 |
| | 5.12 | Changes in the environment | 207 |
| | | | |

| 6 | Sout | hern Horse Mackerel (Division IXa) | 257 | | | | |
|---|------|--|-----|--|--|--|--|
| | 6.1 | ICES advice applicable to 2009 and 2010 | 257 | | | | |
| | 6.2 | Management applied in 2009 and 2010 | 257 | | | | |
| | 6.3 | Scientific data | 257 | | | | |
| | | 6.3.1 The fishery in 2009 | 257 | | | | |
| | | 6.3.2 Fishery independent information | 258 | | | | |
| | | 6.3.3 Effort and catch per unit of effort | 258 | | | | |
| | | 6.3.4 Mean length at age and mean weight at age | 258 | | | | |
| | | 6.3.5 Maturity at age | 258 | | | | |
| | | 6.3.6 Catch in numbers at age | 259 | | | | |
| | | 6.3.7 Natural mortality | 259 | | | | |
| | 6.4 | Information from the fishing industry | 259 | | | | |
| | 6.5 | Methods | | | | | |
| | 6.6 | Uncertainties in the stock evaluation | 261 | | | | |
| | 6.7 | Management considerations | 261 | | | | |
| | 6.8 | Ecosystem considerations | | | | | |
| | 6.9 | Regulations and their effects | | | | | |
| | 6.10 | Changes in fishing technology and fishing patterns | | | | | |
| | 6.11 | Changes in the environment | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Norv | vegian spring spawning herring | | | | | |
| | 7.1 | ICES advice in 2009 | | | | | |
| | 7.2 | Management in 2009 and 2010 | | | | | |
| | 7.3 | The fishery in 2009 | | | | | |
| | | 7.3.1 Description and development of the fisheries | 281 | | | | |
| | | 7.3.2 UK (Scotland) | | | | | |
| | | 7.3.3 Information on by-catch | | | | | |
| | 7.4 | Stock Description and management units | | | | | |
| | | 7.4.1 Stock description | | | | | |
| | | 7.4.2 Changes in migration | | | | | |
| | 7.5 | Data available | | | | | |
| | | 7.5.1 Catch data | | | | | |
| | | 7.5.2 Discards | | | | | |
| | | 7.5.3 Length and age composition of the catch | | | | | |
| | | 7.5.4 Weight at age in catch and in the stock | | | | | |
| | | 7.5.5 Maturity at age | | | | | |
| | | 7.5.6 Natural mortality | | | | | |
| | | 7.5.7 Survey data | | | | | |
| | 7.6 | Methods | | | | | |
| | | 7.6.1 TASAC stock assessment | 290 | | | | |
| | | 7.0.2 Snort-term forecast | | | | | |
| | 7.7 | Data Exploration | 290 | | | | |
| | | 7.7.1 catch curve analyses | | | | | |
| | | 7.7.2 data exploration with TISVPA | 292 | | | | |

| | | 7.7.3 TASACS assessment following benchmark | |
|-----|--------|--|-------------------|
| | | 7.7.4 bootstrap | |
| | | 7.7.5 retrospective analyses | |
| | 7.8 | NSSH reference points | |
| | | 7.8.1 PA reference points | |
| | | 7.8.2 MSY reference points | |
| | | 7.8.3 Management reference points | |
| | 7.9 | State of the stock | |
| | 7.10 | NSSH Catch predictions for 2010 | |
| | | 7.10.1 Input data for the forecast | |
| | | Input data for the forecast | |
| | | 7.10.2 Results of the forecast | |
| | 7.11 | Uncertainties in assessment and forecast | |
| | | 7.11.1 Uncertainty in the assessment | |
| | | 7.11.2 Uncertainty in the forecast | |
| | 7.12 | Comparison with previous assessment and forecast | |
| | 7.13 | Management plans and evaluations | |
| | 7.14 | Management considerations | |
| | 7.15 | Ecosystem considerations | |
| | 7.16 | Regulations and their effects | |
| | 7 17 | Changes in fishing natterns | 301 |
| | 7 1 8 | Changes in the environment | 201 |
| | 7.10 | Changes in the environment | |
| Anı | nex: R | eferences | |
| Anı | nex: M | ISY reference points for Norwegian spring spawning herring | |
| ~ | - | | • • • |
| 8 | Blue | Whiting | |
| | 8.1 | ICES advice in 2009 | |
| | 8.2 | The fishery in 2009 | |
| | | 8.2.1 Denmark | |
| | | 8.2.2 Germany | |
| | | 8.2.3 Faroe Islands | |
| | | 8.2.4 Iceland | |
| | | 8.2.5 Ireland | |
| | | 8.2.6 Netherlands | |
| | | 8.2.7 Norway | |
| | | 8.2.9 Spain | |
| | | 8.2.10 Portugal | |
| | 83 | Data available | 387 |
| | 0.0 | | |
| | | 831 Catch data | 287 |
| | | 8.3.1 Catch data8.3.2 Information from the fishing industry | |
| | | 8.3.1 Catch data8.3.2 Information from the fishing industry8.3.3 Weight at age | 387 389 389 |

| Δnı | 1ex 03 | Review group Technical Minutes | 598 |
|-----|---------|---|------------|
| | | Stock Annex D - Norwegian Spring Spawning Herring Stock Annex E – Stock Annex Blue Whiting combined stock (Subareas I-IX, XII and XIV | 530 568 |
| | | Stock Annex C – Southern Horse Mackerel | 521 |
| | | Stock Annex B – Western Horse Mackerel | 507 |
| | | Annex A – Stock annex Northeast Atlantic mackerel | 489 |
| Anı | nex 2 - | - Stock Annexes | 489 |
| Anı | nex 1 - | - List of Participants | 486 |
| 11 | Refe | rences | 471 |
| 10 | Abst | racts of Working Documents | 469 |
| | 9.3 | Southern Horse Mackerel | 468 |
| | 9.2 | Blue whiting | |
| | 9.1 | Mackerel | |
| 9 | Reco | mmendations : | 463 |
| | | 8.12.1 Stock identity | 405 |
| | 8.12 | Benchmark workshop | 404 |
| | | 8.11.1 Management plans and evaluations | 404 |
| | 8.11 | Regulations and their effects | 404 |
| | | 8.10.1 Changes in the environment | 404 |
| | 8.10 | Ecosystem considerations | |
| | 8.9 | Management considerations | |
| | 0.0 | 8.8.1 Comparison with previous assessment and forecast | 402 |
| | 88 | Uncertainties in assessment and forecast | 400 401 |
| | | 8.7.1 Recruitment estimates | 400 400 |
| | 8.7 | Short term forecast | |
| | | 8.6.1 MSY reference points | |
| | 8.6 | Biological reference points | |
| | | 8.5.1 State of the Stock | |
| | 8.5 | Final assessment | |
| | | 8.4.4 Comparison of results of different assessments | |
| | | 8.4.3 Data exploration in XSA | |
| | | 8.4.1 Data exploration in SMS | 393 |
| | 8.4 | Stock assessment | |
| | | 8.3.5 Fisheries independent data | |

Executive Summary

The Working Group (WG) on Widely Distributed Stocks (WGWIDE) met in the Instituto Español de Oceanografia, Vigo, Spain, from the 28 August to 3rd September 2010. Participants were scientists from Spain, Russia, UK (Scotland, England & Wales), Netherlands, Norway, Faroe Islands, Iceland, Ireland and Portugal. The WG reports on the status and considerations for management of NEA Mackerel, Blue Whiting and Western Horse Mackerel stocks and Norwegian Spring Spawning Herring. The advice for North Sea and Southern horse mackerel were not updated this year.

In addition, MSY reference points for all stocks for which advice was updated were evaluated by WGWIDE and are reported here.

Preliminary estimates of the Mackerel International Egg Production survey were examined by the WGWIDE. The estimates were used in the stocks assessment and advice for mackerel and western horse mackerel.

Northeast-Atlantic (NEA) Mackerel. This species is distributed in the whole ICES area and currently supports one of the most valuable European fisheries (with 2010 landings estimated at 930 thousand tonnes). Mackerel is fished by a variety of fleets (ranging from open boats using hand lines on the Iberian coasts to large freezer trawlers and Refrigerated Sea Water (RSW) vessels in the Northern Area. The stock is historically divided into three components, with the North Sea component considered to be over fished since the late 1970s, and the Western component contributing the vast majority of biomass and catch to the stock. The quality of sampling data remains good. The NEA mackerel assessment was treated as an update. Fishing mortality in 2009 is estimated to be at the precautionary level. SSB has increased considerably since 2002 and is estimated at 2.98 million tonnes in 2009. The 2002 year class is the highest on record.

Horse Mackerel. The WG performed an analytical assessment for <u>western horse</u> <u>mackerel</u>. The assessment indicates that the current level of SSB is above that in 1982 which produced the corresponding outstanding year class. The analysis confirms strong recruitment of the 2001 year class however this is not estimated to be of the same order of magnitude as the 1982 year class. The advice for this stock is based on an agreed management plan. A number of assessment methods were conducted for <u>southern horse mackerel</u> in preparation of the benchmark workshop that will take place in 2011.

Norwegian spring spawning herring. It is the largest herring stock in the world. It is largely migratory and distributed throughout large parts of the NE Atlantic. The productivity of the stock has increased in the last 20 years as a result of strong year classes being produced more often. The WG undertook a bench-mark assessment of this stock in 2008. This was performed using recently developed assessment tools software (TASACS).The results from assessing the stock using a number of age-structured models were evaluated and the WG agreed on an assessment based on a VPA. In the absence of strong year classes after 2004, the stock has declined in 2010 and is expected to decline in the near future even when fishing according to the management plan.

Blue whiting. It is a pelagic gadoid that is widely distributed in the eastern part of the North Atlantic. Due to the large population size, its considerable migratory capabilities and wide spatial distribution, much remains to be understood regarding the stock composition and dynamics. The assessment this year was considered an update

and was performed using the Stochastic Multi-species (SMS) model. The assessment revealed that the year classes 2005-2009 are among the lowest observed. SSB has declined as a result of low recruitment. The decline is expected to continue if recruitment remains at the recent low level, even with small catches.

1 Introduction

1.1 Terms of Reference

The **Working Group on Widely Distributed Stocks** [WGWIDE] (Chaired by: Beatriz Roel, UK) will meet in Vigo, Spain, 28 August –3 September 2010 to:

- a) address generic ToRs for Fish Stock Assessment Working Groups (see table below).
- b) evaluate the 2010 survey preliminary estimates of mackerel SSB and horse mackerel egg abundance. The evaluation will be the basis for a decision on whether to use the estimates in the assessments. This decision should be made on the first day of the working group meeting (August 28th). Members are encouraged to review and discuss the results prior to the meeting.

The assessments will be carried out on the basis of the stock annex in National Laboratories, prior to the meeting. This will be coordinated as indicated in the table below.

Material and data relevant for the meeting must be available to the group no later than 14 days prior to the starting date. Results from the mackerel egg survey to be used as basis for the preliminary NEA mackerel SSB index should be circulated by August 23rd (Monday).

WGWIDE will report by 7 September 2010 for the attention of ACOM.

| Beatriz Roel (Chair) | United Kingdom |
|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Frans van Beek | Netherlands |
| Thomas Brunel | Netherlands |
| Andrew Campbell | Ireland |
| Gersom Costas | Spain |
| Afra Egan | Ireland |
| Asta Gudmundsdóttir | Iceland |
| Åge Høines | Norway |
| Svein A. Iversen | Norway |
| Jan Arge Jacobsen | Faroe Islands |
| Høgni Debes | Faroe Islands |
| Teunis Jansen | Denmark |
| Alexander Krysov | Russian Federation |
| Charlotte Main | United Kingdom |
| Manolo Meixide | Spain |
| Alberto Murta | Portugal |
| Leif Nøttestad | Norway |
| Gudmundur J. Oskarsson | Iceland |
| Lisa Readdy | United Kingdom |
| Maxim Rybakov | Russian Federation |
| Sonia Sanchez | Spain |
| Erling Kåre Stenevik | Norway |
| Jens Ulleweit | Germany |
| Dmitry A. Vasilyev | Russian Federation |

1.2 List of participants

| Morten Vinther | Denmark |
|----------------------|-------------|
| David Miller | Netherlands |
| Katja Egberg | Norway |
| Cristina Morgado | ICES |
| Tessa van der Hammen | Netherlands |

1.3 Quality and Adequacy of fishery and sampling data

1.3.1 Sampling Data from Commercial Fishery

The working group again carried out a brief review of the sampling data and the level of sampling on the commercial fisheries. Sampling coverage for mackerel is 87%, maintaining the increases of recent years. The proportion of the horse mackerel catch sampled increased from 77% in 2008 to 87% in 2009, but still only a limited number of countries provide data. Norwegian spring spawning herring and blue whiting sampling covers 94% and 88% of the total catch, respectively.

In general, to facilitate age-structured assessment, samples should be obtained from all countries with catches of the relevant species.

The sampling programmes on the various species are summarised as follows:

Mackerel

| Year | TOTAL CATCH (WG CATCH) | % CATCH COVERED BY SAMPLING PROGRAMME* | NO. SAMPLES | NO. MEASURED | NO. AGED |
|------|---------------------------|---|----------------|--------------|----------|
| 1992 | 760,000 | 85 | 920 | 77,000 | 11,800 |
| 1993 | 825,000 | 83 | 890 | 80,411 | 12,922 |
| 1994 | 822,000 | 80 | 807 | 72,541 | 13,360 |
| 1995 | 755,000 | 85 | 1,008 | 102,383 | 14,481 |
| 1996 | 563,600 | 79 | 1,492 | 171,830 | 14,130 |
| 1997 | 569,600 | 83 | 1,067 | 138,845 | 16,355 |
| 1998 | 666,700 | 80 | 1,252 | 130,011 | 19,371 |
| 1999 | 608,928 | 86 | 1,109 | 116,978 | 17,432 |
| 2000 | 667,158 | 76 | 1,182 | 122,769 | 15,923 |
| 2001 | 677,708 | 83 | 1,419 | 142,517 | 19,824 |
| 2002 | 717,882 | 87 | 1,450 | 184,101 | 26,146 |
| 2003 | 617,330 | 80 | 1,212 | 148,501 | 19,779 |
| 2004 | 611,461 | 79 | 1,380 | 177,812 | 24,173 |
| 2005 | 543,486 | 83 | 1,229 | 164,593 | 20,217 |
| 2006 | 472,652 | 85 | 1,604 | 183,767 | 23,467 |
| 2007 | 579,379 | 87 | 1,267 | 139,789 | 21,791 |
| 2008 | 611,063 | 88 | 1,234 | 141,425 | 24,350 |
| 2009 | 734,889 | 87 | 1,231 | 139,867 | 28,722 |

*Percentage related to working group catch.

The total number of samples is similar to last year. The number of measured samples is also similar and the number of aged samples increased by approximately 10%. 87% of the total catch was covered by national sampling programmes. It should be noted that this figure is based on the total sampled catch and thus the largest catching nations that can sample 100% of their catch mask any deficiencies at national level and with more widely dispersed fisheries.

Denmark, Iceland, Ireland, Norway, Portugal, Russia and Spain all sampled over 90% of their catch. Samples from the Scottish fishery covered 92% of catches. As in previous years, England & Wales sample a smaller fraction, corresponding to the handline fishery in areas VIIe and VIIf. The remaining countries (of which France and Sweden had significant catches) failed to sample any catches. The sampling percentages from Germany and Netherlands have decreased.

The sampling summary of the mackerel catching countries is shown in the following table:

| COUNTRY | OFFICIAL CATCH | % CATCH COVERED BY SAMPLING PROGRAMME* | NO. SAMPLES | NO. MEASURED | NO. AGED |
|-----------------------|-------------------|---|----------------|-----------------|----------|
| Belgium | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denmark | 23,491 | 99 | 13 | 1023 | 1023 |
| Faroe Islands | 14,062 | 42 | 16 | 533 | 326 |
| France | 18,340 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Germany | 22,703 | 22 | 39 | 6,571 | 1,520 |
| Iceland | 116,160 | 99 | 48 | 2,094 | 1,855 |
| Ireland | 61,056 | 99 | 48 | 8,105 | 5,399 |
| Jersey | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Netherlands | 23,568 | 37 | 25 | 2,369 | 625 |
| Norway | 121,229 | 95 | 168 | 30,123 | 5,646 |
| Portugal | 1,753 | 100 | 119 | 8,934 | 683 |
| Russia | 41,414 | 96 | 75 | 22,746 | 696 |
| Spain | 114,074 | 100 | 540 | 38,606 | 4,503 |
| Sweden | 7,303 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UK (England & Wales) | 2,974 | 25 | 54 | 6,640 | 3,248 |
| UK (Northern Ireland) | 2,736 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UK (Scotland) | 151,300 | 92 | 86 | 12,123 | 3,198 |
| Total | 722,174 | 87 | 1,231 | 139,867 | 28,722 |

* Percentage based on Working Group catch

The following table describes the mackerel sampling intensity levels in terms of catch in each ICES division. Areas where insufficient sampling was carried out include IIIa (1682t), VIIc (310t), VIIh (643t), VIIIa (2,456t), VIIId (3,164t) and XIVa (535t). This has been the case for some of these areas for several years.

| AREA | OFFICIAL CATCH | WG CATCH | NO SAMPLES | NO AGED | NO MEASURED | NO AGED/ 1000 TONNES* | NO MEASURED/ 1000 TONNES* |
|--------|-------------------|-------------|---------------|------------|----------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|
| IIa | 79,234 | 79,234 | 84 | 1,288 | 21,663 | 20 | 270 |
| IIb | 16 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| IIIa | 1,682 | 1,682 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| IIIb | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| IIId | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| IVa | 222,872 | 231,397 | 229 | 9,749 | 37,821 | 40 | 170 |
| IVb | 752 | 885 | 3 | 75 | 231 | 100 | 310 |
| IVc | 286 | 171 | 1 | 25 | 60 | 90 | 210 |
| Va | 79,154 | 79,154 | 32 | 1,132 | 1,301 | 10 | 20 |
| Vb | 4,665 | 4,665 | 17 | 323 | 2,202 | 70 | 470 |
| VIa | 137,275 | 136,723 | 91 | 4,468 | 14,770 | 30 | 110 |
| VIIa | 29 | 773 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIIb | 23,378 | 22,938 | 19 | 1,561 | 2,988 | 70 | 130 |
| VIIc | 310 | 239 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIId | 3,377 | 3,492 | 11 | 275 | 1,111 | 80 | 330 |
| VIIe | 497 | 1,744 | 31 | 1,649 | 3,790 | 3,318 | 7,626 |
| VIIf | 461 | 461 | 24 | 1,624 | 2,946 | 3,523 | 6,390 |
| VIIg | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIIh | 643 | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIIj | 40,381 | 43,774 | 30 | 1,367 | 3,444 | 30 | 90 |
| VIIIa | 2,456 | 3,178 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIIIb | 13,242 | 12,750 | 172 | 756 | 11,719 | 60 | 880 |
| VIIIcE | 75,974 | 75,974 | 257 | 2,569 | 20,315 | 30 | 270 |
| VIIIcW | 15,452 | 15,452 | 65 | 546 | 3454 | 40 | 220 |
| VIIId | 3,164 | 3,164 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| IXaN | 14,569 | 14,569 | 46 | 632 | 3,118 | 40 | 210 |
| IXaCN | 1,753 | 1,753 | 119 | 683 | 8,934 | 390 | 5100 |
| XIVa | 535 | 535 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Total | 722,174 | 734,889 | 1,231 | 28,722 | 139,867 | 40 | 190 |

* Based on official catches

Horse Mackerel

The following table shows a summary of the overall sampling intensity on horse mackerel catches in recent years:

| YEAR | TOTAL CATCH (WG CATCH) | % CATCH COVERED BY SAMPLING PROGRAMME* | NO. SAMPLES | NO. MEASURED | NO. AGED |
|------|---------------------------|---|----------------|--------------|----------|
| 1992 | 436,500 | 45 | 1,803 | 158,447 | 5,797 |
| 1993 | 504,190 | 75 | 1,178 | 158,954 | 7,476 |
| 1994 | 447,153 | 61 | 1,453 | 134,269 | 6,571 |
| 1995 | 580,000 | 48 | 2,041 | 177,803 | 5,885 |
| 1996 | 460,200 | 63 | 2,498 | 208,416 | 4,719 |
| 1997 | 518,900 | 75 | 2,572 | 247,207 | 6,391 |
| 1998 | 399,700 | 62 | 2,539 | 245,220 | 6,416 |
| 1999 | 363,033 | 51 | 2,158 | 208,387 | 7,954 |
| 2000 | 272,496 | 56 | 1,610 | 186,825 | 5,874 |
| 2001 | 283,331 | 64 | 1,502 | 204,400 | 8,117 |
| 2002 | 241,336 | 72 | 1,768 | 235,697 | 8,561 |
| 2003 | 241,830 | 79 | 1,568 | 200,563 | 12,377 |
| 2004 | 216,361 | 68 | 1,672 | 213,066 | 16,218 |
| 2005 | 234,876 | 78 | 2,315 | 241,629 | 15,866 |
| 2006 | 215,277 | 72 | 1,623 | 231,344 | 12,009 |
| 2007 | 187,995 | 62 | 1,321 | 174,897 | 10,749 |
| 2008 | 198,085 | 77 | 1,362 | 186,800 | 11,915 |
| 2009 | 247,637 | 87 | 1,258 | 92,846 | 13,345 |

* Percentage related to Working Group catch

There was again an increase in overall sampling for horse mackerel from 2008 to 2009. This is the highest sampling level since 1992. As usual the large numbers of measured fish are due to intensive length measurement programs in the southern areas. In 2009, 70% of the horse mackerel measured were from Divisions VIIIa,b and IXa.

Countries that carried out sampling were Germany, Ireland, the Netherlands, Norway, Portugal and Spain and covered 50-100% of their catches. No data from France and Lithuania were provided to the Working Group.

| COUNTRY | OFFICIAL CATCH | % CATCH SAMPLED* | NO. SAMPLES | NO. MEASURED | NO. AGED |
|----------------|-------------------|---------------------|----------------|-----------------|----------|
| Belgium | 5 | 0 | | | |
| Denmark | 6,098 | 0 | | | |
| Faroe Islands | 0 | | | | |
| France | 0 | | | | |
| Germany | 16,420 | 50 | 29 | 4,375 | 1,114 |
| Ireland | 40,754 | 94 | 47 | 7,951 | 4,218 |
| Lithuania | 0 | | | | |
| Netherlands | 61,997 | 80 | 50 | 7,617 | 1,250 |
| Norway | 72,619 | 100 | 86 | 5,868 | 501 |
| Portugal | 10,851 | 100 | 194 | 27,144 | 1,998 |
| Spain | 36,722 | 98 | 947 | 49,173 | 4,485 |
| Sweden | 660 | 0 | | | |
| UK (Scotland) | 1,417 | 0 | | | |
| Sum (WG catch) | 247,637 | 87 | 1353 | 102,128 | 13,566 |

The following table shows the most important horse mackerel catching countries and the summarised details of their sampling programme:

* Percentage based on Working Group catch

The following tables have information broken down by horse mackerel stock.

The horse mackerel sampling intensity for the Western stock (areas) was as follows:

| COUNTRY | OFFICIAL CATCH | % CATCH SAMPLED* | NO. SAMPLES | NO. MEASURED | NO. AGED |
|----------------|-------------------|---------------------|----------------|-----------------|----------|
| Denmark | 6,009 | 0 | | | |
| Faroe Islands | 0 | | | | |
| France | 0 | | | | |
| Germany | 15,121 | 54 | 29 | 4,375 | 1,114 |
| Ireland | 40,754 | 94 | 47 | 7,283 | 4,218 |
| Lithuania | 0 | | | | |
| Netherlands | 43,648 | 66 | 23 | 3,738 | 575 |
| Norway | 59,537 | 99 | 78 | 5,868 | 442 |
| Spain | 21,071 | 100 | 680 | 31,498 | 3,211 |
| Sweden | 258 | 0 | | | |
| UK (Scotland) | 1,413 | 0 | | | |
| Sum (WG catch) | 176,918 | 84 | 857 | 52,767 | 9,560 |

* Percentage based on Working Group catch

| COUNTRY | OFFICIAL CATCH | % CATCH SAMPLED* | NO. SAMPLES | NO. MEASURED | NO. AGED |
|----------------|-------------------|---------------------|----------------|-----------------|----------|
| Belgium | 5 | 0 | | | |
| Denmark | 89 | 0 | | | |
| France | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Germany | 1,299 | 0 | | | |
| Ireland | 0 | | | | |
| Netherlands | 22,546 | 95 | 27 | 3,879 | 675 |
| Norway | 12,855 | 99 | 8 | 668 | 59 |
| Sweden | 402 | 0 | | | |
| UK (Scotland) | 4 | 0 | | | |
| Sum (WG catch) | 44,223 | 92 | 35 | 4,547 | 734 |

The horse mackerel sampling intensity for the North Sea stock (IVb,c, VIId and the eastern part of IIIa) was as follows:

* Percentage based on Working Group catch

The horse mackerel sample intensity is higher than usual and is caused by the Netherlands which has an extensive sampling program.

The horse mackerel sampling intensity for the Southern stock (areas) was as follows:

| COUNTRY | OFFICIAL CATCH | % CATCH SAMPLED* | NO. SAMPLES | NO. MEASURED | NO. AGED |
|----------------|-------------------|---------------------|----------------|-----------------|----------|
| Portugal | 10,851 | 100 | 194 | 27,140 | 1,998 |
| Spain | 15,646 | 95 | 267 | 17,675 | 1,274 |
| Sum (WG catch) | 26,497 | 97 | 461 | 44,815 | 3,272 |

* Percentage based on Working Group catch

| Area | Official | WG | N | Ν | Ν | N aged per | N measured |
|-------|----------|---------|---------|--------|----------|------------|------------|
| | Catch | Catch | samples | aged | measured | 1000t | per 1000t |
| IIa | 1,847 | 1,847 | 0 | | | | |
| IIIa | 38 | 38 | 0 | | | | |
| IVa | 59,834 | 58,810 | 39 | 221 | 2,934 | 4 | 50 |
| IVb | 14,558 | 13,925 | 8 | 59 | 668 | 4 | 48 |
| IVc | 9,027 | 5,822 | 1 | 25 | 228 | 4 | 39 |
| Va | 0 | 0 | | | | | |
| Vb | 0 | 0 | | | | | |
| VIa | 19,833 | 17,776 | 19 | 2,298 | 2,260 | 129 | 127 |
| VIb | 0 | 0 | | | | | |
| VIIa | 5 | 5 | 0 | | | | |
| VIIb | 33,074 | 28,503 | 36 | 2,016 | 5,332 | 71 | 187 |
| VIIc | 3,651 | 2,151 | 6 | 224 | 910 | 104 | 423 |
| VIId | 13,505 | 24,366 | 26 | 650 | 3,651 | 27 | 150 |
| VIIe | 3,727 | 8,726 | 9 | 286 | 1,766 | 33 | 202 |
| VIIf | 0 | 0 | | | | | |
| VIIg | 0 | 0 | | | | | |
| VIIh | 3,927 | 7,108 | 1 | 25 | 164 | 4 | 23 |
| VIIj | 31,145 | 18,588 | 27 | 1,033 | 4,721 | 56 | 254 |
| VIIk | 569 | 126 | 0 | | | | |
| VIIIa | 2,944 | 9,733 | 0 | | | | |
| VIIIb | 2,016 | 1,783 | 36 | 579 | 1,988 | 325 | 1,115 |
| VIIIc | 20,903 | 20,903 | 645 | 2,657 | 29,753 | 127 | 1,423 |
| VIIId | 446 | 936 | 0 | | | | |
| IXaCN | 5,119 | 5,119 | 107 | 1,998 | 16,699 | 390 | 3,262 |
| IXaCS | 3847 | 3847 | 31 | | 4,097 | 0 | 1,065 |
| IXaN | 14,886 | 14,886 | 2,67 | 1,274 | 17,675 | 86 | 1,187 |
| IXaS | 760 | 760 | 0 | | | | |
| Sum | 247,544 | 247,637 | 1,353 | 13,566 | 102,218 | 55 | 413 |

The horse mackerel sampling intensity by division was as follows:

| Year | TOTAL CATCH | % CATCH COVERED BY SAMPLING PROGRAMME | NO. SAMPLES | NO. MEASURED | No. Aged |
|------|-------------|--|----------------|--------------|----------|
| 2000 | 1,207,201 | 86 | 389 | 55956 | 10901 |
| 2001 | 766,136 | 86 | 442 | 70005 | 11234 |
| 2002 | 807,795 | 88 | 184 | 39332 | 5405 |
| 2003 | 789,510 | 71 | 380 | 34711 | 11352 |
| 2004 | 794,066 | 79 | 503 | 48784 | 13169 |
| 2005 | 1,003,243 | 86 | 459 | 49273 | 14112 |
| 2006 | 968,958 | 93 | 631 | 94574 | 9862 |
| 2007 | 1,266,993 | 94 | 476 | 56383 | 14661 |
| 2008 | 1,545,656 | 94 | 722 | 81609 | 31438 |
| 2009 | 1,686,928 | 94 | 663 | 65536 | 12265 |

Norwegian Spring Spawning Herring (NSSH)

94% of the total catch was covered by national sampling programmes. The following table gives a summary of the sampling activities of the NSSH catching countries. The sampling coverage by country is between 31 and 100%. No sampling was carried by Greenland and Scotland but catches of these countries represent together only 1.7% of the total catch.

| COUNTRY | OFFICIAL CATCH | % CATCH COVERED BY SAMPLING PROGRAMME | NO. SAMPLES | NO. MEASURED | NO. AGED |
|-----------------|-------------------|--|----------------|-----------------|----------|
| Denmark | 32320 | 100 | 13 | 1576 | 338 |
| Faroe Islands | 85099 | 80 | 16 | 1003 | 216 |
| Germany | 14453 | 67. | 22 | 8705 | 1358 |
| Greenland | 3730 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Iceland | 265479 | 100 | 142 | 6197 | 3473 |
| Ireland | 10014 | 100 | 2 | 180 | 158 |
| Norway | 1016675 | 100 | 312 | 16919 | 4233 |
| Russia | 210105 | 85 | 111 | 25916 | 1364 |
| Scotland | 25477 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| The Netherlands | 23576 | 31 | 45 | 5040 | 1125 |
| Total | 1,686,928 | 94 | 663 | 65536 | 12265 |

Shown in the following table are the NSSH sampling levels by relating numbers measured and aged to the size of the catch in each ICES division.

| Area | OFFICIAL CATCH | WG CATCH | NO Samples | No Aged | No Measured | No Aged/ 1000 tonnes* | No Measured/ 1000 tonnes* |
|-------|-------------------|-----------|---------------|------------|-------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Ι | 873 | 873 | 12 | 360 | 1150 | 412 | 1317 |
| IIa | 1471265 | 1472329 | 475 | 7999 | 37044 | 5 | 25 |
| IIb | 55123 | 54504 | 87 | 1817 | 22681 | 33 | 416 |
| IVa | 44563 | 44563 | 21 | 574 | 1622 | 13 | 36 |
| Va | 98688 | 98688 | 55 | 1075 | 2420 | 11 | 25 |
| Vb | 240 | 240 | 2 | 96 | 100 | 400 | 417 |
| XIVa | 16176 | 16176 | 11 | 344 | 519 | 21 | 32 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Total | 1,686,928 | 1,687,373 | 663 | 12265 | 65536 | 7 | 39 |

* Based on official catches

| Year | TOTAL CATCH | % CATCH COVERED BY SAMPLING PROGRAMME | NO. SAMPLES | NO. MEASURED | NO. AGED |
|------|-------------|--|----------------|--------------|----------|
| 2000 | 1,412,928 | * | 1136 | 125162 | 13685 |
| 2001 | 1,780,170 | * | 985 | 173553 | 17995 |
| 2002 | 1,556,792 | * | 1037 | 116895 | 19202 |
| 2003 | 2,321,406 | * | 1596 | 188770 | 26207 |
| 2004 | 2,377,569 | * | 1774 | 181235 | 27835 |
| 2005 | 2,026,953 | * | 1833 | 217937 | 32184 |
| 2006 | 1,966,140 | * | 1715 | 190533 | 27014 |
| 2007 | 1,610,090 | 87 | 1399 | 167652 | 23495 |
| 2008 | 1,246,465 | 90 | 927 | 113749 | 21844 |
| 2009 | 635,639 | 88 | 705 | 79500 | 18142 |

Blue Whiting

* no figures given

88% of the total catch was covered by national sampling programmes. The sampling summary of the blue whiting catching countries is shown in the following table. No sampling were carried out by Demark, France, Germany and Scotland, representing together 2.2% of the total catch. All other countries are sampling for length and age.

| COUNTRY | OFFICIAL CATCH | % CATCH COVERED BY SAMPLING PROGRAMME | NO. SAMPLES | NO. MEASURED | NO. AGED |
|---------------|-------------------|--|----------------|-----------------|----------|
| Denmark | 248 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Faroe Islands | 58,354 | 99 | 18 | 1872 | 983 |
| France | 8,831 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Germany | 5,044 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Iceland | 120,202 | 98 | 73 | 4838 | 2793 |
| Ireland | 8,776 | 96 | 7 | 1436 | 706 |
| Netherlands | 35,686 | 95 | 66 | 13684 | 1700 |
| Norway | 225,995 | 94 | 175 | 8592 | 902 |
| Portugal | 2,043 | 100 | 37 | 3570 | 6105 |
| Russia | 149,650 | 71 | 157 | 31594 | 3052 |
| Spain | 20,637 | 100 | 172 | 13914 | 1901 |
| UK(Scotland) | 173 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 635,639 | 88 | 705 | 79500 | 18142 |

| Area | OFFICIAL CATCH | WG Сатсн | NO Samples | No Aged | No Measured | No Aged/ 1000 tonnes | No Measured/ 1000 tonnes |
|-------|-------------------|----------|---------------|------------|-------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|
| IIa | 45915 | 45913 | 160 | 17225 | 1420 | 375 | 31 |
| IIb | 271 | 271 | 7 | 1235 | 150 | 4557 | 554 |
| IIIa | 131 | 131 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| IVa | 22234 | 22234 | 41 | 1348 | 58 | 61 | 3 |
| IVb | 22 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| IXa | 2043 | 2043 | 37 | 3570 | 6105 | 1747 | 2988 |
| Va | 433 | 433 | 1 | 100 | 50 | 231 | 115 |
| Vb | 115456 | 115456 | 70 | 12599 | 1844 | 109 | 16 |
| VIa | 218514 | 218514 | 152 | 19866 | 3942 | 91 | 18 |
| VIb | 74122 | 74122 | 21 | 1973 | 885 | 27 | 12 |
| VIIb | 355 | 355 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIIc | 111010 | 110534 | 39 | 7219 | 1537 | 65 | 14 |
| VIIg | 1692 | 1692 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIIIa | 1868 | 1867 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIIIc | 20637 | 20637 | 172 | 13914 | 1901 | 674 | 92 |
| VIIj | 39 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIIk | 6348 | 6348 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| XII | 14539 | 14539 | 5 | 451 | 250 | 31 | 17 |
| XIVa | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Total | 635639 | 635167 | 705 | 79500 | 18142 | 125 | 29 |

The following table describes the blue whiting sampling levels by relating numbers measured and aged to the size of the catch in each ICES division.

* Based on official catches

1.3.2 Catch Data

Recent working groups have on a number of occasions discussed the accuracy of the catch statistics and the possibility of large scale under reporting or species and area misreporting. These discussions applied particularly to mackerel and horse mackerel in the northern areas.

The working group considers that the best estimates of catch it can produce are likely to be underestimates.

For mackerel and horse mackerel it was previously concluded that in the southern areas the catch figures appear to be satisfactory.

1.3.3 Discards

Discarding in pelagic fisheries is more sporadic than in demersal fisheries. This is because the nature of pelagic fishing is to pursue schooling fish, creating hauls with low diversity of species and sizes. Consequently, discard rates typically show extreme fluctuation (100% or zero discards). High discard rates occur especially during 'slippage' events, when the entire catch is released. The main reasons for 'slipping' are daily or total quota limitations, illegal size and mixture with unmarketable by-catch. Quantifying such discards at a population level is extremely difficult as they vary considerably between years, seasons, species targeted and geographical region.

Discard estimates of pelagic species from pelagic and demersal fisheries have been published by several authors. Discard percentages of pelagic species from demersal fisheries were estimated between 3% to 7% (Borges *et al.*, 2005) of the total catch in weight, while from pelagic fisheries were estimated between 3% to 17% (Pierce *et al.* 2002; Hofstede and Dickey-Collas 2006, Dickey-Collas & van Helmond 2007, Ulleweit & Panten 2007, Borges *et al.* 2008). Slipping estimates have been published for the Dutch freezer trawler fleet only, with values at around 10% by number (Borges *et al.* 2008). Nevertheless, the majority of these estimates were associated with very large variances and composition estimates of 'slippages' are liable to strong biases and are therefore open to criticism.

Borges *et al.* (2008) show that for the Dutch freezer trawler fleet between 2002 and 2005, the most important commercial species discarded is mackerel, accounting for 40% of total pelagic discards. Other important discarded species are herring (18%), horse mackerel (15%) and blue whiting (8%). These discards are also the consequence of fisheries targeted at other species (*e.g.* mackerel in the horse mackerel and herring targeted fisheries). The most important non-commercial species is boarfish accounting for 5% of the discards. Dutch-owned freezer-trawlers also operate in European waters under German, UK, and French flags.

In 2010, discard estimates for 2009 from the Netherlands and UK (Scotland) for mackerel, horse mackerel, Norwegian spring spawning herring and blue whiting were provided to the working group. A newly establish Irish discard sampling programme consisted of seven mackerel targeted observer trips during which no discarding was observed. Slippage reports from the Irish MSC mackerel fishery were also provided to the working group. No discarding during three German trips targeting mackerel, Norwegian spring spawning herring and horse mackerel were observed. Some of the provided discard data included sampling levels and raised discard estimates, which can be raised by trips or total landings. The exact sampling and raising procedures used are unclear and differ between different datasets, which complicates comparison. In addition, the associated sampling levels are low, and therefore the data should be treated with caution. The necessary steps involved in providing discard data to stock assessments require further research.

Because of the potential importance of significant discarding levels on pelagic species assessments the Working Group again recommends that observers should be placed on board vessels in those areas in which discarding occurs, and existing observer programmes should be continued. Furthermore agreement should be made on sampling methods and raising procedures to allow comparisons and merging of dataset for assessment purposes.

Mackerel

The Netherlands, Scotland, Germany and Ireland provided discard/slippage data on mackerel to the working group. Age and length disaggregated data were available from the Scottish fishery in the fourth quarter in area IVa. The estimated mackerel landings of Scotland and the Netherlands represent approximately 24% of the total landings. Mackerel catches of Germany and Ireland, both of which observed zero discards, represent 3% and 8% of the total catch. For 2009 the total mackerel discards reported were approximately 13kt. The working group considers this to be an underestimate (see section 2.2.2).

Horse Mackerel

In the past discards of juvenile horse mackerel have been thought to constitute a problem. However, in recent years a targeted fishery has developed on juveniles, including 1-year old fish and discarding of juveniles is now thought to be small. In 2009

the Netherlands estimated discards of 633t for their pelagic fleet, accounting for 1% of the national landings. Horse mackerel catches of the Netherlands represent 25% of the total catch of the Western area. No discarding was observed on a sampling trip conducted by Germany.

Norwegian Spring Spawning Herring

The Working Group has no comprehensive data to estimate discards of herring. Although discarding may occur on this stock, it is considered to be very low and a minor problem for the assessment. This is confirmed by recent estimates from sampling programmes carried out by some EU countries in the DCR framework. Estimates on discarding in 2008 and 2009 of about 2% in weight were provided by the Netherlands.

A report from the Norwegian coast guard this year concludes that the herring fishery was conducted in what they consider a satisfactory way. The coast guard followed the fishery during fishing season in the first quarter with several vessels and a plane. Few observations of slipping were made and no observations of net breakage (see section 7.5.2).

Blue Whiting

In general, discards are assumed to be minor in the blue whiting directed fishery. Some discard data to the working group were provided by the Netherlands. Overall discards were estimated to be 368t (1% of the national landings). Blue whiting is also by-catch in several Spanish bottom trawl fisheries directed to a mixture of species. However, the catch rates of blue whiting in these fisheries are low.

1.3.4 Age-reading

Reliable age data are an important pre-requisite in the stock assessment process. The accuracy and precision of these data, for the various species, is kept under constant review by the Working Group.

Mackerel

Under the coordination of Marine Scotland Science, a representative collection of otoliths was prepared. Samples were included from all quarters in the year and all ICES areas relevant to this exchange. This collection was distributed to all 12 countries which supply data for the assessment of North East Atlantic mackerel (13 participating institutes). The exchange started in September 2008 at Aberdeen and ended at DTU Aqua in Denmark in August 2009. Some otolith samples showed deterioration through the course of the exchange. This caused an increase in non-readable otoliths for the countries that received the otolith package towards the end of the exchange.

The estimated ages from each participating institute were returned to the coordinators and analysed by comparing them against the resulting modal age. From this, the percentage agreement, precision coefficient of variation (%CV) and bias were calculated. Participants were divided into readers who provide ages to the assessment (experts) and those that do not (non-experts).

The overall percentage agreement for experts was 67.6%, although it varied between 20% and 100% with higher agreement in the otoliths of smaller fish and lower agreement in the larger fish. High variation in age estimation was observed in some otoliths, the highest range was 4-14. In the expert group % CV ranged from 0% to 387% with an average of 23.8%. The overall agreement for the non-expert group was 49.5%. These demonstrated a tendency to underestimate ages compared to the modal age.

The overall agreement for experts was low enough to merit a more detailed examination of the differences in mackerel age estimation between institutes. Approaches to reading technique and interpretation need to be reviewed. A workshop has been scheduled in November 2010 to address this requirement.

Horse mackerel

An exchange and a workshop on age reading were carried out in the Netherlands in 2006. Experienced readers and trainees participated in the exchange and in the workshop. All countries providing age reading data to the WGWIDE were represented in both the exchange and the workshop by an experienced reader. Portugal, Germany and the Netherlands provided otolith sets for the exchange. The sets represented different otolith preparation methods and stocks. Two sets consisted of otoliths from the extremely strong 1982 year-class and hence the age is considered to be known (with a certainty of approximately 95%). One set focused on younger fish which were expected to present problems based on the informal small-scale otolith exchange.

The experienced readers were accustomed to different otolith preparation methods and different growth patterns associated with the different stocks. Generally, the readers had more difficulty if they were reading material they were not accustomed to. Horse mackerel is regarded to be a difficult species to age and this was reflected by the results of the exchange. The agreement between the experienced readers was low, especially for otoliths from the Southern stock. For the sets including the 1982 year-class the agreement with the modal age was higher than with "true" age. Comparison with the "true" ages showed an overall tendency to underestimate the age.

Norwegian Spring Spawning Herring

A scale and otolith exchange of Norwegian spring spawning herring took place in 2007-2008. Otolith and scale samples of Norwegian spring spawning herring (NSSH) from the ecosystem survey in the Nordic seas in May were provided by the Institute of Marine Research, Norway. Four countries were participating in the scale and otolith exchange; Norway, Faroe Islands, Iceland and Denmark. Norway and Iceland estimated the ages by reading scales, and Faroe Islands and Denmark estimated the ages by reading the otoliths.

Based on results from this scale and otolith exchange, the age estimate of NSSH between the four countries is very similar. High precision were obtained, and there were no relative bias between different countries. Precision of age estimates appears to be a little higher for the two countries reading scales compared to the two countries reading otoliths, but this is also influenced by technical aspects of the order the different readers are placed in the EFAN-spreadsheet. There is therefore no evidence for difference in the age estimates as a consequence of reading scales versus otoliths.

Another recent comparison (Couperus 2008) of age readings from scales and otoliths for Norwegian spring spawning herring from 2 samples taken at the ASH survey in 2008 also indicates no indication that there is any difference in performance between age readings from scales and otoliths. Scales were read by readers from Denmark, otoliths by readers from the Netherlands.

Blue Whiting

PGCCDBS has identified the need of a full blue whiting ageing exchange with a workshop held after the exchange. The Institute of Marine Research, Norway, has coordinated the exchange and will also carry out the workshop. Currently the exchange is ongoing and no intermediate results were available to the working group.

1.3.5 Biological Data

The main problems in relation to other biological data identified by the Working Group are listed by species.

Mackerel

There is inadequate sampling for stock weights during the spawning season.

Horse Mackerel

No issues regarding biological data for horse mackerel were raised during the WG.

Norwegian Spring Spawning Herring (NSSH)

In 2010 a Workshop (WKHERMAT)1 was held to evaluate existing maturity at age data. The Workshop was held because data on maturation were not available and considered in the benchmark assessment in 2008. The work of the Workshop therefore concludes the benchmark process. Three sources of maturity information were considered. The three different data sources were: a) maturity ogive used in assessment, b) survey data on maturity staging collected during surveys 4 and 5 and c) back-calculated maturity ogive using Gulland's method. In addition, data on maturity cycle in Norwegian spring spawning herring were presented and guidelines for sampling of maturity data were discussed in accordance with PGCCDBS. See section 7.5.5 for details.

Blue Whiting

No issues regarding biological data for blue whiting were raised during the WG.

1.3.6 Quality Control and Data Archiving

Current methods of compiling fisheries assessment data

Information on official, area misreported, unallocated, discarded and sampled catches have again this year been recorded by the national laboratories on the WG-data exchange sheet (MS Excel; for definitions see text table below) and sent to the stock co-ordinators. Co-ordinators collate data using the latest version of *sallocl* (Patterson, 1998) which produces a standard output file (*Sam.out*). However only sampled, official, WG catch and discards are available in this file. Efforts were made to use the Intercatch system this year in parallel to the existing system (see Sec.1.3.8 for details).

There are at present no defined criteria on how to allocate samples of catch numbers, mean length and mean weight at age to unsampled catches, but the following general process is implemented by the species co-ordinators. Searches are made for appropriate samples by gear (fleet), area, and quarter. If an exact match is not available the search will move to a neighbouring area, if the fishery extends to this area in the same quarter. More than one sample may be allocated to an unsampled catch, in this case a straight mean or weighted mean of the observations may be used. If there are no samples available the search will move to the closest non-adjacent area by gear (fleet) and quarter, but not in all cases. For example, in the case of NEA mackerel samples from the southern area are not allocated to unsampled catches in the western area. It would be very difficult to formulate an absolute definition of allocation of samples to

¹ Report of the Workshop on estimation of maturity ogive in Norwegian spring spawning herring (WKHERMAT). 1-3 March 2010 Bergen, Norway. ICES CM 2010/ACOM:51 REF. PGCCDBS

unsampled catches which was generic to all stocks, however full documentation of any allocations made are stored each year in the data archives (see below). It was noted that when samples are allocated the quality of the samples may not be examined (i.e. numbers aged) and that allocations may be made notwithstanding this. The Working Group again encourages national data submitters to provide an indication of what data could be used as representative of their unsampled catches. Definitions of the different catch categories as used by the WGWIDE:

| Official Catch | Catches as reported by the official statistics to ICES |
|------------------------|--|
| Unallocated Catch | Adjustments (positive or negative) to the official catches made for any special knowledge about the fishery, such as under- or over-reporting for which there is firm external evidence. |
| Area misreported Catch | To be used only to adjust official catches which have been reported from the wrong area (can be negative). For any country the sum of all the area misreported catches should be zero. |
| Discarded Catch | Catch which is discarded |
| WG Catch | The sum of the 4 categories above |
| Sampled Catch | The catch corresponding to the age distribution |

Quality of the Input data

Primary responsibility for the accuracy of national biological data lies with the national laboratories that submit such data. Each stock co-ordinator is responsible for combining, collating, and interpolating the national data where necessary to produce the input data for the assessments. A number of validation checks are already incorporated in the data submission spreadsheet currently in use, and these are checked by the co-ordinators who in the first instance report anomalies to the laboratory which provided the data.

The working group acknowledges the effort some members have made to provide "corrected" data, which in some cases differ significantly from the officially reported catches. Most of this valuable information is gathered on the basis of personal knowledge of the fishery and good relations between the responsible scientist and the fishermen. The WG is aware of the problem that this knowledge might be lost if the scientist resigns, and asks the national laboratories to ensure continuity in data provision. In addition the working group recognises and would like to highlight the inherent conflict of interest in obtaining details of unallocated catches by country and increasing the transparency of data handling by the Working Group.

Overall, data quality has improved and sampling deficiencies have been reduced compared to earlier years, partly due to the implementation of the EU sampling regulation for commercial catch data. However, some nations have still not or inadequately aged samples. Others have not even submitted any data, so only catch data from Eurostat are available, which are not aggregated quarterly but are yearly catch data per area. Table 1.3.6.1 gives an overview on the availability and format of data provided to the species coordinators. Missing sampling data are regarded to be problematic for France and Sweden in the case of Mackerel; Denmark in the case of Horse Mackerel. Norwegian spring spawning herring and blue whiting are generally covered, countries not providing data constitute 0.2% and 2.3% of the total catch, respectively. However, under the EU directive for sampling of commercial catch the responsibility lies within the member state where the catch is landed. This would imply for instance that the Netherlands should be sampling French, UK and German mackerel and horse mackerel catches landed into the Netherlands. The Working Group documents sampling coverage of the catches in two ways. National sampling effort is tabulated against official catches of the corresponding country (section 1.3.1). Furthermore, tables showing total catch in relation to numbers of aged and measured fish by area give a picture of the quality of the overall sampling programme in relation to where the fisheries are taking place. These tables are shown in section 1.3.1 as text tables under the species sections.

Transparency of data handling by the Working Group and archiving past data

In recent years, ICES has implemented a Sharepoint solution for the storage and sharing of working group data and documentation. In addition, a shared folder is usually made available to working group participants for the duration of the meeting. Traditionally, stock data was stored in a folder called 'archives' on this shared disk. Upon completion of the meeting the folder is backed up and maintained by ICES. This is problematic for group members who wish to view historic data. **The WG recommends that an equivalent structure on the Sharepoint point be established for the storage of such data and that ICES communicates this clearly to the stock and assessment coordinators and that access to all historic sharepoint sites in their original form be maintained. Consideration should also be given to making the data and working documents from meetings where no Sharepoint site was available accessible to members of WGWIDE.**

The WG continues to ask members to provide any kind of national data reported to previous working groups (official catches, working group catches, catch-at-age and biological sampling data), to fill in missing historical disaggregated data. However, there was little response from the national institutes. **The WG recommends that national institutes increase national efforts to gain historical data, aiming to provide an overview which data are stored where, in which format and for what time frame.** The Working Group still sees a need to raise funds (possibly in the framework of a EU-study) for completing the collection of historic data, for verification and transfer into digital format. This is particularly relevant given that for the 2005 mackerel assessment the time series had to be truncated due to poor data in the earliest years.

Stock Coordinator: Andrew Campbell Aged Samples Data Exchange Sheet Countrv* Data Supplied YES Denmark YES YES England & Wales YES YES YES YES YES YES Faroes France** NO NO YES Germany YES YES YES YES YES Iceland YES Ireland YES YES YES YES YES YES Netherlands Northern Ireland YES YES NO Norway YES YES YES Portugal YES YES YES Russia YES YES YES Scotland YES YES YES Spain YES YES YES Sweden YES NO NO

Table 1.3.6.1 Overview of the availability and format of data provided to the species coordinators for catch year 2009.

* Belgium, Jersey and Poland not listed (official catches below 100t), ** Incomplete dataset

B. Horse Mackerel

<u>Stock Coordinators: Svein Iversen (Western & North Sea), Pablo Abaunza (South-</u>

| Countrv* | Data Supplied | Data Exchange Sheet | Aged Samples |
|-------------|---------------|---------------------|--------------|
| Denmark | YES | YES | NO |
| Faroes | YES | YES | NO |
| Germany | YES | YES | YES |
| Ireland | YES | YES | YES |
| Netherlands | YES | YES | YES |
| Norway | YES | YES | YES |
| Portugal | YES | YES | YES |
| Scotland | YES | NO | NO |
| Spain | YES | YES | YES |
| Swodon | NO | | |

* Belgium not listed (official catches below 100t)

C. Norwegian Spring Spawning Herring

Stock Coordinators: Asta Gudmundsdottir, Alexander Krvsov

| Country | Data Supplied | Data Exchange Sheet | Aged Samples |
|-------------|---------------|---------------------|--------------|
| Denmark | YES | YES | YES |
| Faroes | YES | YES | YES |
| Germany | YES | YES | YES |
| Greenland | YES | NO | NO |
| Iceland | YES | YES | YES |
| Ireland | YES | YES | YES |
| Netherlands | YES | YES | YES |
| Norway | YES | YES | YES |
| Russia | YES | YES | YES |
| Scotland | YES | YES | NO |

A. Mackerel

D. Blue Whiting Stock Coordinators: Manolo Meixide

| Country | Data Supplied | Data Exchange Sheet | Aged Samples |
|-------------|---------------|---------------------|--------------|
| Denmark | YES | YES | YES |
| Faroes | YES | YES | YES |
| France | YES | NO | NO |
| Germany | YES | YES | NO |
| Iceland | YES | YES | YES |
| Ireland | YES | YES | YES |
| Lithuania | NO | - | - |
| Netherlands | YES | YES | YES |
| Norway | YES | YES | YES |
| Portugal | YES | YES | YES |
| Russia | YES | YES | YES |
| Scotland | YES | NO | NO |
| Spain | YES | YES | YES |

1.3.7 Stock Data Problems Relevant to Data Collection

| Stock | Data Problem | How to be addressed in DCR | By who |
|-----------------|--|---|--|
| Stock name | Data problem identification | Description of data problem and recommend solution | Who should take care of the recommended solution and who should be notified on this data issue. |
| Blue Whiting | No data provided by Sweden and Lithuania | Catch at age (or at least landings by quarter) should be provided to the WG. | National laboratories should provide data to stock coordinator |
| NEA Mackerel | Limited data supplied by France | Catch data should be supplied by quarter and area | French national laboratory should privide data to stock coordinator. |
| NEA Mackerel | Lack of samples during spawning season | There is often a lack of sampling in areas VIIb,j during spawning season (March, April, May). Targeted sampling is required in order that appropriate samples for deriving stock weights can be made available to the WG. | National laboratories should provide data to stock coordinator. |
| NEA Mackerel | Lack of samples for some area/quarter/fleet combinations | Sampling coverage could be improved by increased co- operation between national labs (especially those with similar fleets). | National laboratories should provide data to stock coordinator. |
| NEA Mackerel | Incomplete and inconsistent discard data | Observers should be placed on vessels in those areas where discarding occurs and existing observer programmes should be continued and expanded. Sampling methods and raising procedures should be established. | National laboratories should provide data to stock coordinator. Intercessional work is required for the establishment of procedures. |

| Stock | Data Problem | How to be addressed in DCR | By who |
|-----------------------------------|---|---|--|
| Horse Mackerel (all stocks) | Most catch data is submitted on spreadsheets. Only some countries provided data in the InterCatch format | Catch data should be provided in the InterCatch format. Catches by statistical rectangle and quarter should also be provided on spreadsheets. | ICES should inform all fishing countries/members to report catch data in the correct format (InterCatch and spreadsheet) |
| Horse Mackerel (all stocks) | No data provided by France and Lithuania | Catch at age (or at least landings by quarter) should be provided to the WG. | National laboratories should provide data to stock coordinator |

1.3.8 InterCatch

Prior to the working group, ICES requested that all stock data be entered in Inter-Catch. Due to time constraints and problems with InterCatch functionality it was not possible to enter all WG stocks. North East Atlantic Mackerel and Blue Whiting were both entered with allocations made and output generated. A comparison of the NEA Mackerel output with that from the sallocl application showed good agreement with discrepancies similar to those reported last year. No comparison was made for Blue Whiting. The Norwegian Spring Spawning Herring data was also uploaded.

The following general points were raised in relation to InterCatch during the meeting.

- InterCatch identifies a stock as a collection of species-area combinations • and selects the appropriate data from that uploaded when the stock coordinator requests the information for a particular stock in any year. There is, at present, no way to distinguish between stocks of the same species that may originate from the same area. This causes problems for stocks such as Western Horse Mackerel and North Sea Horse Mackerel where catches in quarters 1 and 2 in area IVa are considered part of the North Sea Horse Mackerel stock and catches in quarters 3 and 4 are assigned to the Western Horse Mackerel stock. This issue could be resolved by the introduction of a temporal element to the InterCatch stock definition. However, this does not solve the problem where stocks of the same species are reported from the same area at the same time of the year (which affects the Norwegian Spring Spawning Herring stock). While there is a workaround available (which involves transforming (mapping) data to alternative area and country codes), the method is not readily understandable and would benefit from detailed attention in the user manual and ultimately, improved functionality in InterCatch.
- The further development of tools to aid generation of the input files is a priority. This task would have to be undertaken at a national level since different nations maintain their catch and sampling data in different formats. It is a requirement that individual institute directors are made aware of this and that they assign appropriate resource to carry this out. It will be necessary for ICES to make representation to the national laboratories, highlighting the nature of the problem if this issue is to be resolved.
- It is important that countries continue to provide the data in the current exchange format as this provides catch information by statistical rectangle (separately to the catches by area), fleet information and length distributions. This additional data provides a valuable source of information which can also be used for quality control.

1.4 Comment on update and benchmark assessments

For this year, ICES had scheduled Norwegian an update assessment for Blue Whiting, Norwegian Spring Spawning Herring and Western horse mackerel. A brief overview is given below; details are given in the respective sections.

NEA mackerel: Update: Catch and survey data were fit using FLICA which corresponds to ICA run with FLR. A provisional estimate of SSB from the triennial Egg survey was used in the assessment

North Sea horse mackerel: As the advice for this stock is the same as last year's no data exploration was conducted.

Western horse mackerel: Update. The historic catch data are dominated by the very strong 1982 year class going through the fishery. Catch data was explored by means of a modified SAD assessment which accounts for the age structure in population in the relationship between the egg abundance and the SSB. This year a provisional estimate of egg abundance became available.

Southern horse mackerel: Data exploration in preparation of the benchmark in 2011.

Norwegian Spring Spawning herring: Update, the assessment was done with the recently developed toolbox TASACS (ICES 2008/ACOM: 13). TASACS has multiple options for assessment, this assessment was carried out using a VPA.

Blue Whiting: Update. Data exploration conducted using XSA, TSVPA and SMS. Final assessment presented using SMS.

1.5 Reference points relevant for WGWIDE

No revisions of the precautionary reference points were considered at this meeting for blue whiting, Norwegian spring spawning herring, horse mackerel and horse mackerel stocks. MSY reference points were proposed for the stocks for which an assessment was presented. There were considered in the context of maximizing yield and minimizing risk. The results from the analyses can be found in the corresponding stock sections of the Report.

1.6 Special Requests to ICES

None made for this meeting.

1.7 Ecosystem considerations for widely distributed and migratory pelagic fish species

It has been known for more than a century that ecosystem factors have a determinant effect on the productivity of fish stocks, and may therefore be a source of variation as important as exploitation by fisheries (Hjort, 1914). Various biological aspects of fish stocks such as recruitment, growth or natural mortality, are influenced by ecosystem factors (Skjoldal *et al.* 2004). Geographical distribution of stocks and species migration patterns may also vary according to environmental conditions (Sherman and Skjoldal 2002). Ecosystem factors influencing fish stocks include:

- Physical (temperature, salinity) conditions
- Hydrographical (turbulence, stratification) conditions
- Large scale circulation patterns
- Inter-species and intra-species relationships

- Bottom-up effect of zooplankton on pelagic fishes
- Competition for food or space between pelagic species
- Top-down control of pelagic species by predator abundance

An important challenge for the future meeting of this working group will be to take ecosystem considerations into account in stock assessment methods in order to reduce levels of uncertainty regarding the status and prediction of stocks. WGWIDE encourages further work to be carried out on ecosystem considerations linked to widely distributed fish stocks including NEA mackerel, Norwegian spring-spawning herring, blue whiting and horse mackerel. Emphasis should be on how ecosystem considerations from scientific studies and knowledge may be implemented and applied for management considerations.

ECOSYSTEM FACTORS AFFECTING THE STOCKS INCLUDED IN WGWIDE

Climate variability and climate change

Climate, in its wider sense, refers to the state of the atmosphere, for instance in terms of partitioned air masses (IPCC 2001). Climate variability, caused by the variations of atmospheric characteristics around the average climatic state, occurs via recurrent and persistent large-scale patterns of pressure and circulation anomalies. The North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) is the recurrent pattern of variability in circulation of air masses over the North Atlantic region, corresponding to the alternation of periods of strong and weak differences between Azores high and Icelandic low pressure centers. Variations in the NAO influence winter weather over the North Atlantic (storm track, precipitations, strength of westerly winds) and hence have a strong impact on oceanic conditions (sea temperature and salinity, Gulf Stream intensity, wave height). Since 1996 the Hurrell winter NAO index has been fairly weak but mainly positive, except for during 2001, 2004 and 2006 (ICES, 2007). The Iceland Low and the Azores High were both weaker than normal in 2007 and 2008, and the centre of the Iceland Low was displaced towards the southwest to the entrances to the Labrador Sea (ICES 2007, 2008, 2009).

Accumulation of anthropogenic greenhouse gases in the atmosphere is currently effecting climate change (IPCC 2001). The classical measure of global warming is the Northern Hemisphere Temperature anomaly (NHT) (Jones and Moberg, 2003) which is computed as the anomaly in the annual mean of sea water and land air surface temperature over the northern hemisphere. Since the early 1900s, a warming of the northern hemisphere is evident. A first period of increasing temperature occurred from the early 1920s to about 1945. The period from the 1950s to the middle of the 1970s, corresponded to a light decrease of the NHT. During the last three decades, NHT anomalies have exhibited a strong warming trend. Many fish species are long-lived and therefore the effects of oceanographic conditions may be buffered at the population scale and integrated over time, even at the individual scale (Tasker *et al.* 2008). Nevertheless, pelagic planktivorous species such as northeast Atlantic mackerel, Norwegian spring-spawning herring and Atlantic blue whiting may take advantage of warming ocean ecosystems expending possible feeding opportunies, e.g. in Arctic waters.

Circulation pattern

Large-scale circulation patterns set the stage for important processes influencing fish species and ecosystems covered by WGWIDE. The circulation of the North Atlantic Ocean is characterized by two large gyres: the *subpolar gyre* (SPG) and *subtropical gyre* (Rossby, 1999). When the SPG is strong it extends far eastwards bringing cold and fresh subarctic water masses to the NE Atlantic, while a weaker SPG allows warmer and more saline subtropical water to penetrate further northwards and westwards over the Rockall plateau area. Changes in the oceanic environment in the Porcupine/Rockall/Hatton areas have been shown to be linked to the strength of the subpolar gyre (Hátún *et al.*, 2005). In recent years the area has been dominated by the warmer and more saline Eastern North Atlantic Water (Hátún *et al.*, 2007). The large oceanographic anomalies in the Rockall region spread directly into the Nordic Seas, regulating the living conditions there as well as further south. Such changes are likely to have an impact on the spatial distribution of spawning and feeding grounds and on migration patterns of certain pelagic species.

Temperature

Temperature is well known to affect many aspects of fish biology, such as recruitment, growth, or mortality rates. Temperature affects fish both directly – through its effect on metabolic rates affecting growth and energy requirements - and indirectly – through its effect on the production of prey items and production and distribution of predators.

Feeding and spawning distributions and migration patterns of widely distributed species are also closely related to temperature: the timing of migration can be triggered by temperature and migration routes are related to temperature gradients (Harden Jones 1968; Leggett 1977). A better understanding of these effects could provide valuable information for both assessment and management of widely distributed stocks.

Time-series of sea surface temperature (SST) and salinity for the North Atlantic show recent generally rising trends. An increasing trend in temperature and salinity was observed in the upper ocean during the period from 1996-2008 (ICES 2008), and during the period 2008-2010 the Atlantic Water surface temperatures were above the long term mean (NOAA 2010). The increase in SST at several of the stations in the NE Atlantic has been up to 3°C since the early 1980s. This rate of warming is very high relative to the rate of global warming (ICES 2007, 2008). The upper layers of the North Atlantic and Nordic Seas remained exceptionally warm and saline in 2006 and 2007 compared with the long-term average (ICES WGOH 2007, 2008), but also above the long-term average in 2008-2010. The largest anomalies were observed at high latitudes. The North Sea, Baltic Sea and Bay of Biscay had an unusually warm winter and spring. This was due to a combination of stored heat from the warm autumn in 2006, and high solar radiation in 2007 (ICES WGOH 2008). A similar trend has been evident in 2008-2010, but not as extreme as in 2006 and 2007.

Phytoplankton

Phytoplankton abundance in the NE Atlantic has increased in cooler regions (north of 55°N) and decreased in warmer regions (south of 50°N) (Tasker *et al.* 2008). These changes in the primary production are likely to have impacts on zooplankton because of tight trophic coupling (Richardson and Schoeman, 2004). In the Norwegian Sea the average phytoplankton concentrations have shown a reducing trend the last decade, whereas the North Sea has shown an increased trend in phytoplankton concentrations the last few years (Naustvoll *et al* 2010).

Zooplankton

Indicators of zooplankton communities which have been developed over recent years reveal important changes in the pelagic ecosystems of the North East Atlantic (Beaugrand, 2005). A northwards shift of 10° of latitude of the biogeographical boundaries of copepod species has, for instance, occurred during the past four decades (Beaugrand *et al.* 2002). One well-known example of these changes is the decline in the North Sea of the sub-arctic copepod *Calanus finmarchicus*, an important food item for a number of fish species, and its replacement by *Calanus helgolandicus*, a temperate water species. Progressive increases in aboundance of warm water/sub-tropical phytoplankton species into more temperate areas of the northeast Atlantic (Beaugrand *et al.* 2005) have in turn influenced zooplankton communities. The average biomass of zooplankton in the Norwegian Sea has followed a decreasing trend since 2002, and reached a record low in 2009. This decreasing trend has continued in the western areas of the Norwegian Sea 2010, while in the eastern areas it was slightly higher

(WGNAPES, 2010). Generally, the zooplankton concentrations in the Norwegian Sea and surrounding waters were lower in 2010 compared to 2009 both in May and July-August. The overall distribution pattern of zooplankton biomass has changed during the recent years. Previously the highest biomass of zooplankton was usually observed in the cold waters of the East Icelandic Current, where high aggregations of adult herring and mackerel were also observed. However, areas of lowered plankton densities seem to have spread west and northwards in front of the feeding herring, and in 2009 this area of higher plankton densities in the west and northwest disappeared, an observation done both during the May and July/August. This pattern is also evident in the 2010 zooplankton biomass distribution (WGNAPES, 2010).

Species interactions

A central element in ecosystem considerations is how different species interact with each other (Rothschild 1986, Skjoldal *et al.* 2004). The distribution of species considered by WGWIDE can overlap to a large extend during some part of the year and according to life history stages. Since these species are mainly planktivorous, density dependent competition for food could be expected. All the species are potential predators on eggs and larvae and the larger species (mackerel and horse mackerel) are also potential predators of the juveniles. Consequently, cannibalism and interspecific interaction between pelagic species could play an important role in the dynamics of these pelagic stocks.

Various pelagic species (e.g. mackerel, horse mackerel, sardine, blue whiting) also represent an important food source for many top predators such as marine mammals, seabirds and other species of pelagic fish. Many pelagic ecosystems (particularly those in upwelling areas) are characterised by a wasp-waist control, where a few, but highly abundant fish species effectively regulate the populations of their prey (top-down control) but also of their predators (bottom-up control). This type of regulatory mechanism makes pelagic fish have a key role in ecosystem functioning (Skjoldal *et al.* 2004).

There is a large body of literature on the diet of predator species feeding on pelagic fish in the Northeast Atlantic: sardine, mackerel, horse mackerel, blue whiting and herring have all been found in the diet of several cetacean and seabirds species and are also part of the diet of other fish species (e.g. hake, tuna found with sardine and anchovy) (Anker Nilssen and Lorentzen, 2004; Nøttestad and Olsen 2004). Comparizon of population estimates of pelagic fish (TSB and SSB herring: 14.4 and 11.5 mill. tons, mackerel: 3.6 and 2.5 mill. tons and blue whiting: 5.761 and 4.918 mill. tons) (WGWIDE 2009)) with those of top predators (e.g. minke whale, fin whale, killer whales) it would appear that predation on pelagic fish by other pelagic fish has a much bigger potential for impact in regulating populations than that the predation by marine mammals and seabirds (Furness (2002) in the context of the North Sea). Nevertheless, top predators could play a bigger role in pelagic fish dynamics at regional or local scales particularly when fish biomass is low (Holst *et al.* 2004; Nøttestad *et al.* 2004).

OVERVIEW OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS DURING THE RECENT YEARS IN THE NORTHEAST ATLANTIC ECOSYSTEMS

North Sea

At the beginning of 2008, the temperatures in the North Sea were high and remained high until autumn. At the end of the year, they were about normal (Skogen *et al.* 2009). Model simulations indicate that the inflow of Atlantic water was very low,

both from the north and through the English Channel (Skogen *et al.* 2009). In 2009 the temperatures were again high and were above the long-term average for the area. At the same time the transport of Atlantic water in and out of the North Sea through out the year was among the lowest for the period 1985-2009. The average annual modelled primary production in 2008 in the North Sea was well above the average for the period 1985-2007 (Naustvoll *et al.* 2009). Higher temperatures have extended the distribution of several zooplankton species northwards and more southern species have increased survival in the North Sea. The cold-water copepod *C.finmarchicus* is in retreat and is only partially replaced by the more southern *C. helgolandicus*. The population of the previously dominant zooplankton in the North Sea (*C.finmarchicus*) decreased in biomass by 70% between the 1960s and the 2000s. Species that prefer warmer waters have moved northwards, but their total biomass is not as great as the decrease in Calanus biomass (Edwards *et al.*, 2008). A shift in the distribution of many plankton species by more than 10° latitude northwards has been recorded over the past 30 years (Beaugrand *et al.* 2002; Tasker *et al.* 2008).

Norwegian Sea

The Atlantic water in the Norwegian Sea has been extraordinarily warm and salt since 2002 with record-high temperature in 2007. Since then a cooling was observed that resulted in the temperatures coming back to normal in 2008 (Mork et al. 2009). The surface temperature, however, was warmer than average for most of the Norwegian Sea in 2008 (Mork et al. 2009) and increased significantly in 2009 to 0.5-1.0°C above the average. In recent years the surface waters in the northwestern part of the Norwegian Sea have been considerably warmer compared to the last two decades. The temperature in the western Norwegian Sea in 2010 is close to and in some areas less than the 1995-2010 average. In the central and eastern parts, however, the Atlantic water is still warmer than the 1995-2010 average, about 0-1°C dependent on the area and depths (WGNAPES 2010). This has coincided with increased presence and concentrations of large herring and mackerel in the area (Nøttestad et al. 2009). In 2008, the spring bloom in the water of the Norwegian Coastal Current took place 2-4 weeks earlier than in 2007. This is much earlier than the average for the period 1991-2005 (Ellertsen and Melle 2009). The zooplankton biomass in the Norwegian Sea has been on a decreasing trend since 1997 and in 2009 it reached a record low. In 2010 it was slightly higher again than in 2009, but in the western part of the ocean the decrease continued (WGNAPES, 2010). Plankton organisms uncommon to the Norwegian Sea are entering at an increasing rate. This is especially worrying regarding the copepod Calanus helgolandicus, the temperate sibling-species of the Norwegian Sea copepod C. finmarchicus. This invasive species dominates at times along the southwestern coast of Norway (Ellertsen and Melle 2009). Due to a different life-strategy and the lack of suitability as food, any increase in the population of this species at the expense of C. finmarchicus might have a detrimental effect on pelagic planktivorous fish e.g. mackerel, herring and blue whiting.

Barents Sea

The general circulation pattern in the Barents Sea is strongly influenced by topography. The coastal water is fresher than the Atlantic water, and has a stronger seasonal temperature signal. The water masses in the Barents Sea have been extraordinary warm since 2000. However, 2009 was slightly cooler than the years before. This is probably caused by lower air temperature combined with low transport of Atlantic water into the Barents Sea. The amount of ice in the Barents Sea was low in 2008 and 2009. The seasonal distribution of phytoplankton was more or less similar in 2009 to
what has been observed in earlier years. The decrasing trend in zooplankton biomass observed in the last years continued in the Barents Sea in 2009. This may be due to a lesser amount of Atlantic water being transported into the area, but also a record index of 0-group capeling was observed probably contributing to the decrease (Knutsen and Dalpadado 2010). The highest zooplankton biomass were observed in the southwestern part.

The capelin stock is estimated at about 3.8 mill. tonnes in the autumn 2009, a slight decrease from 2008. The year classes 2005-2009 of the herring stock are smaller than previous years, and a decreasing amount of blue whiting was recorded in 2008 and 2009.

Bay of Biscay to west of the British Isles

Hydrological and oceanographical data from the ICES Ocean Climate Report 2007 showed a cold winter and low sea surface temperatures, followed by an unusually warm summer and autumn, and correspondingly high SST (ICES 2007). This situation has recently influenced migration patterns and distribution of juvenile and adult NEA mackerel. Possible mechanisms involved are: earlier onset of spawning and migration to higher latitudes due to generally higher temperatures triggering spawning, and earlier spring blooms in the region important for some species such as mackerel and horse mackerel. No updates have been made due to lack of available data and results to WGWIDE.

STOCK SPECIFIC ECOSYSTEM CONSIDERATIONS

Norwegian spring spawning herring

Compare to 2009, there were less herring in the western most area presumably causing a slight eastward displacement of the centre of gravity of the acoustic recordings in 2010 as compared to 2009. As in previous years, the smallest and youngest fish were found in the northeastern area and both size and age increased southwestward. According to the 2010 Ecosystem Survey in the Nordic Seas, the herring stock is now dominated by 6 year old herring (2004 year class) in number but 8, 7 year old herring (2002 and 2003 year classes) are also numerous (WGNAPES, 2010). No strong *year classes* were found in the Barents Sea, indicating weak recruitment since 2004.

The average biomass of zooplankton in the total area in May has, however, been on a decreasing trend since 2002, and reached in 2009 a record low level since the measurements started in 1997. Although the 2010 zooplankton biomass is slightly higher than in 2009, it is still the second lowest since 1997. From a situation with relatively good feeding conditions throughout the Norwegian Sea, areas of lowered plankton densities seem to have spread west and northwards in front of the feeding herring and up until 2009 there was a high density zooplankton area only in the circumference or outskirt of the herring feeding area. This area of higher plankton densities in the west and northwest disappeared in 2009, and the results from 2010 show the same pattern as in 2009. The high herring stock level puts heavy pressure on its food resources. The very strong decrease in available plankton resources for all the pelagic fish stocks in the Norwegian must be regarded as a major ecological factor at present and should be followed closely in the coming years.

Herring overlapped spatially in distribution with mackerel in several parts of its distribution area in 2008 and 2009, including the south-western and northern part of the distribution area, but was not present in the warmer southern part of the Atlantic water masses. This could have considerable consequences for fishing because of considerable spatiotemporal overlap and bycatch issues involved when fishing for herring as well as mackerel. Mackerel and herring had the largest overlap in the southern and western Norwegian Sea and Icelandic waters in 2010, however, the horizontal species overlap seemed to be less in 2010 as compared to 2009 (ICES CM 2009/ACOM:12).

Norwegian spring spawning herring are a highly migratory and straddling stock carrying out extensive migrations in the NE Atlantic. This applies to the wintering, spawning and feeding area. Juveniles and adults of this stock form an important part of the ecosystems in the Barents Sea, the Norwegian Sea, and the Norwegian coast. Herring has an important role as food resource to higher trophic levels (e.g. cod, seabirds, and marine mammals). Recent changes in the herring migration have led to an increased proportion of the population feeding in Faroese and Icelandic waters. The growth of these herring is faster than those feeding further east and north. The size of the feeding area is influenced by the stock size. Additionally, ocean climate and current systems are obvious candidates affecting the feeding area with more northerly migrations in warming periods. Other factors could be the entrance of large year classes of young herring from the Barents Sea into the Norwegian Sea and asymmetrical plankton concentrations throughout the potential feeding area.

The herring feeding migration has shifted the last couple of years to a more southwesterly distribution. There was, however, a slight eastward shift of the center of gravity of the distribution in 2010 compared to 2009.

Two main features of the circulation in the Norwegian Sea, where the herring stock is grazing, are the Norwegian Atlantic Current (NWAC) and the East Icelandic Current (EIC).

The inflow of Atlantic water into the Norwegian Sea and Barents Sea seems to influence the condition and hence fecundity of adult fish as well as the survival of larvae (Toresen and Østvedt , 2000, Fiksen and Slotte, 2002, Sætre *et al.*, 2002). Environmental conditions may also affect fish, which may result in reduced fecundity (Oskarson *et al.*, 2002). The strong year classes have occurred in periods of good condition and high temperatures.

Two main features of the circulation in the Norwegian Sea, where the herring stock is grazing, are the Norwegian Atlantic Current (NWAC) and the East Icelandic Current (EIC).

The inflow of Atlantic water into the Norwegian Sea and Barents Sea seems to influence the condition and hence fecundity of adult fish as well as the survival of larvae (Toresen and Østvedt , 2000, Fiksen and Slotte, 2002, Sætre *et al.*, 2002). Environmental conditions may also affect fish, which may result in reduced fecundity (Oskarson *et al.*, 2002). The strong year classes have occurred in periods of good condition and high temperatures.

Blue whiting

Blue whiting has an important role in the pelagic ecosystems of the NE Atlantic, both by consuming zooplankton and small fish, and by providing a food resource for larger fish and marine mammals.

In the last 15 years large changes have occurred in stock size, and during the last few years the stock has decreased rapidly; not only in terms of spawning stock biomass: recruitment has also been weak and lower than expected. This signal is reflected in changes in large-scale hydrographic systems in the north Atlantic (the subpolar gyre, SPG). Changes in the strength of the SPG have been shown to coincide with the recent large changes observed in the blue whiting recruitment (Hátún *et al.*, 2005). The

strength of the SPG might affect the spawning distribution of the blue whiting as well as the main migration pattern into feeding areas in the north. In addition it might also influence the relative amounts of eggs and larvae drifting to northern and southern nursery areas; a certain spawning area may seed northern areas in one year and southern areas in another (Skogen *et al.*, 1999).

The recent large inflow of warm Atlantic water to the Barents Sea had a positive effect on abundance of blue whiting in the Barents Sea one year later (Heino *et al.,* 2003). The strength of year classes as 0-group in the North Sea is only weakly coupled to the strength of year classes in the main Atlantic stock. This suggests either local recruitment or variation in transportation of larvae into the North Sea. The recruitment of blue whiting since 2005 has been very low, including the 2009 year class.

Blue whiting condition has decreased quite substantially the last 15 years. There are several possible explanations for this overall negative trend.

- Lower plankton concentrations in general.
- Lower plankton concentrations in particular areas and times occupied by blue whiting an unfortunate match in time and space.
- Intra- or interspecific competition too many fish competing for the same food resource.

Horse Mackerel.

No new ecological information on horse mackerel has been submitted to the working group. Horse mackerel is widely distributed on the continental shelf in the Northeast Atlantic and Mediterranean Sea. Horse mackerel is a schooling and migratory species that are adapted to swimming at a low but a very constant speed (Enders, 1998). Migration (spawning, feeding, over-wintering) is probably driven by water temperature and availability of prey. Their prey are mainly the different components of the zooplankton. Horse mackerel is a serial spawner probably with indeterminate fecundity. Apparently, the water temperature of 8° C is the lower limit for horse mackerel, which they avoid during over-wintering, and they stop feeding at water temperatures below 9°C. Migrations are closely associated with the slope current, and horse mackerel migration is known to be modulated by temperature (Reid et al., 2001). Continued warming of the slope current is likely to affect the timing and the spatial extent of this migration. For North Sea horse mackerel data exploration again showed inconsistent signals in the catch at age data and a survey index, which may be missing an important component of the stock due to seasonal migration. The WG concluded that more intensive age sampling and a directed survey will need to be available before an analytical assessment can be attempted for this stock.

Horse mackerel are a fairly long-lived species, reaching a maximum age of well over 30 years. Therefore, an occasional strong year class can lead to high abundance of horse mackerel (Abaunza *et al.*, 2003). Since the strong 1982 year class of the western stock started to appear in the North Sea in 1987 there has (except for 2000) been good correlation between the modeled influx of Atlantic water to the North Sea in the first quarter and the horse mackerel catches taken in the Norwegian EEZ (NEZ) later the same year (Iversen *et al.* 2002). The correlation has been used locally to predict the catch level in NEZ since 1997. The recruitment seems to be more dependant on environmental factors than on the size of the parental stock (at least when it is not depleted). The recruitment of horse mackerel in the southern areas (Iberian coasts) seems to be related to temperature variables and/or upwelling phenomena (Santos *et al.*, 2001; Lavin *et al.*, 2007). In this sense cooler waters seems to favour horse mack-

erel recruitment in southern areas (Lavin *et al.,* 2007). More research is needed on how horse mackerel respond to environmental and ecosystem changes and variation within its distributional area.

2.1 ICES advice and international management applicable to 2009 and 2010

From 2001 to 2009 the internationally agreed TACs have covered most of the distribution area of the Northeast Atlantic mackerel. However, some parties have unilaterally declared quotas outside the Coastal States/NEAFC agreements, especially in 2009 (see text table A below). In 2010 the Coastal States did not come to an agreement on the management of the mackerel stock with the result that all the parties declared their own quotas for 2010. In addition to the declared quotas some parties decided to transfer quotas not fished in 2009 to 2010, thus the sum of all declared quotas including transfer from 2009 results in expected catch figures in 2010 that exceed the recommended TAC for 2010.

The advice for this stock includes the three stock components: Southern, Western and North Sea mackerel. In parts of the year these components mix in the distribution area. The advised TAC is split into a Northern (IIa, IIIa,b,d, IV, Va, Vb, VI, VII, VIIIa,b,d,e, XII, XIV) and a Southern (VIIIc, IXa) part on the basis of the catches the previous three years in the respective areas (Fig. 2.1.1).

The TAC's agreed by the various management authorities (the Coastal States of mackerel and NEAFC) for 2009 and the advice given by ACOM for 2009 and 2010, as well as the WG catch estimate for 2009 are given in the text table A below. Since there was no agreement on the management of mackerel for 2010, the column in the text table for TAC in 2010 has been excluded. Instead an additional text table B with all quotas declared by the various parties is included.

| Agreement / declared quota | Areas and Divisions | TAC in 2009 | Declared quotas in 2010 | Stock compo- nents | ICES advice 2010 | Areas used for alloca- tions | Prediction basis | WG catch in 2009 |
|---|---|----------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|------------------------|------------------------|
| Coastal states (EU, Faroes, | IIa, IIIa, IV, Vb, VI, VII, | 511.287 | NA (see texttable | North Sea | Lowest possible level | | | |
| Norway) | VIII, XII, XIV | , | below) | | | IIa, IIIa, | | |
| NEAFC | International waters of IIa, IV, Va, b, VI, VII, XII, XIV | 57,884 | NA | Western | Reduce F in the range 0.20 – | IV, Va, b, VI, VII, VIIIa,b,d,e, XII, XIV | Northern | 627,142 |
| Norway-Faroes Northern ⁴⁾ | IIa, IV, Vb | 35,819 | NA | | 0.22 | | | |
| EU-NO ¹⁾ | IIIa, IVa,b | 1,865 | NA | | | | | |
| EU Southern 2) | VIIIc, IXa | 35,829 | NA | Southern | | VIIIc, IXa | Southern ³⁾ | 107,747 |
| Total | | 642,684 | 866,465 | | 527-572 | | | 734,889 |

Text table A.

1) Fixed quota to Sweden.

2) Includes 3,000 t of the Spanish quota that can be taken in Spanish waters VIIIb.

3) Does not include the 3,000 t of Spanish catches taken in Spanish waters of VIIIb under the southern TAC.

4) Norway-Faroes declared Northern quota in 2009.

Below is a text table B with all quotas declared by the various parties for 2010. Included is also the transfer of quotas not fished in 2009 to 2010 for Norway and EU). The total expected outtake from the mackerel stock is expected to be above 930 kT in 2010.

| 2010 quota components | Expected amounts (t) |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| EU | 367,014 |
| EU transfer from 2009 | 7,352 |
| UK-Ireland payback | -18,222 |
| Norway | 181,000 |
| Norway transfer from 2009 | 69,000 |
| Russia | 45,321 |
| Iceland | 130,000 |
| Faroes | 85,000 |
| Total | 866,465 |

Text table B.

The details on how the figures in the text table above are obtained is given in section 2.8 (Short term forecast).

Management measures are advised as stated by ACFM (2006) to afford maximum protection to the North Sea spawning component while it remains in its present depleted state while at the same time allowing fishing on the western component while it is present in the North Sea, as well as to protect juvenile mackerel. In detail these measures are: There should be no fishing for mackerel in Divisions IIIa and IVb,c at any time of the year, there should be no fishing for mackerel in Division IVa during the period 15 February – 31 July and the 30 cm minimum landing size at present in force in Subarea IV should be maintained. However, according to the EU regulations some small quotas are still assigned to IIIa and IVbc. In the same regulation it is also stated that within the limits of the quota for the western component (VI, VII, VIIIabde, Vb (EU), IIa (non EU); XII, XIV), a certain quantity of this stock may be caught in IVa but only during the periods 1 January to 15 February and 1 October to 31 December. In all other areas than in the Subarea IV a minimum length of 20 cm is required. Various national measures such as closed seasons and boat quotas are also in operations in most of the major mackerel catching countries. Refer to Table 2.15 for an overview.

2.2 The Fishery in 2009

2.2.1 Catch Estimates

The total estimated working group catch for NEA Mackerel in 2009 was 734,889t, a sizeable increase of 123,826t over the 2008 figure and the largest catch since 2002.

The combined TACs arising from international agreements for 2009 were 642,684t. An autonomous Icelandic TAC of 112,000t was also declared. Given the working group catch, this represents a TAC undershoot in 2009 of approximately 20kt. The primary reason for this undershoot is the earlier than expected migration of mackerel out of the Norwegian waters in quarter 4. The combined fishable TAC as best ascertained by the Working Group (section 2.1) for 2010 amounts to 866,465 t. Of this TAC, the UK and Ireland have agreed not to fish 18,222t.

Catches reported in this and previous working group reports are considered to be best estimates. In some cases catch figures are available from processors, and where available discard estimates are included (see sections 1.3.4 and 2.2.2 for further discard information on mackerel). In most cases catch information comes only from official logbook records of catches. The table below gives a brief overview of the basis for the catch estimates.

| Country | Official Log Book | Other Sources | Discard information made available to the WG ² |
|---------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---|
| Denmark | Y (landings) | Y (sale slips) | Ν |
| Faroe ¹ | Y (catches) | Y (coast guard) | Ν |
| France | Y (landings) | | Ν |
| Germany | Y (landings) | | Υ |
| Iceland | Y (landings) | | Ν |
| Ireland | Y (landings) | | Υ |
| Netherlands | Y (landings) | Y | Υ |
| Norway ¹ | Y (catches) | | Ν |
| Portugal | | Y (sale slips) | Ν |
| Russia ¹ | Y (catches) | | Ν |
| Spain | | Y | Ν |
| Sweden | Y (landings) | | Ν |
| UK | Y (landings) | Y | Υ |

¹In the Russian, Norwegian and Faroese fleets discarding is illegal, which means officially landings are equal to catches.

From this table it can be seen that discard or slipping estimates are not available from many countries, and in most cases figures are only available from the logbooks. The working group considers that the best estimates of catch it can produce are likely to be an underestimate for the following reasons:

- Estimates of discarding or slipping are not available for most countries. Anecdotal evidence suggests that discarding and slipping can occur for a number of reasons including highgrading (fish weighing more than 600g attracts a premium price), lack of quota, storage or processing capacity and when mackerel is taken as by-catch.
- Confidential information suggests substantial under reported landings for which numerical information is not available for most countries. Recent

work has indicated considerable uncertainty in true catch figures (WD Simmonds to WGWIDE 2009) and the situation in ongoing.

- Estimates of the magnitude and precision of unaccounted mortality suggests that, on average, total catch related removals were equivalent to 1.7 to 3.6 the catch (Simmonds *et al* 2010).
- Reliance on logbook data from EU countries implies (even with 100% compliance) a precision of recorded landings of 89% from 2004 and 82% previous to this (Council Regulation (EC) No's 2807/83 & 2287/2003). Given that over reporting of mackerel landings is unlikely for economic reasons, the WG considers that where based on logbook figures, the reported landings may be an underestimate of up to 18% (11% from 2004). Where inspections were not carried out there is a possibility of a 56% under reporting, without there being an obvious illegal record in the logsheets. Without information on the percentage of the landings inspected it is not possible for the working group to evaluate the underestimate in its figures due to this technicality. EU landings represent about 65% of the total estimated NEA mackerel catch.
- The precision in the logbook records from countries outside the EU has not been evaluated.

The total catch estimated by the Working Group to have been taken from the different ICES areas is shown in table 2.2.1.1 and illustrates the development of the fisheries since 1969.

In 2009, reported catches in the Norwegian Sea and area V amounted to 163,604t (see table 2.2.1.2), an increase of 15kt on 2008 and only marginally lower that the highest catch in the time series. As in 2008, exploitation by Icelandic vessels is responsible for the majority of the catches (71%) in this area. For the first time, catches have been reported from subarea XIVa. Russia (10kt) and Faroes (3kt) also reported increased catches. Norwegian catches remain low in comparison with the historical data.

The time series of catches by country recorded from the North Sea, Skagerrak and Kattegat (Subarea IV and Division IIIa) is given in table 2.2.1.3. Catches in 2009 amounted to 234,140t, similar to the 2008 total and well below the long term average. Minor misreporting (2kt) of catches taken in this area into VIa was reported to the working group. The reported discards are within the range reported in recent years.

The catch taken in the western area (Subarea VI, VII and Divisions VIIIa,b,d,e) is given in table 2.2.1.4 and increased by 55kt to 229,397t with increased catches reported by most nations, notably Scotland and Ireland. A relatively low (and likely underestimated – see section 2.2.2) discard tonnage is included. There is also a minor adjustment due to misreporting from subarea IVa.

Catches in divisions VIIIc and IXa (table 2.2.1.5) have increased dramatically in 2009 to 107,748t, well above the 2008 value (59,859kt) and the 2007 previous historic high (62,834t). Catches in VIIIc and IXa continue to substantially exceed (now by a factor of 3) the official TAC for the area (see section 2.1).

| | | | | | 1 | |
|------|----|----|----|----|---|------|
| Year | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | | Year |
| 1990 | 28 | 6 | 26 | 40 | | 200 |
| 1991 | 38 | 5 | 25 | 32 | | 200 |
| 1992 | 34 | 5 | 24 | 37 | | 200 |
| 1993 | 29 | 7 | 25 | 39 | | 200 |
| 1994 | 32 | 6 | 28 | 34 | | 200 |
| 1995 | 37 | 8 | 27 | 28 | | 200 |
| 1996 | 37 | 8 | 32 | 23 | | 200 |
| 1997 | 34 | 11 | 33 | 22 | | 200 |
| 1998 | 38 | 12 | 24 | 27 | | 200 |
| 1999 | 36 | 9 | 28 | 27 | 1 | 200 |

The quarterly distributions of the catches since 1990 are shown in the text table below.

| Year | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 |
|------|----|----|----|----|
| 2000 | 41 | 4 | 21 | 33 |
| 2001 | 40 | 6 | 23 | 30 |
| 2002 | 37 | 5 | 29 | 28 |
| 2003 | 36 | 5 | 22 | 37 |
| 2004 | 37 | 6 | 28 | 29 |
| 2005 | 46 | 6 | 25 | 23 |
| 2006 | 41 | 5 | 18 | 36 |
| 2007 | 34 | 5 | 21 | 40 |
| 2008 | 34 | 4 | 35 | 27 |
| 2009 | 38 | 11 | 31 | 20 |

These catches are shown per statistical rectangle in Figs 2.4.1.1 to 2.4.1.4. and are discussed in more detail in Section 2.3.1. It should be noted that these figures are a combination of official and WG catches and may not indicate the true location of the catches or represent the location of the entire stock.

The 2009 data indicated a shift towards a greater proportion of the total catch being taken in the first half of the year. This is due primarily to changes in fleet behavior for some of the major mackerel catching countries. The Norwegian fleet was unable to catch a significant proportion on its quota due to an earlier than expected migration of the stock out of Norwegian waters resulting in a reduced proportion in quarter 4. The Spanish, Icelandic and Scottish fleets all increased both their overall catch and the proportion caught in the first half of the year.

National catches

The national catches recorded by the various countries for the different areas are given in Tables 2.2.1.2 - 2.2.1.5. These estimates are not necessarily identical with the official landings statistics because they may include estimates of unreported landings and corrections for misallocation of catches by area and species.

The fishery has changed significantly over the recent past with over 75% of the total catch in 2009 taken by Scotland (22%), Norway(16%), Iceland(16%), Spain(16%) and Ireland(8%). Russia, the Netherlands, France, Denmark, Germany and the Faroes also have significant catches (>10kt).

2.2.2 Discard Estimates

Discarding of small mackerel has historically been a major problem in the mackerel fishery and was largely responsible for the introduction of the south-west mackerel box. In the years prior to 1994 there was evidence of large-scale discarding and slipping of small mackerel in the fisheries in Division IIa and Subarea IV, mainly because of the very high prices paid for larger mackerel (>600g) for the Japanese market. This factor was put forward as a possible reason for the very low abundance of the 1991 year class in the 1993 catches. Anecdotal evidence from the fleet suggests that since 1994, discarding/slipping has been reduced in these areas.

In some of the horse mackerel directed fisheries e.g. those in Subareas VI and VII mackerel is taken as by-catch. Reports from these fisheries have suggested that discarding may be significant because of the low mackerel quota relative to the high horse mackerel quota - particularly in those fisheries carried out by freezer trawlers in the fourth quarter. The level of discards is greatly influenced by the market price and by quotas.

With a few exceptions, estimates of discards were provided to the Working Group for the areas VI, VII/VIIIa,b,d,e and III/IV (see table 2.2.1.1) since 1978. However, the Working Group considers the estimates for these areas as incomplete. In 2009 discard data for mackerel were provided by four nations: Scotland, the Netherlands, Germany and Ireland. Total discards amounted to approximately 13,000t from these four nations. The Scottish discard programme was less extensive in 2009 compared to previous years and covered only subarea IVa. The German programme was limited to a single observer trip. The Irish discard programme is newly established. No discards were observed by Germany and Ireland. Ireland also provided details of slippage reported under the MSC.

Countries providing discards estimates should be encouraged to also provide age based information in order that the total stock removal may be more accurately estimated. No discards are available for the areas I/II/Vb and VIIIc/IXa.

The only specific discard age disaggregated data made available to the group is from Scotland from the fishery in subarea IVa in the fourth quarter. The sampling indicates that 4 year olds (the 2005 year class) are the most commonly discarded, comprising 37% of the total number discarded. Over 80% of the discarded fish were accounted for by 2-5 year olds. The percentage length composition of the Scottish discards for this area and period are shown in table 2.3.4.2.

Anecdotal evidence suggests that the majorty of discarding in 2009 was due to the inadvertent catching of mackerel in fisheries directed at other species and the discard-ing/slipping of catches of small mackerel.

2.2.3 Fleet Composition in 2009

Details about vessels operated by the different nations targeting mackerel are given in table 2.2.3.1.

In the Norwegian Sea (subarea II) catches are taken by Russian freezer trawlers (55-80 m) that target mackerel, blue whiting and herring at the same time and Icelandic vessels targeting herring. In recent years, the Icelandic fleet has also taken significant catches of mackerel, initially in the herring fishery and more recently in a targeted mackerel fishery.

The fishery in the North Sea, Skagerrak, and Kattegat (subareas IV and III) is exploited by the Norwegian and Danish purse seine fleets and pelagic trawling fleets from Scotland, Ireland, Denmark, Faroes and England. Large freezer trawlers (>85m) from the Netherlands, with some operating under the German and English flags, also fish in this area.

To the west of the British Isles (subarea VI and divisions VIIb,c) catches are predominantly taken by the Scottish and Irish pelagic trawl fleet, while subdivisions VIId-j are also fished by the English fleet and Dutch, French and German freezer trawlers. The Spanish fleet operates in divisions VIII (Bay of Biscay) and IX and consists of demersal trawlers, purse-seiners between 10-32 m and a large artisanal fleet with vessels between 2 and 34 m.

2.3 Data available

In this section the data available to the assessment are outlined. An overview is given in sections 2.3.1 - 2.3.3. Length composition of catch is outlined in section 2.3.4. Available data on weights at age and maturity at age are indicated in sections 2.3.5and 2.3.6 respectively. A description of tagging mortality estimates and available data is given in section 2.3.7.

2.3.1 Catch data

The 2009 catches in number-at-age by quarter and area are given in table 2.3.1.1. This catch in numbers relates to a tonnage of 734,889t which is the working group estimate for total catches from the stock in 2009. These figures have been added to the catch-at-age assessment input table (see table 2.7.1).

France was unable to provide a complete dataset of catch information for 2009, due to a database issue. Data provided to the working group included the total annual catch by management area group and monthly landings of French vessels into Dutch ports. The catches were assigned to subarea and quarter according to the proportions recorded in the period 2003-2009 by the French fleet. As such, this data should be considered preliminary. French catches account for less than 3% of the total catch.

Age distributions of commercial catches were provided by Denmark, England, Germany, Faeroes, Iceland, Ireland, the Netherlands, Norway, Portugal, Russia, Scotland and Spain. There remain gaps in the age sampling of catches, notably France (18,340t) and Sweden (7,302t). England sampled the handline fishery in subareas VIIe and VIIf (which accounted for 25% of their reported catches).

The most significant sampling deficiencies identified for 2009 are

- A lack of samples for the freezer trawler fleet (NL,DE,FR) in subarea IVa (Q4), VIa (Q4) and VIIb (Q1) and area VIII
- A lack of Spanish sampling in Q4
- No sampling in area III

Catches for which there were no sampling data were converted into numbers-at-age using data from the most appropriate fleets. Accurate national fleet descriptions are required for the allocation of sample data to unsampled catches. The sampling coverage is further discussed in section 1.3.

The percentage catch numbers-at-age by area are given in table 2.3.1.2.

As last year, the 2005 year class (4 year olds in 2009) is the most populous (29%) cohort seen in the catches, particularly in the heavily exploited subareas (IIa,IVa,VIa). Ages 3-7 all contribute to the total catch by number (15-17%). In subareas VIId,e,f,g young mackerel (1 and 2 year olds), taken as a by-catch in the directed juvenile horse mackerel fishery, account for over 50% of the percentage by numbers. In subarea IXa, the catch is also dominated by juvenile fish, with over half of the catch by number comprised of ages 0 and 1.

Distribution of Commercial Catches in 2009

The distribution of the NEA Mackerel catches taken in 2009 is shown by quarter and statistical rectangle in Figures 2.3.1.1 - 4. These data are based on catches reported by Denmark, Faeroes, Germany, Ireland, Iceland, the Netherlands, Norway, Portugal, Russia, Spain, Sweden and the UK. The Spanish data are not based on official data

and not all catches included in these data are official. The total catches reported by rectangle were approximately 717,000t including Spanish WG data. The total working group catches were 734,889t. This year, the bulk of the catch not recorded by statistical rectangle was from France.

First Quarter 2009 (277,097t - 38%)

The distribution of catches in quarter 1 is shown in figure 2.3.1.1. The distribution of catch is similar to that reported in recent years with large catches taken along the shelf edge from the Celtic Sea and west of Ireland and Scotland. Significant catches of the southern component were also taken along the North Iberian coast. In general, catches are bigger than those in 2008.

Second Quarter 2009 (78,876t - 11%)

The distribution of catches in the second quarter is shown in figure 2.3.1.2. Catches in this quarter are three times greater than in 2008 and represent 11% of the total catch. This increase is due to increased Icelandic catches in subareas Va and IIa between Iceland and Faroes. As before, significant catches are also taken in subarea IIIc by the Spanish fleet.

Third Quarter 2009 (228,114t - 31%)

The third quarter distribution of catches is shown in figure 2.3.1.3. The Icelandic fishery continues and catches are reported from subarea XIVa for the first time. The traditional summer fishery in IIa also records significant catches. The highest concentration of large catches takes place in the Northern North Sea between the Shetland Isles and the Norwegian coast where the Scottish and Norwegian fleets operate.

Fourth Quarter 2009 (150,801t - 20%)

The fourth quarter distribution of catches is shown in figure 2.3.1.4. Catches in this quarter have reduced although the distribution remains similar with the majority of the catch in IVa and VIa although earlier than normal migration has resulted in a drop in catches in Norwegian waters. Catches are also reported from subarea VIIb to the west of Ireland. The catches north of 62^o seen in quarter 3 do not extend into this quarter.

2.3.2 Effort and Catch per Unit Effort

The effort and catch-per-unit-effort from the commercial fleets is only provided for some fleets in the southern area.

Table 2.3.2.1 and Figure 2.3.2.1 show the fishing effort data from Spanish and Portuguese commercial fleets. The table includes Spanish effort of the Santoña and Santander handline fleets (Sub-division VIIIc East) from 1989 to 2009 and from 1990 to 2009 respectively, for which mackerel is the target species during March to May. The figure also shows the annual effort of La Coruna trawl fleet (Sub-division VIIIc West) from 1983 to 2009 for which the main targets are demersal species. All Spanish fleet effort figures show a decrease in 2003 due to the fishery activity in the first quarter by the catastrophe of the Prestige oil spill. The hand-line fleet effort showed an increasing trend from 1993 to 1998 and since then the effort has been variable. The effort of the Spanish trawler fleets is rather stable during all periods with a smooth decreasing trend especially since 1995. Portuguese mackerel effort from the trawl fleet (Subdivisions IXa Central-North, Central-South and South) during 1988 - 2001 mackerel was a by-catch as in Spain. Since 2002 the effort data has not been available. Figure 2.3.2.2 and Table 2.3.2.2 show the CPUE corresponding to the Spanish and Portuguese fleets referred to in Table 2.3.2.1. The CPUE in Spanish hand-line fleet shows an increasing trend. Since 2005, the CPUEs of Santoña and Santander handline fleets show an increasing trend. The La Coruña trawl fleet is rather stable during until 2004, peaked in 2006, decreased significantly in 2007 but has increased in 2009. The CPUE of the Portuguese trawl fleet was variable, with a decreasing trend. The CPUE of the Spanish purse-seine fleet shows fluctuations during the period 1983 to 1995. Since 1996 the CPUE of this fleet shows an increasing trend.

Catch-per-unit-effort, expressed as the numbers fish at each age group, for the handline and trawl fleets is shown in Table 2.3.2.3.

2.3.3 Survey Data

The preliminary results of the 2010 egg survey for the western and southern components is discussed in section 2.6. The next North Sea egg survey is scheduled for 2011.

2.3.4 Length Composition of Catch

The mean lengths-at-age in the catch per quarter and area for 2009 are given in Table 2.3.4.1.

Sizes are similar to recent years except for ages 0 and 1 fish for which the mean length has increased by 4cm and 2cm respectively. This increase has been reported by several national sampling programmes.

Length distributions of the 2009 catches were provided by England and Wales, Faeroes, Iceland, Ireland, Germany, the Netherlands, Norway, Portugal, Russia, Scotland and Spain. The length distributions were available from most of the fishing fleets and account for approximately 90% of the catches. These distributions are only intended to give an indication of the size of mackerel caught by the various fleets and do not reflect seasonal variations, which occur in many of the landings. More detailed information on a quarterly basis is available for most of the fleets in the working group files. The length distributions by country and fleet for 2009 catches and discards are given in Table 2.3.4.2.

2.3.5 Weights at Age in the Catch and Stock

The mean weights-at-age in the catch by quarter and area are given in Table 2.3.5.1. Weights are little changed except for age 0 and 1 which have increased in accord with the increased mean length, noted in section 2.3.4.

The working group used stock weights based on mean weights-at-age from German, Dutch, Irish, Portuguese and Spanish commercial catch data collected in divisions VIIb, VIIj, VIIIb, VIIIc and IXa over the period March to May combined with weights derived from data collected on the 2010 egg survey. For the 2009 western stock there were only a small number of samples of mean weight at age collected from the commercial fishery due to the low level of catch in that quarter. Mean weights-at-age for the North Sea component are based on the sample catches collected by the Dutch from area IVb during 2nd quarter 2009. For the southern component, stock weights are based on samples taken in VIIIc and IXa in the 2nd quarter of the year. The weights for the total stock are combined based on the relative estimated size of the three spawning components, as estimated by the 2010 egg survey for the southern and western components and the 2008 egg survey for the North Sea component . The weight for age 1 fish is derived from an average of the three previous years due to lack of sam-

| Data source | North Sea | Western Component | | Southern Component | | NEA Mackerel |
|------------------------|-----------|----------------------|--------|--------------------|--------|-----------------|
| Age | Catch | Catch | Survey | Catch | Survey | |
| 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 0.000 |
| 1 | 0.104 | 0.160 | - | 0.112 | - | 0.070 |
| 2 | 0.221 | 0.190 | 0.159 | 0.178 | 0.152 | 0.174 |
| 3 | 0.269 | 0.229 | 0.212 | 0.207 | 0.220 | 0.221 |
| 4 | 0.315 | 0.293 | 0.249 | 0.250 | - | 0.268 |
| 5 | 0.342 | 0.342 | 0.302 | 0.301 | 0.285 | 0.316 |
| 6 | - | 0.370 | 0.344 | 0.343 | 0.390 | 0.346 |
| 7 | - | 0.402 | 0.406 | 0.372 | 0.350 | 0.380 |
| 8 | 0.366 | 0.490 | 0.437 | 0.426 | 0.391 | 0.448 |
| 9 | - | 0.483 | 0.463 | 0.464 | 0.352 | 0.442 |
| 10 | - | 0.529 | 0.513 | 0.499 | - | 0.498 |
| 11 | - | 0.530 | 0.571 | 0.555 | - | 0.532 |
| 12+ | - | 0.520 | 0.559 | 0.568 | - | 0.526 |
| Component Weighting | 3.6% | 75.3% | | 21.2% | | |

ple data. For a complete time series on mean weights-at-age in the three components and their relative weighting for the stock weights see the 2004 WHMHSA report (ICES CM 2005/ACFM:8).

2.3.6 Maturity Ogive

The weighting for the maturity ogive for NEA mackerel is calculated as described above for the stock weights. For a complete time series on proportion mature at age (MATPROP) in the three components and their relative weighting in the stock see the 2004 WHMHSA report (ICES CM 2005/ACFM:8).

| Age | North Sea | Western Component | Southern Component | NEA Mackerel |
|------------------------|-----------|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0.08 | 0.02 | 0.06 |
| 2 | 0.37 | 0.60 | 0.54 | 0.58 |
| 3 | 1 | 0.90 | 0.70 | 0.86 |
| 4 | 1 | 0.97 | 1 | 0.98 |
| 5 | 1 | 0.97 | 1 | 0.98 |
| 6 | 1 | 0.99 | 1 | 0.99 |
| 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 10 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 11 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 12+ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Component Weighting | 3.6% | 75.3% | 21.2% | |

2.3.7 Estimates From Tag Recaptures

The Institute of Marine Research (IMR) in Bergen has used internal steel tags for tagging mackerel since 1966. The tagging has been carried out in the spawning area west of Ireland, where an average of 20 000 fish have been tagged each year. Since 1986 commercial catches of mackerel have been screened through metal detectors connected to conveyor belt systems located in four factories in Norway. Each year a total of 10,000-45,000 tons of mackerel are screened and the recaptured tagged fish are identified and sent to IMR for data collection. In the study the detector based tagging data were utilized to estimate the year class abundance of mackerel in the period 1986-2008, by using a model based on the Petersen's formula (N = numbers released * numbers screened / numbers recaptured) and by adding a tagging mortality estimate. These estimates of abundance are compared with the results from the ICA model runs in the assessment of the stock (Tenningen *et al.* submitted).

The estimated biomass from the tagging data for the years 1986 - 2008 varies between 2.8 and 9.9 million tons (Figure 2.3.7.1). The results show a decline in the biomass from the early 1990s until 1998 after which the biomass increases again. The tagging data give estimates that are between 1.1 and 3.8 times the ICES official estimate based on the ICA model. There are indications that the stock is being overexploited due to the high unaccounted mortality in the fishery. Based on egg surveys and the tagging experiments it has been estimated that the actual catches might be 1.7 - 3.6 times the reported catches (Simmonds *et al.*, 2010). The SSB estimates from the tagging experiments do not follow the same patterns as the ICES assessment (Figure 2.3.7.1).

New information regarding new tagging and automatic screening technology for commercial landings of mackerel has a potential to increase the screened proportion of landings substantially compared to the present situation. If more countries installed such screening equipment for automatic detection and registration of individual mackerel tags, using tagging studies and tag-recapture results should provide us with a more robust and reliable time series as additional fishery-independent information for tuning the NEA mackerel stock assessment. At present only Norway is tagging mackerel and tagging was not carried out in 2005 and 2010.

WGWIDE recommends applying this time series as additional fishery independent information for tuning the NEA mackerel stock assessment. Due to the considerable changes in migration pattern of NEA mackerel observed in later years and to improve the time series WGWIDE further recommends that tagging/screening has to be continued on an international basis.

2.4 Combined survey recruitment indices

Analysis carried out in 2008 (ICES 2008 ACOM:13) indicated that recruitment series from survey data continued to be ineffective as a means for estimating or predicting recruitment for NEA mackerel. The data series continues to be kept up but these data are not presented here and were not included in the stock assessment or short term predictions. See Stock Annex for additional information.

2.5 Acoustic and Pelagic trawl surveys

2.5.1 Ecosystem surveys in the Nordic Seas in July-August

2.5.1.1 Coordinated Norwegian, Faroese and Icelandic ecosystem survey in the Norwegian Sea

Three chartered fishing vessels, M/V "Libas" (15 July-20 August) and M/V "Brennholm (15 July-6 August)" from Norway, M/V "Finnur Fríði" (8-23 July) from the Faroes and the Icelandic R/V "Arni Fridriksson" (20 July-12 August), performed a joint ecosystem survey in the Norwegian Sea and adjacent areas (Figure 2.5.1.1.1).

The abundances of Northeast Atlantic mackerel, Norwegian spring-spawning herring and blue whiting were measured acoustically, and the abundance of mackerel was also estimated by a trawl survey swept-area method. Estimated biomass of mackerel was calculated as 4.46 million tons in the Nordic Seas from swept-area survey calculations in the six sub-areas (Figure 2.5.1.1.2). The acoustic estimates provided a biomass of 12.1 million tons (Figure 2.5.1.1.3).

Repeated offshore catches of two year old individuals indicate that the Norwegian Sea is an important nursery and feeding ground for immature mackerel, further that mackerel showed a distinct length-dependent migration pattern with the largest individuals furthest to the west and north (Figure 2.5.1.1.4). The 2005- and 2006 year classes dominated in the catches by more than 50% (Figure 2.5.1.1.5). Medium-sized and large pelagic trawls with a opening of approximately 25 m and 50 m, was applied onboard the four vessels during the ecosystem survey, and catch rates (kg/nm) of mackerel are shown in Figure 2.5.1.1.6.

Mackerel was distributed over larger areas than previously documented in the Norwegian Sea in July-August. The results also suggested a stronger horizontal species segregation between herring and mackerel in 2010 than previous years, with the herring distributed more in the cooler waters influenced by the East-Icelandic Current in the western part of the distribution area in 2010 (Figure 2.5.1.1.7). The spatial overlap between mackerel and Norwegian spring-spawning herring was largest in the central Norwegian Sea, while there was some overlap between mackerel and Icelandic summer-spawning herring on the plateau south of Iceland, however, as mentioned above the horizontal species overlap seemed to be less in 2010 as compared to 2009 (ICES CM 2009/ACOM:12).

Surface waters in the eastern and central part of the Norwegian Sea were colder as compared to measurements in the 2009 survey, but still warmer than the average temperature for the last two decades. Extremely warm sub-surface temperatures (20 m depth) were found in the southern and southwestern part off Iceland (Figure 2.5.1.1.8). The northernmost areas in the Norwegian Sea were in contrast colder than in previous years, although this did not appear to be limiting the extent of the northern migration by herring and especially mackerel compared to the last few years.

The survey is considered to have great potential for providing information at least in parts of the mackerel distribution area. However, due to the dynamic behavior of mackerel in areas outside the current coverage of the survey, it is probably not applicable for the whole distribution area (Anon, 2009). Since the biomass estimates from the methods applied (acoustics and trawl swept area) vary with a factor of 2-3, neither are regarded as reliable. WGWIDE encourage WGNAPES, to standardize the survey with regard to trawl equipment, review the methods and assess potential biases from sources such as: Trawl selectivity (herding, avoidance), algorithms for cal-

culating total biomass from trawl catches, acoustic scrutinization (species identification), and vessel avoidance.

2.5.2 Acoustic estimates of mackerel in the Iberian Peninsula and Bay of Biscay

Spring Acoustic Surveys

The IEO acoustic survey (PELACUS 04) is carried out on board of the R/V *Thalassa* in March-April since 1999 (Figure 2.5.2.1). The aim of the survey is to assess the biomass of the whole pelagic fish community of the North Iberian Peninsula (Divisions VIIIc and IXa), but the focus is mainly on the sardine stock.

The methodology for the estimation of mackerel biomass by acoustic methods are standardised of which the details can be found in the 2005 WGMHSA report (Iglesias *et al.*, WD 2005). In spring, the mackerel abundance is high because they spawn in this area, which facilitates their detection by the scientific echo sounder. The TS/L relationship used is the same as for mackerel in the North Sea and is the relationship recommended by PGAAM. The use of several frequencies, mainly 38 and 120 kHz, helps to identify the echo traces of mackerel.

In all years, mackerel is distributed throughout the whole survey area, and the highest concentrations are found in Division VIIIc-EW (Figure 2.5.2.2), coinciding with the main spawning ground in the Southern Area (ICES 2008a). Mackerel abundance has varied considerably from 2001 to 2010, with higher values in 2002 and 2003 coinciding with a high abundance of juveniles (Table 2.5.2.1). Regarding biomass, a maximum was reached in 2002 with a large reduction in 2005. The biomass estimates of 2008 and 2009 were similar to the estimate of 2005 (Table 2.5.2.2). However, the 2010 biomass estimate was about three times higher than the 2009 estimate (Figure 2.5.2.3). The commercial mackerel fisheries occur mainly in March and April (Villamor *et al.*, 1997). In addition, in 2005-2009, the biomass by length class distribution (Figure 2.5.2.4), show very low biomass values of most length classes. Biomass by age class (Figure 2.5.2.5) reflects a strong year class in 2002 and 2001 (age 1). Age 1 to 7 predominate in the age structure.

In the years studied (2001-2010) the estimated mackerel abundances indicate that in spring the adult fish (> 2 years) are more abundant in the west of the Cantabrian Sea. However, juveniles are more abundant in the sub-division IXa North. When a year class is highly abundant (as that of 2002) the juveniles extend their distribution area. In those cases the juveniles were also distributed throughout the prospected area. (Figure 2.5.2.6).

The IPIMAR acoustic survey (PELAGO) in Portuguese waters mainly targets sardine and the IFREMER annual survey (PELGAS) targets all pelagic fish in the French Biscay area. Since 2008, the Working Group on Acoustic and Egg Surveys for Sardine and Anchovy in ICES Areas VIII and IX (WGACEGG) (ICES, 2008) produces biomass estimates of most pelagic species in all areas, including Atlantic mackerel (Figure 2.5.2.7). In 2008 the mackerel biomass estimate was 820.000 t for the area of the Iberian Peninsula and Bay of Biscay (Table 2.5.2.1). The coordination of the surveys was considered satisfactory by the members of WGACEGG and the group endorses the continuity of such coordination which allows synoptic coverage of the subareas IX and VIII

Autumn Acoustic Surveys

IEO carries out a new acoustic survey (PELACUS 10) in autumn (September-October) on board of the R/V *Thalassa* since 2006, with the aim to assess the abundance and spatial distribution of small pelagic fishes in the south of the Bay of Biscay (Figure 2.5.2.1). This survey focusses particularly on the estimation of abundance/spatial distribution of juveniles and on the process of anchovy recruitment. The mackerel has also been measured acoustically in these surveys, but the abundance of this species is currently being studied and evaluated. This document presents only the distribution and size distribution.

The mackerel was located mainly in the French shelf (Figure 2.5.2.8). In the years studied (2006-2009), the mackerel were mostly <34 cm (age 0 to 4) ranging between 11-42 cm (Figure 2.5.2.9).

2.6 Results from the International Mackerel and Horse Mackerel Egg Survey 2010

The ICES Triennial Mackerel and Horse Mackerel Egg Survey was carried out during January - July 2010. Final results will be presented at the WGMEGS meeting in April 2011. Since 2004 and subsequent to demands for up-to-date data for the assessment WGMEGS aims to provide a preliminary estimate of NEA mackerel biomass and western horse mackerel egg production before the assessment meetings in the same calendar year as the survey.

Following a request of ICES in 2010 it was also agreed, that

- results had to be presented latest on August, 23rd to WGWIDE, 4 days before the actual meeting of WGWIDE,
- and no revisions were allowed after the 27th August.

This required a complete work up of the data from the egg survey itself as well as of the histological data on mackerel fecundity and atresia. The production of estimates for both species required considerable commitment from the members of WGMEGS. The members of WGWIDE were aware and appreciative of this commitment. A report with the preliminary results of the survey was distributed to WGWIDE members on time (Ulleweit *et al.* 2010). However, the preliminary fecundity estimates require re-examination. (Thorsen 2010).

The 2010 survey was split into six sampling periods, alike the last survey in 2007. The assignment of vessels to areas and periods is summarized in table 2.6.1. A significant change to 2007 was the inclusion of the Faroese and Icelandic survey in May and June which expanded the geographic range of the survey in the North during periods 4 and 5. This represents an overall increase of survey days for 2010 compared to 2007, however there was no increased survey effort for the standard areas.

Analysis of the plankton samples as well as of the fecundity samples were carried out according to the sampling protocols established by WGMEGS (ICES 2009a, 2010 and older) and WKMHMES (ICES 2009b).

2.6.1 Data analysis for mackerel annual egg production

Egg counts were converted to stage 1 egg production, using the volume of water filtered and the sampled depth. These values were converted to egg production/day/m² using the development equations and water temperature at 20m depth. Arithmetic means were used where more than one sample per rectangle per period was collected. Daily egg production values were interpolated into unsampled rectangles according to the protocols in the above reports. Plots of the distribution of egg production for the western area are presented in Figures 2.6.1.1-2.6.1.6. Interpolated values are highlighted in red. The area coverage is described in detail in Ulleweit *et al.* 2010.

Figure 2.6.1.7 presents the egg production curve for the western area for the 2010 survey, along with those for the surveys in 1998, 2001, 2004 and 2007 for comparison. The nominal start date (used since 1995) of the 10th of February was used although for 2010 - with the extremely large period 2 production value - spawning may have started before this date. However, the survey design could not be adjusted for that. The nominal end of spawning date of the 31st of July is also the same as that used in previous years and the shape of the production curve does not suggest that the end date should be altered. The standard error has not yet been calculated. Due to the increase in survey area and subsequently a greater number of interpolated samples, the standard error is expected to be larger than for 2007. The provisional total annual egg production (TAEP) for the western area in 2010 was calculated at 1.54×10^{15} eggs. This is a 21% increase of the 2007 TAEP which was 1.21×10^{15} eggs The spawning curve differs substantially from the curve observed in previous years; 66% of all the egg production in the western area took place between the 10th of February and the 26th of April which translates to periods 2 and 3. This is in contrast to previous years where peak spawning has occurred in May or June.

Figure 2.6.1.8 presents the 2010 egg production curve for the southern area, along with the 2007 curve. The start for spawning in the southern area was 30^{th} January. This was almost one week earlier than in 2007 because of the occurrence of stage I eggs found off the Portuguese coast during the period 1 survey. As in 2007, the end date of spawning was again set as 17^{th} July which was corroborated by the shape of the spawning curve. The provisional total annual egg production (TAEP) for the southern area in 2010 was calculated at 4.33×10^{14} eggs. This is a 28% increase compared to the 2007 TAEP which was 3.12×10^{14} eggs. As in 2007 peak egg production (99%) took place between the 15th February and 26th April.

| Year | Western TAEP | Southern TAEP |
|--------------------|--------------|---------------|
| 2010 (provisional) | 1.54 * 1015 | 4.33 * 1014 |
| 2007 | 1.22 * 1015 | 3.12 * 1014 |
| 2004 | 1.20 * 1015 | 1.26 * 1014 |
| 2001 | 1.21 * 1015 | 2.83 * 1014 |
| 1998 | 1.37 * 1015 | 4.34 * 1014 |

A comparison of the total annual egg production for the western and southern area over the last survey years is given below:

2.6.2 Mackerel fecundity and atresia estimation

Estimates of fecundity are given as realised fecundity which is the potential fecundity minus the atresia rate. The analysis of potential fecundity and atresia is carried out by six different participating institutes. Preliminary results based on a very limited number of samples showed a realized fecundity of 915 eggs/g female which is the lowest observed fecundity in the time series. However, after the survey report had been distributed, it was discovered that a possible laboratory effect in the analysed material skewed the estimate downwards (for details see Thorsen 2010). This will be investigated further before the WGMEGS meeting in 2011.

2.6.3 Quality and reliability of the 2010 egg survey

Area coverage shows some restrictions due to bad weather but also because of the broadening of the mackerel spawning area to the Northwest in periods 3, 4 and 5 (comp. Ulleweit *et al.* 2010). However, egg production in these areas was low compared to the main spawning areas in period 2 on the Celtic Sea shelf and on Porcupine Bank, which are responsible for the 21% increase in overall production in the Western area compared to 2007.

There is ample empirical evidence that the peak of mackerel spawning normally occurs in April-May in the area of the Sole Banks. Even though an earlier onset of spawning had been observed during previous egg surveys, peak spawning was always observed later than in period 2. Therefore, peak spawning of the magnitude observed in period 2 for mackerel in the western area was unexpected in 2010. It is possible that spawning had started before the nominal start date (10 Feb). However, because evidence is lacking for changing the estimated 'start date' of spawning members of WGMESG decided to keep this date for the calculation of the egg production estimate.

WGWIDE decided that the egg production estimates of 2010 of the western and southern area were used to calculate the biomass. However, the estimated low fecundity was not used due to a possible laboratory effect when analysing the samples. Given this problem a provisional estimate of realized fecundity was produced, by averaging the fecundity estimates of the last three survey years (2001, 2004 and 2007). These years demonstrated similar levels of potential fecundity and atresia rates (see table below).

| | Assessm | ient year | | | |
|---|---------|-----------|------|------|----------------|
| Parameter | 1998 | 2001 | 2004 | 2007 | Mean 01– 07 |
| Number of samples analyzed for fecundity | 96 | 187 | 205 | 176 | NA |
| Number of samples analyzed for atresia | 112 | 290 | 348 | 416 | NA |
| Potential fecundity | 1206 | 1097 | 1127 | 1098 | 1107 |
| Number of potential fecundity lost per day | 3.37 | 1.07 | 1.25 | 1.48 | 1.27 |
| Number of potential fecundity lost over an individual's spawning season | 202 | 64 | 75 | 89 | 76 |
| Realised fecundity | 1004 | 1033 | 1052 | 1009 | 1031 |
| Percentage of potential fecundity lost | 17 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 7 |

In 2008 the preliminary estimate of the mackerel egg production was based on an incomplete set of plankton samples which caused a substantial revision of parts of the estimate. This is probably not the case this year because all plankton samples collected were analysed prior this WGWIDE meeting.

2.6.4 Mackerel biomass estimates

Based on the total annual egg production (TAEP) for the western and southern component, a realized fecundity estimate as the mean of the last three survey years (1031 oocytes/g female), a sex ratio of 1:1 and a raising factor of 1.08, the total spawning stock biomass (SSB) was estimated as shown below:

$$SSB = \frac{TAEP}{F'} * s * cf$$

where

giving

| - | 3.226 | million | tonnes | for | western | component |
|--|-------|---------|--------|-----|----------|-----------|
| - | 0.907 | million | tonnes | for | southern | component |
| - 4.133 million tonnes for western and southern components combined. | | | | | | |

Parameters used in the calculation and SSB for 2010 in comparison to 2001, 2004 and 2007 are given in the table below:

| | Western component | Southern component |
|--|-------------------|--------------------|
| Total Annual Eggs Production | 1.54 * 1015 | 0.433 * 1015 |
| Realised fecundity | 1031 | 1031 |
| Female fraction | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Pre-spawning biomass to SSB conversion | 1.08 | 1.08 |
| Pre-spawning biomass | 2,987,391 | 839,961 |
| SSB (tonnes) 2010 | 3,226,382 | 907,158 |
| SSB (tonnes) 2007 | 2,590,000 | 667,909 |
| SSB (tonnes) 2004 | 2,470,000 | 280,300 |
| SSB (tonnes) 2001 | 2,530,000 | 371,300 |

2.6.5 Mackerel egg sampling during the international pelagic ecosystem survey in the Nordic seas

Altogether 36 plankton samples taken during the Norwegian and EU participation in the IESNS with RVs G.O. Sars and Dana, some of them taken additionally to the originally planned stations, were analyzed for fish eggs. The covered area was between 62° and 67°N and between 0° E/W and the Norwegian coast. Only 1 mackerel egg was found in those samples. These findings suggest that mackerel spawning off the Norwegian coast form only a minor and negligible part of the total spawning stock. Most of the eggs were those of the pearlside *Maurolicus muelleri*.

2.7 Stock Assessment

NEA Mackerel was classed as an update assessment this year, and the method used was the one defined by the 2007 benchmark assessment (ICES 2007) detailed in the stock annex. The assessment model used is ICA, with a 12 year separable period, using the SSB estimates from the triennial Mackerel Egg survey as tuning index.

The new data used in this assessment compared to the 2009 assessment are the 2009 catch at age and the 2010 egg survey index. In addition, mean weights at age in the stock and maturity ogives were updated, using the 2010 egg survey to estimate the relative size of the southern and of the western spawning stocks.

The assessment, including the yield per recruit analyses was implemented in R using the appropriate FLR packages (see stock annex). A description of the input data used for this assessment and of the model settings is given in the Stock Annex.

The input data are shown in Table 2.7.1 – Table 2.7.5. Table 2.7.6 and Figure 2.7.1 shows the stock summary, including SSB, number of recruits, F and the catches. The estimated stock abundance and fishing mortality at age are shown in Table 2.7.7 and 2.7.8 respectively and the fitted selection pattern in Table 2.7.9. The diagnostics of the fit to the Mackerel egg survey data are presented in Tables 2.7.10 and 2.7.11 and Figure 2.7.2, which do not show any obvious model mis-specification. Diagnostics of the catch for the separable period are shown in Figure 2.7.3 and the estimated catch and residuals for the separable period are given in Table 2.7.12 and 2.7.13. Fitted parameters in the model with estimates of precision and confidence bounds are summarized in Table 2.7.14.

In Figure 2.7.4, yield per recruits and SSB per recruits in relation to Fbar are shown, also indicating the biological reference points.

Figure 2.7.5 shows the agreed management plan including the biomass trigger points and the recent development of the stock (the past 8 years plus the current year) in relation to the precautionary approach reference points.

2.7.1 State of the Stock

The spawning stock at spawning time in 2009 is estimated at approximately 3 million tonnes, which is well above Bpa. The stock reached a historic minimum in 2002 and has increased continuously since then. Fishing mortality in 2009 is estimated to be 0.233, just above Fpa. The 2002 year class is well above average. The year classes from 2005 to 2006 are estimated to be also well above the mean of the time-series, while the 2007 year class is average. There is insufficient information to estimate accurately the size of the 2008 and 2009 year classes (see Table 2.7.14).

2.8 Short term forecast

2.8.1 MSY framework

| Reference point | Technical basis |
|------------------------------|---|
| B_{pa} = 2.3 Mt | B_{loss} in Western stock raised by 15%: = 2.3 million t. |
| $B_{lim} = 1.67 \mathrm{Mt}$ | Bloss |
| $F_{pa} = 0.23$ | Flim * 0.55 (CV 36%) |
| $F_{lim} = 0.42$ | Floss |
| $F_{target} = 0.20$ to 0.22 | Reference points defined as part of a precautionary management plan |
| $B_{trigger}$ = 2.2 Mt | |

ICES has previously defined the following precautionary reference points for NEA mackerel:

Analyses were carried out, using a standard package (plotMSY) in an attempt to derive an F_{MSY} estimate for the stock based on the current assessment.

Input data for the plotMSY program were taken from the .sen and .sum files from the current assessment. A thousand MCMC iterations were carried out, a high proportion of which provided converged F_{MSY} estimates for the 3 stock recruit models investigated. However, due to the absence of observations at low stock levels, there is no

apparent relationship between recruitment and the SSB in the assessment data and the fit of the three models was very poor (Figure 2.8.1.1). In the case of the Ricker model, the shape of the curve – especially the position of the maximum of the curve – was very variable among the MCMC trials. For the Beverton and Holt model, there was a discrepancy between the deterministic fitting and the median of the MCMC trials, indicating that the fit was very sensitive to the fitting procedure. In the case of the smooth hockey stick model, the position of the inflection point was poorly defined.

Outputs from the analysis, including the ranges of estimates of FMSY, FMAX, FCRASH with corresponding CVs are given in the boxplots in Figure 2.8.1.2 and in Table 2.8.1.1. For the different stock recruitment models tested, there was an important difference between the deterministic value of MSY and the median of the MCMC iterations. For each model, there was large variability in the distribution of the FMSY values corresponding to the MCMC iterations. In the case of the Hockey stick model, the distribution of the FMSY and some completely overlapping.

The yield per recruit curve did not show a marked decrease at high fishing mortality. Consequently, F_{MAX} was poorly defined from the yield per recruit curve (Figure 2.8.1.3).

In conclusion, it was decided that the structure of the stock and recruitment data for this stock do not lead to any clear definition of an optimum yield fishing mortality level (F_{MSY}). Therefore, it was considered that the simulation studies used previously for defining the target mortality rate for the agreed management plan (F = 0.2 to 0.22) and the corresponding spawning stock biomass trigger level (2.2 million tonnes) were appropriate for the definition of a preliminary long term target. The agreed management plan has been designed to maximise yield while maintaining low risk to the stock. Hence, the values 0.20 to 0.22 are retained as the range for F_{MSY} and MSY B_{trigger} is set at 2.2 Mt.

2.8.2 Short term forecast

The short term forecast provides estimates of SSB and catch in 2011 and 2012 given a range of management options.

All procedures used this year follow those used in the benchmark of 2007 and described in the stock annex. Table 2.8.2.1 lists the input data.

Estimation of catch in the intermediate year (2010) is based on declared quotas as shown in the text table below. Modifications of the total of the declared quotas in 2010 come from inter-annual transfer of quotas not fished in 2009 to 2010, discard, estimated overshot in catches, and quota payback. The detailed calculations of intermediate year catch for the short term forecast (STF) are provided in the text tables below.

| Calculation of over-catch % in 2009 | | |
|--|---------|---------|
| NEAFC quota (all areas, including EU Southern quota) | 605.001 | |
| EU-Norway quota for Sweden | 1.865 | |
| Inter-annual quota transfer to 2010 (EU+NOR) | -76.359 | |
| UK-Ireland payback | -18.222 | |
| Norway-Faroes Northern quota | 35.819 | |
| Discards (Previous years estimate) | 26.766 | |
| WG estimate of total declared catch + discards (<i>excluding</i> Iceland) | 574.870 | 574.870 |
| Reported catch for 2009 (excluding Iceland, including discard) | | 618.729 |
| Catch over WG estimate | | 43.859 |
| Overcatch in % of WG estimate of total declared catch (including discard, | | |
| excluding Iceland) | | 7,6% |

| Estimation of 2010 catch 2) | | |
|--|---------|---------|
| EU quota, including Southern and Swedish quota | 367.014 | |
| Inter-annual quota transfer from 2009 (EU) | 7.352 | |
| UK-Ireland payback | -18.222 | |
| Norwegian quota including Northern quota ¹⁾ | 181.000 | |
| Inter-annual quota transfer from 2009 (Norway) | 69.000 | |
| Russian quota | 45.321 | |
| Discards (Previous years estimate) | 12.854 | |
| WG estimate of total declared catch (including discards, excluding Iceland and | | |
| Faroes) | 664.319 | 664.319 |
| Expected overcatch in 2010 based on 2009 overcatch (7,6% see table above) | | 50.683 |
| Icelandic quota | | 130.000 |
| Faroese quota | | 85.000 |
| Total expected catches in 2010 (including discards) | | 930.002 |

The Norwegian share of the declared Northern quota (initiated in 2009) was again declared in 2010. Information provided by WG members

This method for estimating intermediate year catch came close to the actual catches in 2009, except that the inter-annual transfer of 76 kT was not anticipated. The WG assumes that the declared quotas will be taken in 2010 and no inter-annual transfer will take place between 2010 and 2011. Iceland and the Faroes expect no over-catch in relation to their declared quotes. For other declared quotas (EU, Norway and Russia) over-catch in % of the declared quota was assumed to be the same as in 2009.

The 2009 catch estimate was 5% over the actual catch (taking inter-annual transfer into account). In 2010 the estimated overcatch and discard is 5% less than in 2009.

The short term forecast, estimates F at 0.31 and SSB at 2.93 Mt in 2010 (assuming catches for 2010 of 930 kt). Following the management plan by fishing at F = 0.22 (Fm-sy) in 2011 will result in a catch of 646 kT. The transition schemes leading to Fmsy in 2015, provided by ICES leads to an F of 0.23 in 2011. This is equivalent to a catch of 672 kT.

A detailed single fleet management option table and plot is presented with catch constraint fishing (catch = 930 kt) in 2010 (Table 2.8.2.2 and Figure 2.8.2). Table 2.8.2.3 provides multi options for 2011 to give key catch and F options. The catch options are: Zero catch, 866 kT (same catch as in 2010 excluding overcatch and discard), 693 kT (866 kT - 20%), 1040 kT (866 kT +20%) and the F options are 0.20, 0.21, 0.22 (Range of F's in the management plan (when SSB is above 2.2 MT) and 0.23 (Fpa/Fmsy).

2.9 Uncertainties in assessment and forecast

2.9.1 Uncertainties in assessment

Analytical retrospective plots (Figure 2.9.1) show fairly consistent stock trajectories.

The R package FLICA was used to investigate the precision of the assessment, using parametric bootstrap. Results are presented in an otolith plot showing the combined probability distribution of the 2009 estimate of SSB and Fbar4-8 (Figure 2.9.2). The 95% confidence interval of SSB and F are estimated as 2.625 and 3.343 Mt and 0.199 and 0.303 respectively, corresponding to a coefficient of variation of 6.2% and 10.8% respectively.

The uncertainty in the population numbers at the 1st of January 2009 is relatively high for the age classes above age 3 (CV around 10% to 15%, Table 2.7.14). For the younger ages the uncertainty is high (CV>20%), to very high for the recruits (CV=242%). This high uncertainty on the recent recruitment is related to the absence of recruitment estimates from scientific surveys.

The main conclusions on the quality of assessments are:

- The latest values of SSB and F are sensitive to the last egg survey value.
- Initial estimates of recent recruits are highly uncertain.
- Estimates of unaccounted mortality (ICES 2008, Simmonds *et al.* 2010) result in uncertainty in total biomass. This indicates that the assessment is likely to underestimate the stock by a factor of between 1.7 and 3.6. This work also indicates that estimates of F are more robust than those of SSB. Preliminary results from the 2010 summer survey in the Nordic Seas also suggest that the biomass is underestimated.

The WG considers the current use of the ICA model to be very sensitive to variability in the SSB estimates from egg surveys. However, it may be difficult to improve on this situation without additional resources.

2.9.2 Uncertainties in forecast

The forecasts presented in section 2.8 are deterministic, hence no estimates of uncertainty is calculated. Sources of uncertainty are:

- Uncertainty in the ICA survivors estimates at 1st January 2010
- Assumed catches in 2010. Because EU and Norway has agreed to allow reciprocal access on a scale that exceed the 2009-2010 transfer; the WG assume that the individually declared catches will be taken and no interannual transfer will take place between 2010 and 2011. 5% of the assumed catches are expected over-catch. This estimate is subject to some uncertainty.
- Assumptions on selectivity in the fishery as well as the biological input parameters such as mean weight at age, recruitment in 2010-11 etc. The assumptions are described in the stock annex.

2.10 Comparison with previous assessment and forecast

The addition of the catch data for 2009 and of the new survey index resulted in a revised perception of the stock. Changes in the TSB, SSB and Fbar4–8 for 2008 between the last two assessments are presented in the table below. Due to the high value of the 2010 egg survey index, the estimates of TSB and SSB in 2008 were revised upward substantially. Fishing mortality in 2008 is, however, not changed.

| | TSB (2008) | SSB (2008) | F 4–8 (2008) |
|-----------------|------------|------------|--------------|
| 2009 Assessment | 3.324 Mt | 2.491 Mt | 0.237 |
| 2010 Assessment | 3.742 Mt | 2.709 Mt | 0.236 |
| % difference | 12.58 % | 8.75 % | -0.42 % |

A comparison of the fit of the model to the catch data between the 2009 assessment and the 2010 assessment is shown in Figure 2.10.1. The weighted log residuals of the catch for the separable period from the 2010 assessment are similar to those from last year's assessment. The residuals for the last two years of the separable period (2008 and 2009) are a bit higher than the rest of the time series. The selection patterns are also very similar except for a slight decrease in the selection at age 8 and a slight increase for age 9. The fit of the model to the egg survey index from this year's assessment shows only small differences with last year's assessment.

The uncertainty on the SSB and Fbar4-8 for the last year in the assessment is in the same range of values as last year.

The mackerel catch prediction for 2009 used for the short term forecast in the 2009 assessment was 830.000 tonnes, about 100.000 tonnes (12.9%) higher than the catch reported in 2010 used in the present assessment. Much of this difference is explained by a transfer of 76 000 tonnes of the 2009 EU-NO quota to 2010, due to the difficulty for some countries to fish their quotas in 2009. The estimate of SSB for 2009 from the new 2010 assessment is 3.7 % higher than the value predicted in the short term forecast from the 2009 assessment (table below). The fishing mortality Fbar4–8 for 2009 estimated this year is 26.3% lower than the value predicted in the 2009 short term forecast, due to the catch being lower than predicted and also because of the upward revision of the stock size.

| | Catch (2009) | SSB (2009) | F 4–8 (2009) |
|-------------------------------|--------------|------------|--------------|
| Forecast from 2009 assessment | 830 kt | 2.608 Mt | 0.298 |
| Observation/Estimate | 735 kt | | |
| from 2010 assessment | | 2.709 Mt | 0.236 |
| % difference | - 12.9 % | 3.7 % | - 26.3% |

Management plans and evaluations

The management plan (October 2008) agreed by the coastal states for NE Atlantic mackerel is shown in the Stock Annex. Evaluation of this management plan is also documented there.

- ICES (2007) Report of the working group on the assessment of Mackerel, Horse mackerel, Sardine and Anchovy. ICESCM2007/ACFM:31 735 pp
- ICES (2008) Report of the Working Group on Widely Distributed Stocks (WGWIDE). ICES CM 2008/ACOM:13 702pp
- Simmonds EJ, Portilla E, Skagen D, Beare D, Reid DG (2010) Investigating agreement between different data sources using Bayesian state-space models: an application to estimating NE Atlantic mackerel catch and stock abundance. ICES J Mar Sci 67:1138-1153

2.11 Management Considerations

Although a long term management plan was agreed by the EU, Norway and Faroe Islands in October 2008, the various unilaterally declared TACs in 2009 and 2010 do not reflect what is recommended by the management plan in order to ensure sustainable exploitation of NEA mackerel. This is because fishing mortality is projected to rise above the levels recommended by the management plan.

The spawning stock biomass (SSB) increased from a low of 1.7Mt in 2002 to around an estimated 3.0 Mt in 2009, probably the highest level for about the last 30 years. Figure 2.7.5 indicates the current estimated stock level and recent stock development in relation to the agreed management plan.

Short term projections, assuming a catch of ~930 kt in 2010 (see section 2.8) result in a stable SSB of 2.9Mt in 2010. This stability, despite recent high catches, is mainly due to several good year classes (2005, 2006). The fishing mortality in 2009 was approximately 0.23. Due to increased stock size, F has been relatively stable since 2006.

In 2008 the Coastal States agreed a Management Plan for NE Atlantic mackerel aiming at precautionary exploitation and stability of the catches. The TAC for 2009 was set in accordance with the Management Plan. However, since 2008 considerable additional catches have been taken outside the agreed TAC and in 2010 an internationally agreed TAC was never reached. The absence of clear international agreements on the exploitation of the stock (between all nations involved in the fishery) is a cause of continued concern and prevents control of the exploitation rate of the stock. According to the short term forecast (Section 2.8) the effect of the total catch in 2010 being well above the agreed TAC, results in an estimated F of 0.31, which is above that recommended by the agreed management plan.

Available information (egg distributions from surveys in 2007 and 2010) indicate that the distribution of the spawning area of mackerel has expanded north and west in recent years. Mackerel has been commercially fished in areas where it was previously not fished. It is possible that changes in distribution have lead to mackerel bycatch in fisheries in areas where it was not previously present and also to new directed fisheries.

An evaluation of unaccounted mortality in the mackerel fishery (Simmonds *et al* 2010) showed that both biomass and removals were significantly greater than those estimated using the standard assessment model. These analyses also showed that the historic estimates of F provided by the standard assessment are not affected by unaccounted mortality.

Slippage in the fishery contributes partly to unaccounted mortality. There is insufficient information about the frequency of slipping for all fleets.

Information on discarding of mackerel is insufficient, with data supplied by only four nations. While some observer programmes have expanded, others have suffered from a lack of observer coverage this year, compared to previous years. This is of concern and managers need to be aware that these data are needed in order to reduce uncertainty in the assessment.

There is uncertainty about the future productivity of the stock. There have been two good recent year classes, but good recruitment cannot be relied upon to support the international fisheries, in a situation where there are no international agreements on management.

2.12 Ecosystem considerations

Catch and survey data from recent years indicate that the stock has expanded Northwestwards during spawning and summer feeding migration. The change could be a consequence of observed warming, changes in food availability and increased stock size. At present we cannot verify this due to lack of data and suitable time series.

Timing of spawning and distribution of the overwintering mackerel have previously been linked with temperature in the northern part of the stock (Reid *et al.* 1997; Jansen & Gislason, submitted). The increased temperature observed in the Nordic Seas during summer in recent years (WGNAPES 2010) might have increased the potential habitat for mackerel.

The zooplankton biomass has been declining in the Nordic Seas since 2002, especially in the central areas (WGNAPES 2010, WD Nøttestad *et al.* 2010). This could be forcing the pelagic species to expand their feeding areas.

The seemingly larger degree of horizontal species segregation in 2010 compared to 2009 (WD Nøttestad *et al.* 2010), could be due to competition between mackerel and herring during the feeding season and might have forced the herring to the cooler fringe areas. The herring in this area was observed to be in poorer condition than in previous years (sec. 7.1).

Another explanation to the apparent expansion could also be due to the increased size of the stock with more large individuals able to migrate long distances during their search for food.

In the southern part of the distribution area mackerel overlap with chub mackerel (*Scomber colias*), the landing have increased from the 1990s to the 2000s (Table 2.12.1), if this reflect an increase in abundance, increased interspecific competition with mackerel is possible.

In the main spawning area; peak spawning occurred earlier than observed in previous egg survey years (WGMEGS 2010). The cause of this is unknown. Changes in the timing of the critical larval stages will most likely affect mortality due to changes in match/mismatch with larval food. Different plankton groups have been shown to react differently to changes in temperature (Beaugrand *et al.* 2003).

WGWIDE encourage research in physical forcing of mackerel stock dynamics and resulting changes in trophic interactions and recruitment variability.

2.13 Regulations and their effects

An overview of the major existing technical measures, TACs, effort control and management plans are given in Table 2.15. Note that **not** all existing international and national regulations are listed.

No Coastal State Agreement/NEAFC Agreement could be reached in 2010 so no overall international regulation on catch limitation was in force.

Management aimed at a fishing mortality in the range of 0.15–0.2 in the period 1998 - 2008. The current agreed management plan aims at a fishing mortality in the range 0.2-0.22. The fishing mortality realised during 1998-2008 was in the range of 0.22 to 0.45. The current assessment shows reduced F and increased biomass after the reductions in reported catches in 2003 and in subsequent years.

The measures advised by ICES to protect the North Sea spawning component aim at setting the conditions for making a recovery of this component possible. Before the

late 1960s, the North Sea spawning biomass of mackerel was estimated at above 3 million tonnes. Due to overexploitation, recruitment has failed since 1969, leading to a decline in the stock. The North Sea spawning component has increased since 1999, but continued protection is needed as it is still very small.

The closure of the mackerel fishery in Divisions IVb,c and IIIa throughout the whole year is designed to protect the North Sea component in this area and also the juvenile western mackerel which are numerous, particularly in Division IVb,c during the second half of the year. This closure has unfortunately resulted in increased discards of mackerel in the non-directed fisheries (especially horse mackerel fisheries) in these areas as vessels at present are permitted to take only 10% of their catch as mackerel bycatch. No data on the actual amount of mackerel taken as bycatch are available, but the reported landings of mackerel in Divisions IIIa and IVb,c from 1997 onwards might seriously underestimate catches due to discarded bycatch.

The advised closure of Division IVa for fishing during the first half of the year is based on the perception that the western mackerel enter the North Sea in July/August, and stay there until December before migrating back to their spawning areas. Updated observations taken in the late 1990s suggested that this return migration actually started in mid- to late February. This was believed to result in large-scale misreporting from the northern part of the North Sea (Division IVa) to Division VIa. It was recommended that the closure date for Division IVa be extended to the 15th of February¹. This was adopted for the 1999/2000 fishing season onwards. However, misreporting from Division IVa to VIa continues to occur.

Within the area of the South West Mackerel Box off Cornwall in southern England only handliners are permitted to target mackerel. This area was set up at a time of high fishing effort in the area in 1981 by Council regulation to protect juvenile mackerel, as the area is a well known nursery. The area of the box was extended to its present size in 1989.

Additionally, there are various other national measures in operation in some of the mackerel catching countries.

2.14 Changes in fishing technology and fishing patterns

North East Atlantic mackerel, as a widely distributed species, is targeted by a number of different fishing métiers. Most of the fishing patterns of these métiers remained unchanged during the most recent years, although the timing of migration can change markedly from year to year and this affects the fishery in various areas.

Recent changes are notable for two areas and métiers in particular:

One part of the Northeast Atlantic mackerel population migrates towards the southern spawning area (Cantabrian Sea) at the end of winter. In this area, a seasonal handline fishery is the most important fishery that targets mackerel, of which the timing of the peak of catches has shifted forward since 2000 (Punzón and Villamor 2009). This is approximately a one month shift, which may be due to a change in the timing of the pre-spawning migration to the southern area of the Northeast Atlantic mackerel population. A shift on this scale has important consequences for the management

¹ This is incorrectly stated as 1 February in the 2002 ICES Advice.

of the resource, the fleets that exploit it and the resource evaluation survey designs. They will have to be adapted to this new scenario.

Also, there has been a significant change in recent years in catch distribution in the 3rd quarter with large catches taken in Icelandic waters (Div. Va, see Sec. 2.3.1), due to increased effort and landings by Icelandic vessels. Figures from Icelandic landings records show an increase from 4222t in 2006, 36706t in 2007, 112 in 2008 to around 116 kt in 2009 and are projected to be around 130 kt in 2010. The catch data from 2009, as well as information from the fishery in 2010, indicate that the fishery occurs over a wide area E, NE, SE, S and SW off Iceland and that the catches consist mainly of large and old mackerel. Results from the coordinated survey in the Nordic Seas in July-August 2010 (WD, WGWIDE, Nøttestad *et al.* 2010) also suggest increased distribution of mackerel in this western part of the survey area for 2010 and confirms the length/age composition represented by the catch data. Information about the Icelandic mackerel fishing fleet is given in Table 2.2.3.1 and further description of the fishery in Section 2.3.1.

| Year | Si | ubarea VI | | Subarea VII and Divisions VIIIa,b,d,e | | Subar | eas IV and | d III ¹ | Subareas I,II,V & XIV ² | Divs. VIIIc, IXa | | Total | | |
|-------------------|----------|-----------|---------|--|----------|---------|------------|--------------------|--|------------------------|----------|----------|----------|---------|
| | Landings | Discards | Catch | Landings | Discards | Catch | Landings | Discards | Catch | Landings | Landings | Landings | Discards | Catch |
| 1969 | 4,800 | | 4,800 | 47,404 | | 47,404 | 739,175 | | 739,175 | 7 | 42,526 | 833,912 | | 833,912 |
| 1970 | 3,900 | | 3,900 | 72,822 | | 72,822 | 322,451 | | 322,451 | 163 | 70,172 | 469,508 | | 469,508 |
| 1971 | 10,200 | | 10,200 | 89,745 | | 89,745 | 243,673 | | 243,673 | 358 | 32,942 | 376,918 | | 376,918 |
| 1972 | 13,000 | | 13,000 | 130,280 | | 130,280 | 188,599 | | 188,599 | 88 | 29,262 | 361,229 | | 361,229 |
| 1973 | 52,200 | | 52,200 | 144,807 | | 144,807 | 326,519 | | 326,519 | 21,600 | 25,967 | 571,093 | | 571,093 |
| 1974 | 64,100 | | 64,100 | 207,665 | | 207,665 | 298,391 | | 298,391 | 6,800 | 30,630 | 607,586 | | 607,586 |
| 1975 | 64,800 | | 64,800 | 395,995 | | 395,995 | 263,062 | | 263,062 | 34,700 | 25,457 | 784,014 | | 784,014 |
| 1976 | 67,800 | | 67,800 | 420,920 | | 420,920 | 305,709 | | 305,709 | 10,500 | 23,306 | 828,235 | | 828,235 |
| 1977 | 74,800 | | 74,800 | 259,100 | | 259,100 | 259,531 | | 259,531 | 1,400 | 25,416 | 620,247 | | 620,247 |
| 1978 | 151,700 | 15,100 | 166,800 | 355,500 | 35,500 | 391,000 | 148,817 | | 148,817 | 4,200 | 25,909 | 686,126 | 50,600 | 736,726 |
| 1979 | 203,300 | 20,300 | 223,600 | 398,000 | 39,800 | 437,800 | 152,323 | 500 | 152,823 | 7,000 | 21,932 | 782,555 | 60,600 | 843,155 |
| 1980 | 218,700 | 6,000 | 224,700 | 386,100 | 15,600 | 401,700 | 87,931 | | 87,931 | 8,300 | 12,280 | 713,311 | 21,600 | 734,911 |
| 1981 | 335,100 | 2,500 | 337,600 | 274,300 | 39,800 | 314,100 | 64,172 | 3,216 | 67,388 | 18,700 | 16,688 | 708,960 | 45,516 | 754,476 |
| 1982 | 340,400 | 4,100 | 344,500 | 257,800 | 20,800 | 278,600 | 35,033 | 450 | 35,483 | 37,600 | 21,076 | 691,909 | 25,350 | 717,259 |
| 1983 | 320,500 | 2,300 | 322,800 | 235,000 | 9,000 | 244,000 | 40,889 | 96 | 40,985 | 49,000 | 14,853 | 660,242 | 11,396 | 671,638 |
| 1984 | 306,100 | 1,600 | 307,700 | 161,400 | 10,500 | 171,900 | 43,696 | 202 | 43,898 | 98,222 | 20,208 | 629,626 | 12,302 | 641,928 |
| 1985 | 388,140 | 2,735 | 390,875 | 75,043 | 1,800 | 76,843 | 46,790 | 3,656 | 50,446 | 78,000 | 18,111 | 606,084 | 8,191 | 614,275 |
| 1986 | 104,100 | | 104,100 | 128,499 | | 128,499 | 236,309 | 7,431 | 243,740 | 101,000 | 24,789 | 594,697 | 7,431 | 602,128 |
| 1987 | 183,700 | | 183,700 | 100,300 | | 100,300 | 290,829 | 10,789 | 301,618 | 47,000 | 22,187 | 644,016 | 10,789 | 654,805 |
| 1988 | 115,600 | 3,100 | 118,700 | 75,600 | 2,700 | 78,300 | 308,550 | 29,766 | 338,316 | 120,404 | 24,772 | 644,926 | 35,566 | 680,492 |
| 1989 | 121,300 | 2,600 | 123,900 | 72,900 | 2,300 | 75,200 | 279,410 | 2,190 | 281,600 | 90,488 | 18,321 | 582,419 | 7,090 | 589,509 |
| 1990 | 114,800 | 5,800 | 120,600 | 56,300 | 5,500 | 61,800 | 300,800 | 4,300 | 305,100 | 118,700 | 21,311 | 611,911 | 15,600 | 627,511 |
| 1991 | 109,500 | 10,700 | 120,200 | 50,500 | 12,800 | 63,300 | 358,700 | 7,200 | 365,900 | 97,800 | 20,683 | 637,183 | 30,700 | 667,883 |
| 1992 | 141,906 | 9,620 | 151,526 | 72,153 | 12,400 | 84,553 | 364,184 | 2,980 | 367,164 | 139,062 | 18,046 | 735,351 | 25,000 | 760,351 |
| 1993 | 133,497 | 2,670 | 136,167 | 99,828 | 12,790 | 112,618 | 387,838 | 2,720 | 390 <i>,</i> 558 | 165,973 | 19,720 | 806,856 | 18,180 | 825,036 |
| 1994 | 134,338 | 1,390 | 135,728 | 113,088 | 2,830 | 115,918 | 471,247 | 1,150 | 472,397 | 72,309 | 25,043 | 816,025 | 5,370 | 821,395 |
| 1995 | 145,626 | 74 | 145,700 | 117,883 | 6,917 | 124,800 | 321,474 | 730 | 322,204 | 135,496 | 27,600 | 748,079 | 7,721 | 755,800 |
| 1996 | 129,895 | 255 | 130,150 | 73,351 | 9,773 | 83,124 | 211,451 | 1,387 | 212,838 | 103,376 | 34,123 | 552,196 | 11,415 | 563,611 |
| 1997 | 65,044 | 2,240 | 67,284 | 114,719 | 13,817 | 128,536 | 226,680 | 2,807 | 229,487 | 103,598 | 40,708 | 550,749 | 18,864 | 569,613 |
| 1998 | 110141 | 71 | 110,212 | 105,181 | 3,206 | 108,387 | 264,947 | 4,735 | 269,682 | 134,219 | 44,164 | 658,652 | 8,012 | 666,664 |
| 1999 ³ | 116,362 | | 116,362 | 94,290 | | 94,290 | 313,014 | | 313,014 | 72,848 | 43,796 | 640,311 | | 640,311 |

Table 2.2.1.1 NE Atlantic Mackerel catches by area (t). Discards not estimated prior to 1978 (Data submitted by Working Group members).

| Year | Si | ubarea VI | | Subarea VII and Divisions VIIIa,b,d,e | | | Subar | eas IV and | đ III ¹ | Subareas I,II,V & | Divs. VIIIc, | | Total | |
|-------|----------|-----------|---------|--|----------|---------|----------|------------|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------|----------|----------|------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | XIV ² | іла | | | |
| | Landings | Discards | Catch | Landings | Discards | Catch | Landings | Discards | Catch | Landings | Landings | Landings | Discards | Catch |
| 2000 | 187,595 | 1 | 187,595 | 115,566 | 1,918 | 117,484 | 285,567 | 165 | 304,898 | 92,557 | 36,074 | 736,524 | 2,084 | 738,608 |
| 2001 | 143,142 | 83 | 143,142 | 142,890 | 1,081 | 143,971 | 327,200 | 24 | 339,971 | 67,097 | 43,198 | 736,274 | 1,188 | 737,462 |
| 2002 | 136,847 | 12,931 | 149,778 | 102,484 | 2,260 | 104,744 | 375,708 | 8,583 | 394,878 | 73,929 | 49,576 | 749,131 | 23,774 | 772,905 |
| 2003 | 142,728 | 91 | 142,819 | 89,492 | | 89,492 | 334,639 | 9,390 | 357,766 | 53,701 | 25,823 | 660,119 | 9,481 | 669,600 |
| 2004 | 134,251 | 240 | 134,491 | 99,922 | 1,862 | 101,784 | 300,768 | 8,870 | 316,620 | 62,486 | 34,840 | 639,248 | 10,972 | 650,221 |
| 2005 | 79,960 | 11,400 | 91,361 | 90,278 | 5,878 | 96,156 | 249,740 | 2,482 | 252,223 | 54,129 | 49,618 | 523,726 | 19,760 | 543,486 |
| 2006 | 88,077 | 6,031 | 94,108 | 66,209 | 6,556 | 72,765 | 200,929 | 5,383 | 206,312 | 46,716 | 52,751 | 454,682 | 17,970 | 472,652 |
| 2007 | 110,788 | 405 | 111,193 | 71,235 | 2,024 | 73,259 | 253,013 | 6,187 | 259,200 | 72,891 | 62,834 | 570,761 | 8,616 | 579 <i>,</i> 379 |
| 20084 | 76,358 | 21,793 | 98,151 | 73,377 | 1,987 | 75,364 | 227,251 | 2,986 | 230,237 | 148,669 | 59,859 | 584,297 | 26,766 | 611,063 |
| 2009 | 135,468 | 1,255 | 136,723 | 88,287 | 4,387 | 92,674 | 226,928 | 7,212 | 234,140 | 163,604 | 107,747 | 732,034 | 12,854 | 734,889 |

Table 2.2.1.1 (Cont.)

1 - IIIb, IIId from 2000 onwards

2 - 1976–1985 Div IIa; 1986-1999 Divs IIa, Va; 2000-2008 Subareas I, II, V; 2009 Subareas I, II, V, XIV

3 - Discards reported as part of unallocated catches

4 - Data revised for Northern Ireland

| Country | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 |
|---------------------------------|--------|--------|---------|--------|---------|--------|---------|--------|---------|---------|----------|---------|---------|---------|
| Denmark | 11,787 | 7,610 | 1,653 | 3,133 | 4,265 | 6,433 | 6,800 | 1,098 | 251 | | | 4,746 | 3,198 | 37 |
| Estonia | | | | | | | | | 216 | | 3,302 | 1,925 | 3,741 | 4,422 |
| Faroe Islands | 137 | | | | 22 | 1,247 | 3,100 | 5,793 | 3,347 | 1,167 | 6,258 | 9,032 | 2,965 | 5,777 |
| France | | 16 | | | | 11 | | 23 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | | 270 |
| Germany, Fed. Rep. | | | 99 | | 380 | | | | | | | | | |
| Germany, Dem. Rep. | | | 16 | 292 | | 2,409 | | | | | | | 1 | |
| Iceland | | | | | | | | | | | | | 92 | 925 |
| Ireland | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Latvia | | | | | | | | | 100 | 4,700 | 1,508 | 389 | 233 | |
| Lithuania | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Netherlands | | | | | | | | | | | | | 561 | |
| Norway | 82,005 | 61,065 | 85,400 | 25,000 | 86,400 | 68,300 | 77,200 | 76,760 | 91,900 | 100,500 | 141,114 | 93,315 | 47,992 | 41,000 |
| Poland | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 22 |
| Sweden | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| United Kingdom | | | 2,131 | 157 | 1,413 | | 400 | 514 | 802 | | 1,706 | 194 | 48 | 938 |
| USSR (Russia from | 4,293 | 9,405 | 11,813 | 18,604 | 27,924 | 12,088 | 28,900 | 13,361 | 42,440 | 49,600 | 28,041 | 44,537 | 44,545 | 50,207 |
| Misreported (IVa) | | | | | | | | | | | -109,625 | -18,647 | | |
| Misreported (VIa) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Misreported (Un- Unallocated | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Discards | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | 98,222 | 78,096 | 101,112 | 47,186 | 120,404 | 90,488 | 118,700 | 97,819 | 139,062 | 165,973 | 72,309 | 135,496 | 103,376 | 103,598 |

Table 2.2.1.2 NE Atlantic Mackerel catch (t) in the Norwegian Sea (IIa) and Area V 1984–2009 (Data submitted by Working Group members).

| Tabl | le 2.2. | 1.2 | cont. |
|------|---------|-----|-------|
|------|---------|-----|-------|

| Country | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 |
|-------------------------|---------|---------|-----------------------------|--------|--------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|----------|
| Denmark | 2,090 | 106 | 1,375 | 7 | 1 | | | | | | | |
| Estonia | 7,356 | 3,595 | 2,673 | 219 | | | | | | | | |
| Faroe Islands | 2,716 | 3,011 | 5,546 | 3,272 | 4,730 | | 650 | 30 | | 278 | 123 | 2,992 |
| France | | | | | | | 2 | 1 | | | | |
| Germany, Fed. Rep. | | | | | | | | | | 7 | | |
| Germany, Dem. Rep. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Iceland | 357 | | | | 53 | 122 | | 363 | 4,222 | 36,706 | 112,286 | 116,1601 |
| Ireland | | 100 | | | | 495 | 471 | | | | | |
| Latvia | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lithuania | | | 2,085 | | | | | | | | | |
| Netherlands | | 661 | | | 569 | | 34 | 2,393 | | | 72 | |
| Norway | 54,477 | 53,821 | 31,778 | 21,971 | 22,670 | 12,5481 | 10,295 | 13,244 | 8,914 | 493 | 3,474 | 3,038 |
| Poland | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sweden | | | | 8 | | | | | | | | |
| United Kingdom | 199 | 662 | | 54 | 665 | 510 | 1,945 | | | | 4 | |
| USSR (Russia from 1990) | 67,201 | 51,003 | 49,1 00 ¹ | 41,566 | 45,811 | 40,026 | 49,489 | 40,491 | 33,580 | 35,408 | 32,728 | 41,4141 |
| Misreported (IVa) | -177 | -40,011 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Misreported (VIa) | | -100 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Misreported (Unknown) | | | | | -570 | | -400 | | | | | |
| Unallocated | | | | | | | | -2,393 | | -10 | -18 | |
| Discards | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | 134,219 | 72,848 | 92,557 | 67,097 | 73,929 | 53,701 | 62,486 | 54,129 | 46,716 | 72,882 | 148,669 | 163,604 |

1- Includes catches in subareas I,IIb,XIVa

| Country | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
|-------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------------------|---------|---------|---------------------------|
| Belgium | 20 | 37 | | 125 | 102 | 191 | 351 | 106 | 62 | 114 | 125 | 177 | 146 |
| Denmark | 32,588 | 26,831 | 29,000 | 38,834 | 41,719 | 42,502 | 47,852 | 30,891 | 24,057 | 21,934 | 25,326 | 29,353 | 27,720 |
| Estonia | | | | | 400 | | | | | | | | |
| Faroe Islands | | 2,685 | 5,900 | 5,338 | | 11,408 | 11,027 | 17,883 | 13,886 | 3,288 ² | 4,832 | 4,370 | 10,614 |
| France | 1,806 | 2,200 | 1,600 | 2,362 | 956 | 1,480 | 1,570 | 1,599 | 1,316 | 1,532 | 1,908 | 2,056 | 1,588 |
| Germany, Fed. Rep. | 177 | 6,312 | 3,500 | 4,173 | 4,610 | 4,940 | 1,497 | 712 | 542 | 213 | 423 | 473 | 78 |
| Iceland | | | | | | | | | | | | 357 | |
| Ireland | | 8,880 | 12,800 | 13,000 | 13,136 | 13,206 | 9,032 | 5,607 | 5,280 | 280 | 145 | 11,293 | 9,956 |
| Latvia | | | | | 211 | | | | | | | | |
| Netherlands | 2,564 | 7,343 | 13,700 | 4,591 | 6,547 | 7,770 | 3,637 | 1,275 | 1,996 | 951 | 1,373 | 2,819 | 2,262 |
| Norway | 59,750 | 81,400 | 74,500 | 102,350 | 115,700 | 112,700 | 114,428 | 108,890 | 88,444 | 96,300 | 103,700 | 106,917 | 142,320 |
| Poland | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Romania | | | | | | | 2,903 | | | | | | |
| Sweden | 1,003 | 6,601 | 6,400 | 4,227 | 5,100 | 5,934 | 7,099 | 6,285 | 5,307 | 4,714 | 5,146 | 5,233 | 4,994 ¹ |
| United Kingdom | 1,002 | 38,660 | 30,800 | 36,917 | 35,137 | 41,010 | 27,479 | 21,609 | 18,545 | 19,204 | 19,755 | 32,396 | 58,282 |
| USSR (Russia from 1990) | | | | | | | | | | 3,525 | 635 | 345 | 1,672 |
| Misreported (IIa) | | | | | | | 109,625 | 18,647 | | | | 40,000 | |
| Misreported (VIa) | 180,000 | 92,000 | 126,000 | 130,000 | 127,000 | 146,697 | 134,765 | 106,987 | 51,781 | 73,523 | 98,432 | 59,882 | 8,591 |
| Misreported (Unknown) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Unallocated | 29,630 | 6,461 | -3,400 | 16,758 | 13,566 | | | 983 | 236 | 1,102 | 3,147 | 17,344 | 34,761 |
| Discards | 29,776 | 2,190 | 4,300 | 7,200 | 2,980 | 2,720 | 1,150 | 730 | 1,387 | 2,807 | 4,753 | | 1,912 |
| Total | 338,316 | 281,600 | 305,100 | 365,875 | 367,164 | 390,558 | 472,397 | 322,204 | 212,839 | 229,487 | 269,700 | 313,015 | 304,896 |

Table 2.2.1.3 NE Atlantic Mackerel catch (t) in the North Sea, Skagerrak and Kattegat (Sub-area IV and IIIa) 1988-2009 (Data submitted by Working Group members).

| Tab | le 2.2.1.3 | cont. |
|-----|------------|-------|
|-----|------------|-------|

| Country | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 ¹ | 2008 ¹ | 2009 |
|-------------------------|---------|---------------------|---------|---------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------|
| Belgium | 97 | 22 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Denmark | 21,680 | 34,375 ¹ | 27,5081 | 25,665 | 23,212 ¹ | 24,219 ¹ | 25,2171 | 26,716 | 23,491 |
| Estonia | | | | | | | | | |
| Faroe Islands | 18,751 | 12,548 | 11,754 | 11,705 | 9,739 | 12,008 | 11,818 | 7,627 | 6,648 |
| France | 1,981 | 2,152 | 1,467 | 1,538 | 1,004 | 285 | 7,549 | 490 | 1,493 |
| Germany, Fed. Rep. | 4,514 | 3,902 | 4,859 | 4,514 | 4,442 | 2,389 | 5,383 | 4,668 | 5,158 |
| Iceland | | | | | | | | | |
| Ireland | 10,284 | 20,715 | 17,145 | 18,901 | 15,605 | 4,125 | 13,337 | 11,628 | 12,901 |
| Latvia | | | | | | | | | |
| Netherlands | 2,441 | 11,044 | 6,784 | 6,366 | 3,915 | 4,093 | 5,973 | 1,980 | 2,039 |
| Norway | 158,401 | 161,621 | 150,858 | 147,069 | 106,434 | 113,079 | 131,191 | 114,102 | 118,070 |
| Poland | | | | | 109 | | | | |
| Romania | | | | | | | | | |
| Sweden | 5,090 | 5,232 ¹ | 4,450 | 4,437 | 3,204 | 3,209 | 3,8581 | 3,6641 | 7,3031 |
| United Kingdom | 52,988 | 61,781 | 51,736 | 50,474 | 37,118 | 28,628 | 46,264 | 37,055 | 47,863 |
| USSR (Russia from 1990) | 1 | | | | 4 | | | | |
| Misreported (IIa) | | | | | | | | | |
| Misreported (VIa) | 39,024 | 49,918 | 46,407 | 18,480 | 37,911 | 8,719 | | 17,280 | 1,959 |
| Misreported (Unknown) | | | | | | | | | |
| Unallocated | 24,873 | 22,985 | 25,405 | 18,597 | 7,043 | 171 | 2,421 | 2,039 | -629 |
| Discards | 24 | 8,583 | 9,390 | 8,870 | 2,482 | 5,383 | 6,187 | 2,986 | 7,212 |
| Total | 339,970 | 394,878 | 357,765 | 316,620 | 252,223 | 206,311 | 259,199 | 230,237 | 234,140 |

1-includes small catches in IIIb and IIId
| Country | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
|-----------------------|---------|----------|----------|----------|---------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|---------|
| Belgium | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Denmark | 400 | 300 | 100 | | 1,000 | | 1,573 | 194 | | 2,239 | 1,143 | 1,271 |
| Estonia | | | | | | | | | | | 361 | |
| Faroe Islands | 9,900 | 1,400 | 7,100 | 2,600 | 1,100 | 1,000 | | | | 4,283 | 4,284 | |
| France | 7,400 | 11,200 | 11,100 | 8,900 | 12,700 | 17,400 | 4,095 | | 2,350 | 9,998 | 10,178 | 14,347 |
| Germany, Fed. Rep. | 11,800 | 7,700 | 13,300 | 15,900 | 16,200 | 18,100 | 10,364 | 9,109 | 8,296 | 25,011 | 23,703 | 15,685 |
| Guernsey | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ireland | 91,400 | 74,500 | 89,500 | 85,800 | 61,100 | 61,500 | 17,138 | 21,952 | 23,776 | 79,996 | 72,927 | 49,033 |
| Jersey | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lithuania | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Netherlands | 37,000 | 58,900 | 31,700 | 26,100 | 24,000 | 24,500 | 64,827 | 76,313 | 81,773 | 40,698 | 34,514 | 34,203 |
| Norway | 24,300 | 21,000 | 21,600 | 17,300 | 700 | | 29,156 | 32,365 | 44,600 | 2,552 | | |
| Poland | | | | | | | | | 600 | | | |
| Spain | | | | 1,500 | 1,400 | 400 | 4,020 | 2,764 | 3,162 | 4,126 | 4,509 | 2,271 |
| United Kingdom | 205,900 | 156,300 | 200,700 | 208,400 | 149,100 | 162,700 | 162,588 | 196,890 | 215,265 | 208,656 | 190,344 | 127,612 |
| Misreported (IVa) | | -148,000 | -117,000 | -180,000 | -92,000 | -126,000 | -130,000 | -127,000 | -146,697 | -134,765 | -106,987 | -51,781 |
| Misreported (Unknown) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Unallocated | 75,100 | 49,299 | 26,000 | 4,700 | 18,900 | 11,500 | -3,802 | 1,472 | | 4,632 | 28,245 | 10,603 |
| Discards | 4,500 | | | 5,800 | 4,900 | 11,300 | 23,550 | 22,020 | 15,660 | 4,220 | 6,991 | 10,028 |
| Total | 467,700 | 232,599 | 284,100 | 197,000 | 199,100 | 182,400 | 183,509 | 236,079 | 248,785 | 251,646 | 270,212 | 213,272 |

Table 2.2.1.4 NE Atlantic Mackerel catch (t) in the Western area (Sub-areas VI and VII and Divisions VIIIa,b,d,e) 1985–2009 (Data submitted by Working Group members).

Table 2.2.1.4 cont.

| Country | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 |
|-----------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------|--------|---------------------|---------|
| Belgium | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | 1 |
| Denmark | | | 552 | 82 | 835 | | 392 | | | | 6 | 10 | |
| Estonia | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Faroe Islands | 2,4481 | 3,681 | 4,239 | 4,863 | 2,161 | 2,490 | 2,260 | 674 | | 59 | 1,333 | 3,539 | 4,421 |
| France | 19,114 | 15,927 | 14,311 | 17,857 | 18,975 | 19,726 | 21,213 | 18,549 | 15,182 | 14,625 | 12,434 | 14,944 | 16,464 |
| Germany, Fed. Rep. | 15,161 | 20,989 | 19,476 | 22,901 | 20,793 | 22,630 | 19,202 | 18,730 | 14,598 | 14,219 | 12,831 | 10,834 | 17,545 |
| Guernsey | | | | | | | | | | 10 | | | |
| Ireland | 52,849 | 66,505 | 48,282 | 61,277 | 60,168 | 51,457 | 49,715 | 41,730 | 30,082 | 36,539 | 35,923 | 33,131 | 48,155 |
| Jersey | | | | | | | | | 9 | 8 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| Lithuania | | | | | | | | | | 95 | 7 | | |
| Netherlands | 22,749 | 28,790 | 25,141 | 30,123 | 33,654 | 21,831 | 23,640 | 21,132 | 18,819 | 20,064 | 18,261 | 17,920 | 20,900 |
| Norway | 223 | | | | | | | | | | 7 | 3,948 | 121 |
| Poland | | | | | | | | | 461 | | 978 | | |
| Spain | 7,842 | 3,340 | 4,120 | 4,500 | 4,063 | 3,483 | 735 | 2,081 | 4,795 | 4,048 | 2,772 | 7,327 | 8,462 |
| United Kingdom | 128,836 | 165,994 | 127,094 | 126,620 | 139,589 | 131,599 | 130,762 | 122,31 | 115,68 | 67,187 | 87,424 | 76,306 ¹ | 109,147 |
| Misreported (IVa) | -73,523 | -98,255 | -59,982 | -3,775 | -39,024 | -43,339 | -46,407 | -18,049 | -37,911 | -8,719 | | -17,280 | -1,959 |
| Misreported (Unknown) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Unallocated | 4,577 | 8,351 | 21,652 | 31,564 | 37,952 | 27,558 | 33,767 | 27,999 | 8,521 | 4,783 | 10,042 | -952 | 490 |
| Discards | 16,057 | 3,277 | | 1,920 | 1,164 | 15,191 | 91 | 2,102 | 17,278 | 12,587 | 2,428 | 23,780 | 5,642 |
| Total | 196,110 | 218,599 | 204,885 | 297,932 | 280,553 | 252,620 | 235,370 | 237,26 | 187,51 | 166,87 | 184,45 | 173,51 | 229,397 |

1 – Catches revised for Northern Ireland

| Country | DIV | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 198 | 5 1986 | 1987 | 1988 |
|----------|-------|--------|--------|--------|---------|------------|--------|--------|---------|-------|----------|--------|--------|
| France | VIIIc | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Poland | IXa | 8 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Portugal | IXa | 1,743 | 1,555 | 1,071 | 1,929 | 3,108 | 3,018 | 2,239 | 2,250 | 4,17 | 6,419 | 5,714 | 4,388 |
| Spain | VIIIc | 19,852 | 18,543 | 15,013 | 11,316 | 12,834 | 15,621 | 10,390 | 13,852 | 11,81 | 0 16,533 | 15,982 | 16,844 |
| Spain | IXa | 2,935 | 6,221 | 6,280 | 2,719 | 2,111 | 2,437 | 2,224 | 4,206 | 2,12 | .3 1,837 | 491 | 3,540 |
| USSR | IXa | 2,879 | 189 | 111 | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | IXa | 7,565 | 7,965 | 7,462 | 4,648 | 5,219 | 5,455 | 4,463 | 6,456 | 6,30 | 1 8,256 | 6,205 | 7,928 |
| Total | | 27,417 | 26,508 | 22,475 | 15,964 | 18,053 | 21,076 | 14,853 | 20,308 | 18,11 | 1 24,789 | 22,187 | 24,772 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Country | DIV | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 199 | 7 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| France | VIIIc | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Poland | IXa | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Portugal | IXa | 3,112 | 3,819 | 2,789 | 3,576 | 2,015 | 2,158 | 2,893 | 3,023 | 2,08 | 0 2,897 | 2,002 | 2,253 |
| Spain | VIIIc | 13,446 | 16,086 | 16,940 | 12,043 | 16,675 | 21,246 | 23,631 | 28,386 | 35,01 | 5 36,174 | 37,631 | 30,061 |
| Spain | IXa | 1,763 | 1,406 | 1,051 | 2,427 | 1,027 | 1,741 | 1,025 | 2,714 | 3,61 | 3 5,093 | 4,164 | 3,760 |
| USSR | IXa | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | IXa | 4,875 | 5,225 | 3,840 | 6,003 | 3,042 | 3,899 | 3,918 | 5,737 | 5,69 | 3 7,990 | 6,165 | 6,013 |
| Total | | 18,321 | 21,311 | 20,780 | 18,046 | 19,719 | 25,045 | 27,549 | 34,123 | 40,70 | 8 44,164 | 43,796 | 36,074 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Country | DIV | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 200 | 5 20 | 006 2 | .007 | 2008 | 2009 | | |
| France | VIIIc | | | 226 | 5 177 | 7 15 | 51 | 43 | 55 | 168 | 383 | | |
| Poland | IXa | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Portugal | IXa | 3,119 | 2,934 | 2,749 | 2,289 | 9 1,50 |)9 2, | 620 2 | ,605 | 2,381 | 1,753 | | |
| Spain | VIIIc | 38,205 | 38,703 | 17,381 | 28,428 | 3 42,85 | 51 43, | 063 53 | 6,401 5 | 0,455 | 91,043 | | |
| Spain | IXa | 1,874 | 7,938 | 5,646 | 5 3,946 | 5 5,10 |)7 7, | 025 6 | ,773 | 6,855 | 14,569 | | |
| USSR | IXa | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | IXa | 4,993 | 10,873 | 8,395 | 6,234 | 4 6,61 | 16 9, | 645 9 | ,378 | 9,236 | 16,322 | | |
| Total | | 43,198 | 49,575 | 26,002 | 34,840 |) 49,61 | 18 52, | 751 62 | ,834 5 | 9,859 | 107,748 | | |

| Table 2.2.1.5 NE Atlantic Mackerel catch (t) in Divisions | VIIIc and IXa, 1977–2009 (Data su | ıbmitted by Working | Group members) |
|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------|----------------|
|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------|----------------|

| Country | Details | Length (metres) | Engine power | Gear | Storage | Discard est | No |
|---------------|---------|--------------------|--------------|-----------------------|---------------|----------------|------|
| Denmark | Y | 57-63 | 4077-8188 | Trawl | Tank | N | 5 |
| | | 57-77 | 2475-6689 | Purse Seine | Tank | Ν | 6 |
| Faroe Islands | Y | 84 | 6000 kW | Purse Seine/Trawl | Freezer | N | 1 |
| | | 90 | 6468 kW | Trawl | Freezer | Ν | 1 |
| | | 56 | 1213 kW | Purse Seine | RSW | Ν | 1 |
| | | 60-75 | 1540-8000 kW | Purse Seine/Trawl | RSW | Ν | 6 |
| France | N | | | Pelagic Trawl | Dry Hold | N | 9 |
| France | Ν | | | Pelagic Trawl | Freezer | Ν | 3 |
| Germany | Y | 86-140 | 3600-12000 | Single Midwater Trawl | Freezer | Y | 4 |
| Iceland | Y | <50 | 951-1300 | Pair Trawl | Fresh | Y | 3 |
| | | 50-59 | 3060 | Pair Trawl | RSW | Y | 1 |
| | | 60-69 | 2996-7505 | Single Midwater Trawl | RSW/Freezer | Y | 9 |
| | | 70-79 | 3308-11257 | Single Midwater Trawl | RSW/Freezer | Y | 10 |
| Ireland | Y | 13-58 | 160-2500 | Midwater Trawl | Dryhold | Ν | 3 |
| | | 53-120 | 1007-6600 | Midwater Trawl | RSW | Ν | 4 |
| | | 24-34 | 700-736 | Pair Midwater Trawl | RSW | Ν | 4 |
| | | 27-71 | 670-3460 | Pair Midwater Trawl | RSW | Ν | 17 |
| | | 16-37 | 171-1119 | Pair Midwater Trawl | Dryhold | Ν | 24 |
| Netherlands | Y | 55 | 2890 | Pair Midwater Trawl | Freezer | Y | 2 |
| | | 88-145 | 4400-10455 | Single Midwater Trawl | Freezer | Y | 1 |
| Norway | Y | >27 | | Purse Seine | | Ν | 80 |
| Norway | Y | 21-27 | | Purse Seine | | Ν | 17 |
| Norway | Y | <21 | | Purse Seine | | Ν | 164 |
| Norway | Y | | | Trawler | | Ν | 21 |
| Norway | Y | | | Handline/Gillnet | | Ν | 155 |
| Russia | Y | 55-80 | 1000-5000+ | Single Midwater Trawl | Freezer | Ν | 38 |
| Spain | Y | 20-35 | 200-800 | Trawl | Dry hold, ice | Ν | 122 |
| | | 8-38 | 25-1100 | Purse Seine | Dry hold, ice | Ν | 306 |
| | | 4–27 | 5-750 | Artisanal: Hook | Dry hold, ice | Ν | 370 |
| | | 2-34 | 4-900 | Artisanal: Others | Dry hold, ice | Ν | 4587 |
| Sweden | Ν | | | | | Ν | |
| UK (E&W) | Y | 92.05 | 5053.5 | Pair Midwater Trawl | Freezer | Ν | 2 |
| UK (E&W) | Y | 47.3 | 1992 | Midwater Trawl | RSW | Ν | 3 |
| UK (NI) | Ν | | | | | Ν | |
| Scotland | Y | 45-76 | 2149-10728 | Trawl | RSW | Y | 25 |

Table 2.2.3.1. NEA Mackerel. Pelagic fleet composition in 2009 of major mackerel catching nations.

Table 2.3.1.1 NE Atlantic Mackerel. Catch numbers (000's) at age by area for 2009.

| Quarters | 1-4 |
|----------|-----|
|----------|-----|

SOP%

99.14%

99.95%

100.02%

99.50%

99.84%

98.78%

100.43%

99.67%

| Ages | IIa | IIb | IIIa | IIIb | IIId | IVa | IVb | IVc |
|-------|----------|---------|-----------|---------|----------|----------|---------|---------|
| 0 | 42.22 | 0.00 | 21.21 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 1778.85 | 0.72 | 0.00 |
| 1 | 77.34 | 0.00 | 39.78 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 3501.18 | 18.86 | 41.42 |
| 2 | 5161.73 | 0.83 | 157.80 | 0.39 | 0.71 | 21432.50 | 455.80 | 73.99 |
| 3 | 47499.37 | 10.29 | 1144.99 | 1.80 | 3.25 | 150109.3 | 1294.10 | 173.87 |
| 4 | 70931.16 | 16.43 | 1459.58 | 1.67 | 3.05 | 193108.0 | 598.68 | 108.48 |
| 5 | 43985.04 | 9.84 | 688.43 | 0.75 | 1.38 | 94662.21 | 188.92 | 25.14 |
| 6 | 17040.44 | 2.37 | 210.18 | 0.22 | 0.48 | 42404.43 | 119.45 | 43.14 |
| 7 | 11642.91 | 1.82 | 284.23 | 0.30 | 0.57 | 43407.52 | 47.12 | 34.11 |
| 8 | 5407.78 | 0.59 | 110.88 | 0.13 | 0.24 | 17169.58 | 40.72 | 44.42 |
| 9 | 878.03 | 0.13 | 44.93 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 6331.37 | 1.60 | 9.48 |
| 10 | 378.92 | 0.08 | 29.81 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 4687.35 | 2.65 | 0.00 |
| 11 | 377.99 | 0.06 | 8.48 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 2410.73 | 0.31 | 0.00 |
| 12 | 160.65 | 0.02 | 5.62 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 925.33 | 0.20 | 0.00 |
| 13 | 140.32 | 0.01 | 3.07 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 333.49 | 0.11 | 0.00 |
| 14 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 20.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 15 | 2.72 | 0.00 | 1.36 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 99.15 | 0.05 | 0.00 |
| SOP | 79149.62 | 15.60 | 1685.78 | 2.06 | 3.79 | 233045.7 | 897.15 | 172.12 |
| Catch | 79234.37 | 15.60 | 1682.38 | 2.04 | 3.75 | 231396.7 | 885.18 | 170.62 |
| SOP% | 99.89% | 99.99% | 100.20% | 100.96% | 101.05% | 100.71% | 101.35% | 100.88% |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Ages | Va | Vb | VIa | VIIa | VIIb | VIIc | VIId | VIIe |
| 0 | 1346.16 | 5.69 | 1.68 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1 | 571.61 | 0.00 | 4136.66 | 1013.04 | 1090.16 | 2.21 | 5127.27 | 1825.27 |
| 2 | 11462.37 | 511.28 | 28806.82 | 1040.96 | 6541.88 | 70.19 | 1950.08 | 1977.34 |
| 3 | 47785.57 | 3517.75 | 84768.43 | 382.17 | 20388.66 | 196.30 | 1858.22 | 954.08 |
| 4 | 66580.63 | 4316.73 | 110171.91 | 125.30 | 19634.50 | 217.69 | 1909.07 | 656.98 |
| 5 | 36407.26 | 2812.67 | 57304.43 | 6.32 | 6796.78 | 112.97 | 1192.85 | 242.28 |
| 6 | 22838.72 | 1021.51 | 47897.00 | 114.50 | 8897.12 | 84.77 | 745.71 | 349.61 |
| 7 | 11706.30 | 91.13 | 45107.85 | 2.12 | 6778.83 | 58.14 | 537.38 | 102.24 |
| 8 | 4034.56 | 271.52 | 18387.59 | 223.55 | 1481.01 | 18.71 | 457.66 | 468.68 |
| 9 | 1740.06 | 165.81 | 8399.40 | 0.73 | 762.03 | 3.08 | 204.41 | 20.95 |
| 10 | 575.15 | 1.63 | 4376.44 | 0.59 | 404.88 | 2.79 | 0.03 | 7.04 |
| 11 | 386.29 | 1.69 | 1598.33 | 0.30 | 169.41 | 0.84 | 46.73 | 8.39 |
| 12 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 1201.20 | 0.22 | 102.29 | 1.15 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
| 13 | 1.59 | 0.00 | 592.32 | 0.00 | 15.74 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 14 | 1.43 | 0.00 | 100.19 | 0.01 | 22.30 | 0.65 | 0.00 | 2.15 |
| 15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.99 | 0.01 | 0.93 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.15 |
| SOP | 78477.11 | 4662.28 | 136747.48 | 769.37 | 22900.97 | 236.12 | 3507.31 | 1738.19 |
| Catch | 79154 40 | 4664 52 | 136722 75 | 773 24 | 22937 54 | 239.03 | 3492 14 | 1743 89 |

| Ages | VIIf | VIIg | VIIh | VIIj | VIIk | VIIIa | VIIIb |
|-------|--------|---------|---------|----------|---------|---------|----------|
| 0 | 0.52 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 343.47 | 82.70 |
| 1 | 338.30 | 0.87 | 1.93 | 287.02 | 0.00 | 1750.08 | 1026.39 |
| 2 | 507.97 | 4.22 | 15.07 | 2687.28 | 0.00 | 924.98 | 1494.02 |
| 3 | 393.76 | 11.06 | 165.17 | 31034.24 | 0.02 | 739.02 | 2824.98 |
| 4 | 376.08 | 7.10 | 165.24 | 49506.25 | 0.02 | 1499.23 | 7448.80 |
| 5 | 178.28 | 12.49 | 53.05 | 18770.17 | 0.02 | 1015.47 | 5187.21 |
| 6 | 117.19 | 2.04 | 50.20 | 16977.27 | 0.01 | 2134.19 | 9550.17 |
| 7 | 56.30 | 1.74 | 19.24 | 12530.07 | 0.02 | 1454.64 | 7055.14 |
| 8 | 59.30 | 2.41 | 6.79 | 3171.57 | 0.01 | 556.68 | 2382.82 |
| 9 | 3.08 | 0.19 | 3.28 | 1929.44 | 0.00 | 228.03 | 1085.95 |
| 10 | 1.69 | 0.08 | 1.80 | 757.15 | 0.00 | 114.61 | 520.15 |
| 11 | 6.57 | 0.07 | 0.64 | 541.20 | 0.00 | 42.88 | 207.84 |
| 12 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.26 | 128.05 | 0.00 | 13.65 | 66.79 |
| 13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 11.61 | 55.46 |
| 14 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 1.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 15 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 1.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| SOP | 461.68 | 11.73 | 149.65 | 43083.59 | 0.04 | 3180.44 | 12772.76 |
| Catch | 461.71 | 11.68 | 149.54 | 43773.59 | 0.04 | 3177.54 | 12749.91 |
| SOP% | 99.99% | 100.42% | 100.07% | 98.42% | 108.59% | 100.09% | 100.18% |

| Ages | VIIIcE | VIIIcW | VIIId | IXaN | IXaCN | XIVa | Total |
|-------|----------|----------|---------|----------|---------|--------|-----------|
| 0 | 291.57 | 2746.62 | 0.00 | 5756.87 | 4123.65 | 18.01 | 16559.99 |
| 1 | 1209.67 | 6165.40 | 0.00 | 5904.77 | 673.37 | 0.00 | 34802.75 |
| 2 | 6433.37 | 8124.35 | 259.87 | 6803.32 | 1779.39 | 43.73 | 108722.21 |
| 3 | 21124.36 | 15693.42 | 2332.14 | 11538.13 | 2130.17 | 210.95 | 448285.60 |
| 4 | 52928.38 | 16017.28 | 3851.23 | 12667.33 | 486.78 | 370.45 | 615164.08 |
| 5 | 36649.79 | 5529.03 | 3059.60 | 5266.35 | 160.25 | 264.97 | 320583.96 |
| 6 | 43377.76 | 4247.46 | 671.01 | 4391.12 | 93.13 | 210.95 | 223592.17 |
| 7 | 42318.01 | 4423.18 | 465.45 | 4993.80 | 100.19 | 90.04 | 193310.36 |
| 8 | 14825.01 | 1542.82 | 596.01 | 1911.92 | 84.35 | 38.59 | 73295.90 |
| 9 | 6206.08 | 595.74 | 9.38 | 837.32 | 69.23 | 20.58 | 29550.42 |
| 10 | 2342.97 | 245.36 | 7.74 | 350.18 | 46.58 | 5.15 | 14860.93 |
| 11 | 1155.48 | 151.86 | 3.86 | 226.26 | 78.13 | 5.15 | 7429.49 |
| 12 | 380.66 | 55.30 | 2.88 | 67.81 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3112.15 |
| 13 | 309.55 | 51.18 | 0.00 | 55.93 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1570.42 |
| 14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 148.34 |
| 15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 111.60 |
| SOP | 76053.43 | 15419.62 | 3157.87 | 14574.41 | 1752.66 | 529.56 | 733318.79 |
| Catch | 75973.85 | 15451.88 | 3164.00 | 14568.92 | 1752.62 | 535.00 | 734888.44 |
| SOP% | 100.10% | 99.79% | 99.81% | 100.04% | 100.00% | 98.98% | 99.79% |

Table 2.3.1.1 NE Atlantic Mackerel. Catch numbers (000's) at age by area for 2009 (cont.).

| Ages | IIa | IIb | IIIa | IIIb | Ша | IVa | IVb | IVc |
|-------|-----|--------|-----------|--------|----------|--------|---------|---------|
| 0 | 110 | 110 | 0.00 | 1110 | 1110 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1 | | | 0.07 | | | 0.70 | 0.00 | 0.05 |
| 2 | | | 1.22 | | | 21.81 | 0.03 | 0.95 |
| 3 | | | 3.04 | | | 60.64 | 0.07 | 2.37 |
| 4 | | | 0.61 | | | 72.20 | 0.01 | 0.47 |
| 5 | | | 0.07 | | | 37.74 | 0.00 | 0.05 |
| 6 | | | 0.00 | | | 27.69 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 7 | | | 0.00 | | | 19.39 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 8 | | | 0.07 | | | 6.32 | 0.00 | 0.05 |
| 9 | | | 0.00 | | | 1.18 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 10 | | | 0.00 | | | 1.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 11 | | | 0.00 | | | 0.29 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 12 | | | 0.00 | | | 0.38 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 13 | | | 0.00 | | | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 14 | | | 0.00 | | | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 15 | | | 0.00 | | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| SOP | | | 1.33 | | | 77.24 | 0.03 | 1.04 |
| Catch | | | 1.29 | | | 78.10 | 0.03 | 1.00 |
| SOP% | | | 103.42% | | | 98.90% | 96.55% | 103.83% |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Ages | Va | Vb | VIa | VIIa | VIIb | VIIc | VIId | VIIe |
| 0 | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1 | | 0.00 | 225.08 | 0.00 | 51.50 | 2.21 | 311.69 | 18.52 |
| 2 | | 0.00 | 5904.39 | 0.00 | 2464.62 | 70.19 | 152.14 | 9.79 |
| 3 | | 1.40 | 41760.80 | 0.01 | 15419.73 | 196.30 | 499.70 | 35.44 |
| 4 | | 7.48 | 91827.59 | 0.01 | 18228.18 | 217.69 | 613.49 | 51.39 |
| 5 | | 0.81 | 51199.50 | 0.00 | 6471.61 | 112.97 | 373.54 | 34.41 |
| 6 | | 0.14 | 44976.09 | 0.00 | 8735.55 | 84.77 | 230.06 | 22.82 |
| 7 | | 0.00 | 44224.77 | 0.00 | 6635.95 | 58.14 | 129.87 | 14.54 |
| 8 | | 0.00 | 17952.53 | 0.00 | 1463.63 | 18.71 | 122.45 | 12.61 |
| 9 | | 0.00 | 8185.44 | 0.00 | 749.72 | 3.08 | 61.84 | 3.95 |
| 10 | | 0.00 | 4360.07 | 0.00 | 396.97 | 2.79 | 0.00 | 0.14 |
| 11 | | 0.00 | 1594.07 | 0.00 | 164.55 | 0.84 | 16.08 | 1.73 |
| 12 | | 0.00 | 1195.41 | 0.00 | 99.91 | 1.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 13 | | 0.00 | 592.08 | 0.00 | 15.72 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 14 | | 0.00 | 96.77 | 0.00 | 21.34 | 0.65 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 15 | | 0.00 | 3.88 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| SOP | | 3.35 | 111448.66 | 0.01 | 20116.27 | 236.12 | 677.75 | 55.52 |
| Catch | | 3.35 | 110086.52 | 0.01 | 20121.37 | 239.03 | 667.92 | 55.11 |
| COD0/ | | 99.95% | 101.24% | 60.83% | 99.97% | 98.78% | 101.47% | 100.74% |

| Ages | VIIf | VIIg | VIIh | VIIi | VIIk | VIIIa | VIIIb |
|-------|---------|---------|---------|----------|------|---------|----------|
| 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1 | 0.86 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 241.28 | | 156.91 | 714.63 |
| 2 | 0.97 | 0.01 | 1.69 | 2442.50 | | 232.28 | 1322.55 |
| 3 | 2.69 | 0.06 | 24.64 | 30670.99 | | 346.09 | 2428.77 |
| 4 | 3.84 | 0.05 | 45.11 | 49207.67 | | 1129.43 | 6735.54 |
| 5 | 2.29 | 0.02 | 18.53 | 18475.68 | | 842.06 | 4809.11 |
| 6 | 1.74 | 0.02 | 7.61 | 16747.58 | | 1734.53 | 8740.61 |
| 7 | 1.11 | 0.01 | 4.19 | 12367.09 | | 1214.13 | 6524.91 |
| 8 | 0.96 | 0.00 | 3.81 | 3121.52 | | 407.79 | 2207.84 |
| 9 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 1869.93 | | 190.21 | 1002.93 |
| 10 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 709.76 | | 96.17 | 480.79 |
| 11 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 516.88 | | 37.80 | 196.48 |
| 12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 110.96 | | 12.11 | 63.35 |
| 13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | 9.85 | 51.52 |
| 14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| SOP | 3.86 | 0.05 | 29.91 | 42492.10 | | 2141.37 | 11668.70 |
| Catch | 3.84 | 0.05 | 29.91 | 43136.23 | | 2137.03 | 11646.88 |
| SOP% | 100.55% | 104.21% | 100.00% | 98.51% | | 100.20% | 100.19% |

| Ages | VIIIcE | VIIIcW | VIIId | IXaN | IXaCN | XIVa | Total |
|-------|----------|----------|---------|----------|---------|------|-----------|
| 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | 0.00 |
| 1 | 431.97 | 1512.15 | 0.00 | 614.12 | 23.43 | | 4305.23 |
| 2 | 5017.82 | 6006.84 | 97.26 | 4623.17 | 408.96 | | 28779.17 |
| 3 | 16879.14 | 12891.99 | 1556.16 | 8819.81 | 687.67 | | 132287.50 |
| 4 | 43838.36 | 13115.50 | 3209.57 | 9641.64 | 189.66 | | 238135.51 |
| 5 | 30843.02 | 4368.48 | 1361.63 | 4324.36 | 76.64 | | 123352.52 |
| 6 | 36729.63 | 3072.94 | 486.30 | 3895.11 | 28.49 | | 125521.69 |
| 7 | 35920.45 | 3000.63 | 291.77 | 4554.35 | 47.74 | | 115009.04 |
| 8 | 12639.59 | 807.19 | 291.77 | 1817.88 | 8.49 | | 40883.22 |
| 9 | 5275.32 | 241.73 | 0.00 | 803.74 | 18.49 | | 18407.85 |
| 10 | 1993.98 | 86.84 | 0.00 | 340.55 | 16.72 | | 8485.87 |
| 11 | 976.06 | 43.76 | 0.00 | 219.49 | 35.24 | | 3803.41 |
| 12 | 321.61 | 15.82 | 0.00 | 65.61 | 0.00 | | 1886.31 |
| 13 | 260.03 | 15.15 | 0.00 | 54.08 | 0.00 | | 998.49 |
| 14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | 118.97 |
| 15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | 3.88 |
| SOP | 63854.97 | 10594.18 | 2030.00 | 10901.39 | 364.64 | | 276660.03 |
| Catch | 63778.67 | 10614.31 | 2030.00 | 10903.08 | 364.61 | | 277097.34 |
| SOP% | 100.12% | 99.81% | 100.00% | 99.98% | 100.01% | | 99.84% |

| Ages | IIa | IIb | IIIa | IIIb | IIId | IVa | IVb | IVc |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|---|
| 0 | 0.00 | | 1.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 13.34 | 0.59 | 0.00 |
| 1 | 0.00 | | 2.80 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 24.67 | 17.36 | 30.45 |
| 2 | 785.84 | | 22.69 | 0.22 | 0.38 | 88.37 | 292.85 | 47.57 |
| 3 | 8251.30 | | 202.42 | 0.54 | 0.95 | 627.55 | 789.68 | 123.86 |
| 4 | 11132.71 | | 158.01 | 0.11 | 0.19 | 864.72 | 205.66 | 55.76 |
| 5 | 7989.35 | | 68.42 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 411.23 | 43.99 | 9.26 |
| 6 | 3667.24 | | 25.86 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 121.02 | 8.93 | 21.40 |
| 7 | 2357.51 | | 19.89 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 174.20 | 8.97 | 21.36 |
| 8 | 1047.78 | | 7.25 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 68.52 | 19.20 | 30.44 |
| 9 | 130.97 | | 2.34 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 28.19 | 1.25 | 6.83 |
| 10 | 0.00 | | 2.30 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 18.09 | 1.07 | 0.00 |
| 11 | 0.00 | | 0.42 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.99 | 0.22 | 0.00 |
| 12 | 0.00 | | 0.29 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.48 | 0.16 | 0.00 |
| 13 | 0.00 | | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.93 | 0.09 | 0.00 |
| 14 | 0.00 | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 15 | 0.00 | | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.86 | 0.04 | 0.00 |
| SOP | 13819.56 | | 208.59 | 0.24 | 0.42 | 979.76 | 393.92 | 103.24 |
| Catch | 13682.29 | | 206.33 | 0.23 | 0.41 | 979.49 | 383.28 | 101.74 |
| SOP% | 101.00% | | 101.09% | 103.42% | 101.41% | 100.03% | 102.78% | 101.47% |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Ages | Va | Vb | VIa | VIIa | VIIb | VIIc | VIId | VIIe |
| 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1 | 571.61 | 0.00 | 0.96 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 593.84 | 55.43 | 571.61 |
| 2 | 8193.12 | 497 46 | 4.33 | 1.08 | 13.28 | 289.91 | 146.58 | Q102 12 |
| 3 | | 177.10 | | 1.00 | 10.20 | | | 0195.12 |
| 1 | 32010.35 | 2489.71 | 82.20 | 2.33 | 30.78 | 952.12 | 241.90 | 32010.35 |
| 4 | 32010.35 38869.71 | 2489.71 1826.81 | 82.20 290.34 | 2.33 3.30 | 30.78 37.58 | 952.12 1168.98 | 241.90 269.88 | 32010.35 38869.71 |
| 4 5 | 32010.35 38869.71 16576.79 | 2489.71 1826.81 1493.40 | 82.20 290.34 199.74 | 2.33 3.30 3.13 | 30.78 37.58 35.51 | 952.12 1168.98 711.84 | 241.90 269.88 117.17 | 32010.35 38869.71 16576.79 |
| 4 5 6 | 32010.35 38869.71 16576.79 7049.90 | 2489.71 1826.81 1493.40 331.99 | 82.20 290.34 199.74 118.66 | 2.33 3.30 3.13 2.82 | 30.78 37.58 35.51 33.26 | 952.12 1168.98 711.84 438.46 | 241.90 269.88 117.17 87.69 | 32010.35 38869.71 16576.79 7049.90 |
| 4 5 6 7 | 32010.35 38869.71 16576.79 7049.90 4953.98 | 2489.71 1826.81 1493.40 331.99 0.28 | 82.20 290.34 199.74 118.66 97.38 | 2.33 3.30 3.13 2.82 1.83 | 30.78 37.58 35.51 33.26 20.94 | 952.12 1168.98 711.84 438.46 247.54 | 241.90 269.88 117.17 87.69 45.70 | 32010.35 38869.71 16576.79 7049.90 4953.98 |
| 4 5 6 7 8 | 32010.35 38869.71 16576.79 7049.90 4953.98 1143.23 | 2489.71 1826.81 1493.40 331.99 0.28 0.06 | 82.20 290.34 199.74 118.66 97.38 0.00 | 2.33 3.30 3.13 2.82 1.83 0.41 | 30.78 37.58 35.51 33.26 20.94 5.55 | 952.12 1168.98 711.84 438.46 247.54 233.32 | 241.90 269.88 117.17 87.69 45.70 48.21 | 32010.35 38869.71 16576.79 7049.90 4953.98 1143.23 |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 | 32010.35 38869.71 16576.79 7049.90 4953.98 1143.23 190.54 | 2489.71 1826.81 1493.40 331.99 0.28 0.06 0.01 | 82.20 290.34 199.74 118.66 97.38 0.00 30.95 | 2.33 3.30 3.13 2.82 1.83 0.41 0.69 | 30.78 37.58 35.51 33.26 20.94 5.55 7.49 | 952.12 1168.98 711.84 438.46 247.54 233.32 117.86 | 241.90 269.88 117.17 87.69 45.70 48.21 5.80 | 32010.35 38869.71 16576.79 7049.90 4953.98 1143.23 190.54 |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | 32010.35 38869.71 16576.79 7049.90 4953.98 1143.23 190.54 190.54 | 2489.71 1826.81 1493.40 331.99 0.28 0.06 0.01 0.00 | 82.20 290.34 199.74 118.66 97.38 0.00 30.95 0.00 | 2.33 3.30 3.13 2.82 1.83 0.41 0.69 0.58 | 30.78 37.58 35.51 33.26 20.94 5.55 7.49 6.06 | 952.12 1168.98 711.84 438.46 247.54 233.32 117.86 0.03 | 241.90 269.88 117.17 87.69 45.70 48.21 5.80 2.58 | 32010.35 38869.71 16576.79 7049.90 4953.98 1143.23 190.54 190.54 |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | $\begin{array}{c} 32010.35\\ 38869.71\\ 16576.79\\ 7049.90\\ 4953.98\\ 1143.23\\ 190.54\\ 190.54\\ 0.00\\ \end{array}$ | 2489.71 1826.81 1493.40 331.99 0.28 0.06 0.01 0.00 0.01 | 82.20 290.34 199.74 118.66 97.38 0.00 30.95 0.00 0.00 | 2.33 3.30 3.13 2.82 1.83 0.41 0.69 0.58 0.28 | 30.78 37.58 35.51 33.26 20.94 5.55 7.49 6.06 3.01 | 952.12 1168.98 711.84 438.46 247.54 233.32 117.86 0.03 30.65 | 241.90 269.88 117.17 87.69 45.70 48.21 5.80 2.58 2.35 | 32010.35 38869.71 16576.79 7049.90 4953.98 1143.23 190.54 190.54 0.00 |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | $\begin{array}{c} 32010.35\\ 38869.71\\ 16576.79\\ 7049.90\\ 4953.98\\ 1143.23\\ 190.54\\ 190.54\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ \end{array}$ | 2489.71 1826.81 1493.40 331.99 0.28 0.06 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.01 | 82.20 290.34 199.74 118.66 97.38 0.00 30.95 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 | 2.33 3.30 3.13 2.82 1.83 0.41 0.69 0.58 0.28 0.22 | $\begin{array}{c} 30.78\\ 30.78\\ 37.58\\ 35.51\\ 33.26\\ 20.94\\ 5.55\\ 7.49\\ 6.06\\ 3.01\\ 2.38\end{array}$ | 952.12 1168.98 711.84 438.46 247.54 233.32 117.86 0.03 30.65 0.01 | 241.90 269.88 117.17 87.69 45.70 48.21 5.80 2.58 2.35 0.00 | 32010.35 38869.71 16576.79 7049.90 4953.98 1143.23 190.54 190.54 0.00 0.00 |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | $\begin{array}{c} 32010.35\\ 38869.71\\ 16576.79\\ 7049.90\\ 4953.98\\ 1143.23\\ 190.54\\ 190.54\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 177.40\\ 2489.71\\ 1826.81\\ 1493.40\\ 331.99\\ 0.28\\ 0.06\\ 0.01\\ 0.00\\ 0.01\\ 0.01\\ 0.01\\ 0.00\end{array}$ | 82.20 290.34 199.74 118.66 97.38 0.00 30.95 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 | 2.33 3.30 3.13 2.82 1.83 0.41 0.69 0.58 0.28 0.22 0.00 | $\begin{array}{c} 30.78\\ 30.78\\ 37.58\\ 35.51\\ 33.26\\ 20.94\\ 5.55\\ 7.49\\ 6.06\\ 3.01\\ 2.38\\ 0.03\end{array}$ | 952.12 1168.98 711.84 438.46 247.54 233.32 117.86 0.03 30.65 0.01 0.00 | 241.90 269.88 117.17 87.69 45.70 48.21 5.80 2.58 2.35 0.00 0.00 | 32010.35 38869.71 16576.79 7049.90 4953.98 1143.23 190.54 190.54 0.00 0.00 0.00 |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | $\begin{array}{c} 32010.35\\ 38869.71\\ 16576.79\\ 7049.90\\ 4953.98\\ 1143.23\\ 190.54\\ 190.54\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 177.40\\ 2489.71\\ 1826.81\\ 1493.40\\ 331.99\\ 0.28\\ 0.06\\ 0.01\\ 0.00\\ 0.01\\ 0.01\\ 0.01\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 82.20\\ 290.34\\ 199.74\\ 118.66\\ 97.38\\ 0.00\\ 30.95\\ 0.00\\ 0.$ | $\begin{array}{c} 2.33\\ 3.30\\ 3.13\\ 2.82\\ 1.83\\ 0.41\\ 0.69\\ 0.58\\ 0.28\\ 0.22\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 30.78\\ 30.78\\ 37.58\\ 35.51\\ 33.26\\ 20.94\\ 5.55\\ 7.49\\ 6.06\\ 3.01\\ 2.38\\ 0.03\\ 0.03\\ 0.03\end{array}$ | 952.12 1168.98 711.84 438.46 247.54 233.32 117.86 0.03 30.65 0.01 0.00 0.00 | $241.90 \\ 269.88 \\ 117.17 \\ 87.69 \\ 45.70 \\ 48.21 \\ 5.80 \\ 2.58 \\ 2.35 \\ 0.00 $ | $\begin{array}{c} 3193.12\\ 32010.35\\ 38869.71\\ 16576.79\\ 7049.90\\ 4953.98\\ 1143.23\\ 190.54\\ 190.54\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ \end{array}$ |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | $\begin{array}{r} 32010.35\\ 38869.71\\ 16576.79\\ 7049.90\\ 4953.98\\ 1143.23\\ 190.54\\ 190.54\\ 0.00$ | $\begin{array}{c} 177.40\\ 2489.71\\ 1826.81\\ 1493.40\\ 331.99\\ 0.28\\ 0.06\\ 0.01\\ 0.00\\ 0.01\\ 0.01\\ 0.00\\ 0.01\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 82.20\\ 290.34\\ 199.74\\ 118.66\\ 97.38\\ 0.00\\ 30.95\\ 0.00\\ 0.$ | $\begin{array}{c} 2.33\\ 3.30\\ 3.13\\ 2.82\\ 1.83\\ 0.41\\ 0.69\\ 0.58\\ 0.28\\ 0.22\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 30.78\\ 30.78\\ 37.58\\ 35.51\\ 33.26\\ 20.94\\ 5.55\\ 7.49\\ 6.06\\ 3.01\\ 2.38\\ 0.03\\ 0.03\\ 0.03\\ 0.00\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 952.12\\ 1168.98\\ 711.84\\ 438.46\\ 247.54\\ 233.32\\ 117.86\\ 0.03\\ 30.65\\ 0.01\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 241.90\\ 269.88\\ 117.17\\ 87.69\\ 45.70\\ 48.21\\ 5.80\\ 2.58\\ 2.35\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 3193.12\\ 32010.35\\ 38869.71\\ 16576.79\\ 7049.90\\ 4953.98\\ 1143.23\\ 190.54\\ 190.54\\ 190.54\\ 0.00\\$ |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 SOP | 32010.35 38869.71 16576.79 7049.90 4953.98 1143.23 190.54 190.54 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 38837.07 | 2489.71 1826.81 1493.40 331.99 0.28 0.06 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.01 0.00 0.00 0.00 | 82.20 290.34 199.74 118.66 97.38 0.00 30.95 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 | $\begin{array}{c} 2.33\\ 3.30\\ 3.13\\ 2.82\\ 1.83\\ 0.41\\ 0.69\\ 0.58\\ 0.28\\ 0.22\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ \hline 6.00\\ \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 30.78\\ 30.78\\ 37.58\\ 35.51\\ 33.26\\ 20.94\\ 5.55\\ 7.49\\ 6.06\\ 3.01\\ 2.38\\ 0.03\\ 0.03\\ 0.03\\ 0.00\\ \hline 70.37\end{array}$ | 952.12 1168.98 711.84 438.46 247.54 233.32 117.86 0.03 30.65 0.01 0.00 0.00 0.00 1291.60 | 241.90 269.88 117.17 87.69 45.70 48.21 5.80 2.58 2.35 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 230.44 | 32010.35 38869.71 16576.79 7049.90 4953.98 1143.23 190.54 190.54 190.54 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 SOP Catch | 32010.35 38869.71 16576.79 7049.90 4953.98 1143.23 190.54 190.54 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 38837.07 39109.00 | 2489.71 1826.81 1493.40 331.99 0.28 0.06 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 | 82.20 290.34 199.74 118.66 97.38 0.00 30.95 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 | $\begin{array}{c} 2.33\\ 3.30\\ 3.13\\ 2.82\\ 1.83\\ 0.41\\ 0.69\\ 0.58\\ 0.28\\ 0.22\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 6.57\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 30.78\\ 30.78\\ 37.58\\ 35.51\\ 33.26\\ 20.94\\ 5.55\\ 7.49\\ 6.06\\ 3.01\\ 2.38\\ 0.03\\ 0.03\\ 0.03\\ 0.00\\ \hline 70.37\\ 76.20\\ \end{array}$ | 952.12 1168.98 711.84 438.46 247.54 233.32 117.86 0.03 30.65 0.01 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1291.60 1272.86 | 241.90 269.88 117.17 87.69 45.70 48.21 5.80 2.58 2.35 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 230.44 230.94 | 32010.35 38869.71 16576.79 7049.90 4953.98 1143.23 190.54 190.54 190.54 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 |

Table 2.3.1.1 NE Atlantic Mackerel. Catch numbers (000's) at age by area for 2009 (cont.).

| Ages | VIIf | VIIg | VIIh | VIIj | VIIk | VIIIa | VIIIb |
|-------|---------|--------|--------|--------|------|---------|---------|
| 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1 | 77.63 | 0.02 | 1.86 | 0.19 | | 1.86 | 4.18 |
| 2 | 209.37 | 0.17 | 13.26 | 80.90 | | 40.15 | 89.93 |
| 3 | 229.96 | 1.66 | 138.95 | 135.86 | | 163.47 | 366.15 |
| 4 | 223.89 | 1.43 | 118.35 | 219.77 | | 316.46 | 708.82 |
| 5 | 95.93 | 0.42 | 32.66 | 236.20 | | 168.25 | 376.86 |
| 6 | 82.33 | 0.52 | 41.42 | 208.91 | | 361.04 | 808.66 |
| 7 | 52.34 | 0.18 | 13.76 | 140.16 | | 236.27 | 529.21 |
| 8 | 45.57 | 0.03 | 2.12 | 32.07 | | 78.00 | 174.71 |
| 9 | 2.89 | 0.04 | 2.84 | 53.85 | | 36.97 | 82.82 |
| 10 | 1.66 | 0.03 | 1.61 | 45.21 | | 17.47 | 39.12 |
| 11 | 6.43 | 0.01 | 0.49 | 22.14 | | 5.07 | 11.36 |
| 12 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.26 | 17.09 | | 1.54 | 3.44 |
| 13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | 1.75 | 3.93 |
| 14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| SOP | 221.53 | 1.43 | 116.33 | 434.39 | | 454.61 | 1018.25 |
| Catch | 221.38 | 1.44 | 116.34 | 478.88 | | 454.51 | 1018.03 |
| SOP% | 100.07% | 99.45% | 99,99% | 90.71% | | 100.02% | 100.02% |

| Ages | VIIIcE | VIIIcW | VIIId | IXaN | IXaCN | XIVa | Total |
|-------|----------|---------|--------|---------|---------|------|----------|
| 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | 15.05 |
| 1 | 5.40 | 153.47 | 0.00 | 2689.56 | 28.49 | | 4259.88 |
| 2 | 1211.59 | 938.78 | 13.45 | 1391.48 | 302.26 | | 14675.02 |
| 3 | 4161.69 | 2213.90 | 20.61 | 2231.05 | 531.70 | | 56000.71 |
| 4 | 9076.26 | 2789.17 | 35.15 | 2871.59 | 175.46 | | 71420.09 |
| 5 | 5801.86 | 1109.51 | 39.39 | 889.01 | 55.31 | | 36465.28 |
| 6 | 6644.71 | 1140.09 | 34.62 | 464.04 | 29.14 | | 21722.70 |
| 7 | 6394.82 | 1404.44 | 23.47 | 429.41 | 38.36 | | 17212.01 |
| 8 | 2184.46 | 726.78 | 5.39 | 91.73 | 61.78 | | 6006.64 |
| 9 | 930.15 | 349.23 | 9.06 | 32.56 | 20.48 | | 2043.80 |
| 10 | 348.45 | 156.06 | 7.62 | 9.26 | 8.78 | | 856.52 |
| 11 | 179.42 | 108.11 | 3.74 | 6.70 | 17.36 | | 402.77 |
| 12 | 59.05 | 39.48 | 2.88 | 2.21 | 0.00 | | 132.48 |
| 13 | 49.52 | 36.03 | 0.00 | 1.85 | 0.00 | | 95.29 |
| 14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | 0.06 |
| 15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | 0.97 |
| SOP | 11972.84 | 3319.16 | 71.44 | 2241.60 | 308.66 | | 78703.58 |
| Catch | 11967.40 | 3330.97 | 79.00 | 2246.88 | 308.64 | | 78876.20 |
| SOP% | 100.05% | 99.65% | 90.44% | 99.77% | 100.01% | | 99.78% |

10

11

12

13

14

15

SOP

Catch

SOP%

384.62

386.29

0.00

1.59

1.43

0.00

39640.67

40045.40

98.99%

1.63

1.68

0.03

0.00

0.00

0.00

175.63

176.00

99.79%

2.68

0.63

0.44

0.24

0.00

0.11

285.03

280.81

101.50%

0.01

0.01

0.00

0.00

0.01

0.01

3.23

3.23

100.05%

1.86

1.86

0.00

0.00

0.93

0.93

59.63

57.48

103.73%

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

1062.17

1065.38

99.70%

4.31

4.31

0.00

0.00

2.15

2.15

204.14

204.15

100.00%

384.62

386.29

0.00

1.59

1.43

0.00

39640.67

40045.40

98.99%

| Quarter | 3 | | | | | | | |
|---------|----------|--------|---------|---------|---------|----------|---------|----------|
| Ages | IIa | IIb | IIIa | IIIb | IIId | IVa | IVb | IVc |
| 0 | 42.22 | 0.00 | 19.89 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 1402.64 | 0.13 | 0.00 |
| 1 | 77.34 | 0.00 | 36.76 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 2663.17 | 1.48 | 0.00 |
| 2 | 4375.36 | 0.83 | 132.25 | 0.17 | 0.26 | 10607.36 | 162.27 | 22.82 |
| 3 | 39243.67 | 10.29 | 930.10 | 1.26 | 1.91 | 79579.88 | 493.69 | 39.37 |
| 4 | 59791.83 | 16.43 | 1284.91 | 1.56 | 2.37 | 98661.91 | 383.39 | 38.68 |
| 5 | 35991.64 | 9.84 | 610.54 | 0.73 | 1.11 | 46308.65 | 140.01 | 13.18 |
| 6 | 13371.49 | 2.37 | 179.35 | 0.22 | 0.34 | 14128.12 | 107.91 | 13.47 |
| 7 | 9284.28 | 1.82 | 258.88 | 0.30 | 0.45 | 18815.00 | 37.39 | 4.48 |
| 8 | 4359.43 | 0.59 | 102.27 | 0.12 | 0.18 | 7378.91 | 21.10 | 3.01 |
| 9 | 746.97 | 0.13 | 41.76 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 2957.91 | 0.27 | 0.00 |
| 10 | 378.88 | 0.08 | 26.94 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 1985.88 | 1.51 | 0.00 |
| 11 | 377.94 | 0.06 | 7.44 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 530.46 | 0.05 | 0.00 |
| 12 | 160.63 | 0.02 | 5.19 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 368.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 |
| 13 | 140.30 | 0.01 | 2.87 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 202.95 | 0.02 | 0.00 |
| 14 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 15 | 2.72 | 0.00 | 1.28 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 90.39 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
| SOP | 65325.77 | 15.60 | 1454.77 | 1.82 | 2.76 | 114356.5 | 491.19 | 45.39 |
| Catch | 65544.49 | 15.60 | 1453.91 | 1.81 | 2.75 | 114249.1 | 489.96 | 45.39 |
| SOP% | 99.67% | 99.99% | 100.06% | 100.64% | 100.32% | 100.09% | 100.25% | 100.00% |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Ages | Va | Vb | VIa | VIIa | VIIb | VIIc | VIId | VIIe |
| 0 | 1346.16 | 5.69 | 1.68 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1346.16 |
| 1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 33.46 | 0.91 | 3.94 | 2729.32 | 93.73 | 0.00 |
| 2 | 3269.24 | 13.82 | 158.54 | 3.35 | 19.52 | 970.70 | 117.97 | 3269.24 |
| 3 | 15775.22 | 76.47 | 330.51 | 4.75 | 41.46 | 287.14 | 102.17 | 15775.22 |
| 4 | 27710.92 | 128.40 | 195.21 | 1.63 | 30.30 | 126.59 | 110.96 | 27710.92 |
| 5 | 19830.47 | 87.89 | 82.51 | 1.99 | 27.42 | 77.49 | 74.83 | 19830.47 |
| 6 | 15788.82 | 68.06 | 21.33 | 0.27 | 15.99 | 77.19 | 51.71 | 15788.82 |
| 7 | 6752.32 | 29.59 | 23.75 | 0.28 | 17.72 | 129.98 | 41.54 | 6752.32 |
| 8 | 2891.33 | 12.43 | 8.82 | 0.39 | 11.84 | 101.89 | 46.20 | 2891.33 |
| 9 | 1549.52 | 6.53 | 3.52 | 0.04 | 4.82 | 24.70 | 11.20 | 1549.52 |

Table 2.3.1.1 NE Atlantic Mackerel. Catch numbers (000's) at age by area for 2009 (cont.).

| Ages | VIIf | VIIg | VIIh | VIIj | VIIk | VIIIa | VIIIb |
|-------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------|
| 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 343.47 | 22.38 |
| 1 | 251.81 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1277.39 | 83.23 |
| 2 | 286.67 | 1.04 | 0.12 | 3.43 | 0.00 | 338.63 | 22.06 |
| 3 | 155.83 | 5.56 | 1.44 | 32.29 | 0.02 | 124.81 | 8.13 |
| 4 | 141.92 | 4.62 | 1.62 | 34.03 | 0.02 | 18.45 | 1.20 |
| 5 | 77.24 | 11.73 | 1.69 | 44.78 | 0.02 | 5.16 | 0.34 |
| 6 | 31.71 | 1.36 | 1.06 | 20.08 | 0.01 | 3.73 | 0.24 |
| 7 | 2.80 | 1.40 | 1.18 | 22.11 | 0.02 | 4.24 | 0.28 |
| 8 | 12.06 | 2.27 | 0.79 | 16.56 | 0.01 | 1.13 | 0.07 |
| 9 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.32 | 5.65 | 0.00 | 0.84 | 0.06 |
| 10 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.12 | 2.17 | 0.00 | 0.98 | 0.06 |
| 11 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.12 | 2.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 14 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 1.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 15 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 1.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| SOP | 227.68 | 8.13 | 3.10 | 63.89 | 0.04 | 352.38 | 22.96 |
| Catch | 227.87 | 8.09 | 3.00 | 62.46 | 0.04 | 353.00 | 23.00 |
| SOP% | 99.92% | 100.52% | 103.29% | 102.29% | 108.59% | 99.82% | 99.82% |

| Ages | VIIIcE | VIIIcW | VIIId | IXaN | IXaCN | XIVa | Total |
|-------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------|-----------|
| 0 | 119.77 | 2245.73 | 0.00 | 4926.13 | 129.35 | 18.01 | 10623.31 |
| 1 | 480.72 | 3679.18 | 0.00 | 2436.53 | 433.07 | 0.00 | 14282.16 |
| 2 | 127.52 | 963.77 | 0.12 | 713.40 | 816.42 | 43.73 | 23171.39 |
| 3 | 46.06 | 480.39 | 1.44 | 435.13 | 712.14 | 210.95 | 139132.10 |
| 4 | 6.65 | 92.07 | 1.62 | 142.29 | 94.69 | 370.45 | 189394.73 |
| 5 | 1.74 | 41.72 | 1.69 | 49.93 | 26.79 | 264.97 | 103786.10 |
| 6 | 1.27 | 28.15 | 1.06 | 30.22 | 35.29 | 210.95 | 44191.81 |
| 7 | 1.58 | 14.81 | 1.18 | 9.42 | 13.49 | 90.04 | 35560.30 |
| 8 | 0.40 | 7.23 | 0.79 | 2.12 | 13.49 | 38.59 | 15033.99 |
| 9 | 0.31 | 3.90 | 0.32 | 0.93 | 29.94 | 20.58 | 5410.45 |
| 10 | 0.38 | 2.01 | 0.12 | 0.30 | 20.72 | 5.15 | 2820.54 |
| 11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 25.00 | 5.15 | 1343.34 |
| 12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 534.35 |
| 13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 348.00 |
| 14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 9.01 |
| 15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 98.83 |
| SOP | 130.88 | 1231.72 | 3.10 | 1287.88 | 617.67 | 529.56 | 227603.32 |
| Catch | 131.22 | 1231.85 | 3.00 | 1282.39 | 617.68 | 535.00 | 228114.12 |
| SOP% | 99.74% | 99.99% | 103.32% | 100.43% | 100.00% | 98.98% | 99.78% |

Catch

SOP%

2245.46

99.96%

24792.75

99.47%

| Ages | IIa | IIb | IIIa | IIIb | IIId | IVa | IVb | IVc |
|-------|---------|---------|----------|---------|---------|----------|---------|---------|
| 0 | 0.00 | | 0.20 | | 0.00 | 362.87 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1 | 0.00 | | 0.15 | | 0.01 | 812.63 | 0.01 | 10.92 |
| 2 | 0.53 | | 1.64 | | 0.06 | 10714.96 | 0.65 | 2.65 |
| 3 | 4.40 | | 9.43 | | 0.39 | 69841.28 | 10.66 | 8.27 |
| 4 | 6.62 | | 16.05 | | 0.49 | 93509.24 | 9.62 | 13.56 |
| 5 | 4.04 | | 9.41 | | 0.25 | 47904.59 | 4.92 | 2.65 |
| 6 | 1.70 | | 4.97 | | 0.14 | 28127.60 | 2.61 | 8.27 |
| 7 | 1.11 | | 5.46 | | 0.12 | 24398.93 | 0.76 | 8.27 |
| 8 | 0.57 | | 1.29 | | 0.04 | 9715.84 | 0.41 | 10.92 |
| 9 | 0.08 | | 0.83 | | 0.02 | 3344.09 | 0.08 | 2.65 |
| 10 | 0.04 | | 0.57 | | 0.01 | 2682.37 | 0.07 | 0.00 |
| 11 | 0.05 | | 0.62 | | 0.01 | 1874.99 | 0.04 | 0.00 |
| 12 | 0.02 | | 0.14 | | 0.00 | 553.47 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
| 13 | 0.02 | | 0.03 | | 0.00 | 128.58 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 14 | 0.00 | | 0.01 | | 0.00 | 16.86 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 15 | 0.00 | | 0.00 | | 0.00 | 7.91 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| SOP | 7.64 | | 21.02 | | 0.61 | 117621.1 | 12.07 | 22.48 |
| Catch | 7.59 | | 20.84 | | 0.60 | 116090.0 | 11.92 | 22.48 |
| SOP% | 100.62% | | 100.88% | | 102.27% | 101.32% | 101.25% | 99.99% |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Ages | Va | Vb | VIa | VIIa | VIIb | VIIc | VIId | VIIe |
| 0 | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1 | | 0.00 | 3877.16 | 1012.12 | 1034.67 | 1492.42 | 1657.59 | 0.00 |
| 2 | | 0.00 | 22739.56 | 1036.53 | 4044.47 | 537.34 | 1703.00 | 0.00 |
| 3 | | 950.17 | 42594.92 | 375.09 | 4896.69 | 119.26 | 574.56 | 950.17 |
| 4 | | 2354.03 | 17858.77 | 120.36 | 1338.44 | 0.00 | 224.75 | 2354.03 |
| 5 | | 1230.58 | 5822.68 | 1.20 | 262.24 | 29.99 | 15.87 | 1230.58 |
| 6 | | 621.32 | 2780.92 | 111.40 | 112.33 | 0.00 | 187.39 | 621.32 |
| 7 | | 61.26 | 761.96 | 0.00 | 104.22 | 29.99 | 0.46 | 61.26 |
| 8 | | 259.03 | 426.24 | 222.74 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 361.66 | 259.03 |
| 9 | | 159.27 | 179.49 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 159.27 |
| 10 | | 0.00 | 13.68 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 11 | | 0.00 | 3.63 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 12 | | 0.00 | 5.36 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 13 | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 14 | | 0.00 | 3.42 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 15 | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| SOP | | 2244.63 | 24662.04 | 760.22 | 2654.95 | 476.20 | 1248.08 | 2244.63 |

2682.50

98.97%

763.45 99.58% 485.98

97.99%

1253.70

99.55%

2245.46

99.96%

| Table 2.5.1.1 INE Allantic Mackerel. Calch numbers (000 s) at age by area for 2009 |
|--|
|--|

75

| Ages | VIIf | VIIg | VIIh | VIIi | VIIk | VIIIa | VIIIb |
|-------|---------|---------|---------|--------|------|--------|--------|
| 0 | 0.52 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | 0.00 | 60.33 |
| 1 | 8.00 | 0.85 | 0.00 | 45.56 | | 313.92 | 224.36 |
| 2 | 10.96 | 3.00 | 0.01 | 160.45 | | 313.92 | 59.48 |
| 3 | 5.27 | 3.77 | 0.14 | 195.10 | | 104.65 | 21.92 |
| 4 | 6.43 | 1.00 | 0.16 | 44.78 | | 34.89 | 3.24 |
| 5 | 2.82 | 0.33 | 0.17 | 13.50 | | 0.00 | 0.91 |
| 6 | 1.42 | 0.14 | 0.10 | 0.71 | | 34.89 | 0.66 |
| 7 | 0.05 | 0.16 | 0.12 | 0.71 | | 0.00 | 0.74 |
| 8 | 0.72 | 0.11 | 0.08 | 1.42 | | 69.76 | 0.20 |
| 9 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.00 | | 0.00 | 0.15 |
| 10 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 | | 0.00 | 0.17 |
| 11 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 | | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 14 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 15 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| SOP | 8.62 | 2.11 | 0.30 | 95.82 | | 231.99 | 61.89 |
| Catch | 8.62 | 2.10 | 0.29 | 96.02 | | 233.00 | 62.00 |
| SOP% | 100.00% | 100.58% | 104.68% | 99.79% | | 99.57% | 99.82% |

| Ages | VIIIcE | VIIIcW | VIIId | IXaN | IXaCN | XIVa | Total |
|-------|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|------|-----------|
| 0 | 171.80 | 500.88 | 0.00 | 830.74 | 3994.29 | | 5921.63 |
| 1 | 291.58 | 820.60 | 0.00 | 164.57 | 188.38 | | 11955.49 |
| 2 | 76.44 | 214.96 | 149.03 | 75.28 | 251.74 | | 42096.64 |
| 3 | 37.48 | 107.14 | 753.93 | 52.13 | 198.66 | | 120865.29 |
| 4 | 7.11 | 20.54 | 604.90 | 11.81 | 26.97 | | 116213.76 |
| 5 | 3.17 | 9.31 | 1656.89 | 3.05 | 1.51 | | 56980.06 |
| 6 | 2.15 | 6.28 | 149.03 | 1.75 | 0.21 | | 32155.98 |
| 7 | 1.16 | 3.30 | 149.03 | 0.62 | 0.60 | | 25529.01 |
| 8 | 0.55 | 1.61 | 298.06 | 0.20 | 0.60 | | 11372.05 |
| 9 | 0.30 | 0.87 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.32 | | 3688.32 |
| 10 | 0.16 | 0.45 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.36 | | 2697.99 |
| 11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.54 | | 1879.98 |
| 12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | 559.01 |
| 13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | 128.64 |
| 14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | 20.30 |
| 15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | 7.92 |
| SOP | 96.56 | 274.72 | 1053.43 | 144.90 | 461.78 | | 152163.23 |
| Catch | 96.57 | 274.75 | 1052.00 | 136.58 | 461.68 | | 150800.89 |
| SOP% | 99.99% | 99.99% | 100.14% | 106.09% | 100.02% | | 100.90% |

| Quarters | s 1-4 | | | | | | | |
|----------|-------|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| Ages | IIa | IIb | IIIa | IIIb | IIId | IVa | IVb | IVc |
| 0 | 0% | | 1% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | |
| 1 | 0% | | 1% | 1% | 1% | 1% | 1% | 7% |
| 2 | 3% | 2% | 4% | 7% | 7% | 4% | 16% | 13% |
| 3 | 23% | 24% | 27% | 33% | 33% | 26% | 47% | 31% |
| 4 | 35% | 39% | 35% | 31% | 31% | 33% | 22% | 20% |
| 5 | 22% | 23% | 16% | 14% | 14% | 16% | 7% | 5% |
| 6 | 8% | 6% | 5% | 4% | 5% | 7% | 4% | 8% |
| 7 | 6% | 4% | 7% | 5% | 6% | 7% | 2% | 6% |
| 8 | 3% | 1% | 3% | 2% | 2% | 3% | 1% | 8% |
| 9 | 0% | 0% | 1% | 1% | 1% | 1% | 0% | 2% |
| 10 | 0% | 0% | 1% | 1% | 1% | 1% | 0% | 0% |
| 11 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | |
| 12 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | |
| 13 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | |
| 14 | 0% | | 0% | | 0% | 0% | 0% | |
| 15 | 0% | | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | |

35%

36%

13%

4%

0%

4%

0%

8%

0%

0%

0%

0%

0%

0%

VIIa

1%

9%

28%

27%

9%

12%

9%

2%

1%

1%0%

0%

0%

0%

0%

VIIb

0%

1%

7%

21%

27%

14%

12%

11%

4%

2%

1%

0%

0%

0%

0%

0%

VIa

Table 2.3.1.2 NE Atlantic Mackerel. Percentage catch numbers at age by area for 2009. Zeros represent values <1%.

1%

0%

6%

23%

32%

18%

11%

6%

2%

1%

0%

0%

0%

0%

Vb

0%

4%

28%

34%

22%

8%

1%

2%

1%

0%

0%

0%

Va

Ages

0

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

VIIe

28%

30%

14%

10%

4%

5%

2%

7%

0%

0%

0%

0%

0%

VIId

37%

14%

13%

14%

9%

5%

4%

3%

1%

0%

0%

0%

0%

9%

26%

28%

15%

11%

8%

2%

0%

0%

0%

0%

0%

0%

VIIc

| Ages | VIIf | VIIg | VIIh | VIIj | VIIk | VIIIa | VIIIb |
|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|-------|
| 0 | 0% | | | | | 3% | 0% |
| 1 | 17% | 2% | 0% | 0% | | 16% | 3% |
| 2 | 25% | 10% | 3% | 2% | 1% | 9% | 4% |
| 3 | 19% | 26% | 34% | 22% | 17% | 7% | 7% |
| 4 | 18% | 17% | 34% | 36% | 19% | 14% | 19% |
| 5 | 9% | 30% | 11% | 14% | 20% | 9% | 13% |
| 6 | 6% | 5% | 10% | 12% | 12% | 20% | 24% |
| 7 | 3% | 4% | 4% | 9% | 14% | 13% | 18% |
| 8 | 3% | 6% | 1% | 2% | 9% | 5% | 6% |
| 9 | 0% | 0% | 1% | 1% | 4% | 2% | 3% |
| 10 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 1% | 1% | 1% | 1% |
| 11 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 1% | 0% | 1% |
| 12 | | 0% | 0% | 0% | | 0% | 0% |
| 13 | | | | | | 0% | 0% |
| 14 | | 0% | 0% | 0% | 1% | | |
| 15 | | 0% | 0% | 0% | 1% | | |

| Ages | VIIIcE | VIIIcW | VIIId | IXaN | IXaCN | XIVa | Total |
|------|--------|--------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|
| 0 | 0% | 4% | | 9% | 42% | 1% | 1% |
| 1 | 1% | 9% | | 10% | 7% | | 2% |
| 2 | 3% | 12% | 2% | 11% | 18% | 3% | 5% |
| 3 | 9% | 24% | 21% | 19% | 22% | 16% | 21% |
| 4 | 23% | 24% | 34% | 21% | 5% | 29% | 29% |
| 5 | 16% | 8% | 27% | 9% | 2% | 21% | 15% |
| 6 | 19% | 6% | 6% | 7% | 1% | 16% | 11% |
| 7 | 18% | 7% | 4% | 8% | 1% | 7% | 9% |
| 8 | 6% | 2% | 5% | 3% | 1% | 3% | 4% |
| 9 | 3% | 1% | 0% | 1% | 1% | 2% | 1% |
| 10 | 1% | 0% | 0% | 1% | 0% | 0% | 1% |
| 11 | 1% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 1% | 0% | 0% |
| 12 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | | 0% |
| 13 | 0% | 0% | | 0% | | | 0% |
| 14 | | | 0% | | | | 0% |
| 15 | | | 0% | | | | 0% |

| Ages | IIa | IIb | IIIa | IIIb | IIId | IVa | IVb | IVc |
|------|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 0 | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | | | 1% | | 2% | 0% | 1% | 1% |
| 2 | | | 24% | | 22% | 9% | 24% | 24% |
| 3 | | | 60% | | 59% | 24% | 60% | 60% |
| 4 | | | 12% | | 12% | 29% | 12% | 12% |
| 5 | | | 1% | | 2% | 15% | 1% | 1% |
| 6 | | | | | | 11% | | |
| 7 | | | | | | 8% | | |
| 8 | | | 1% | | 2% | 3% | 1% | 1% |
| 9 | | | | | | 0% | | |
| 10 | | | | | | 0% | | |
| 11 | | | | | | 0% | | |
| 12 | | | | | | 0% | | |
| 13 | | | | | | 0% | | |
| 14 | | | | | | 0% | | |
| 15 | | | | | | 0% | | |
| | • | - | | | | | | |

Table 2.3.1.2 NE Atlantic Mackerel. Percentage catch numbers at age by area for 2009. Zeros represent values <1% (cont.).

| Ages | Va | Vb | VIa | VIIa | VIIb | VIIc | VIId | VIIe |
|------|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|------|------|
| 0 | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | | | 0% | 1% | 0% | 0% | 12% | 9% |
| 2 | | | 2% | 3% | 4% | 9% | 6% | 5% |
| 3 | | 14% | 13% | 31% | 25% | 26% | 20% | 17% |
| 4 | | 76% | 29% | 32% | 30% | 28% | 24% | 25% |
| 5 | | 8% | 16% | 10% | 11% | 15% | 15% | 17% |
| 6 | | 1% | 14% | 13% | 14% | 11% | 9% | 11% |
| 7 | | | 14% | 7% | 11% | 8% | 5% | 7% |
| 8 | | | 6% | 1% | 2% | 2% | 5% | 6% |
| 9 | | | 3% | 1% | 1% | 0% | 2% | 2% |
| 10 | | | 1% | 1% | 1% | 0% | | 0% |
| 11 | | | 1% | 1% | 0% | 0% | 1% | 1% |
| 12 | | | 0% | | 0% | 0% | | |
| 13 | | | 0% | | 0% | 0% | | |
| 14 | | | 0% | | 0% | 0% | | |
| 15 | | | 0% | | | | | |

| Ages | VIIf | VIIg | VIIh | VIIj | VIIk | VIIIa | VIIIb |
|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|-------|
| 0 | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 6% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | 2% | 2% |
| 2 | 7% | 4% | 2% | 2% | | 4% | 4% |
| 3 | 18% | 37% | 23% | 22% | | 5% | 7% |
| 4 | 26% | 33% | 43% | 36% | | 18% | 19% |
| 5 | 15% | 9% | 18% | 14% | | 13% | 14% |
| 6 | 12% | 11% | 7% | 12% | | 27% | 25% |
| 7 | 8% | 4% | 4% | 9% | | 19% | 18% |
| 8 | 6% | 1% | 4% | 2% | | 6% | 6% |
| 9 | 1% | 1% | 0% | 1% | | 3% | 3% |
| 10 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 1% | | 2% | 1% |
| 11 | 1% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | 1% | 1% |
| 12 | | 0% | 0% | 0% | | 0% | 0% |
| 13 | | | | | | 0% | 0% |
| 14 | | | | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | | | |

| Ages | VIIIcE | VIIIcW | VIIId | IXaN | IXaCN | XIVa | Total |
|------|--------|--------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|
| 0 | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0% | 3% | | 2% | 2% | | 1% |
| 2 | 3% | 13% | 1% | 12% | 27% | | 3% |
| 3 | 9% | 29% | 21% | 22% | 45% | | 16% |
| 4 | 23% | 29% | 44% | 24% | 12% | | 28% |
| 5 | 16% | 10% | 19% | 11% | 5% | | 15% |
| 6 | 19% | 7% | 7% | 10% | 2% | | 15% |
| 7 | 19% | 7% | 4% | 11% | 3% | | 14% |
| 8 | 7% | 2% | 4% | 5% | 1% | | 5% |
| 9 | 3% | 1% | | 2% | 1% | | 2% |
| 10 | 1% | 0% | | 1% | 1% | | 1% |
| 11 | 1% | 0% | | 1% | 2% | | 0% |
| 12 | 0% | 0% | | 0% | | | 0% |
| 13 | 0% | 0% | | 0% | | | 0% |
| 14 | | | | | | | 0% |
| 15 | | | | | | | 0% |

Table 2.3.1.2 NE Atlantic Mackerel. Percentage catch numbers at age by area for 2009. Zeros represent values <1% (cont.).

| Quarter | 2 |
|---------|---|
|---------|---|

| Ages | IIa | IIb | IIIa | IIIb | IIId | IVa | IVb | IVc |
|------|-----|-----|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| 0 | | | 0% | | | 1% | 0% | |
| 1 | | | 1% | 1% | 1% | 1% | 1% | 9% |
| 2 | 2% | | 4% | 24% | 24% | 4% | 21% | 14% |
| 3 | 23% | | 39% | 60% | 60% | 26% | 57% | 36% |
| 4 | 31% | | 31% | 12% | 12% | 35% | 15% | 16% |
| 5 | 23% | | 13% | 1% | 1% | 17% | 3% | 3% |
| 6 | 10% | | 5% | | | 5% | 1% | 6% |
| 7 | 7% | | 4% | | | 7% | 1% | 6% |
| 8 | 3% | | 1% | 1% | 1% | 3% | 1% | 9% |
| 9 | 0% | | 0% | | | 1% | 0% | 2% |
| 10 | | | 0% | | | 1% | 0% | 0% |
| 11 | | | 0% | | | 0% | 0% | |
| 12 | | | 0% | | | 0% | 0% | |
| 13 | | | 0% | | | 0% | 0% | |
| 14 | | | 0% | | | 0% | 0% | |
| 15 | | | 0% | | | 0% | 0% | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Ages | Va | Vb | VIa | VIIa | VIIb | VIIc | VIId | VIIe |

| Ages | Va | Vb | Vla | VIIa | VIIb | VIIc | VIId | VIIe |
|------|-----|-----|-----|------|------|------|------|------|
| 0 | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1% | | 0% | 0% | 0% | 12% | 5% | 1% |
| 2 | 7% | 7% | 1% | 6% | 7% | 6% | 14% | 7% |
| 3 | 29% | 37% | 10% | 14% | 16% | 20% | 24% | 29% |
| 4 | 35% | 28% | 35% | 20% | 19% | 24% | 26% | 35% |
| 5 | 15% | 22% | 24% | 19% | 18% | 15% | 11% | 15% |
| 6 | 6% | 5% | 14% | 17% | 17% | 9% | 9% | 6% |
| 7 | 5% | 0% | 12% | 11% | 11% | 5% | 4% | 5% |
| 8 | 1% | 0% | | 2% | 3% | 5% | 5% | 1% |
| 9 | 0% | 0% | 4% | 4% | 4% | 2% | 1% | 0% |
| 10 | 0% | | | 3% | 3% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| 11 | | 0% | | 2% | 2% | 1% | 0% | |
| 12 | | 0% | | 1% | 1% | 0% | | |
| 13 | | | | | 0% | | | |
| 14 | | | | | 0% | | | |
| 15 | | | | | | | | |

| Ages | VIIf | VIIg | VIIh | VIIj | VIIk | VIIIa | VIIIb |
|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|-------|
| 0 | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 8% | 0% | 1% | 0% | | 0% | 0% |
| 2 | 20% | 4% | 4% | 7% | | 3% | 3% |
| 3 | 22% | 37% | 38% | 11% | | 11% | 11% |
| 4 | 22% | 32% | 32% | 18% | | 22% | 22% |
| 5 | 9% | 9% | 9% | 20% | | 12% | 12% |
| 6 | 8% | 11% | 11% | 18% | | 25% | 25% |
| 7 | 5% | 4% | 4% | 12% | | 17% | 17% |
| 8 | 4% | 1% | 1% | 3% | | 5% | 5% |
| 9 | 0% | 1% | 1% | 5% | | 3% | 3% |
| 10 | 0% | 1% | 0% | 4% | | 1% | 1% |
| 11 | 1% | 0% | 0% | 2% | | 0% | 0% |
| 12 | | 0% | 0% | 1% | | 0% | 0% |
| 13 | | | | | | 0% | 0% |
| 14 | | | | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | | | |

| Ages | VIIIcE | VIIIcW | VIIId | IXaN | IXaCN | XIVa | Total |
|------|--------|--------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|
| 0 | | | | | | | 0% |
| 1 | 0% | 1% | | 24% | 2% | | 2% |
| 2 | 3% | 8% | 7% | 13% | 24% | | 6% |
| 3 | 11% | 20% | 11% | 20% | 42% | | 24% |
| 4 | 24% | 25% | 18% | 26% | 14% | | 31% |
| 5 | 16% | 10% | 20% | 8% | 4% | | 16% |
| 6 | 18% | 10% | 18% | 4% | 2% | | 9% |
| 7 | 17% | 13% | 12% | 4% | 3% | | 7% |
| 8 | 6% | 7% | 3% | 1% | 5% | | 3% |
| 9 | 3% | 3% | 5% | 0% | 2% | | 1% |
| 10 | 1% | 1% | 4% | 0% | 1% | | 0% |
| 11 | 0% | 1% | 2% | 0% | 1% | | 0% |
| 12 | 0% | 0% | 1% | 0% | | | 0% |
| 13 | 0% | 0% | | 0% | | | 0% |
| 14 | | | | | | | 0% |
| 15 | | | | | | | 0% |

Table 2.3.1.2 NE Atlantic Mackerel. Percentage catch numbers at age by area for 2009. Zeros represent values $<\!1\%$ (cont.).

| Ages | IIa | IIb | IIIa | IIIb | IIId | IVa | IVb | IVc |
|------|------------|------|------|-------|--------|-------|---------|-------|
| 0 | 0% | | 1% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | |
| 1 | 0% | | 1% | 1% | 1% | 1% | 0% | |
| 2 | 3% | 2% | 4% | 4% | 4% | 4% | 12% | 17% |
| 3 | 23% | 24% | 26% | 28% | 28% | 28% | 37% | 29% |
| 4 | 36% | 39% | 35% | 35% | 35% | 35% | 28% | 29% |
| 5 | 21% | 23% | 17% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 10% | 10% |
| 6 | 8% | 6% | 5% | 5% | 5% | 5% | 8% | 10% |
| 7 | 6% | 4% | 7% | 7% | 7% | 7% | 3% | 3% |
| 8 | 3% | 1% | 3% | 3% | 3% | 3% | 2% | 2% |
| 9 | 0% | 0% | 1% | 1% | 1% | 1% | 0% | |
| 10 | 0% | 0% | 1% | 1% | 1% | 1% | 0% | |
| 11 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | |
| 12 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | |
| 13 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | |
| 14 | 0% | | 0% | | 0% | 0% | 0% | |
| 15 | 0% | | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | X 7 | 3.71 | x 7X | X 777 | 1.7711 | X 7XX | X 777 1 | X 777 |

| Ages | Va | Vb | VIa | VIIa | VIIb | VIIc | VIId | VIIe |
|------|-----|-----|-----|------|------|------|------|------|
| 0 | 1% | 1% | 0% | | | | | 1% |
| 1 | | | 4% | 7% | 2% | 60% | 14% | |
| 2 | 3% | 3% | 18% | 25% | 11% | 21% | 18% | 3% |
| 3 | 16% | 18% | 38% | 35% | 23% | 6% | 15% | 16% |
| 4 | 29% | 30% | 23% | 12% | 17% | 3% | 17% | 29% |
| 5 | 21% | 20% | 10% | 15% | 15% | 2% | 11% | 21% |
| 6 | 17% | 16% | 2% | 2% | 9% | 2% | 8% | 17% |
| 7 | 7% | 7% | 3% | 2% | 10% | 3% | 6% | 7% |
| 8 | 3% | 3% | 1% | 3% | 7% | 2% | 7% | 3% |
| 9 | 2% | 2% | 0% | 0% | 3% | 1% | 2% | 2% |
| 10 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 1% | | 1% | 0% |
| 11 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 1% | | 1% | 0% |
| 12 | | 0% | 0% | | | | | |
| 13 | 0% | | 0% | | | | | 0% |
| 14 | 0% | | 0% | 0% | 1% | | 0% | 0% |
| 15 | | | 0% | 0% | 1% | | 0% | |

| Ages | VIIf | VIIg | VIIh | VIIj | VIIk | VIIIa | VIIIb |
|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|-------|
| 0 | | | | | | 16% | 16% |
| 1 | 26% | | | | | 60% | 60% |
| 2 | 30% | 4% | 1% | 2% | 1% | 16% | 16% |
| 3 | 16% | 20% | 17% | 17% | 17% | 6% | 6% |
| 4 | 15% | 16% | 19% | 18% | 19% | 1% | 1% |
| 5 | 8% | 42% | 20% | 24% | 20% | 0% | 0% |
| 6 | 3% | 5% | 12% | 11% | 12% | 0% | 0% |
| 7 | 0% | 5% | 14% | 12% | 14% | 0% | 0% |
| 8 | 1% | 8% | 9% | 9% | 9% | 0% | 0% |
| 9 | | 0% | 4% | 3% | 4% | 0% | 0% |
| 10 | | 0% | 1% | 1% | 1% | 0% | 0% |
| 11 | | 0% | 1% | 1% | 1% | | |
| 12 | | | | | | | |
| 13 | | | | | | | |
| 14 | | 0% | 1% | 1% | 1% | | |
| 15 | | 0% | 1% | 1% | 1% | | |

| Ages | VIIIcE | VIIIcW | VIIId | IXaN | IXaCN | XIVa | Total |
|------|--------|--------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|
| 0 | 15% | 30% | | 56% | 6% | 1% | 2% |
| 1 | 61% | 49% | | 28% | 18% | | 2% |
| 2 | 16% | 13% | 1% | 8% | 35% | 3% | 4% |
| 3 | 6% | 6% | 17% | 5% | 30% | 16% | 24% |
| 4 | 1% | 1% | 19% | 2% | 4% | 29% | 32% |
| 5 | 0% | 1% | 20% | 1% | 1% | 21% | 18% |
| 6 | 0% | 0% | 12% | 0% | 2% | 16% | 8% |
| 7 | 0% | 0% | 14% | 0% | 1% | 7% | 6% |
| 8 | 0% | 0% | 9% | 0% | 1% | 3% | 3% |
| 9 | 0% | 0% | 4% | 0% | 1% | 2% | 1% |
| 10 | 0% | 0% | 1% | 0% | 1% | 0% | 0% |
| 11 | | | 1% | | 1% | 0% | 0% |
| 12 | | | | | | | 0% |
| 13 | | | | | | | 0% |
| 14 | | | 1% | | | | 0% |
| 15 | | | 1% | | | | 0% |

Table 2.3.1.2 NE Atlantic Mackerel. Percentage catch numbers at age by area for 2009. Zeros represent values $<\!1\%$ (cont.).

| Ages | IIa | IIb | IIIa | IIIb | IIId | IVa | IVb | IVc |
|------|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 0 | | | 0% | | 0% | 0% | | |
| 1 | | | 0% | | 0% | 0% | 0% | 16% |
| 2 | 3% | | 3% | | 4% | 4% | 2% | 4% |
| 3 | 23% | | 19% | | 25% | 24% | 36% | 12% |
| 4 | 35% | | 32% | | 32% | 32% | 32% | 20% |
| 5 | 21% | | 19% | | 16% | 16% | 16% | 4% |
| 6 | 9% | | 10% | | 9% | 10% | 9% | 12% |
| 7 | 6% | | 11% | | 8% | 8% | 3% | 12% |
| 8 | 3% | | 3% | | 3% | 3% | 1% | 16% |
| 9 | 0% | | 2% | | 1% | 1% | 0% | 4% |
| 10 | 0% | | 1% | | 1% | 1% | 0% | |
| 11 | 0% | | 1% | | 1% | 1% | 0% | |
| 12 | 0% | | 0% | | 0% | 0% | 0% | |
| 13 | 0% | | 0% | | 0% | 0% | 0% | |
| 14 | | | 0% | | 0% | 0% | | |
| 15 | | | 0% | | | 0% | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

| Ages | Va | Vb | VIa | VIIa | VIIb | VIIc | VIId | VIIe |
|------|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|------|------|
| 0 | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | | | 4% | 35% | 9% | 68% | 35% | |
| 2 | | | 23% | 36% | 34% | 24% | 36% | |
| 3 | | 17% | 44% | 13% | 42% | 5% | 12% | 17% |
| 4 | | 42% | 18% | 4% | 11% | | 5% | 42% |
| 5 | | 22% | 6% | 0% | 2% | 1% | 0% | 22% |
| 6 | | 11% | 3% | 4% | 1% | | 4% | 11% |
| 7 | | 1% | 1% | | 1% | 1% | 0% | 1% |
| 8 | | 5% | 0% | 8% | | | 8% | 5% |
| 9 | | 3% | 0% | | | | | 3% |
| 10 | | | 0% | | | | | |
| 11 | | | 0% | | | | | |
| 12 | | | 0% | | | | | |
| 13 | | | | | | | | |
| 14 | | | 0% | | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | | | | |

| Ages | VIIf | VIIg | VIIh | VIIj | VIIk | VIIIa | VIIIb |
|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|-------|
| 0 | 1% | | | | | | 16% |
| 1 | 22% | 9% | | 10% | | 36% | 60% |
| 2 | 30% | 32% | 1% | 35% | | 36% | 16% |
| 3 | 15% | 40% | 17% | 42% | | 12% | 6% |
| 4 | 18% | 11% | 19% | 10% | | 4% | 1% |
| 5 | 8% | 4% | 20% | 3% | | | 0% |
| 6 | 4% | 2% | 12% | 0% | | 4% | 0% |
| 7 | 0% | 2% | 14% | 0% | | | 0% |
| 8 | 2% | 1% | 9% | 0% | | 8% | 0% |
| 9 | | 0% | 4% | 0% | | | 0% |
| 10 | | 0% | 1% | 0% | | | 0% |
| 11 | | 0% | 1% | 0% | | | |
| 12 | | | | | | | |
| 13 | | | | | | | |
| 14 | | 0% | 1% | 0% | | | |
| 15 | | 0% | 1% | 0% | | | |

| Ages | VIIIcE | VIIIcW | VIIId | IXaN | IXaCN | XIVa | Total |
|------|--------|--------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|
| 0 | 29% | 30% | | 73% | 86% | | 1% |
| 1 | 49% | 49% | | 14% | 4% | | 3% |
| 2 | 13% | 13% | 4% | 7% | 5% | | 10% |
| 3 | 6% | 6% | 20% | 5% | 4% | | 28% |
| 4 | 1% | 1% | 16% | 1% | 1% | | 27% |
| 5 | 1% | 1% | 44% | 0% | 0% | | 13% |
| 6 | 0% | 0% | 4% | 0% | 0% | | 7% |
| 7 | 0% | 0% | 4% | 0% | 0% | | 6% |
| 8 | 0% | 0% | 8% | 0% | 0% | | 3% |
| 9 | 0% | 0% | | 0% | 0% | | 1% |
| 10 | 0% | 0% | | 0% | 0% | | 1% |
| 11 | | | | 0% | 0% | | 0% |
| 12 | | | | | | | 0% |
| 13 | | | | | | | 0% |
| 14 | | | | | | | 0% |
| 15 | | | | | | | 0% |

| | | I I I I | , | | |
|------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| | SPAIN | | | | PORTUGAL |
| | TRAWL | | HOOK (HAND-L | INE) | TRAWL |
| | AVILES | LA CORUÑA | SANTANDER | SANTOÑA | (C 1 1: IV |
| | (Subdiv.VIIIc East) | (Subdiv.VIIIc West) | (Subdiv.VIIIc East) | (Subdiv.VIIIc East) | (Subdiv.IXa CN,CS &S) |
| | (Days * 100 HP) | (Days * 100 HP) | (Fishing trips) | (Fishing trips) | (Fishing hours) |
| YEAR | Annual | Annual | March-April | March-April | Annual |
| 1983 | 12568 | 51017 | - | - | - |
| 1984 | 10815 | 48655 | - | - | - |
| 1985 | 9856 | 45358 | - | - | - |
| 1986 | 10845 | 39829 | - | - | - |
| 1987 | 8309 | 34658 | - | - | - |
| 1988 | 9047 | 41498 | - | - | 55178 |
| 1989 | 8063 | 44401 | - | 605 | 52514 |
| 1990 | 8492 | 44411 | 322 | 509 | 49968 |
| 1991 | 7677 | 40435 | 209 | 724 | 44061 |
| 1992 | 12693 | 38896 | 70 | 698 | 74666 |
| 1993 | 7635 | 44479 | 151 | 1216 | 47822 |
| 1994 | 9620 | 39602 | 130 | 1926 | 38719 |
| 1995 | 6146 | 41476 | 217 | 1696 | 42090 |
| 1996 | 4525 | 35709 | 560 | 2007 | 43633 |
| 1997 | 4699 | 35191 | 736 | 2095 | 42043 |
| 1998 | 5929 | 35191 | 754 | 3022 | 86020 |
| 1999 | 6829 | 30131 | 739 | 2602 | 55311 |
| 2000 | 4453 | 30073 | 719 | 1709 | 67112 |
| 2001 | 2385 | 29923 | 700 | 2479 | 74684 |

_

_

_

_

_

_

_

| Table 2.3.2.1. NEA | A Mackerel (Southern | component). Effort | data by fleet. |
|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------|
|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------|

Not available

_

_

_

_

_

_

_

| | SPAIN | | | | PORTUGAL |
|------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| | TRAWL | | HOOCK (HAND- | LINE) | TRAWL |
| | AVILES | LA CORUÑA | SANTANDER | SANTOÑA | (Cech dies IV a |
| | (Subdiv.VIIIc East) | (Subdiv.VIIIc West) | (Subdiv.VIIIc East) | (Subdiv.VIIIc East) | (Subdrv.1Xa CN,CS &S) |
| | (Kg/ 100 HP) | (Kg / 100 HP) | (Kg/Fishing trips) | (Kg/Fishing trips) | (Kg/Fishing hours) |
| YEAR | Annual | Annual | March-April | March-April | Annual |
| 1983 | 14.2 | 22.8 | - | - | - |
| 1984 | 24.1 | 26.7 | - | - | - |
| 1985 | 17.6 | 25.4 | - | - | - |
| 1986 | 41.1 | 22.8 | - | - | - |
| 1987 | 13.0 | 24.4 | - | - | - |
| 1988 | 15.9 | 32.5 | - | - | 36.4 |
| 1989 | 19.0 | 28.7 | - | 1427.5 | 26.8 |
| 1990 | 82.7 | 39.5 | 739.6 | 1924.4 | 39.2 |
| 1991 | 68.2 | 36.3 | 632.9 | 1394.4 | 39.9 |
| 1992 | 35.1 | 13.3 | 905.6 | 856.4 | 21.2 |
| 1993 | 12.8 | 12.8 | 613.3 | 1790.9 | 16.9 |
| 1994 | 57.2 | 44.0 | 2388.5 | 1590.6 | 20.9 |
| 1995 | 94.9 | 36.1 | 3136.1 | 1987.9 | 24.5 |
| 1996 | 124.5 | 32.9 | 1165.7 | 1508.9 | 23.8 |
| 1997 | 133.2 | 38.6 | 2137.9 | 1867.8 | 18.5 |
| 1998 | 142.1 | 80.1 | 2361.5 | 2128.0 | 15.4 |
| 1999 | 136.4 | 43.9 | 2438.0 | 2084.7 | 23.9 |
| 2000 | 311.6 | 65.2 | 1795.5 | 1879.7 | 25.7 |
| 2001 | 222.9 | 61.1 | 2323.2 | 2401.0 | 26.4 |
| 2002 | 342.5 | 58.3 | 2062.3 | 1871.2 | - |
| 2003 | 357.0 | 51.9 | 1868.2 | 1413.5 | - |
| 2004 | - | 18.7 | 2046.2 | 1312.6 | - |
| 2005 | - | 143.0 | 3617.7 | 2424.8 | - |
| 2006 | - | 442.4 | 2907.9 | 2741.8 | - |
| 2007 | - | 21.9 | 2675.6 | 2888.9 | - |
| 2008 | - | 12.4 | 1921.5 | 2831.7 | - |
| 2009 | - | 67.3 | 4659.0 | 3546.0 | - |

 Table 2.3.2.2. NEA mackerel (Southern component). CPUE series in commercial fleets.

Not available

-

Table 2.3.2.3 NEA Mackerel (Southern component). CPUE at age from fleets.

VIIIc East handline fleet (Spain:Santoña) (Catch thousands)

| | | Catch | Catch | Catch | Catch | Catch | Catch |
|------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|
| Year | Effort | age u | age 1 | age 2 | age 3 | age 4 | age 5 | age 6 | age / | age 8 | age 9 | age 10 | age 11 | age 12 | age 13 | age 14 | age 15+ |
| 1989 | 605 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 74 | 142 | 299 | 197 | 309 | 441 | 134 | 67 | 27 | 23 | 19 | 7 | 27 |
| 1990 | 509 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 71 | 210 | 465 | 177 | 384 | 378 | 127 | 40 | 51 | 2 | 7 | 5 |
| 1991 | 724 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 435 | 785 | 473 | 309 | 323 | 100 | 98 | 150 | 29 | 3 | 7 | 7 | 18 |
| 1992 | 698 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 568 | 442 | 477 | 139 | 69 | 77 | 20 | 15 | 17 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 1 |
| 1993 | 1216 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 65 | 1043 | 621 | 1487 | 771 | 345 | 339 | 215 | 126 | 59 | 66 | 30 | 52 |
| 1994 | 1926 | 0 | 23 | 168 | 526 | 1060 | 2005 | 1443 | 1003 | 406 | 360 | 176 | 98 | 54 | 24 | 24 | 9 |
| 1995 | 1696 | 0 | 41 | 83 | 793 | 1001 | 789 | 1092 | 998 | 928 | 519 | 339 | 300 | 159 | 83 | 81 | 63 |
| 1996 | 2007 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 401 | 1234 | 865 | 701 | 1361 | 802 | 773 | 330 | 288 | 105 | 13 | 28 | 18 |
| 1997 | 2095 | 0 | 7 | 255 | 709 | 3475 | 2591 | 894 | 880 | 693 | 471 | 248 | 146 | 98 | 24 | 11 | 11 |
| 1998 | 3022 | 0 | 1 | 100 | 1580 | 2017 | 4456 | 3461 | 1496 | 1015 | 1006 | 594 | 428 | 443 | 155 | 114 | 296 |
| 1999 | 2602 | 0 | 1 | 230 | 1435 | 3151 | 2900 | 3697 | 1956 | 758 | 424 | 317 | 233 | 131 | 75 | 21 | 18 |
| 2000 | 1709 | 0 | 1 | 34 | 619 | 877 | 2098 | 1297 | 1822 | 913 | 282 | 125 | 122 | 62 | 42 | 26 | 9 |
| 2001 | 2479 | 0 | 8 | 208 | 1230 | 2978 | 2859 | 3030 | 1654 | 1477 | 783 | 177 | 196 | 157 | 75 | 74 | 74 |
| 2002 | 2672 | 0 | 4 | 167 | 692 | 1587 | 2517 | 1938 | 2291 | 1355 | 990 | 465 | 213 | 64 | 48 | 24 | 11 |
| 2003 | 759 | 0 | 1 | 62 | 151 | 481 | 605 | 589 | 318 | 329 | 116 | 64 | 36 | 14 | 5 | 3 | 1 |
| 2004 | 2151 | 0 | 2 | 124 | 1776 | 858 | 1503 | 1265 | 950 | 419 | 287 | 107 | 74 | 39 | 8 | 0 | 6 |
| 2005 | 1504 | 0 | 31 | 255 | 1886 | 2375 | 891 | 1673 | 1203 | 566 | 363 | 109 | 70 | 80 | 45 | 5 | 10 |
| 2006 | 1933 | 0 | 0 | 109 | 1722 | 6933 | 3416 | 1400 | 1124 | 414 | 290 | 227 | 57 | 57 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 2007 | 1895 | 0 | 1 | 64 | 614 | 3562 | 6109 | 2878 | 896 | 687 | 327 | 201 | 72 | 44 | 2 | 11 | 0 |
| 2008 | 1350 | 0 | 4 | 64 | 709 | 1591 | 3087 | 3516 | 1374 | 326 | 196 | 95 | 51 | 29 | 24 | 3 | 1 |
| 2009 | 1780 | 0 | 1 | 284 | 1250 | 4547 | 3096 | 3597 | 3511 | 1226 | 527 | 200 | 97 | 33 | 25 | 0 | 0 |

VIIIc East handline fleet (Spain:Santander) (Catch thousands)

| Vear | Effort | Catch | Catch | Catch | Catch | Catch | Catch |
|-------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|
| 1 Gai | LIIOIT | ageo | age i | age z | ageo | age 4 | ageo | ageo | agoi | ageo | age o | age io | age ii | age 12 | age io | ago 14 | age ion |
| 1990 | 322 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 25 | 66 | 132 | 41 | 86 | 83 | 28 | 8 | 11 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 1991 | 209 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 45 | 96 | 60 | 39 | 43 | 14 | 14 | 23 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| 1992 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 60 | 47 | 51 | 15 | 7 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1993 | 151 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 43 | 26 | 63 | 33 | 15 | 15 | 9 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| 1994 | 130 | 0 | 2 | 18 | 56 | 110 | 205 | 146 | 101 | 40 | 36 | 18 | 10 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 1995 | 217 | 0 | 3 | 33 | 171 | 168 | 144 | 225 | 227 | 222 | 107 | 70 | 56 | 22 | 9 | 11 | 9 |
| 1996 | 560 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 89 | 276 | 191 | 152 | 293 | 171 | 164 | 70 | 60 | 22 | 3 | 6 | 4 |
| 1997 | 736 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 170 | 963 | 754 | 368 | 472 | 398 | 328 | 170 | 100 | 74 | 18 | 8 | 10 |
| 1998 | 754 | 0 | 391 | 86 | 486 | 644 | 1419 | 1035 | 403 | 250 | 232 | 127 | 96 | 82 | 19 | 9 | 9 |
| 1999 | 739 | 0 | 24 | 211 | 668 | 1541 | 1006 | 1174 | 496 | 183 | 83 | 65 | 44 | 23 | 13 | 4 | 1 |
| 2000 | 719 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 110 | 285 | 781 | 534 | 777 | 388 | 133 | 62 | 58 | 35 | 21 | 13 | 3 |
| 2001 | 700 | 0 | 133 | 97 | 283 | 857 | 945 | 966 | 438 | 342 | 151 | 35 | 24 | 17 | 8 | 3 | 3 |
| 2002 | 1282 | 0 | 33 | 130 | 518 | 1254 | 1912 | 1194 | 1063 | 530 | 311 | 130 | 64 | 9 | 11 | 4 | 0 |
| 2003 | 265 | 0 | 3 | 51 | 80 | 297 | 332 | 304 | 133 | 122 | 32 | 17 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2004 | 626 | 0 | 83 | 197 | 1034 | 586 | 920 | 557 | 335 | 98 | 58 | 12 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2005 | 553 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 586 | 1562 | 579 | 1049 | 680 | 268 | 162 | 31 | 19 | 19 | 15 | 0 | 2 |
| 2006 | 845 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 391 | 2408 | 1908 | 836 | 616 | 208 | 151 | 109 | 27 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2007 | 1031 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 223 | 1774 | 3221 | 1486 | 414 | 339 | 139 | 87 | 27 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 2008 | 1143 | 0 | 12 | 11 | 122 | 634 | 1603 | 1947 | 918 | 249 | 150 | 79 | 42 | 24 | 18 | 0 | 0 |
| 2009 | 839 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 208 | 1037 | 1593 | 2609 | 2678 | 1042 | 437 | 172 | 80 | 25 | 20 | 0 | 0 |

VIIIc East trawl fleet (Spain:Aviles) (Catch thousands)

| Year | Effort | Catch age 0 | Catch age 1 | Catch age 2 | Catch age 3 | Catch age 4 | Catch age 5 | Catch age 6 | Catch age 7 | Catch age 8 | Catch age 9 | Catch age 10 | Catch age 11 | Catch age 12 | Catch age 13 | Catch age 14 | Catch age 15+ |
|------|--------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| 1988 | 9047 | 0 | 333 | 25 | 78 | 126 | 28 | 34 | 31 | 15 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| 1989 | 8063 | 0 | 535 | 201 | 66 | 38 | 53 | 17 | 23 | 29 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 |
| 1990 | 8492 | 1834 | 6690 | 145 | 123 | 147 | 158 | 181 | 21 | 24 | 17 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 24 |
| 1991 | 7677 | 95 | 2419 | 592 | 205 | 108 | 99 | 57 | 55 | 16 | 14 | 26 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 13 |
| 1992 | 12693 | 236 | 1495 | 329 | 122 | 65 | 115 | 56 | 38 | 52 | 16 | 19 | 27 | 13 | 4 | 0 | 2 |
| 1993 | 7635 | 3 | 31 | 48 | 8 | 49 | 20 | 37 | 20 | 11 | 13 | 7 | 6 | 9 | 5 | 3 | 9 |
| 1994 | 9620 | 0 | 83 | 317 | 299 | 180 | 302 | 204 | 144 | 56 | 45 | 21 | 12 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 1 |
| 1995 | 6146 | 0 | 9 | 139 | 261 | 168 | 125 | 177 | 156 | 147 | 74 | 50 | 44 | 20 | 10 | 11 | 9 |
| 1996 | 4525 | 0 | 327 | 126 | 274 | 527 | 149 | 81 | 134 | 70 | 63 | 27 | 21 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 1997 | 4699 | 368 | 786 | 934 | 183 | 391 | 167 | 48 | 49 | 43 | 37 | 22 | 14 | 13 | 3 | 2 | 5 |
| 1998 | 5929 | 0 | 537 | 1442 | 868 | 237 | 341 | 221 | 74 | 34 | 29 | 15 | 10 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1999 | 6829 | 2 | 601 | 746 | 685 | 730 | 262 | 284 | 117 | 41 | 15 | 10 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 2000 | 4453 | 1 | 380 | 594 | 1889 | 629 | 878 | 268 | 297 | 128 | 41 | 16 | 12 | 10 | 4 | 2 | 0 |
| 2001 | 2385 | 0 | 139 | 475 | 573 | 536 | 166 | 131 | 45 | 24 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2002 | 2748 | 0 | 76 | 371 | 604 | 457 | 486 | 313 | 299 | 162 | 103 | 43 | 25 | 13 | 6 | 4 | 3 |
| 2003 | 2526 | 0 | 13 | 7 | 39 | 216 | 519 | 548 | 332 | 330 | 83 | 45 | 30 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 2.3.2.3. (Cont.)

VIIIc West trawl fleet (Spain:La Coruña) (Catch thousands)

| | | Catch | Catch | Catch | Catch | Catch | Catch | Catch | Catch | Catch | Catch | Catch | Catch | Catch | Catch | Catch | Catch |
|---------|----------|-------|--------|----------|---------|--------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|
| Year | Effort | age 0 | age 1 | age 2 | age 3 | age 4 | age 5 | age 6 | age 7 | age 8 | age 9 | age 10 | age 11 | age 12 | age 13 | age 14 | age 15+ |
| 1988 | 41498 | 0 | 6095 | 584 | 625 | 594 | 167 | 239 | 444 | 195 | 53 | 12 | 8 | 21 | 26 | 0 | 7 |
| 1989 | 44401 | 462 | 482 | 719 | 345 | 289 | 541 | 231 | 355 | 444 | 117 | 63 | 24 | 22 | 22 | 6 | 15 |
| 1990 | 44411 | 27 | 4535 | 939 | 175 | 235 | 370 | 624 | 184 | 409 | 405 | 145 | 45 | 69 | 5 | 9 | 5 |
| 1991 | 40435 | 1 | 39 | 454 | 573 | 839 | 551 | 445 | 504 | 165 | 165 | 266 | 53 | 4 | 10 | 11 | 23 |
| 1992 | 38896 | 1 | 154 | 102 | 298 | 251 | 355 | 128 | 61 | 84 | 25 | 32 | 38 | 14 | 6 | 0 | 2 |
| 1993 | 44479 | 0 | 307 | 440 | 118 | 528 | 188 | 265 | 98 | 41 | 33 | 21 | 11 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 3 |
| 1994 | 39602 | 0 | 237 | 1531 | 1085 | 821 | 1156 | 575 | 264 | 63 | 40 | 17 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 1995 | 41476 | 735 | 249 | 400 | 624 | 324 | 251 | 381 | 376 | 402 | 175 | 116 | 104 | 44 | 17 | 19 | 20 |
| 1996 | 35709 | 54 | 5865 | 104 | 562 | 695 | 148 | 77 | 127 | 65 | 59 | 27 | 20 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| 1997 | 35191 | 13 | 626 | 1347 | 531 | 1234 | 493 | 136 | 140 | 114 | 88 | 49 | 32 | 25 | 6 | 3 | 6 |
| 1998 | 35191 | 3 | 6745 | 2965 | 2547 | 641 | 678 | 451 | 144 | 80 | 72 | 49 | 36 | 38 | 13 | 8 | 18 |
| 1999 | 30131 | 4461 | 444 | 292 | 409 | 512 | 314 | 399 | 220 | 112 | 85 | 74 | 59 | 34 | 20 | 6 | 17 |
| 2000 | 30073 | 40 | 9283 | 902 | 1932 | 642 | 781 | 170 | 158 | 79 | 24 | 12 | 11 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 3 |
| 2001 | 29923 | 0 | 184 | 886 | 1615 | 1799 | 814 | 648 | 201 | 128 | 48 | 11 | 7 | 9 | 4 | 4 | 7 |
| 2002 | 21823 | 12 | 52 | 993 | 1900 | 1263 | 762 | 120 | 69 | 25 | 17 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2003 | 12328 | 0 | 51 | 410 | 149 | 368 | 310 | 277 | 130 | 144 | 63 | 36 | 19 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 14 |
| 2004 | 19198 | 0 | 112 | 452 | 363 | 75 | 124 | 94 | 61 | 25 | 21 | 6 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2005 | 20663 | 113 | 33 | 159 | 389 | 176 | 39 | 46 | 29 | 13 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2006 | 12866 | 81 | 130 | 123 | 339 | 748 | 140 | 39 | 31 | 13 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2007 | 21202 | 0 | 554 | 283 | 87 | 146 | 216 | 152 | 98 | 59 | 45 | 46 | 20 | 28 | 16 | 13 | 0 |
| 2008 | 20212 | 0 | 75 | 94 | 212 | 99 | 124 | 137 | 75 | 32 | 14 | 14 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 2009 | 21112 | 10 | 231 | 750 | 1535 | 1554 | 542 | 421 | 433 | 153 | 60 | 26 | 14 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | IXa ti | rawl fle | et (Por | tugal) | (Catch | thouse | ands) | | | | | | | | |
| | | Catch | Catch | Catch | Catch | Catch | Catch | Catch | Catch | Catch | Catch | Catch | Catch | Catch | Catch | Catch | Catch |
| Year | Effort (| age 0 | age 1 | ade 2 | age 3 | age 4 | age 5 | age 6 | age 7 | age 8 | ade 9 | age 10 | age 11 | ade 12 | age 13 | age 14 | age 15+ |
| | | -9 | -9 | -9 | -9 | -g | -9 | -9 | -9 | -9 | -g | -90.0 | -9 | -ge | -90.0 | | .g |
| 1988 | 55178 | 8076 | 4510 | 536 | 457 | 76 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1989 | 52514 | 6092 | 6468 | 1080 | 572 | 185 | 51 | 15 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1990 | 49968 | 2840 | 5729 | 1967 | 137 | 36 | 11 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1991 | 44061 | 1695 | 2397 | 1904 | 1090 | 138 | 85 | 65 | 24 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1992 | 74666 | 498 | 2211 | 1015 | 664 | 263 | 100 | 45 | 22 | 17 | 10 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1993 | 47822 | 1010 | 2365 | 442 | 172 | 155 | 32 | 8 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1994 | 38719 | 650 | 1128 | 1447 | 342 | 125 | 94 | 65 | 21 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1995 | 42090 | 1001 | 2690 | 983 | 295 | 99 | 59 | 46 | 40 | 25 | 17 | 16 | 8 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1996 | 43633 | 423 | 1293 | 778 | 490 | 269 | 86 | 88 | 129 | 98 | 109 | 66 | 34 | 17 | 6 | 0 | 1 |
| 1997 | 42043 | 318 | 885 | 1763 | 181 | 98 | 125 | 95 | 59 | 47 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1998 | 86020 | 1873 | 3950 | 1265 | 171 | 47 | 39 | 40 | 56 | 23 | 14 | 19 | 51 | 32 | 13 | 0 | 5 |
| 1999 | 55311 | 2311 | 3615 | 1384 | 316 | 94 | 55 | 32 | 13 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2000 | 67112 | 2730 | 6318 | 1328 | 424 | 226 | 135 | 71 | 40 | 20 | 9 | 13 | 4 | 11 | | | |
| 2001*** | 74684 | 3030 | 5539 | 1665 | 382 | 195 | 149 | 65 | 42 | 24 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | | | |

*** preliminary

Table 2.3.4.1 NE Atlantic Mackerel. Mean length (cm) at age by area for 2009.

Quarters 1-4

| Ages | IIa | IIb | IIIa | IIIb | IIId | IVa | IVb | IVc |
|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 0 | 25.60 | | 25.56 | 25.60 | 25.39 | 24.74 | 25.60 | |
| 1 | 27.80 | | 27.81 | 26.88 | 26.86 | 27.86 | 24.18 | 29.40 |
| 2 | 30.75 | 28.96 | 30.62 | 29.79 | 29.89 | 30.94 | 29.40 | 29.57 |
| 3 | 32.70 | 32.15 | 32.56 | 31.95 | 32.05 | 32.76 | 30.15 | 29.58 |
| 4 | 33.66 | 33.15 | 34.05 | 34.01 | 34.11 | 34.31 | 33.95 | 33.46 |
| 5 | 35.02 | 34.44 | 35.46 | 35.52 | 35.61 | 35.70 | 35.58 | 33.29 |
| 6 | 36.58 | 35.94 | 36.94 | 36.98 | 37.23 | 37.33 | 35.94 | 34.87 |
| 7 | 37.44 | 37.98 | 37.88 | 38.15 | 38.19 | 37.83 | 38.12 | 35.48 |
| 8 | 38.19 | 38.69 | 38.95 | 38.50 | 38.69 | 38.96 | 35.04 | 38.68 |
| 9 | 40.58 | 39.87 | 39.60 | 39.60 | 39.73 | 39.77 | 39.62 | 39.50 |
| 10 | 40.35 | 40.26 | 41.49 | 41.72 | 41.74 | 40.81 | 43.00 | 44.08 |
| 11 | 41.12 | 39.81 | 40.90 | 40.90 | 40.83 | 40.82 | 40.86 | |
| 12 | 41.92 | 42.17 | 40.27 | 40.20 | 40.70 | 41.63 | 40.34 | |
| 13 | 39.58 | 45.55 | 42.80 | 42.80 | 42.50 | 42.27 | 42.75 | |
| 14 | 46.00 | | 46.06 | 46.00 | 46.20 | 45.73 | 46.00 | |
| 15 | 45.00 | | 45.01 | 45.00 | 45.05 | 45.21 | 45.00 | |

| Ages | Va | Vb | VIa | VIIa | VIIb | VIIc | VIId | VIIe |
|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 0 | 16.57 | 16.57 | 25.60 | | | | | |
| 1 | 28.67 | | 26.13 | 28.60 | 27.05 | 20.93 | 28.21 | 28.43 |
| 2 | 30.89 | 29.07 | 29.13 | 30.80 | 29.45 | 27.18 | 30.37 | 30.49 |
| 3 | 32.75 | 31.00 | 31.18 | 31.75 | 31.55 | 30.35 | 30.91 | 31.19 |
| 4 | 34.46 | 33.31 | 33.12 | 32.42 | 33.54 | 33.04 | 32.51 | 32.34 |
| 5 | 36.24 | 35.23 | 34.89 | 34.36 | 35.89 | 35.17 | 33.30 | 33.14 |
| 6 | 37.22 | 37.71 | 36.66 | 37.46 | 37.06 | 36.94 | 35.52 | 35.70 |
| 7 | 37.84 | 38.82 | 37.42 | 36.14 | 37.15 | 37.68 | 37.84 | 35.73 |
| 8 | 39.14 | 38.78 | 38.20 | 37.50 | 39.53 | 38.86 | 37.93 | 36.97 |
| 9 | 38.56 | 40.76 | 39.96 | 38.39 | 40.03 | 40.16 | 40.60 | 38.63 |
| 10 | 42.66 | 42.00 | 40.36 | 39.81 | 40.28 | 40.99 | 39.81 | 36.19 |
| 11 | 41.98 | 41.98 | 40.55 | 39.89 | 43.28 | 43.15 | 43.50 | 40.56 |
| 12 | | 41.00 | 41.22 | 38.97 | 41.58 | 41.00 | 38.95 | |
| 13 | 39.00 | | 41.09 | | 41.50 | 41.50 | | |
| 14 | 41.00 | | 41.76 | 43.50 | 43.50 | 38.81 | | 43.50 |
| 15 | | | 43.54 | 39.50 | 39.50 | | | 39.50 |

| Ages | VIIf | VIIg | VIIh | VIIj | VIIk | VIIIa | VIIIb |
|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 0 | 22.25 | | | | | 26.76 | 26.76 |
| 1 | 26.54 | 27.29 | 25.88 | 26.11 | | 28.05 | 25.76 |
| 2 | 28.63 | 30.37 | 30.70 | 28.54 | 31.50 | 28.97 | 27.93 |
| 3 | 30.11 | 31.24 | 32.41 | 31.43 | 30.80 | 30.58 | 30.58 |
| 4 | 31.58 | 32.42 | 33.56 | 32.97 | 32.97 | 33.79 | 33.72 |
| 5 | 32.37 | 32.52 | 35.57 | 34.78 | 34.42 | 35.98 | 35.83 |
| 6 | 32.98 | 34.97 | 37.57 | 36.69 | 34.84 | 36.21 | 36.30 |
| 7 | 34.37 | 37.50 | 38.91 | 37.79 | 37.14 | 37.43 | 37.41 |
| 8 | 33.16 | 36.26 | 37.87 | 37.34 | 37.39 | 38.71 | 38.84 |
| 9 | 36.14 | 38.09 | 40.73 | 40.10 | 37.37 | 39.52 | 39.52 |
| 10 | 31.50 | 39.61 | 40.73 | 39.90 | 39.16 | 38.93 | 39.08 |
| 11 | 38.38 | 40.32 | 42.72 | 40.19 | 40.02 | 41.67 | 41.63 |
| 12 | | 41.11 | 42.65 | 40.97 | | 42.08 | 42.11 |
| 13 | | | | | | 42.41 | 42.41 |
| 14 | | 43.50 | 43.50 | 43.50 | 43.50 | | |
| 15 | | 39.50 | 39.50 | 39.50 | 39.50 | | |

| Ages | VIIIcE | VIIIcW | VIIId | IXaN | IXaCN | XIVa | Total |
|------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 0 | 26.65 | 26.56 | | 25.37 | 22.09 | 16.57 | 24.01 |
| 1 | 25.63 | 27.56 | | 26.72 | 27.81 | | 27.34 |
| 2 | 29.58 | 29.19 | 28.78 | 28.78 | 29.98 | 31.53 | 29.82 |
| 3 | 30.75 | 29.90 | 30.64 | 29.80 | 31.65 | 33.85 | 31.95 |
| 4 | 33.41 | 31.71 | 32.43 | 32.18 | 33.33 | 35.80 | 33.66 |
| 5 | 35.47 | 33.15 | 33.16 | 33.80 | 35.63 | 37.14 | 35.32 |
| 6 | 36.81 | 35.43 | 35.07 | 35.64 | 36.57 | 37.60 | 36.81 |
| 7 | 37.41 | 36.32 | 38.88 | 36.63 | 37.64 | 38.51 | 37.51 |
| 8 | 38.82 | 38.51 | 36.27 | 38.90 | 38.39 | 39.13 | 38.54 |
| 9 | 39.64 | 39.71 | 38.35 | 40.00 | 39.75 | 38.50 | 39.78 |
| 10 | 39.94 | 40.54 | 39.80 | 40.63 | 40.63 | 42.00 | 40.45 |
| 11 | 41.43 | 41.81 | 39.86 | 41.58 | 43.57 | 42.00 | 41.06 |
| 12 | 42.03 | 42.98 | 38.95 | 42.03 | | | 41.55 |
| 13 | 42.31 | 43.05 | | 41.93 | | | 41.60 |
| 14 | | | 43.50 | | | | 42.59 |
| 15 | | | 39.50 | | | | 44.92 |

| Ages | IIa | IIb | IIIa | IIIb | IIId | IVa | IVb | IVc |
|------|-----|-----|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 0 | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | | | 23.50 | | 23.50 | 20.85 | 23.50 | 23.50 |
| 2 | | | 29.11 | | 29.11 | 27.09 | 29.11 | 29.11 |
| 3 | | | 30.66 | | 30.66 | 30.29 | 30.66 | 30.66 |
| 4 | | | 32.39 | | 32.39 | 33.04 | 32.39 | 32.39 |
| 5 | | | 32.50 | | 32.50 | 35.14 | 32.50 | 32.50 |
| 6 | | | | | | 36.92 | | |
| 7 | | | | | | 37.72 | | |
| 8 | | | 32.50 | | 32.50 | 38.82 | 32.50 | 32.50 |
| 9 | | | | | | 40.09 | | |
| 10 | | | | | | 40.97 | | |
| 11 | | | | | | 42.48 | | |
| 12 | | | | | | 40.86 | | |
| 13 | | | | | | 42.69 | | |
| 14 | | | | | | 38.60 | | |
| 15 | | | | | | 43.50 | | |

Table 2.3.4.1 NE Atlantic Mackerel. Mean length (cm) at age by area for 2009 (cont.).

| Ages | Va | Vb | VIa | VIIa | VIIb | VIIc | VIId | VIIe |
|------|----|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 0 | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | | | 20.62 | 25.88 | 23.00 | 20.93 | 24.00 | 24.00 |
| 2 | | | 27.56 | 30.27 | 29.04 | 27.18 | 26.82 | 27.17 |
| 3 | | 31.60 | 30.94 | 32.10 | 31.58 | 30.35 | 30.41 | 30.58 |
| 4 | | 33.74 | 33.15 | 33.72 | 33.60 | 33.04 | 32.43 | 32.45 |
| 5 | | 34.00 | 34.93 | 36.02 | 35.98 | 35.17 | 33.39 | 33.40 |
| 6 | | 38.00 | 36.69 | 37.67 | 37.09 | 36.94 | 35.60 | 35.09 |
| 7 | | | 37.41 | 38.41 | 37.16 | 37.68 | 37.79 | 36.31 |
| 8 | | | 38.19 | 39.99 | 39.54 | 38.86 | 37.55 | 36.04 |
| 9 | | | 39.89 | 40.94 | 40.06 | 40.16 | 40.75 | 40.38 |
| 10 | | | 40.35 | 40.59 | 40.29 | 40.99 | | 31.50 |
| 11 | | | 40.54 | 42.90 | 43.38 | 43.15 | 43.50 | 41.40 |
| 12 | | | 41.22 | 42.78 | 41.64 | 41.00 | | |
| 13 | | | 41.09 | | 41.50 | 41.50 | | |
| 14 | | | 41.87 | | 43.49 | 38.81 | | |
| 15 | | | 43.50 | | | | | |

| Ages | VIIf | VIIg | VIIh | VIIj | VIIk | VIIIa | VIIIb |
|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| 0 | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 24.25 | 25.88 | 25.88 | 25.88 | | 25.20 | 24.67 |
| 2 | 27.85 | 31.17 | 26.27 | 28.43 | | 27.23 | 27.80 |
| 3 | 30.48 | 32.64 | 30.92 | 31.43 | | 30.58 | 30.61 |
| 4 | 32.09 | 33.85 | 32.63 | 32.97 | | 33.94 | 33.76 |
| 5 | 33.23 | 36.16 | 34.34 | 34.78 | | 36.04 | 35.84 |
| 6 | 34.51 | 37.91 | 35.95 | 36.70 | | 36.27 | 36.34 |
| 7 | 35.52 | 38.96 | 39.73 | 37.81 | | 37.47 | 37.42 |
| 8 | 34.84 | 39.49 | 36.57 | 37.33 | | 38.88 | 38.84 |
| 9 | 39.71 | 41.28 | 41.28 | 40.16 | | 39.53 | 39.52 |
| 10 | 31.50 | 40.94 | 40.94 | 39.91 | | 38.90 | 39.09 |
| 11 | 39.96 | 43.94 | 43.94 | 40.20 | | 41.70 | 41.64 |
| 12 | | 43.50 | 43.50 | 41.28 | | 42.11 | 42.12 |
| 13 | | | | | | 42.38 | 42.39 |
| 14 | | | | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | | | |

| Ages | VIIIcE | VIIIcW | VIIId | IXaN | IXaCN | XIVa | Total |
|------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|
| 0 | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 21.05 | 26.05 | | 26.66 | 26.36 | | 24.88 |
| 2 | 29.58 | 29.17 | 24.50 | 28.80 | 28.85 | | 28.66 |
| 3 | 30.79 | 29.84 | 30.50 | 29.67 | 30.84 | | 30.93 |
| 4 | 33.46 | 31.69 | 32.50 | 32.27 | 33.21 | | 33.09 |
| 5 | 35.51 | 32.98 | 34.21 | 34.08 | 35.44 | | 35.03 |
| 6 | 36.83 | 35.03 | 35.50 | 35.92 | 36.40 | | 36.66 |
| 7 | 37.43 | 35.64 | 39.83 | 36.87 | 37.50 | | 37.39 |
| 8 | 38.82 | 37.49 | 36.50 | 39.02 | 37.88 | | 38.38 |
| 9 | 39.63 | 38.49 | | 40.07 | 39.49 | | 39.82 |
| 10 | 39.93 | 39.92 | | 40.62 | 40.36 | | 40.13 |
| 11 | 41.42 | 41.49 | | 41.57 | 43.79 | | 41.03 |
| 12 | 42.04 | 43.38 | | 42.02 | | | 41.47 |
| 13 | 42.30 | 43.54 | | 41.91 | | | 41.57 |
| 14 | | | | | | | 42.14 |
| 15 | | | | | | | 43.50 |

15

| Quarter | 2 | | | | | | | |
|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Ages | IIa | IIb | IIIa | IIIb | IIId | IVa | IVb | IVc |
| 0 | | | 25.60 | | | 25.60 | 25.60 | |
| 1 | | | 27.93 | 23.50 | 23.50 | 27.80 | 23.84 | 29.28 |
| 2 | 31.67 | | 31.60 | 29.11 | 29.11 | 30.45 | 29.15 | 29.46 |
| 3 | 33.19 | | 32.56 | 30.66 | 30.66 | 32.59 | 30.91 | 31.00 |
| 4 | 33.92 | | 34.81 | 32.39 | 32.39 | 33.95 | 33.08 | 33.24 |
| 5 | 35.38 | | 36.33 | 32.50 | 32.50 | 35.36 | 34.83 | 31.85 |
| 6 | 36.93 | | 37.99 | | | 36.79 | 37.81 | 34.84 |
| 7 | 35.78 | | 38.55 | | | 37.83 | 38.24 | 35.18 |
| 8 | 38.75 | | 39.27 | 32.50 | 32.50 | 38.90 | 33.58 | 38.75 |
| 9 | 42.00 | | 39.60 | | | 39.61 | 39.60 | 39.50 |
| 10 | | | 42.37 | | | 41.44 | 42.09 | 44.08 |
| 11 | | | 40.90 | | | 40.90 | 40.90 | |
| 12 | | | 40.20 | | | 40.20 | 40.20 | |
| 13 | | | 42.80 | | | 42.80 | 42.80 | |
| 14 | | | 46.00 | | | 46.00 | 46.00 | |
| 15 | | | 45.00 | | | 45.00 | 45.00 | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Ages | Va | Vb | VIa | VIIa | VIIb | VIIc | VIId | VIIe |
| 0 | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 28.67 | | 23.50 | 25.88 | 24.50 | 24.00 | 24.91 | 28.67 |
| 2 | 30.63 | 29.00 | 29.83 | 29.73 | 29.70 | 26.82 | 27.86 | 30.63 |
| 3 | 32.20 | 30.47 | 31.46 | 31.98 | 31.87 | 30.41 | 30.01 | 32.20 |
| 4 | 33.51 | 32.55 | 34.10 | 33.45 | 33.61 | 32.43 | 31.40 | 33.51 |
| 5 | 35.16 | 34.44 | 36.14 | 35.64 | 35.79 | 33.39 | 32.65 | 35.16 |
| 6 | 36.38 | 38.50 | 37.50 | 36.03 | 36.24 | 35.60 | 32.99 | 36.38 |
| 7 | 36.92 | 37.28 | 37.99 | 35.96 | 36.23 | 37.79 | 34.37 | 36.92 |
| 8 | 39.17 | 39.00 | | 38.88 | 39.27 | 37.55 | 33.34 | 39.17 |
| 9 | 39.00 | 40.00 | 41.75 | 38.44 | 38.55 | 40.75 | 39.88 | 39.00 |
| 10 | 44.00 | | | 39.83 | 39.88 | 39.81 | 31.50 | 44.00 |
| 11 | | 41.64 | | 39.89 | 39.99 | 43.50 | 40.92 | |
| 12 | | 41.00 | | 38.97 | 39.12 | 38.95 | | |
| 13 | | | | | 41.50 | | | |
| 14 | | | | | 45.50 | | | |

Table 2.3.4.1 NE Atlantic Mackerel. Mean length (cm) at age by area for 2009 (cont.).

| Ages | VIIf | VIIg | VIIh | VIIj | VIIk | VIIIa | VIIIb |
|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| 0 | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 25.07 | 25.88 | 25.88 | 25.88 | | 28.45 | 28.45 |
| 2 | 27.60 | 31.15 | 31.25 | 29.65 | | 29.35 | 29.35 |
| 3 | 29.70 | 32.68 | 32.69 | 31.71 | | 30.47 | 30.47 |
| 4 | 31.15 | 33.92 | 33.93 | 33.36 | | 33.37 | 33.37 |
| 5 | 32.66 | 36.28 | 36.33 | 35.61 | | 35.69 | 35.69 |
| 6 | 33.53 | 37.84 | 37.95 | 35.90 | | 35.81 | 35.81 |
| 7 | 34.50 | 38.53 | 38.83 | 35.87 | | 37.25 | 37.25 |
| 8 | 33.12 | 40.17 | 40.39 | 38.85 | | 38.91 | 38.91 |
| 9 | 35.91 | 40.65 | 41.12 | 38.41 | | 39.47 | 39.47 |
| 10 | 31.50 | 40.60 | 40.85 | 39.82 | | 38.87 | 38.87 |
| 11 | 38.35 | 42.24 | 43.42 | 39.88 | | 41.47 | 41.47 |
| 12 | | 41.07 | 42.63 | 38.98 | | 41.85 | 41.85 |
| 13 | | | | | | 42.60 | 42.60 |
| 14 | | | | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | | | |

| Ages | VIIIcE | VIIIcW | VIIId | IXaN | IXaCN | XIVa | Total |
|------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|
| 0 | | | | | | | 25.60 |
| 1 | 22.26 | 26.45 | | 25.51 | 25.78 | | 25.78 |
| 2 | 29.75 | 29.56 | 29.62 | 28.29 | 28.68 | | 30.03 |
| 3 | 30.61 | 30.21 | 31.62 | 30.08 | 31.23 | | 31.90 |
| 4 | 33.19 | 31.82 | 33.33 | 31.89 | 32.89 | | 33.35 |
| 5 | 35.29 | 33.84 | 35.60 | 32.53 | 35.54 | | 35.07 |
| 6 | 36.70 | 36.51 | 35.86 | 33.49 | 36.42 | | 36.48 |
| 7 | 37.31 | 37.76 | 35.83 | 34.21 | 37.50 | | 36.93 |
| 8 | 38.81 | 39.64 | 38.83 | 36.61 | 38.43 | | 38.78 |
| 9 | 39.67 | 40.54 | 38.38 | 38.37 | 39.33 | | 39.92 |
| 10 | 39.97 | 40.85 | 39.81 | 40.93 | 40.26 | | 40.96 |
| 11 | 41.47 | 41.93 | 39.85 | 41.74 | 42.38 | | 41.62 |
| 12 | 41.99 | 42.81 | 38.95 | 42.26 | | | 41.67 |
| 13 | 42.31 | 42.85 | | 42.34 | | | 42.54 |
| 14 | | | | | | | 45.78 |
| 15 | | | | | | | 45.00 |

Table 2.3.4.1 NE Atlantic Mackerel. Mean length (cm) at age by area for 2009 (cont.).

| Ages | IIa | IIb | IIIa | IIIb | IIId | IVa | IVb | IVc |
|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 0 | 25.60 | | 25.60 | 25.60 | 25.60 | 25.60 | 25.60 | |
| 1 | 27.80 | | 27.81 | 27.85 | 27.85 | 27.82 | 28.18 | |
| 2 | 30.59 | 28.96 | 30.45 | 30.63 | 30.63 | 30.53 | 29.85 | 29.62 |
| 3 | 32.60 | 32.15 | 32.57 | 32.51 | 32.51 | 32.66 | 28.87 | 24.44 |
| 4 | 33.61 | 33.15 | 33.95 | 34.12 | 34.12 | 34.07 | 34.39 | 33.73 |
| 5 | 34.94 | 34.44 | 35.36 | 35.57 | 35.57 | 35.49 | 35.76 | 34.66 |
| 6 | 36.49 | 35.94 | 36.77 | 36.98 | 36.98 | 36.91 | 35.73 | 34.94 |
| 7 | 37.86 | 37.98 | 37.83 | 38.15 | 38.15 | 37.88 | 38.09 | 37.50 |
| 8 | 38.06 | 38.69 | 38.92 | 39.12 | 39.12 | 38.89 | 36.30 | 36.00 |
| 9 | 40.33 | 39.87 | 39.60 | 39.60 | 39.60 | 39.60 | 39.60 | |
| 10 | 40.35 | 40.26 | 41.44 | 41.72 | 41.72 | 41.53 | 43.78 | |
| 11 | 41.12 | 39.81 | 40.90 | 40.90 | 40.90 | 40.90 | 40.90 | |
| 12 | 41.92 | 42.17 | 40.20 | 40.20 | 40.20 | 40.21 | 40.20 | |
| 13 | 39.58 | 45.55 | 42.80 | 42.80 | 42.80 | 42.80 | 42.80 | |
| 14 | 46.00 | | 46.00 | 46.00 | 46.00 | 46.00 | 46.00 | |
| 15 | 45.00 | | 45.00 | 45.00 | 45.00 | 45.00 | 45.00 | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Ages | Va | Vb | VIa | VIIa | VIIb | VIIc | VIId | VIIe |
| 0 | 16.57 | 16.57 | 25.60 | | | | | 16.57 |
| 1 | | | 24.49 | 27.33 | 23.50 | 29.16 | 28.46 | |

| Ages | va | VD | via | viia | VIID | VIIC | VIId | vne |
|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 0 | 16.57 | 16.57 | 25.60 | | | | | 16.57 |
| 1 | | | 24.49 | 27.33 | 23.50 | 29.16 | 28.46 | |
| 2 | 31.53 | 31.53 | 30.26 | 30.01 | 30.67 | 31.41 | 30.37 | 31.53 |
| 3 | 33.85 | 33.68 | 32.12 | 31.07 | 30.82 | 32.70 | 31.10 | 33.85 |
| 4 | 35.80 | 35.63 | 33.66 | 31.71 | 32.66 | 33.70 | 33.69 | 35.80 |
| 5 | 37.13 | 36.99 | 35.62 | 32.54 | 34.65 | 32.30 | 33.99 | 37.13 |
| 6 | 37.60 | 37.55 | 37.12 | 34.09 | 34.84 | 34.83 | 34.90 | 37.60 |
| 7 | 38.51 | 38.47 | 38.07 | 37.33 | 37.14 | 37.84 | 37.08 | 38.51 |
| 8 | 39.13 | 39.13 | 38.96 | 36.31 | 37.39 | 39.25 | 37.14 | 39.13 |
| 9 | 38.50 | 38.55 | 39.60 | 37.37 | 37.37 | 39.50 | 37.37 | 38.50 |
| 10 | 42.00 | 42.00 | 41.84 | 39.16 | 39.16 | | 39.16 | 42.00 |
| 11 | 41.98 | 41.99 | 40.90 | 40.02 | 40.02 | | 40.02 | 41.98 |
| 12 | | 41.00 | 40.20 | | | | | |
| 13 | 39.00 | | 42.80 | | | | | 39.00 |
| 14 | 41.00 | | 46.00 | 43.50 | 43.50 | | 43.50 | 41.00 |
| 15 | | | 45.00 | 39.50 | 39.50 | | 39.50 | |

| Ages | VIIf | VIIg | VIIh | VIIj | VIIk | VIIIa | VIIIb |
|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 0 | | | | | | 26.76 | 26.76 |
| 1 | 26.97 | | | | | 28.26 | 28.26 |
| 2 | 29.37 | 31.50 | 31.50 | 31.50 | 31.50 | 28.40 | 28.40 |
| 3 | 30.70 | 30.89 | 30.80 | 30.82 | 30.80 | 29.70 | 29.70 |
| 4 | 32.17 | 32.11 | 32.97 | 32.81 | 32.97 | 34.08 | 34.08 |
| 5 | 31.98 | 32.34 | 34.42 | 33.52 | 34.42 | 35.19 | 35.19 |
| 6 | 31.49 | 33.85 | 34.84 | 34.80 | 34.84 | 36.71 | 36.71 |
| 7 | 31.43 | 37.40 | 37.14 | 37.15 | 37.14 | 36.64 | 36.64 |
| 8 | 33.14 | 36.16 | 37.39 | 37.16 | 37.39 | 40.07 | 40.07 |
| 9 | | 37.37 | 37.37 | 37.37 | 37.37 | 41.53 | 41.53 |
| 10 | | 39.16 | 39.16 | 39.16 | 39.16 | 42.99 | 42.99 |
| 11 | | 40.02 | 40.02 | 40.02 | 40.02 | | |
| 12 | | | | | | | |
| 13 | | | | | | | |
| 14 | | 43.50 | 43.50 | 43.50 | 43.50 | | |
| 15 | | 39.50 | 39.50 | 39.50 | 39.50 | | |

| Ages | VIIIcE | VIIIcW | VIIId | IXaN | IXaCN | XIVa | Total |
|------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 0 | 26.78 | 26.56 | | 25.60 | 20.88 | 16.57 | 24.63 |
| 1 | 28.27 | 28.10 | | 28.01 | 28.23 | | 28.23 |
| 2 | 28.35 | 28.99 | 31.50 | 29.45 | 30.82 | 31.53 | 30.57 |
| 3 | 29.67 | 30.09 | 30.80 | 30.78 | 32.55 | 33.85 | 32.74 |
| 4 | 34.24 | 31.77 | 32.97 | 32.22 | 34.14 | 35.80 | 34.18 |
| 5 | 35.35 | 32.94 | 34.42 | 32.35 | 36.38 | 37.14 | 35.61 |
| 6 | 36.83 | 35.02 | 34.84 | 32.82 | 36.83 | 37.60 | 37.01 |
| 7 | 36.60 | 37.23 | 37.14 | 34.13 | 38.50 | 38.51 | 37.99 |
| 8 | 40.17 | 38.66 | 37.39 | 36.07 | 38.50 | 39.13 | 38.68 |
| 9 | 41.63 | 40.16 | 37.37 | 37.64 | 40.20 | 38.50 | 39.37 |
| 10 | 43.03 | 42.32 | 39.16 | 41.67 | 41.00 | 42.00 | 41.43 |
| 11 | | | 40.02 | | 44.09 | 42.00 | 41.33 |
| 12 | | | | | | | 40.72 |
| 13 | | | | | | | 41.48 |
| 14 | | | 43.50 | | | | 44.01 |
| 15 | | | 39.50 | | | | 44.76 |
Table 2.3.4.1 NE Atlantic Mackerel. Mean length (cm) at age by area for 2009 (cont.).

| Ages | IIa | IIb | IIIa | IIIb | IIId | IVa | IVb | IVc |
|------|-------|-----|-------|------|--------|-------|---------|-------|
| 0 | | | 21.40 | | 21.40 | 21.40 | | |
| 1 | | | 28.30 | | 28.11 | 28.00 | 28.30 | 29.75 |
| 2 | 30.55 | | 31.96 | | 31.51 | 31.37 | 29.95 | 31.50 |
| 3 | 32.86 | | 32.96 | | 33.16 | 32.88 | 32.96 | 32.50 |
| 4 | 33.94 | | 34.57 | | 34.72 | 34.57 | 35.12 | 33.70 |
| 5 | 35.27 | | 35.76 | | 36.06 | 35.92 | 37.18 | 31.50 |
| 6 | 36.42 | | 37.56 | | 37.85 | 37.54 | 38.36 | 34.83 |
| 7 | 37.87 | | 37.60 | | 38.37 | 37.79 | 38.37 | 35.17 |
| 8 | 38.20 | | 39.84 | | 40.08 | 39.01 | 38.94 | 39.25 |
| 9 | 40.40 | | 39.81 | | 40.33 | 39.92 | 39.95 | 39.50 |
| 10 | 40.14 | | 40.02 | | 41.85 | 40.28 | 40.39 | |
| 11 | 40.83 | | 40.94 | | 40.72 | 40.79 | 40.57 | |
| 12 | 42.09 | | 43.03 | | 42.58 | 42.59 | 42.23 | |
| 13 | 42.05 | | 43.03 | | 40.76 | 41.44 | 40.13 | |
| 14 | | | 46.40 | | 46.40 | 45.77 | | |
| 15 | | | 47.60 | | 47.60 | 47.60 | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | * 7 | | x 77 | | x 7771 | | X 777 1 | |

| Ages | Va | Vb | VIa | VIIa | VIIb | VIIc | VIId | VIIe |
|------|----|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 0 | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | | | 26.47 | 28.60 | 27.27 | 29.02 | 28.60 | |
| 2 | | | 29.53 | 30.80 | 29.70 | 31.39 | 30.74 | |
| 3 | | 32.19 | 31.40 | 31.75 | 31.48 | 32.75 | 31.74 | 32.19 |
| 4 | | 33.78 | 32.95 | 32.40 | 32.75 | | 32.77 | 33.78 |
| 5 | | 36.06 | 34.48 | 34.00 | 33.80 | 32.50 | 32.20 | 36.06 |
| 6 | | 37.31 | 36.15 | 37.50 | 35.72 | | 37.26 | 37.31 |
| 7 | | 39.00 | 37.85 | | 36.70 | 38.50 | 30.50 | 39.00 |
| 8 | | 38.76 | 38.94 | 37.50 | | | 37.47 | 38.76 |
| 9 | | 40.85 | 42.76 | | | | | 40.85 |
| 10 | | | 40.90 | | | | | |
| 11 | | | 43.11 | | | | | |
| 12 | | | 40.88 | | | | | |
| 13 | | | | | | | | |
| 14 | | | 38.50 | | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | | | | |

| Ages | VIIf | VIIg | VIIh | VIIj | VIIk | VIIIa | VIIIb |
|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| 0 | 22.25 | | | | | | 26.76 |
| 1 | 27.59 | 27.33 | | 27.33 | | 28.61 | 28.26 |
| 2 | 28.96 | 29.94 | 31.50 | 29.94 | | 30.83 | 28.40 |
| 3 | 30.72 | 31.10 | 30.80 | 31.12 | | 31.83 | 29.70 |
| 4 | 33.61 | 31.59 | 32.97 | 31.26 | | 32.50 | 34.08 |
| 5 | 32.38 | 34.29 | 34.42 | 32.97 | | | 35.19 |
| 6 | 32.29 | 34.84 | 34.84 | 33.51 | | 37.50 | 36.71 |
| 7 | 30.50 | 37.14 | 37.14 | 37.50 | | | 36.64 |
| 8 | 33.66 | 37.39 | 37.39 | 36.00 | | 37.50 | 40.07 |
| 9 | | 37.37 | 37.37 | 37.37 | | | 41.53 |
| 10 | | 39.16 | 39.16 | 39.16 | | | 42.99 |
| 11 | | 40.02 | 40.02 | 40.02 | | | |
| 12 | | | | | | | |
| 13 | | | | | | | |
| 14 | | 43.50 | 43.50 | 43.50 | | | |
| 15 | | 39.50 | 39.50 | 39.50 | | | |

| Ages | VIIIcE | VIIIcW | VIIId | IXaN | IXaCN | XIVa | Total |
|------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|
| 0 | 26.56 | 26.56 | | 23.99 | 22.13 | | 22.90 |
| 1 | 28.11 | 28.10 | | 27.69 | 27.32 | | 27.72 |
| 2 | 28.96 | 28.99 | 31.50 | 30.01 | 30.65 | | 30.14 |
| 3 | 30.08 | 30.09 | 30.90 | 31.53 | 32.38 | | 32.27 |
| 4 | 31.86 | 31.77 | 32.00 | 33.11 | 34.13 | | 34.26 |
| 5 | 32.99 | 32.94 | 32.23 | 33.85 | 35.58 | | 35.65 |
| 6 | 35.07 | 35.02 | 33.50 | 34.73 | 36.93 | | 37.39 |
| 7 | 37.20 | 37.23 | 37.50 | 36.17 | 38.50 | | 37.79 |
| 8 | 38.70 | 38.66 | 36.00 | 37.20 | 38.50 | | 38.83 |
| 9 | 40.21 | 40.16 | | 39.00 | 40.56 | | 40.10 |
| 10 | 42.38 | 42.32 | | 41.34 | 40.97 | | 40.28 |
| 11 | | | | 43.27 | 43.27 | | 40.80 |
| 12 | | | | | | | 42.57 |
| 13 | | | | | | | 41.44 |
| 14 | | | | | | | 44.54 |
| 15 | | | | | | | 47.59 |

| 10 10 10 10 0 <th>15 [14]</th> <th>NL – PTF</th> <th>PT – ALL</th> <th>RU – PT</th> <th>IE – PTF</th> <th>NO – PS IVa</th> <th>UKS-all IVa</th> <th>UKS – all VIa</th> <th>UKS-all VIIb</th> <th>UKS – all VIIj</th> <th>UKS – IVa Discards</th> <th>FO – PT</th> <th>DE – PTF VIa</th> <th>DE – PTF VIIb</th> <th>DE – PTF VIIj</th> <th>ES – PS</th> <th>ES – Trawl</th> <th>ES – Artisanal</th> <th>UKE – Lines VIIe</th> <th>UKE – Lines VIIf</th> <th>o C IC - All</th> | 15 [14] | NL – PTF | PT – ALL | RU – PT | IE – PTF | NO – PS IVa | UKS-all IVa | UKS – all VIa | UKS-all VIIb | UKS – all VIIj | UKS – IVa Discards | FO – PT | DE – PTF VIa | DE – PTF VIIb | DE – PTF VIIj | ES – PS | ES – Trawl | ES – Artisanal | UKE – Lines VIIe | UKE – Lines VIIf | o C IC - All |
|--|---------|----------|----------|---------|----------|-------------|-------------|---------------|--------------|----------------|--------------------|---------|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------|------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|--------------|
| 15 0 | 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 |
| 13 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 11 15 0 <td>17</td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td>0</td> | 17 | | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 |
| | 19 | 0 | 0 | | | 0 | | 0 | | | | | 0 | | | | 0 | | | | |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 20 | 0 | 3 | | | 0 | | 0 | | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | | |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 21 | | 15 | | | 0 | | 0 | | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 22 | 0 | 11 | | | 0 | | | | | | 0 | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | |
| 24 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 2 3 0 0 1 5 26 1 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 4 2 3 2 0 4 8 27 0 3 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 5 3 0 2 5 0 8 9 0 29 11 8 0 5 0 3 3 3 0 0 8 17 4 4 13 3 14 15 1 30 13 10 7 10 5 5 8 11 7 8 7 10 15 5 14 15 11 6 14 15 11 6 14 15 11 6 10 10 10 10 11 17 18 12< | 23 | 0 | 4 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | |
| 25 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 2 3 0 0 1 5 26 1 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 4 2 3 2 0 4 8 27 0 3 0 1 0 0 1 0 5 3 0 2 5 0 8 9 0 28 9 4 0 2 0 0 1 0 5 15 3 4 9 1 11 10 1 1 10 5 15 15 3 4 13 3 14 15 1 10 10 16 8 14 15 11 15 11 17 13 13 15 21 13 10 10 12 8 7 10 10 13 10 10 11 14 12 11 17 13 13 15 13 | 24 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | | 0 | 1 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | |
| 26 1 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 4 2 3 2 0 4 8 27 0 3 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 5 3 0 2 5 0 8 9 0 28 9 4 0 2 0 0 3 3 0 0 8 17 4 4 13 3 14 15 1 30 13 11 2 8 2 2 6 5 5 3 0 10 16 8 4 15 3 14 15 11 16 31 12 10 7 10 5 5 8 11 17 13 13 10 10 11 12 13 10 10 13 10 10 11 11 10 11 11 10 11 11 11 10 11 11 <td>25</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> <td></td> <td>3</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td> <td>5</td> <td></td> | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | | 1 | 2 | | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | |
| 27 0 3 0 1 0 0 0 0 5 3 0 2 5 0 8 9 0 28 9 4 0 2 0 0 1 0 1 0 5 15 3 4 9 1 11 11 0 29 11 8 0 5 0 0 3 3 3 0 0 8 17 4 4 13 3 14 15 1 30 13 11 2 8 2 2 6 5 5 11 17 8 7 10 16 8 4 15 3 15 11 15 11 15 11 17 13 10 10 18 17 8 4 10 9 8 10 7 14 14 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 | 26 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 4 | 2 | | 3 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 8 | |
| 28 9 4 0 2 0 0 1 0 1 0 5 15 3 4 9 1 11 11 0 29 11 8 0 5 0 0 3 3 0 0 8 17 4 4 13 3 14 15 1 30 13 11 2 8 2 2 6 5 3 0 10 16 8 4 15 3 15 14 15 14 16 11 17 10 12 17 17 13 13 15 21 13 10 12 8 7 9 5 11 9 9 11 14 14 12 11 7 12 13 10 10 10 11 11 17 13 14 12 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 | 27 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 5 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 9 | 0 |
| 29 11 8 0 5 0 0 3 3 0 0 8 17 4 4 13 3 14 15 1 30 13 11 2 8 2 2 6 5 3 0 10 16 8 4 15 3 15 15 4 31 12 10 7 10 5 5 8 11 7 8 7 10 12 6 5 11 4 15 11 6 32 16 7 18 12 11 17 13 13 15 21 13 10 8 4 12 11 7 6 14 34 12 2 20 12 18 17 10 10 11 14 7 3 14 9 4 10 2 3 11 1 1 11 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 | 28 | 9 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | | 5 | 15 | 3 | 4 | 9 | 1 | 11 | 11 | 0 |
| 30 13 11 2 8 2 2 6 5 5 3 0 10 16 8 4 15 3 15 4 31 12 10 7 10 5 5 8 11 7 8 7 10 12 6 5 11 4 15 11 6 32 16 7 18 12 11 12 11 15 11 17 9 10 12 8 7 9 5 11 9 9 33 11 6 20 13 17 17 13 13 15 21 13 10 8 10 9 8 10 7 6 14 34 12 2 16 7 10 10 11 11 14 7 3 14 9 4 10 2 3 11 1 1 10 35 3 2 1 6 7 | 29 | 11 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 17 | 4 | 4 | 13 | 3 | 14 | 15 | 1 |
| 31 12 10 7 10 5 5 8 11 7 8 7 10 12 6 5 11 4 15 11 6 32 16 7 18 12 11 12 11 15 11 17 9 10 12 8 7 9 5 11 9 9 33 11 6 20 13 17 17 13 13 15 21 13 10 8 10 9 8 10 7 6 14 34 12 2 20 12 18 17 10 10 10 11 14 7 3 14 9 4 10 2 3 11 1 10 36 2 1 6 7 8 10 9 7 1 11 9 3 11 1 1 10 11 14 12 6 1 10 9 3 | 30 | 13 | 11 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 16 | 8 | 4 | 15 | 3 | 15 | 15 | 4 |
| 32 16 7 18 12 11 12 11 15 11 17 9 10 12 8 7 9 5 11 9 9 33 11 6 20 13 17 17 13 13 15 21 13 10 8 10 9 8 10 7 6 14 34 12 2 20 12 18 17 10 10 18 17 8 4 12 11 7 12 4 4 15 35 3 2 14 9 15 13 10 10 11 14 7 3 14 9 4 10 2 3 11 1 10 36 2 1 6 7 10 10 11 11 14 12 6 1 10 9 3 12 0 0 9 38 0 2 4 4 5 </td <td>31</td> <td>12</td> <td>10</td> <td>7</td> <td>10</td> <td>5</td> <td>5</td> <td>8</td> <td>11</td> <td>7</td> <td>8</td> <td>7</td> <td>10</td> <td>12</td> <td>6</td> <td>5</td> <td>11</td> <td>4</td> <td>15</td> <td>11</td> <td>6</td> | 31 | 12 | 10 | 7 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 8 | 11 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 10 | 12 | 6 | 5 | 11 | 4 | 15 | 11 | 6 |
| 33 11 6 20 13 17 17 13 13 15 21 13 10 8 10 9 8 10 7 6 14 34 12 2 20 12 18 17 10 10 10 11 14 7 3 14 9 4 4 15 35 3 2 14 9 15 13 10 10 11 14 7 3 14 9 4 10 2 3 11 36 2 1 6 7 10 10 11 11 10 7 9 7 1 11 9 3 11 1 1 10 37 3 2 4 6 7 7 6 7 4 8 4 0 5 3 8 0 0 0 9 38 0 2 1 1 1 1 0 1 | 32 | 16 | 7 | 18 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 15 | 11 | 17 | 9 | 10 | 12 | 8 | 7 | 9 | 5 | 11 | 9 | 9 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 33 | 11 | 6 | 20 | 13 | 17 | 17 | 13 | 13 | 15 | 21 | 13 | 10 | 8 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 10 | 7 | 6 | 14 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 34 | 12 | 2 | 20 | 12 | 18 | 17 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 18 | 17 | 8 | 4 | 12 | 11 | 7 | 12 | 4 | 4 | 15 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 35 | 3 | 2 | 14 | 9 | 15 | 13 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 14 | 7 | 3 | 14 | 9 | 4 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 11 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 36 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 7 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 7 | 9 | 7 | 1 | 11 | 9 | 3 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 10 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 37 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 9 | 11 | 4 | 12 | 6 | 1 | 10 | 9 | 4 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 38 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 3 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 39 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 40 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | | 3 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 44 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 45 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | 0 |
| 47 0 0 0 0 48 0 0 0 0 49 0 0 0 0 50 0 0 0 0 51 0 0 0 0 52 0 0 0 0 | 40 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 43 0 0 49 0 0 50 0 51 0 52 0 | 47 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 | | |
| 45 6 6 50 0 0 51 0 0 52 0 0 | 19 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | | | |
| 51 0 52 0 53 0 | 50 | | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 5 | | | |
| 52 0 52 0 | 51 | | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 52 | | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 58 0 | 58 | | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 2.3.4.2 NE Atlantic Mackerel. Percentage length composition in catches by country and gear,2009. Zeros represent values <1%.</td>

102

Table 2.3.5.1 NE Atlantic Mackerel. Mean weight (kg) at age by area for 2009.

| Ages | IIa | IIb | IIIa | IIIb | IIId | IVa | IVb | IVc |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|---|
| 0 | 0.136 | | 0.135 | 0.136 | 0.133 | 0.126 | 0.136 | |
| 1 | 0.170 | | 0.170 | 0.156 | 0.156 | 0.174 | 0.115 | 0.197 |
| 2 | 0.272 | 0.210 | 0.239 | 0.229 | 0.232 | 0.253 | 0.227 | 0.228 |
| 3 | 0.335 | 0.319 | 0.330 | 0.310 | 0.310 | 0.327 | 0.296 | 0.279 |
| 4 | 0.362 | 0.347 | 0.383 | 0.381 | 0.379 | 0.379 | 0.373 | 0.337 |
| 5 | 0.416 | 0.397 | 0.442 | 0.445 | 0.442 | 0.435 | 0.444 | 0.337 |
| 6 | 0.467 | 0.452 | 0.509 | 0.511 | 0.508 | 0.496 | 0.446 | 0.297 |
| 7 | 0.506 | 0.524 | 0.558 | 0.572 | 0.563 | 0.528 | 0.562 | 0.397 |
| 8 | 0.521 | 0.561 | 0.608 | 0.603 | 0.603 | 0.580 | 0.448 | 0.498 |
| 9 | 0.619 | 0.585 | 0.637 | 0.637 | 0.633 | 0.616 | 0.634 | 0.542 |
| 10 | 0.647 | 0.643 | 0.749 | 0.763 | 0.755 | 0.681 | 0.843 | 0.913 |
| 11 | 0.622 | 0.538 | 0.741 | 0.752 | 0.697 | 0.644 | 0.736 | |
| 12 | 0.683 | 0.741 | 0.614 | 0.611 | 0.634 | 0.677 | 0.618 | |
| 13 | 0.568 | 0.859 | 0.830 | 0.831 | 0.801 | 0.762 | 0.827 | |
| 14 | 0.850 | | 0.853 | 0.850 | 0.861 | 0.844 | 0.850 | |
| 15 | 0.822 | | 0.822 | 0.822 | 0.824 | 0.829 | 0.822 | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Ages | Va | Vh | WIa | VIIa | VIIIa | VIIa | VIIJ | X 77X |
| | | V L | v la | VIId | VIID | VIIC | VIIa | VIIe |
| 0 | 0.037 | 0.037 | 0.136 | VIIa | VIID | VIIC | Vild | Vile |
| 0 1 | 0.037 0.233 | 0.037 | 0.136 0.125 | 0.189 | 0.138 | 0.054 | 0.176 | 0.186 |
| 0 1 2 | 0.037 0.233 0.279 | 0.037 | 0.136 0.125 0.184 | 0.189 0.242 | 0.138 0.188 | 0.054 0.142 | 0.176 0.225 | 0.186 0.235 |
| 0 1 2 3 | 0.037 0.233 0.279 0.316 | 0.037 0.245 0.282 | 0.136 0.125 0.184 0.239 | 0.189 0.242 0.290 | 0.138 0.188 0.238 | 0.054 0.142 0.211 | 0.176 0.225 0.223 | 0.186 0.235 0.265 |
| 0 1 2 3 4 | 0.037 0.233 0.279 0.316 0.366 | 0.037 0.245 0.282 0.353 | 0.136 0.125 0.184 0.239 0.295 | 0.189 0.242 0.290 0.268 | 0.138 0.188 0.238 0.298 | 0.054 0.142 0.211 0.291 | 0.176 0.225 0.223 0.265 | 0.186 0.235 0.265 0.266 |
| 0 1 2 3 4 5 | 0.037 0.233 0.279 0.316 0.366 0.424 | 0.037 0.245 0.282 0.353 0.425 | 0.136 0.125 0.184 0.239 0.295 0.353 | 0.189 0.242 0.290 0.268 0.333 | 0.138 0.188 0.238 0.298 0.376 | 0.054 0.142 0.211 0.291 0.364 | 0.176 0.225 0.223 0.265 0.300 | 0.186 0.235 0.265 0.266 0.290 |
| 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 | 0.037 0.233 0.279 0.316 0.366 0.424 0.465 | 0.037 0.245 0.282 0.353 0.425 0.501 | 0.136 0.125 0.184 0.239 0.295 0.353 0.416 | 0.189 0.242 0.290 0.268 0.333 0.485 | 0.138 0.188 0.238 0.298 0.376 0.420 | 0.054 0.142 0.211 0.291 0.364 0.440 | 0.176 0.225 0.223 0.265 0.300 0.360 | 0.186 0.235 0.265 0.266 0.290 0.404 |
| 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 | 0.037 0.233 0.279 0.316 0.366 0.424 0.465 0.500 | 0.037 0.245 0.282 0.353 0.425 0.501 0.547 | 0.136 0.125 0.184 0.239 0.295 0.353 0.416 0.445 | 0.189 0.242 0.290 0.268 0.333 0.485 0.398 | 0.138 0.188 0.238 0.298 0.376 0.420 0.428 | 0.054 0.142 0.211 0.291 0.364 0.440 0.472 | 0.176 0.225 0.223 0.265 0.300 0.360 0.471 | 0.186 0.235 0.265 0.266 0.290 0.404 0.371 |
| 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | 0.037 0.233 0.279 0.316 0.366 0.424 0.465 0.500 0.548 | 0.037 0.245 0.282 0.353 0.425 0.501 0.547 0.559 | 0.136 0.125 0.184 0.239 0.295 0.353 0.416 0.445 0.479 | 0.189 0.242 0.290 0.268 0.333 0.485 0.398 0.545 | 0.138 0.138 0.238 0.298 0.376 0.420 0.420 0.428 0.531 | 0.054 0.142 0.211 0.291 0.364 0.440 0.472 0.528 | 0.176 0.225 0.223 0.265 0.300 0.360 0.471 0.460 | 0.186 0.235 0.265 0.266 0.290 0.404 0.371 0.505 |
| 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | 0.037 0.233 0.279 0.316 0.366 0.424 0.465 0.500 0.548 0.499 | 0.037 0.245 0.282 0.353 0.425 0.501 0.547 0.559 0.663 | 0.136 0.125 0.184 0.239 0.295 0.353 0.416 0.445 0.479 0.553 | 0.189 0.242 0.290 0.268 0.333 0.485 0.398 0.545 0.475 | 0.138 0.138 0.238 0.298 0.376 0.420 0.428 0.531 0.546 | 0.054 0.142 0.211 0.291 0.364 0.440 0.472 0.528 0.590 | 0.176 0.225 0.223 0.265 0.300 0.360 0.471 0.460 0.601 | 0.186 0.235 0.265 0.266 0.290 0.404 0.371 0.505 0.506 |
| 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | 0.037 0.233 0.279 0.316 0.366 0.424 0.465 0.500 0.548 0.499 0.673 | 0.037 0.245 0.282 0.353 0.425 0.501 0.547 0.559 0.663 0.656 | $\begin{array}{c} 0.136\\ 0.125\\ 0.184\\ 0.239\\ 0.295\\ 0.353\\ 0.416\\ 0.445\\ 0.479\\ 0.553\\ 0.571\end{array}$ | 0.189 0.242 0.290 0.268 0.333 0.485 0.398 0.545 0.475 0.527 | 0.138 0.138 0.238 0.298 0.376 0.420 0.428 0.531 0.546 0.560 | 0.054 0.142 0.211 0.291 0.364 0.440 0.472 0.528 0.590 0.654 | 0.176 0.225 0.223 0.265 0.300 0.360 0.471 0.460 0.601 0.527 | 0.186 0.235 0.265 0.266 0.290 0.404 0.371 0.505 0.506 0.400 |
| 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | 0.037 0.233 0.279 0.316 0.366 0.424 0.465 0.500 0.548 0.499 0.673 0.641 | 0.037 0.245 0.282 0.353 0.425 0.501 0.547 0.559 0.663 0.656 0.635 | $\begin{array}{c} 0.136\\ 0.125\\ 0.184\\ 0.239\\ 0.295\\ 0.353\\ 0.416\\ 0.445\\ 0.479\\ 0.553\\ 0.571\\ 0.580\\ \end{array}$ | 0.189 0.242 0.290 0.268 0.333 0.485 0.398 0.545 0.545 0.545 0.527 0.527 0.531 | 0.138 0.138 0.238 0.298 0.376 0.420 0.428 0.531 0.546 0.560 0.720 | 0.054 0.142 0.211 0.291 0.364 0.440 0.472 0.528 0.590 0.654 0.783 | 0.176 0.225 0.223 0.265 0.300 0.360 0.471 0.460 0.601 0.527 0.723 | 0.186 0.235 0.265 0.266 0.290 0.404 0.371 0.505 0.506 0.400 0.560 |
| 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | 0.037 0.233 0.279 0.316 0.366 0.424 0.465 0.500 0.548 0.499 0.673 0.641 | 0.037 0.245 0.282 0.353 0.425 0.501 0.547 0.559 0.663 0.656 0.635 0.526 | $\begin{array}{c} 0.136\\ 0.125\\ 0.184\\ 0.239\\ 0.295\\ 0.353\\ 0.416\\ 0.445\\ 0.479\\ 0.553\\ 0.571\\ 0.580\\ 0.612\\ \end{array}$ | 0.189 0.242 0.290 0.268 0.333 0.485 0.398 0.545 0.545 0.545 0.545 0.527 0.531 0.496 | 0.138 0.138 0.238 0.298 0.376 0.420 0.428 0.531 0.546 0.560 0.720 0.636 | 0.054 0.142 0.211 0.291 0.364 0.440 0.472 0.528 0.590 0.654 0.783 0.654 | 0.176 0.225 0.223 0.265 0.300 0.360 0.471 0.460 0.601 0.527 0.723 0.495 | 0.186 0.235 0.265 0.266 0.290 0.404 0.371 0.505 0.506 0.400 0.560 |
| 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | 0.037 0.233 0.279 0.316 0.366 0.424 0.465 0.500 0.548 0.499 0.673 0.641 0.573 | 0.037 0.245 0.282 0.353 0.425 0.501 0.547 0.559 0.663 0.656 0.635 0.526 | $\begin{array}{c} 0.136\\ 0.125\\ 0.184\\ 0.239\\ 0.295\\ 0.353\\ 0.416\\ 0.445\\ 0.479\\ 0.553\\ 0.571\\ 0.580\\ 0.612\\ 0.606\end{array}$ | 0.189 0.242 0.290 0.268 0.333 0.485 0.398 0.545 0.545 0.475 0.527 0.531 0.496 | 0.138 0.138 0.238 0.298 0.376 0.420 0.428 0.531 0.546 0.560 0.720 0.636 0.614 | 0.054 0.142 0.211 0.291 0.364 0.440 0.472 0.528 0.590 0.654 0.783 0.654 0.614 | 0.176 0.225 0.223 0.265 0.300 0.360 0.471 0.460 0.601 0.527 0.723 0.495 | 0.186 0.235 0.265 0.266 0.290 0.404 0.371 0.505 0.506 0.400 0.560 |
| 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | $\begin{array}{c} 0.037\\ 0.233\\ 0.279\\ 0.316\\ 0.366\\ 0.424\\ 0.465\\ 0.500\\ 0.548\\ 0.499\\ 0.673\\ 0.641\\ 0.573\\ 0.663\\ \end{array}$ | 0.037 0.245 0.282 0.353 0.425 0.501 0.547 0.559 0.663 0.656 0.635 0.526 | $\begin{array}{c} 0.136\\ 0.125\\ 0.184\\ 0.239\\ 0.295\\ 0.353\\ 0.416\\ 0.445\\ 0.479\\ 0.553\\ 0.571\\ 0.580\\ 0.612\\ 0.606\\ 0.649\end{array}$ | 0.189 0.242 0.290 0.268 0.333 0.485 0.398 0.545 0.545 0.527 0.531 0.496 0.677 | 0.138 0.138 0.238 0.298 0.376 0.420 0.428 0.531 0.546 0.560 0.720 0.636 0.614 0.760 | 0.054 0.142 0.211 0.291 0.364 0.440 0.472 0.528 0.590 0.654 0.783 0.654 0.614 0.541 | 0.176 0.225 0.223 0.265 0.300 0.360 0.471 0.460 0.601 0.527 0.723 0.495 | 0.186 0.235 0.265 0.266 0.290 0.404 0.371 0.505 0.506 0.400 0.560 |

Quarters 1-4

| Ages | VIIf | VIIg | VIIh | VIIj | VIIk | VIIIa | VIIIb |
|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 0 | 0.093 | | | | | 0.140 | 0.140 |
| 1 | 0.163 | 0.136 | 0.130 | 0.131 | | 0.165 | 0.123 |
| 2 | 0.198 | 0.214 | 0.214 | 0.176 | 0.275 | 0.188 | 0.155 |
| 3 | 0.222 | 0.240 | 0.253 | 0.229 | 0.259 | 0.215 | 0.205 |
| 4 | 0.258 | 0.281 | 0.288 | 0.274 | 0.315 | 0.280 | 0.278 |
| 5 | 0.276 | 0.272 | 0.358 | 0.333 | 0.349 | 0.340 | 0.336 |
| 6 | 0.287 | 0.378 | 0.431 | 0.401 | 0.374 | 0.349 | 0.351 |
| 7 | 0.311 | 0.431 | 0.490 | 0.449 | 0.430 | 0.386 | 0.386 |
| 8 | 0.287 | 0.389 | 0.461 | 0.439 | 0.440 | 0.448 | 0.433 |
| 9 | 0.369 | 0.474 | 0.577 | 0.540 | 0.447 | 0.457 | 0.458 |
| 10 | 0.234 | 0.524 | 0.570 | 0.530 | 0.506 | 0.437 | 0.442 |
| 11 | 0.427 | 0.556 | 0.681 | 0.553 | 0.540 | 0.538 | 0.537 |
| 12 | | 0.599 | 0.674 | 0.582 | | 0.554 | 0.556 |
| 13 | | | | | | 0.569 | 0.569 |
| 14 | | 0.677 | 0.677 | 0.677 | 0.677 | | |
| 15 | | 0.522 | 0.522 | 0.522 | 0.522 | | |

| Ages | VIIIcE | VIIIcW | VIIId | IXaN | IXaCN | XIVa | Total |
|------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 0 | 0.138 | 0.137 | | 0.120 | 0.076 | 0.037 | 0.106 |
| 1 | 0.128 | 0.154 | | 0.141 | 0.169 | | 0.156 |
| 2 | 0.186 | 0.184 | 0.209 | 0.176 | 0.211 | 0.294 | 0.214 |
| 3 | 0.211 | 0.197 | 0.215 | 0.196 | 0.246 | 0.334 | 0.281 |
| 4 | 0.272 | 0.236 | 0.262 | 0.247 | 0.282 | 0.391 | 0.329 |
| 5 | 0.329 | 0.273 | 0.286 | 0.288 | 0.348 | 0.442 | 0.386 |
| 6 | 0.368 | 0.334 | 0.360 | 0.340 | 0.394 | 0.474 | 0.423 |
| 7 | 0.388 | 0.360 | 0.494 | 0.370 | 0.415 | 0.510 | 0.452 |
| 8 | 0.434 | 0.428 | 0.396 | 0.441 | 0.445 | 0.548 | 0.495 |
| 9 | 0.463 | 0.469 | 0.473 | 0.478 | 0.531 | 0.490 | 0.538 |
| 10 | 0.473 | 0.496 | 0.527 | 0.499 | 0.573 | 0.656 | 0.586 |
| 11 | 0.529 | 0.544 | 0.529 | 0.533 | 0.724 | 0.642 | 0.598 |
| 12 | 0.552 | 0.591 | 0.495 | 0.551 | | | 0.624 |
| 13 | 0.564 | 0.595 | | 0.548 | | | 0.624 |
| 14 | | | 0.677 | | | | 0.693 |
| 15 | | | 0.522 | | | | 0.814 |

104

Table 2.3.5.1 NE Atlantic Mackerel. Mean weight (kg) at age by area for 2009 (cont.).

| Ages | IIa | IIb | IIIa | IIIb | IIId | IVa | IVb | IVc |
|--|-----|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| 0 | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | | | 0.104 | | 0.104 | 0.054 | 0.104 | 0.104 |
| 2 | | | 0.221 | | 0.221 | 0.140 | 0.221 | 0.221 |
| 3 | | | 0.269 | | 0.269 | 0.211 | 0.269 | 0.269 |
| 4 | | | 0.315 | | 0.315 | 0.292 | 0.315 | 0.315 |
| 5 | | | 0.342 | | 0.342 | 0.363 | 0.342 | 0.342 |
| 6 | | | | | | 0.440 | | |
| 7 | | | | | | 0.474 | | |
| 8 | | | 0.366 | | 0.366 | 0.526 | 0.366 | 0.366 |
| 9 | | | | | | 0.584 | | |
| 10 | | | | | | 0.649 | | |
| 11 | | | | | | 0.746 | | |
| 12 | | | | | | 0.646 | | |
| 13 | | | | | | 0.690 | | |
| 14 | | | | | | 0.530 | | |
| 15 | | | | | | 0.724 | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Ages | Va | Vb | VIa | VIIa | VIIb | VIIc | VIId | VIIe |
| Ages 0 | Va | Vb | VIa | VIIa | VIIb | VIIc | VIId | VIIe |
| Ages 0 1 | Va | Vb | VIa 0.058 | VIIa 0.130 | VIIb 0.075 | VIIc 0.054 | VIId 0.098 | VIIe 0.098 |
| Ages 0 1 2 | Va | Vb | VIa 0.058 0.153 | VIIa 0.130 0.205 | VIIb 0.075 0.176 | VIIc 0.054 0.142 | VIId 0.098 0.139 | VIIe 0.098 0.145 |
| Ages 0 1 2 3 | Va | Vb 0.284 | VIa 0.058 0.153 0.232 | VIIa 0.130 0.205 0.248 | VIIb 0.075 0.176 0.237 | VIIc 0.054 0.142 0.211 | VIId 0.098 0.139 0.209 | VIIe 0.098 0.145 0.212 |
| Ages 0 1 2 3 4 | Va | Vb 0.284 0.347 | VIa 0.058 0.153 0.232 0.293 | VIIa 0.130 0.205 0.248 0.295 | VIIb 0.075 0.176 0.237 0.299 | VIIc 0.054 0.142 0.211 0.291 | VIId 0.098 0.139 0.209 0.261 | VIIe 0.098 0.145 0.212 0.257 |
| Ages 0 1 2 3 4 5 | Va | Vb 0.284 0.347 0.353 | VIa 0.058 0.153 0.232 0.293 0.352 | VIIa 0.130 0.205 0.248 0.295 0.373 | VIIb 0.075 0.176 0.237 0.299 0.378 | VIIc 0.054 0.142 0.211 0.291 0.364 | VIId 0.098 0.139 0.209 0.261 0.302 | VIIe 0.098 0.145 0.212 0.257 0.291 |
| Ages 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 | Va | Vb 0.284 0.347 0.353 0.494 | VIa 0.058 0.153 0.232 0.293 0.352 0.415 | VIIa 0.130 0.205 0.248 0.295 0.373 0.433 | VIIb 0.075 0.176 0.237 0.299 0.378 0.421 | VIIc 0.054 0.142 0.211 0.291 0.364 0.440 | VIId 0.098 0.139 0.209 0.261 0.302 0.373 | VIIe 0.098 0.145 0.212 0.257 0.291 0.343 |
| Ages 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 | Va | Vb 0.284 0.347 0.353 0.494 | VIa 0.058 0.153 0.232 0.293 0.352 0.415 0.444 | VIIa 0.130 0.205 0.248 0.295 0.373 0.433 0.467 | VIIb 0.075 0.176 0.237 0.299 0.378 0.421 0.428 | VIIc 0.054 0.142 0.211 0.291 0.364 0.440 0.472 | VIId 0.098 0.139 0.209 0.261 0.302 0.373 0.456 | VIIe 0.098 0.145 0.212 0.257 0.291 0.343 0.384 |
| Ages 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | Va | Vb 0.284 0.347 0.353 0.494 | VIa 0.058 0.153 0.232 0.293 0.352 0.415 0.444 0.477 | VIIa 0.130 0.205 0.248 0.295 0.373 0.433 0.467 0.533 | VIIb 0.075 0.176 0.237 0.299 0.378 0.421 0.428 0.531 | VIIc 0.054 0.142 0.211 0.291 0.364 0.440 0.472 0.528 | VIId 0.098 0.139 0.209 0.261 0.302 0.373 0.456 0.446 | VIIe 0.098 0.145 0.212 0.257 0.291 0.343 0.343 0.384 0.378 |
| Ages 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Va | Vb 0.284 0.347 0.353 0.494 | VIa 0.058 0.153 0.232 0.293 0.352 0.415 0.444 0.477 0.547 | VIIa 0.130 0.205 0.248 0.295 0.373 0.433 0.433 0.467 0.533 0.582 | VIIb 0.075 0.176 0.237 0.299 0.378 0.421 0.428 0.531 0.547 | VIIc 0.054 0.142 0.211 0.291 0.364 0.440 0.472 0.528 0.590 | VIId 0.098 0.139 0.209 0.261 0.302 0.373 0.456 0.446 0.609 | VIIe 0.098 0.145 0.212 0.257 0.291 0.343 0.384 0.378 0.389 |
| Ages 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | Va | Vb 0.284 0.347 0.353 0.494 | VIa 0.058 0.153 0.232 0.293 0.352 0.415 0.444 0.477 0.547 0.571 | VIIa 0.130 0.205 0.248 0.295 0.373 0.433 0.433 0.467 0.533 0.582 0.563 | VIIb 0.075 0.176 0.237 0.299 0.378 0.421 0.428 0.531 0.547 0.561 | VIIc 0.054 0.142 0.211 0.291 0.364 0.440 0.440 0.472 0.528 0.590 0.654 | VIId 0.098 0.139 0.209 0.261 0.302 0.373 0.456 0.446 0.609 | VIIe 0.098 0.145 0.212 0.257 0.291 0.343 0.384 0.378 0.389 0.226 |
| Ages 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | Va | Vb 0.284 0.347 0.353 0.494 | VIa 0.058 0.153 0.232 0.293 0.352 0.415 0.444 0.477 0.547 0.547 0.571 0.580 | VIIa 0.130 0.205 0.248 0.295 0.373 0.433 0.433 0.467 0.533 0.582 0.563 0.563 0.692 | VIIb 0.075 0.176 0.237 0.299 0.378 0.421 0.428 0.531 0.547 0.561 0.725 | VIIc 0.054 0.142 0.211 0.291 0.364 0.440 0.472 0.528 0.590 0.654 0.783 | VIId 0.098 0.139 0.209 0.261 0.302 0.373 0.456 0.446 0.609 0.723 | VIIe 0.098 0.145 0.212 0.257 0.291 0.343 0.384 0.378 0.378 0.589 0.226 0.589 |
| Ages 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | Va | Vb 0.284 0.347 0.353 0.494 | VIa 0.058 0.153 0.232 0.293 0.352 0.415 0.444 0.477 0.547 0.547 0.571 0.580 0.612 | VIIa 0.130 0.205 0.248 0.295 0.373 0.433 0.433 0.467 0.533 0.582 0.563 0.582 0.563 0.692 0.677 | VIIb 0.075 0.176 0.237 0.299 0.378 0.421 0.428 0.531 0.547 0.561 0.725 0.639 | VIIc 0.054 0.142 0.211 0.291 0.364 0.440 0.472 0.528 0.590 0.654 0.783 0.654 | VIId 0.098 0.139 0.209 0.261 0.302 0.373 0.456 0.446 0.609 0.723 | VIIe 0.098 0.145 0.212 0.257 0.291 0.343 0.384 0.378 0.589 0.226 0.589 |
| Ages 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | Va | Vb 0.284 0.347 0.353 0.494 | VIa 0.058 0.153 0.232 0.293 0.352 0.415 0.444 0.477 0.547 0.547 0.571 0.580 0.612 0.606 | VIIa 0.130 0.205 0.248 0.295 0.373 0.433 0.433 0.467 0.533 0.582 0.563 0.563 0.692 0.677 | VIIb 0.075 0.176 0.237 0.299 0.378 0.421 0.428 0.531 0.547 0.561 0.725 0.639 0.614 | VIIc 0.054 0.142 0.211 0.291 0.364 0.440 0.472 0.528 0.590 0.654 0.783 0.654 0.654 0.614 | VIId 0.098 0.139 0.209 0.261 0.302 0.373 0.456 0.446 0.609 0.723 | VIIe 0.098 0.145 0.212 0.257 0.291 0.343 0.384 0.378 0.378 0.589 0.226 0.589 |
| Ages 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | Va | Vb 0.284 0.347 0.353 0.494 | VIa 0.058 0.153 0.232 0.293 0.352 0.415 0.444 0.477 0.547 0.547 0.571 0.580 0.612 0.606 0.654 | VIIa 0.130 0.205 0.248 0.295 0.373 0.433 0.433 0.467 0.533 0.582 0.563 0.692 0.677 | VIIb 0.075 0.176 0.237 0.299 0.378 0.421 0.428 0.531 0.547 0.561 0.725 0.639 0.614 0.764 | VIIc 0.054 0.142 0.211 0.291 0.364 0.440 0.472 0.528 0.590 0.654 0.783 0.654 0.654 0.614 0.541 | VIId 0.098 0.139 0.209 0.261 0.302 0.373 0.456 0.446 0.609 0.723 | VIIe 0.098 0.145 0.212 0.257 0.291 0.343 0.384 0.378 0.589 0.226 0.589 |

| Ages | VIIf | VIIg | VIIh | VIIj | VIIk | VIIIa | VIIIb |
|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| 0 | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0.102 | 0.130 | 0.130 | 0.130 | | 0.110 | 0.104 |
| 2 | 0.160 | 0.221 | 0.136 | 0.173 | | 0.141 | 0.152 |
| 3 | 0.211 | 0.259 | 0.212 | 0.228 | | 0.203 | 0.206 |
| 4 | 0.249 | 0.296 | 0.262 | 0.274 | | 0.283 | 0.279 |
| 5 | 0.283 | 0.376 | 0.316 | 0.332 | | 0.341 | 0.337 |
| 6 | 0.321 | 0.441 | 0.377 | 0.402 | | 0.349 | 0.352 |
| 7 | 0.351 | 0.488 | 0.533 | 0.450 | | 0.387 | 0.386 |
| 8 | 0.334 | 0.519 | 0.417 | 0.439 | | 0.434 | 0.433 |
| 9 | 0.554 | 0.599 | 0.599 | 0.543 | | 0.457 | 0.458 |
| 10 | 0.231 | 0.579 | 0.579 | 0.530 | | 0.436 | 0.443 |
| 11 | 0.509 | 0.745 | 0.745 | 0.554 | | 0.539 | 0.537 |
| 12 | | 0.715 | 0.715 | 0.596 | | 0.556 | 0.556 |
| 13 | | | | | | 0.568 | 0.568 |
| 14 | | | | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | | | |

| Ages | VIIIcE | VIIIcW | VIIId | IXaN | IXaCN | XIVa | Total |
|------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|
| 0 | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0.062 | 0.130 | | 0.139 | 0.123 | | 0.112 |
| 2 | 0.186 | 0.183 | 0.105 | 0.176 | 0.167 | | 0.172 |
| 3 | 0.212 | 0.196 | 0.201 | 0.193 | 0.208 | | 0.222 |
| 4 | 0.273 | 0.235 | 0.258 | 0.250 | 0.266 | | 0.280 |
| 5 | 0.330 | 0.268 | 0.312 | 0.296 | 0.330 | | 0.338 |
| 6 | 0.369 | 0.322 | 0.362 | 0.347 | 0.360 | | 0.390 |
| 7 | 0.388 | 0.339 | 0.539 | 0.376 | 0.398 | | 0.418 |
| 8 | 0.434 | 0.395 | 0.414 | 0.445 | 0.411 | | 0.456 |
| 9 | 0.463 | 0.429 | | 0.480 | 0.473 | | 0.512 |
| 10 | 0.473 | 0.475 | | 0.498 | 0.509 | | 0.531 |
| 11 | 0.528 | 0.533 | | 0.533 | 0.696 | | 0.565 |
| 12 | 0.553 | 0.608 | | 0.551 | | | 0.598 |
| 13 | 0.564 | 0.616 | | 0.547 | | | 0.590 |
| 14 | | | | | | | 0.672 |
| 15 | | | | | | | 0.724 |

Table 2.3.5.1 NE Atlantic Mackerel. Mean weight (kg) at age by area for 2009 (cont.).

| Agos | IIa | IIb | IIIa | IIIb | ША | Wa | Wb | We |
|---|----------------------------------|---|-------|--|--|--|---|----------------------------------|
| 0 | 11a | 110 | 0.136 | 1110 | mu | 0.136 | 0.136 | 110 |
| 1 | | | 0.172 | 0.104 | 0.104 | 0.170 | 0.109 | 0.196 |
| 2 | 0.312 | | 0.283 | 0.221 | 0.221 | 0.231 | 0.222 | 0.226 |
| 3 | 0.341 | | 0.344 | 0.269 | 0.269 | 0.327 | 0.276 | 0.273 |
| 4 | 0.353 | | 0.409 | 0.315 | 0.315 | 0.380 | 0.342 | 0.322 |
| 5 | 0.421 | | 0.478 | 0.342 | 0.342 | 0.439 | 0.425 | 0.274 |
| 6 | 0.473 | | 0.547 | | | 0.505 | 0.543 | 0.250 |
| 7 | 0.469 | | 0.592 | | | 0.557 | 0.577 | 0.377 |
| 8 | 0.519 | | 0.645 | 0.366 | 0.366 | 0.604 | 0.407 | 0.498 |
| 9 | 0.688 | | 0.637 | | | 0.638 | 0.637 | 0.542 |
| 10 | | | 0.805 | | | 0.746 | 0.787 | 0.913 |
| 11 | | | 0.752 | | | 0.752 | 0.752 | |
| 12 | | | 0.611 | | | 0.611 | 0.611 | |
| 13 | | | 0.831 | | | 0.831 | 0.831 | |
| 14 | | | 0.850 | | | 0.850 | 0.850 | |
| 15 | | | 0.822 | | | 0.822 | 0.822 | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Ages | Va | Vb | VIa | VIIa | VIIb | VIIc | VIId | VIIe |
| 0 | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0.233 | | 0.098 | 0.130 | 0.090 | 0.098 | 0.114 | 0.233 |
| 2 | 0.272 | 0.243 | 0.232 | 0.225 | 0.218 | 0.139 | 0.161 | 0.272 |
| 3 | 0.307 | 0.274 | 0.295 | 0.268 | 0.259 | 0.209 | 0.201 | 0.307 |
| 4 | 0.349 | 0.352 | 0.384 | 0.310 | 0.313 | 0.261 | 0.232 | 0.349 |
| 5 | 0.403 | 0.413 | 0.459 | 0.378 | 0.382 | 0.302 | 0.266 | 0.403 |
| 6 | 0.444 | 0.526 | 0 513 | 0.393 | 0.399 | 0.373 | 0.277 | 0.444 |
| | | 0.020 | 0.010 | 0.070 | | | | |
| 7 | 0.486 | 0.364 | 0.535 | 0.394 | 0.402 | 0.456 | 0.317 | 0.486 |
| 8 | 0.486 0.549 | 0.364 0.418 | 0.535 | 0.394 0.489 | 0.402 0.508 | 0.456 0.446 | 0.317 0.290 | 0.486 0.549 |
| 7 8 9 | 0.486 0.549 0.563 | 0.364 0.418 0.425 | 0.535 | 0.394 0.489 0.477 | 0.402 0.508 0.482 | 0.456 0.446 0.609 | 0.317 0.290 0.563 | 0.486 0.549 0.563 |
| 7 8 9 10 | 0.486 0.549 0.563 0.709 | 0.364 0.418 0.425 | 0.535 | 0.394 0.489 0.477 0.528 | 0.402 0.508 0.482 0.531 | 0.456 0.446 0.609 0.527 | 0.317 0.290 0.563 0.231 | 0.486 0.549 0.563 0.709 |
| 7 8 9 10 11 | 0.486 0.549 0.563 0.709 | 0.364 0.418 0.425 0.493 | 0.535 | 0.394 0.489 0.477 0.528 0.531 | 0.402 0.508 0.482 0.531 0.537 | 0.456 0.446 0.609 0.527 0.723 | 0.317 0.290 0.563 0.231 0.575 | 0.486 0.549 0.563 0.709 |
| 7 8 9 10 11 12 | 0.486 0.549 0.563 0.709 | 0.364 0.418 0.425 0.493 0.526 | 0.535 | 0.394 0.489 0.477 0.528 0.531 0.496 | 0.402 0.508 0.482 0.531 0.537 0.504 | 0.456 0.446 0.609 0.527 0.723 0.495 | 0.317 0.290 0.563 0.231 0.575 | 0.486 0.549 0.563 0.709 |
| 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | 0.486 0.549 0.563 0.709 | 0.364 0.418 0.425 0.493 0.526 | 0.535 | 0.394 0.489 0.477 0.528 0.531 0.496 | 0.402 0.508 0.482 0.531 0.537 0.504 0.614 | 0.456 0.446 0.609 0.527 0.723 0.495 | 0.317 0.290 0.563 0.231 0.575 | 0.486 0.549 0.563 0.709 |
| 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | 0.486 0.549 0.563 0.709 | 0.364 0.418 0.425 0.493 0.526 | 0.535 | 0.394 0.489 0.477 0.528 0.531 0.496 | 0.402 0.508 0.482 0.531 0.537 0.504 0.614 0.859 | 0.456 0.446 0.609 0.527 0.723 0.495 | 0.317 0.290 0.563 0.231 0.575 | 0.486 0.549 0.563 0.709 |

| Ages | VIIf | VIIg | VIIh | VIIj | VIIk | VIIIa | VIIIb |
|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| 0 | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0.120 | 0.130 | 0.130 | 0.130 | | 0.160 | 0.160 |
| 2 | 0.159 | 0.223 | 0.223 | 0.225 | | 0.177 | 0.177 |
| 3 | 0.198 | 0.260 | 0.260 | 0.271 | | 0.200 | 0.200 |
| 4 | 0.229 | 0.298 | 0.298 | 0.312 | | 0.268 | 0.268 |
| 5 | 0.264 | 0.382 | 0.382 | 0.378 | | 0.332 | 0.332 |
| 6 | 0.289 | 0.439 | 0.442 | 0.389 | | 0.336 | 0.336 |
| 7 | 0.312 | 0.473 | 0.482 | 0.391 | | 0.380 | 0.380 |
| 8 | 0.277 | 0.541 | 0.550 | 0.488 | | 0.434 | 0.434 |
| 9 | 0.357 | 0.572 | 0.592 | 0.475 | | 0.455 | 0.455 |
| 10 | 0.234 | 0.564 | 0.575 | 0.527 | | 0.434 | 0.434 |
| 11 | 0.425 | 0.655 | 0.717 | 0.530 | | 0.530 | 0.530 |
| 12 | | 0.597 | 0.673 | 0.496 | | 0.545 | 0.545 |
| 13 | | | | | | 0.578 | 0.578 |
| 14 | | | | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | | | |

| Ages | VIIIcE | VIIIcW | VIIId | IXaN | IXaCN | XIVa | Total |
|------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|
| 0 | | | | | | | 0.136 |
| 1 | 0.076 | 0.135 | | 0.121 | 0.114 | | 0.134 |
| 2 | 0.187 | 0.191 | 0.225 | 0.169 | 0.164 | | 0.241 |
| 3 | 0.206 | 0.203 | 0.272 | 0.201 | 0.217 | | 0.290 |
| 4 | 0.266 | 0.239 | 0.313 | 0.240 | 0.257 | | 0.327 |
| 5 | 0.324 | 0.291 | 0.378 | 0.255 | 0.332 | | 0.385 |
| 6 | 0.365 | 0.366 | 0.388 | 0.280 | 0.361 | | 0.410 |
| 7 | 0.385 | 0.405 | 0.390 | 0.300 | 0.398 | | 0.429 |
| 8 | 0.434 | 0.465 | 0.487 | 0.373 | 0.432 | | 0.475 |
| 9 | 0.465 | 0.497 | 0.474 | 0.429 | 0.467 | | 0.508 |
| 10 | 0.475 | 0.507 | 0.527 | 0.510 | 0.504 | | 0.540 |
| 11 | 0.530 | 0.548 | 0.529 | 0.540 | 0.602 | | 0.555 |
| 12 | 0.550 | 0.584 | 0.495 | 0.560 | | | 0.553 |
| 13 | 0.564 | 0.586 | | 0.564 | | | 0.579 |
| 14 | | | | | | | 0.854 |
| 15 | | | | | | | 0.822 |

Table 2.3.5.1 NE Atlantic Mackerel. Mean weight (kg) at age by area for 2009 (cont.).

| Ages | IIa | IIb | IIIa | IIIb | IIId | IVa | IVb | IVc |
|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 0 | 0.136 | | 0.136 | 0.136 | 0.136 | 0.136 | 0.136 | |
| 1 | 0.170 | | 0.170 | 0.171 | 0.171 | 0.170 | 0.176 | |
| 2 | 0.265 | 0.210 | 0.231 | 0.239 | 0.239 | 0.236 | 0.236 | 0.229 |
| 3 | 0.333 | 0.319 | 0.327 | 0.328 | 0.328 | 0.331 | 0.326 | 0.298 |
| 4 | 0.364 | 0.347 | 0.380 | 0.386 | 0.386 | 0.384 | 0.389 | 0.363 |
| 5 | 0.415 | 0.397 | 0.438 | 0.447 | 0.447 | 0.444 | 0.449 | 0.401 |
| 6 | 0.465 | 0.452 | 0.504 | 0.511 | 0.511 | 0.508 | 0.436 | 0.400 |
| 7 | 0.515 | 0.524 | 0.557 | 0.572 | 0.572 | 0.559 | 0.558 | 0.526 |
| 8 | 0.521 | 0.561 | 0.605 | 0.628 | 0.628 | 0.604 | 0.482 | 0.463 |
| 9 | 0.607 | 0.585 | 0.637 | 0.637 | 0.637 | 0.637 | 0.637 | |
| 10 | 0.647 | 0.643 | 0.746 | 0.763 | 0.763 | 0.752 | 0.893 | |
| 11 | 0.622 | 0.538 | 0.752 | 0.752 | 0.752 | 0.750 | 0.752 | |
| 12 | 0.683 | 0.741 | 0.611 | 0.611 | 0.611 | 0.611 | 0.611 | |
| 13 | 0.568 | 0.859 | 0.831 | 0.831 | 0.831 | 0.831 | 0.831 | |
| 14 | 0.850 | | 0.850 | 0.850 | 0.850 | 0.850 | 0.850 | |
| 15 | 0.822 | | 0.822 | 0.822 | 0.822 | 0.822 | 0.822 | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Ages | Va | Vb | VIa | VIIa | VIIb | VIIc | VIId | VIIe |
| 0 | 0.037 | 0.037 | 0.136 | | | | | 0.037 |
| 1 | | | 0.114 | 0.136 | 0.098 | 0.193 | 0.188 | |
| 2 | 0.294 | 0.294 | 0.243 | 0.196 | 0.254 | 0.251 | 0.233 | 0.294 |
| 3 | 0.334 | 0.326 | 0.302 | 0.227 | 0.260 | 0.274 | 0.264 | 0.334 |
| 4 | 0.391 | 0.383 | 0.362 | 0.256 | 0.307 | 0.325 | 0.326 | 0.391 |
| 5 | 0.442 | 0.435 | 0.448 | 0.273 | 0.372 | 0.278 | 0.334 | 0.442 |
| 6 | 0.475 | 0.470 | 0.510 | 0.359 | 0.374 | 0.249 | 0.378 | 0.475 |
| 7 | 0.510 | 0.504 | 0.568 | 0.426 | 0.430 | 0.500 | 0.428 | 0.510 |
| 8 | 0.548 | 0.544 | 0.610 | 0.391 | 0.440 | 0.509 | 0.466 | 0.548 |
| 9 | 0.491 | 0.488 | 0.637 | 0.447 | 0.447 | 0.542 | 0.447 | 0.491 |
| 10 | 0.656 | 0.656 | 0.771 | 0.506 | 0.506 | | 0.506 | 0.656 |
| 11 | 0.641 | 0.636 | 0.752 | 0.540 | 0.540 | | 0.540 | 0.641 |
| 12 | | 0.526 | 0.611 | | | | | |
| 13 | 0.573 | | 0.831 | | | | | 0.573 |
| 14 | 0.663 | | 0.850 | 0.677 | 0.677 | | 0.677 | 0.663 |
| 15 | | | 0.822 | 0 522 | 0.522 | | 0.522 | |

| Ages | VIIf | VIIg | VIIh | VIIj | VIIk | VIIIa | VIIIb |
|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 0 | | | | | | 0.140 | 0.140 |
| 1 | 0.176 | | | | | 0.166 | 0.166 |
| 2 | 0.226 | 0.275 | 0.275 | 0.275 | 0.275 | 0.168 | 0.168 |
| 3 | 0.258 | 0.243 | 0.259 | 0.255 | 0.259 | 0.194 | 0.194 |
| 4 | 0.300 | 0.283 | 0.315 | 0.309 | 0.315 | 0.293 | 0.293 |
| 5 | 0.289 | 0.266 | 0.349 | 0.313 | 0.349 | 0.324 | 0.324 |
| 6 | 0.281 | 0.354 | 0.374 | 0.373 | 0.374 | 0.372 | 0.372 |
| 7 | 0.274 | 0.425 | 0.430 | 0.429 | 0.430 | 0.371 | 0.371 |
| 8 | 0.322 | 0.384 | 0.440 | 0.429 | 0.440 | 0.479 | 0.479 |
| 9 | | 0.447 | 0.447 | 0.447 | 0.447 | 0.532 | 0.532 |
| 10 | | 0.506 | 0.506 | 0.506 | 0.506 | 0.594 | 0.594 |
| 11 | | 0.540 | 0.540 | 0.540 | 0.540 | | |
| 12 | | | | | | | |
| 13 | | | | | | | |
| 14 | | 0.677 | 0.677 | 0.677 | 0.677 | | |
| 15 | | 0.522 | 0.522 | 0.522 | 0.522 | | |

| Ages | VIIIcE | VIIIcW | VIIId | IXaN | IXaCN | XIVa | Total |
|------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 0 | 0.141 | 0.137 | | 0.123 | 0.063 | 0.037 | 0.117 |
| 1 | 0.166 | 0.163 | | 0.162 | 0.178 | | 0.171 |
| 2 | 0.167 | 0.180 | 0.275 | 0.189 | 0.242 | 0.294 | 0.245 |
| 3 | 0.194 | 0.201 | 0.259 | 0.216 | 0.292 | 0.334 | 0.331 |
| 4 | 0.297 | 0.239 | 0.315 | 0.247 | 0.344 | 0.391 | 0.378 |
| 5 | 0.328 | 0.270 | 0.349 | 0.250 | 0.429 | 0.442 | 0.433 |
| 6 | 0.375 | 0.326 | 0.374 | 0.262 | 0.448 | 0.474 | 0.481 |
| 7 | 0.370 | 0.389 | 0.430 | 0.298 | 0.522 | 0.510 | 0.537 |
| 8 | 0.483 | 0.432 | 0.440 | 0.354 | 0.522 | 0.548 | 0.567 |
| 9 | 0.536 | 0.484 | 0.447 | 0.403 | 0.609 | 0.490 | 0.589 |
| 10 | 0.596 | 0.565 | 0.506 | 0.537 | 0.652 | 0.656 | 0.723 |
| 11 | | | 0.540 | | 0.845 | 0.642 | 0.682 |
| 12 | | | | | | | 0.633 |
| 13 | | | | | | | 0.724 |
| 14 | | | 0.677 | | | | 0.737 |
| 15 | | | 0.522 | | | | 0.809 |

110

Table 2.3.5.1 NE Atlantic Mackerel. Mean weight (kg) at age by area for 2009 (cont.).

| Ages | IIa | IIb | IIIa | IIIb | IIId | IVa | IVb | IVc |
|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 0 | | | 0.086 | | 0.086 | 0.086 | | |
| 1 | | | 0.183 | | 0.179 | 0.186 | 0.191 | 0.203 |
| 2 | 0.266 | | 0.292 | | 0.269 | 0.269 | 0.232 | 0.254 |
| 3 | 0.342 | | 0.320 | | 0.320 | 0.322 | 0.337 | 0.286 |
| 4 | 0.376 | | 0.380 | | 0.374 | 0.373 | 0.395 | 0.325 |
| 5 | 0.425 | | 0.426 | | 0.427 | 0.426 | 0.482 | 0.245 |
| 6 | 0.463 | | 0.492 | | 0.499 | 0.489 | 0.529 | 0.249 |
| 7 | 0.513 | | 0.501 | | 0.530 | 0.504 | 0.540 | 0.377 |
| 8 | 0.528 | | 0.617 | | 0.619 | 0.561 | 0.571 | 0.509 |
| 9 | 0.602 | | 0.625 | | 0.615 | 0.597 | 0.571 | 0.542 |
| 10 | 0.626 | | 0.645 | | 0.719 | 0.629 | 0.621 | |
| 11 | 0.598 | | 0.613 | | 0.614 | 0.614 | 0.615 | |
| 12 | 0.708 | | 0.724 | | 0.722 | 0.721 | 0.720 | |
| 13 | 0.686 | | 0.712 | | 0.624 | 0.652 | 0.611 | |
| 14 | | | 0.872 | | 0.872 | 0.847 | | |
| 15 | | | 0.915 | | 0.915 | 0.915 | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Ages | Va | Vb | VIa | VIIa | VIIb | VIIc | VIId | VIIe |
| 0 | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | | | 0.129 | 0.190 | 0.141 | 0.191 | 0.190 | |
| 2 | | | 0.191 | 0.242 | 0.195 | 0.250 | 0.242 | |
| 3 | | 0.299 | 0.245 | 0.291 | 0.240 | 0.271 | 0.294 | 0.299 |
| 4 | | 0.352 | 0.300 | 0.267 | 0.279 | | 0.279 | 0.352 |
| 5 | | 0.439 | 0.356 | 0.314 | 0.319 | 0.286 | 0.265 | 0.439 |
| 6 | | 0.491 | 0.422 | 0.488 | 0.379 | | 0.478 | 0.491 |
| 7 | | 0.569 | 0.496 | | 0.427 | 0.531 | 0.224 | 0.569 |
| 8 | | 0.560 | 0.568 | 0.545 | | | 0.543 | 0.560 |
| 9 | | 0.670 | 0.785 | | | | | 0.670 |
| 10 | | | 0.657 | | | | | |
| 11 | | | 0.799 | | | | | |
| 12 | | | 0.657 | | | | | |
| 13 | | | | | | | | |
| 14 | | | 0.526 | | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | | | | |

| Ages | VIIf | VIIg | VIIh | VIIj | VIIk | VIIIa | VIIIb |
|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| 0 | 0.093 | | | | | | 0.140 |
| 1 | 0.179 | 0.136 | | 0.136 | | 0.190 | 0.166 |
| 2 | 0.207 | 0.192 | 0.275 | 0.192 | | 0.244 | 0.168 |
| 3 | 0.248 | 0.225 | 0.259 | 0.223 | | 0.299 | 0.194 |
| 4 | 0.327 | 0.245 | 0.315 | 0.229 | | 0.270 | 0.293 |
| 5 | 0.289 | 0.338 | 0.349 | 0.284 | | | 0.324 |
| 6 | 0.289 | 0.374 | 0.374 | 0.347 | | 0.488 | 0.372 |
| 7 | 0.240 | 0.430 | 0.430 | 0.423 | | | 0.371 |
| 8 | 0.323 | 0.440 | 0.440 | 0.377 | | 0.545 | 0.479 |
| 9 | | 0.447 | 0.447 | 0.447 | | | 0.532 |
| 10 | | 0.506 | 0.506 | 0.506 | | | 0.594 |
| 11 | | 0.540 | 0.540 | 0.540 | | | |
| 12 | | | | | | | |
| 13 | | | | | | | |
| 14 | | 0.677 | 0.677 | 0.677 | | | |
| 15 | | 0.522 | 0.522 | 0.522 | | | |

| Ages | VIIIcE | VIIIcW | VIIId | IXaN | IXaCN | XIVa | Total |
|------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|
| 0 | 0.137 | 0.137 | | 0.101 | 0.076 | | 0.088 |
| 1 | 0.163 | 0.163 | | 0.161 | 0.161 | | 0.162 |
| 2 | 0.179 | 0.180 | 0.275 | 0.212 | 0.238 | | 0.216 |
| 3 | 0.201 | 0.201 | 0.242 | 0.249 | 0.287 | | 0.290 |
| 4 | 0.241 | 0.239 | 0.279 | 0.292 | 0.344 | | 0.359 |
| 5 | 0.271 | 0.270 | 0.262 | 0.318 | 0.396 | | 0.414 |
| 6 | 0.327 | 0.326 | 0.347 | 0.350 | 0.452 | | 0.482 |
| 7 | 0.388 | 0.389 | 0.423 | 0.402 | 0.522 | | 0.503 |
| 8 | 0.433 | 0.432 | 0.377 | 0.432 | 0.522 | | 0.555 |
| 9 | 0.486 | 0.484 | | 0.508 | 0.629 | | 0.609 |
| 10 | 0.567 | 0.565 | | 0.589 | 0.650 | | 0.629 |
| 11 | | | | 0.789 | 0.789 | | 0.614 |
| 12 | | | | | | | 0.721 |
| 13 | | | | | | | 0.652 |
| 14 | | | | | | | 0.793 |
| 15 | | | | | | | 0.914 |

Table 2.5.3.1. Biomass, abundance, mean length and mean weight at age of mackerel from the Spanish spring acoustics surveys (PELACUS 04) from 2001 to 2009.

| | 2001 | | | | 2002 | | | | 2003 | | | |
|-------|------------|------|-------|----------|------------|------|-------|----------|------------|------|-------|----------|
| | Number | L | W | Biomass | Number | L | W | Biomass | Number | L | W | Biomass |
| AGE | (millions) | (cm) | (g) | t ('000) | (millions) | (cm) | (g) | t ('000) | (millions) | (cm) | (g) | t ('000) |
| 1 | 29.0 | 25.9 | 126.2 | 3.7 | 621.4 | 23.3 | 80.5 | 50.0 | 5678.6 | 23.1 | 81.6 | 463.2 |
| 2 | 47.6 | 31.0 | 213.7 | 10.2 | 94.8 | 32.0 | 221.9 | 21.0 | 324.5 | 28.9 | 165.1 | 53.6 |
| 3 | 184.3 | 33.7 | 277.3 | 51.1 | 378.1 | 34.3 | 277.1 | 104.8 | 109.0 | 33.5 | 261.3 | 28.5 |
| 4 | 386.6 | 36.1 | 340.3 | 131.6 | 706.8 | 35.8 | 317.9 | 224.7 | 229.0 | 35.0 | 299.7 | 68.6 |
| 5 | 382.1 | 37.5 | 383.0 | 146.4 | 1065.9 | 36.8 | 348.0 | 370.9 | 265.2 | 37.1 | 359.1 | 95.2 |
| 6 | 393.6 | 38.0 | 397.7 | 156.5 | 604.6 | 38.2 | 390.9 | 236.3 | 230.1 | 38.0 | 385.7 | 88.8 |
| 7 | 202.7 | 39.5 | 446.7 | 90.5 | 674.5 | 39.1 | 419.2 | 282.8 | 94.3 | 39.8 | 443.4 | 41.8 |
| 8 | 143.5 | 40.0 | 464.5 | 66.7 | 191.4 | 39.9 | 447.2 | 85.6 | 88.5 | 40.1 | 454.6 | 40.2 |
| 9 | 83.7 | 40.5 | 481.7 | 40.3 | 158.4 | 40.3 | 461.4 | 73.1 | 19.6 | 41.5 | 505.1 | 9.9 |
| 10 | 17.0 | 40.2 | 469.3 | 8.0 | 100.2 | 41.0 | 490.2 | 49.1 | 10.0 | 41.9 | 519.9 | 5.2 |
| 11 | 26.3 | 42.1 | 541.4 | 14.2 | 54.0 | 41.4 | 504.0 | 27.2 | 14.0 | 42.6 | 549.6 | 7.7 |
| 12 | 12.3 | 41.9 | 533.8 | 6.5 | 12.4 | 43.5 | 586.7 | 7.3 | 3.8 | 41.5 | 503.1 | 1.9 |
| 13 | 1.9 | 41.5 | 517.1 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 43.1 | 566.9 | 2.1 |
| 14 | 6.1 | 43.5 | 596.5 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 15+ | 9.4 | 42.8 | 568.1 | 5.3 | 2.9 | 45.5 | 676.9 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 43.3 | 578.1 | 1.2 |
| TOTAL | 1926.2 | 37.3 | 381.9 | 735.6 | 4665.3 | 35.5 | 329.0 | 1534.8 | 7072.1 | 25.5 | 128.4 | 907.8 |

| | 2004 | | | | 2005 | | | | 2006 | | | |
|-------|------------|------|-------|----------|------------|------|-------|----------|------------|------|-------|----------|
| | Number | L | W | Biomass | Number | L | W | Biomass | Number | L | W | Biomass |
| AGE | (millions) | (cm) | (g) | t ('000) | (millions) | (cm) | (g) | t ('000) | (millions) | (cm) | (g) | t ('000) |
| 1 | 195.2 | 25.0 | 114.6 | 22.4 | 43.4 | 24.8 | 112.1 | 4.6 | 83.7 | 20.8 | 58.5 | 4.9 |
| 2 | 952.4 | 28.3 | 164.5 | 156.6 | 106.5 | 29.2 | 181.8 | 19.0 | 9.3 | 29.7 | 177.2 | 1.7 |
| 3 | 599.3 | 32.8 | 258.1 | 154.7 | 229.1 | 32.3 | 245.4 | 56.1 | 57.3 | 31.9 | 223.1 | 12.8 |
| 4 | 227.5 | 37.5 | 377.8 | 86.0 | 259.6 | 36.5 | 349.4 | 92.4 | 230.7 | 33.5 | 262.7 | 60.6 |
| 5 | 425.6 | 38.1 | 395.5 | 168.3 | 82.6 | 38.3 | 403.4 | 34.2 | 104.7 | 36.7 | 345.0 | 36.1 |
| 6 | 336.7 | 39.1 | 428.4 | 144.2 | 163.8 | 38.8 | 417.6 | 70.4 | 34.2 | 38.5 | 398.1 | 13.6 |
| 7 | 181.5 | 40.1 | 461.7 | 83.8 | 114.9 | 39.5 | 438.4 | 52.0 | 22.2 | 39.2 | 420.5 | 9.3 |
| 8 | 106.1 | 40.8 | 483.2 | 51.3 | 63.8 | 39.8 | 451.7 | 29.8 | 7.6 | 40.9 | 483.3 | 3.6 |
| 9 | 76.5 | 41.0 | 492.5 | 37.7 | 33.6 | 41.0 | 493.9 | 17.2 | 2.0 | 41.9 | 513.6 | 1.0 |
| 10 | 31.1 | 42.3 | 538.0 | 16.7 | 15.3 | 42.3 | 535.4 | 8.5 | 3.4 | 41.3 | 495.1 | 1.7 |
| 11 | 18.9 | 42.2 | 533.9 | 10.1 | 13.7 | 41.8 | 518.8 | 7.4 | 1.4 | 42.7 | 545.7 | 0.8 |
| 12 | 13.5 | 43.3 | 573.8 | 7.7 | 6.6 | 42.0 | 526.6 | 3.6 | 0.5 | 42.8 | 551.1 | 0.3 |
| 13 | 3.2 | 43.9 | 599.8 | 1.9 | 11.3 | 42.5 | 544.1 | 6.4 | 0.1 | 43.8 | 590.7 | 0.1 |
| 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.1 | 43.8 | 592.6 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 15+ | 5.9 | 46.4 | 710.5 | 4.2 | 7.3 | 43.7 | 594.9 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 44.5 | 621.0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | 3173.2 | 33.8 | 298.0 | 945.6 | 1156.6 | 35.9 | 346.7 | 409.5 | 557.3 | 32.7 | 263.0 | 146.6 |

| | 2007 | | | | 2008 | | | | 2009 | | | |
|-------|------------|------|-------|----------|------------|------|-------|----------|------------|------|-------|----------|
| | Number | L | W | Biomass | Number | L | W | Biomass | Number | L | W | Biomass |
| AGE | (millions) | (cm) | (g) | t ('000) | (millions) | (cm) | (g) | t ('000) | (millions) | (cm) | (g) | t ('000) |
| 1 | 182.2 | 21.5 | 64.1 | 11.7 | 407.1 | 24.4 | 100.4 | 40.9 | 7.5 | 24.3 | 98.5 | 0.7 |
| 2 | 34.6 | 25.6 | 110.5 | 3.8 | 100.5 | 27.1 | 135.2 | 13.6 | 65.1 | 29.3 | 176.1 | 11.5 |
| 3 | 22.1 | 33.4 | 254.5 | 5.6 | 327.4 | 29.8 | 180.7 | 59.1 | 148.4 | 30.0 | 189.4 | 28.1 |
| 4 | 129.6 | 34.9 | 291.7 | 37.8 | 125.8 | 33.5 | 261.9 | 32.9 | 201.7 | 32.5 | 248.1 | 50.0 |
| 5 | 189.4 | 36.1 | 324.0 | 61.4 | 233.6 | 36.2 | 328.2 | 76.5 | 86.8 | 35.0 | 314.3 | 27.3 |
| 6 | 117.5 | 38.1 | 379.7 | 44.6 | 277.5 | 36.3 | 328.5 | 91.0 | 148.8 | 36.9 | 370.0 | 55.0 |
| 7 | 31.9 | 39.8 | 435.9 | 13.9 | 131.0 | 37.9 | 374.1 | 48.9 | 180.8 | 37.7 | 394.7 | 71.3 |
| 8 | 20.5 | 39.7 | 431.5 | 8.8 | 25.2 | 39.5 | 423.4 | 10.6 | 93.0 | 39.5 | 454.8 | 42.2 |
| 9 | 4.8 | 41.2 | 484.0 | 2.3 | 20.1 | 39.5 | 422.7 | 8.5 | 32.6 | 40.2 | 484.7 | 15.7 |
| 10 | 6.1 | 40.7 | 464.7 | 2.8 | 20.5 | 40.2 | 443.6 | 9.0 | 14.9 | 40.7 | 500.8 | 7.5 |
| 11 | 1.5 | 41.4 | 490.3 | 0.8 | 9.2 | 41.1 | 474.8 | 4.4 | 4.6 | 41.6 | 537.0 | 2.4 |
| 12 | 4.7 | 44.5 | 608.6 | 2.8 | 7.3 | 41.8 | 500.0 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 42.2 | 561.9 | 2.0 |
| 13 | 0.7 | 43.5 | 567.6 | 0.4 | 2.4 | 43.4 | 561.4 | 1.3 | 4.1 | 42.4 | 569.2 | 2.3 |
| 14 | 2.6 | 44.0 | 591.5 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 44.6 | 607.1 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 15+ | 0.7 | 46.5 | 697.9 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 46.5 | 690.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | 748.9 | 32.5 | 265.4 | 198.8 | 1689.2 | 31.7 | 238.0 | 401.4 | 991.8 | 34.8 | 319.0 | 316.2 |

| | ICES IXa- | N | ICES VIII | c-W | VIIIc-EW | | VIIIc-EE | | TOTAL | |
|------|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| | Abund. (million) | Biomass (kt) |
| 2001 | 0.02 | 7.4 | 0.31 | 120.1 | 1.23 | 489.1 | 0.36 | 119.1 | 1.93 | 735.7 |
| 2002 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.82 | 333.7 | 3.80 | 1191.1 | 0.04 | 10.0 | 4.67 | 1534.8 |
| 2003 | 4.58 | 376.6 | 1.07 | 184.4 | 0.88 | 202.5 | 0.54 | 144.3 | 7.14 | 907.8 |
| 2004 | 0.61 | 118.6 | 1.03 | 304.3 | 1.50 | 515.7 | 0.03 | 7.0 | 3.17 | 945.6 |
| 2005 | 0.16 | 45.6 | 0.23 | 13.0 | 0.60 | 228.6 | 0.16 | 32.3 | 1.06 | 409.5 |
| 2006 | 0.01 | 0.7 | 0.39 | 100.5 | 0.15 | 41.5 | 0.02 | 4.0 | 0.56 | 146.6 |
| 2007 | 0.16 | 11.2 | 0.22 | 77.4 | 0.36 | 108.4 | 0.01 | 1.8 | 0.75 | 198.8 |
| 2008 | 0.16 | 21.4 | 0.38 | 109.0 | 0.84 | 235.0 | 0.05 | 4.2 | 1.42 | 369.7 |
| 2009 | 0.06 | 11.8 | 0.04 | 10.1 | 0.57 | 220.2 | 0.33 | 74.1 | 0.99 | 316.2 |
| 2010 | 0.38 | 34.2 | 0.88 | 293.7 | 2.09 | 628.6 | 0.00 | 1.0 | 3.35 | 957.5 |

Table 2.5.3.2. Mackerel Abundance and Biomass by ICES sub-divisions from Spanish spring acoustic surveys (PELACUS04) from 2001 to 2010.

Table 2.6.1. Participating countries, vessels, areas assigned, dates and sampling periods of the 2010 surveys.

| Country | Vessel | Areas | Dates | Period |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|--------|
| Portugal | Noruega | Cadiz, Portugal & Galicia | 25 Jan – 28 Feb | 1 |
| | Cornide de | Cantabrian Sea & Biscay | 14 Mar – 05 Apr | 2 |
| Spain (IEO) | Saavedra | Biscay & Cantabrian Sea | 15 Apr – 12 May | 3 |
| | | West Ireland & W | 24 Mar – 12 Apr | 2 |
| Germany | Walther Herwig III | Scotland Celtic Sea & Biscay | 13 – 30 Apr | 3 |
| Notherlands | Tridona | Celtic Sea & Biscay | 3 – 20 May | 4 |
| Netherlands | Truens | Celtic Sea & Biscay | 1 – 19 June | 5 |
| Service (A ZTI) | Imposition don | Biscay | 23 Mar – 9 April | 2 |
| Spain (AZ11) | investigador | Biscay & Cantabrian Sea | 3 May – 26 May | 4 |
| Norway | Johan Hjort | West Ireland & West of Scotland | 11 May – 5 June | 4 |
| | | West of Scotland | - | 5 |
| | | Celtic Sea | 5 – 29 March | 2 |
| Ireland | Celtic Explorer Celtic Voyager | Celtic Sea, West Ireland & West of Scotland | 8 – 28 July | 6 |
| | Scotia | West Ireland & West of Scotland | 20 April – 11 May (22 Days) | 3 |
| Scotland | Corystes | NW Ireland & West of Scotland | 19 May – 1 June | 4 |
| | Unity | West of Ireland & West of Scotland | 14 June – 5 July | 5 |
| Faroe Islands | Magnus Heinason | Faroes & Shetland | 19 May – 2 June | 4 |
| Iceland | Arni Fridriksson | Faroes & Shetland | 9 – 22 June | 5 |

Table 2.7.1. Catch Number at age

Units: Thousands

| | year | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|--------|--------|--------|--------|----------------|--------|-----------|--------|---------|--------|
| age | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |
| 0 | 10707 | 16997 | 29277 | 36171 | 62510 | 6077 | 34623 | 114529 | 33101 | 56682 |
| 1 | 34979 | 46267 | 108077 | 62908 | 282818 | 175220 | 34513 | 360698 | 411327 | 276229 |
| 2 | 51652 | 74544 | 47410 | 92385 | 249293 | 328732 | 560738 | 62909 | 393025 | 502365 |
| 3 | 194461 | 109015 | 155390 | 84509 | 374245 | 226560 | 449338 | 609522 | 64549 | 231814 |
| 4 | 650980 | 415015 | 148543 | 265129 | 176793 | 236116 | 279236 | 385578 | 328206 | 32814 |
| 5 | 0 | 814518 | 424462 | 164673 | 314261 | 67758 | 282158 | 250755 | 254172 | 184867 |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 673317 | 251420 | 133822 | 186619 | 78877 | 248099 | 142978 | 173349 |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 991632 | 379790 | 105004 | 172213 | 92655 | 145385 | 116328 |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 478925 | 229803 | 73933 | 169605 | 54778 | 125548 |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 236966 | 127975 | 73900 | 130771 | 41186 |
| 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 243333 | 102363 | 39920 | 146186 |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 204291 | 56210 | 31639 |
| 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 104927 | 199615 |
| | vear | | | | | | | | | |
| age | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 |
| 0 | 11180 | 7333 | 287287 | 81799 | 49983 | 7403 | 57644 | 65400 | 24246 | 10007 |
| 1 | 213936 | 47914 | 31901 | 268960 | 58126 | 40126 | 152656 | 64263 | 140534 | 58459 |
| 2 | 432867 | 668909 | 86064 | 20893 | 424563 | 156670 | 137635 | 312739 | 209848 | 212521 |
| 3 | 472457 | 433744 | 682491 | 58346 | 38387 | 663378 | 190403 | 207689 | 410751 | 206421 |
| 4 | 184581 | 373262 | 387582 | 445357 | 76545 | 56680 | 538394 | 167588 | 208146 | 375451 |
| 5 | 26544 | 126533 | 251503 | 252217 | 364119 | 89003 | 72914 | 362469 | 156742 | 188623 |
| 6 | 138970 | 20175 | 98063 | 165219 | 208021 | 244570 | 87323 | 48696 | 254015 | 129145 |
| 7 | 112476 | 90151 | 22086 | 62363 | 126174 | 150588 | 201021 | 58116 | 4254915 | 107888 |
| 8 | 89672 | 72031 | 61813 | 19562 | 120174 | 85863 | 122/10/21 | 111251 | 42547 | 51077 |
| 9 | 88726 | 18668 | 47925 | 17560 | 12533 | 34795 | 55913 | 68240 | 85447 | 43415 |
| 10 | 27552 | 40000 | 37/82 | 37607 | 32786 | 19658 | 20710 | 32228 | 33041 | 70839 |
| 10 | 917/3 | 19745 | 30105 | 26965 | 22071 | 25747 | 13178 | 13904 | 16587 | 207/3 |
| 11 | 156101 | 122040 | 60182 | 207652 | 22)/1 91152 | 62146 | 57494 | 25914 | 27005 | 52086 |
| 12 | 130121 | 132040 | 09103 | 97032 | 61155 | 03140 | 37494 | 55614 | 27903 | 52960 |
| | 1002 | 1002 | 1004 | 1005 | 1007 | 1007 | 1000 | 1000 | 2000 | 2001 |
| age | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| 0 | 43447 | 19354 | 25368 | 14759 | 3/956 | 36012 | 61127 | 67003 | 36345 | 26034 |
| 1 | 83583 | 128144 | 14/315 | 81529 | 119852 | 144390 | 99352 | 73597 | 102407 | 40315 |
| 2 | 156292 | 210319 | 221489 | 340898 | 168882 | 186481 | 229767 | 132994 | 142898 | 158943 |
| 3 | 356209 | 266677 | 306979 | 340215 | 333365 | 238426 | 264566 | 223639 | 2/53/6 | 234186 |
| 4 | 266591 | 398240 | 267420 | 2/5031 | 279182 | 3/8881 | 323186 | 261778 | 390858 | 297206 |
| 5 | 306143 | 244285 | 301346 | 186855 | 177667 | 246781 | 361945 | 281041 | 295516 | 309937 |
| 6 | 156070 | 255472 | 184925 | 197856 | 96303 | 135059 | 20/619 | 244212 | 241550 | 231804 |
| 7 | 113899 | 149932 | 189847 | 142342 | 119831 | 84378 | 118388 | 159019 | 175608 | 195250 |
| 8 | 138458 | 97746 | 106108 | 113413 | 55812 | 66504 | 72745 | 86739 | 106291 | 120241 |
| 9 | 51208 | 121400 | 80054 | 69191 | 59801 | 39450 | 4/353 | 50613 | 52394 | 72205 |
| 10 | 36612 | 38794 | 57622 | 42441 | 25803 | 26735 | 24386 | 30363 | 31280 | 42529 |
| 11 | 40956 | 29067 | 20407 | 37960 | 18353 | 13950 | 16551 | 17048 | 18918 | 20546 |
| 12 | 68205 | 68217 | 57551 | 39753 | 30648 | 24974 | 22932 | 32446 | 34202 | 40706 |
| | year | | | | | | | | | |
| age | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | | |
| 0 | 70409 | 14409 | 5168 | 5014 | 58294 | 15374 | 25738 | 16560 | | |
| 1 | 222214 | 182121 | 24617 | 44235 | 69303 | 79398 | 42029 | 34803 | | |
| 2 | 69728 | 265153 | 425834 | 131909 | 165134 | 189765 | 156841 | 108722 | | |
| 3 | 366981 | 88950 | 499455 | 661629 | 156631 | 227859 | 386710 | 448286 | | |
| 4 | 349853 | 290227 | 142792 | 289505 | 468403 | 204001 | 279310 | 615164 | | |
| 5 | 262485 | 230568 | 244885 | 118453 | 194147 | 448612 | 257358 | 320584 | | |
| 6 | 236927 | 180479 | 137998 | 119907 | 96817 | 200620 | 253961 | 223592 | | |
| 7 | 151241 | 132355 | 83997 | 63297 | 73749 | 75312 | 123294 | 193310 | | |
| 8 | 118814 | 93165 | 61426 | 38025 | 33234 | 58619 | 56833 | 73296 | | |
| 9 | 79919 | 74779 | 37614 | 23744 | 18785 | 28301 | 32082 | 29550 | | |
| 10 | 43776 | 45793 | 32816 | 18703 | 13951 | 16451 | 19186 | 14861 | | |
| 11 | 21606 | 25691 | 15385 | 7863 | 8313 | 11796 | 6779 | 7429 | | |
| 12 | 40260 | 30887 | 18151 | 10558 | 10071 | 13548 | 9580 | 4943 | | |

Table 2.7.2. Weights at age in the catch

| Units | : | Kø | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|---------|---------|--------|--------|-------|-------|--------|-------|--------|-------|-------|-------|
| | vear | | | | | | | | | | | |
| age | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 |
| 0 | 0.052 | 0.050 | 0.051 | 0.050 | 0.059 | 0.056 | 0.036 | 0.016 | 0.057 | 0.060 | 0.053 | 0.050 |
| 1 | 0.135 | 0.145 | 0.136 | 0.148 | 0.137 | 0.136 | 0.135 | 0.137 | 0.131 | 0.132 | 0.131 | 0.168 |
| 2 | 0.277 | 0.194 | 0.229 | 0.177 | 0.207 | 0.169 | 0.161 | 0.161 | 0.249 | 0.248 | 0.249 | 0.219 |
| 3 | 0.341 | 0.285 | 0.261 | 0.259 | 0.263 | 0.275 | 0.250 | 0.243 | 0.285 | 0.287 | 0.285 | 0.276 |
| 4 | 0.423 | 0.368 | 0.334 | 0.323 | 0.320 | 0.333 | 0.325 | 0.318 | 0.345 | 0.344 | 0.345 | 0.310 |
| 5 | | 0.448 | 0.392 | 0.348 | 0.346 | 0.352 | 0.345 | 0.348 | 0.378 | 0.377 | 0.378 | 0.386 |
| 6 | | | 0.481 | 0.430 | 0.406 | 0.407 | 0.403 | 0.401 | 0.454 | 0.454 | 0.454 | 0.425 |
| 7 | | | | 0.488 | 0.443 | 0.446 | 0.421 | 0.416 | 0.498 | 0.499 | 0.496 | 0.435 |
| 8 | | | | | 0.518 | 0.546 | 0.518 | 0.506 | 0.520 | 0.513 | 0.513 | 0.498 |
| 9 | | | | | 0.010 | 0.537 | 0.536 | 0.513 | 0.542 | 0.543 | 0.541 | 0 545 |
| 10 | | | | | | | 0.529 | 0.537 | 0.574 | 0.573 | 0.574 | 0.606 |
| 11 | | | | | | | (),_) | 0.522 | 0.590 | 0.576 | 0.574 | 0.608 |
| 12 | | | | | | | | ())22 | 0.580 | 0.584 | 0.582 | 0.614 |
| 12 | voar | | | | | | | | () | 0 | 0 | 0.014 |
| 200 | 108/ | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1080 | 1000 | 1001 | 1002 | 1003 | 100/ | 1005 |
| 0 | 0.021 | 0.055 | 0.020 | 0.076 | 0.055 | 0.040 | 0.085 | 0.068 | 0.051 | 0.061 | 0.046 | 0.072 |
| 1 | 0.001 | 0.000 | 0.005 | 0.070 | 0.000 | 0.049 | 0.060 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.040 | 0.072 |
| 1 | 0.102 | 0.144 | 0.140 | 0.179 | 0.155 | 0.130 | 0.130 | 0.150 | 0.10/ | 0.134 | 0.150 | 0.145 |
| 2 | 0.164 | 0.262 | 0.245 | 0.22.5 | 0.239 | 0.257 | 0.200 | 0.200 | 0.2.59 | 0.240 | 0.233 | 0.234 |
| 3 | 0.295 | 0.337 | 0.335 | 0.318 | 0.323 | 0.320 | 0.330 | 0.327 | 0.333 | 0.317 | 0.339 | 0.333 |
| 4 | 0.326 | 0.418 | 0.423 | 0.399 | 0.388 | 0.377 | 0.379 | 0.394 | 0.397 | 0.376 | 0.390 | 0.390 |
| 5 | 0.344 | 0.417 | 0.471 | 0.474 | 0.456 | 0.433 | 0.423 | 0.423 | 0.460 | 0.436 | 0.448 | 0.452 |
| 6 | 0.431 | 0.436 | ().444 | 0.512 | 0.524 | 0.456 | 0.467 | 0.469 | 0.495 | 0.483 | 0.512 | 0.501 |
| 7 | 0.542 | 0.521 | 0.457 | 0.493 | 0.555 | 0.543 | 0.528 | 0.506 | 0.532 | 0.527 | 0.543 | 0.539 |
| 8 | 0.480 | 0.555 | 0.543 | 0.498 | 0.555 | 0.592 | 0.552 | 0.554 | 0.555 | 0.548 | 0.590 | 0.577 |
| 9 | 0.569 | 0.564 | 0.591 | 0.580 | 0.562 | 0.578 | 0.606 | 0.609 | 0.597 | 0.583 | 0.583 | 0.594 |
| 10 | 0.628 | 0.629 | 0.552 | 0.634 | 0.613 | 0.581 | 0.606 | 0.630 | 0.651 | 0.595 | 0.627 | 0.606 |
| 11 | 0.636 | 0.679 | 0.694 | 0.635 | 0.624 | 0.648 | 0.591 | 0.649 | 0.663 | 0.647 | 0.678 | 0.631 |
| 12 | 0.663 | 0.710 | 0.688 | 0.718 | 0.697 | 0.739 | 0.713 | 0.708 | 0.669 | 0.679 | 0.713 | 0.672 |
| | vear | | | | | | | | | | | |
| age | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
| 0 | 0.058 | 0.076 | 0.065 | 0.062 | 0.063 | 0.069 | 0.052 | 0.081 | 0.086 | 0.067 | 0.042 | 0.093 |
| 1 | 0.143 | 0.143 | 0.157 | 0.176 | 0.135 | 0.172 | 0.160 | 0.171 | 0.160 | 0.149 | 0.099 | 0.121 |
| 2 | 0.226 | 0.230 | 0.227 | 0.235 | 0.227 | 0.224 | 0.256 | 0.271 | 0.267 | 0.270 | 0.196 | 0.218 |
| 3 | 0.313 | 0.295 | 0.310 | 0.306 | 0.306 | 0.305 | 0.307 | 0.338 | 0.326 | 0.307 | 0.307 | 0.295 |
| 4 | 0.377 | 0.359 | 0.354 | 0.361 | 0.363 | 0.376 | 0.367 | 0.387 | 0.402 | 0.366 | 0.357 | 0.369 |
| 5 | 0.425 | 0.415 | 0.408 | 0.404 | 0.427 | 0.424 | 0.425 | 0.439 | 0.422 | 0.434 | 0.428 | 0.408 |
| 6 | 0.484 | 0.453 | 0.452 | 0.452 | 0.463 | 0.474 | 0.460 | 0.477 | 0.488 | 0.440 | 0.480 | 0.453 |
| 7 | 0.518 | 0.481 | 0.462 | 0.500 | 0.501 | 0.496 | 0.512 | 0.523 | 0.523 | 0.495 | 0.494 | 0.505 |
| 8 | 0.551 | 0.524 | 0.518 | 0.536 | 0.534 | 0.540 | 0.537 | 0.572 | 0.557 | 0.539 | 0.543 | 0.529 |
| 9 | 0.576 | 0.553 | 0.550 | 0.569 | 0.567 | 0.577 | 0.580 | 0.612 | 0.575 | 0.556 | 0.584 | 0.569 |
| 10 | 0.596 | 0.577 | 0.573 | 0.586 | 0.586 | 0.603 | 0.601 | 0.631 | 0.598 | 0.582 | 0.625 | 0.575 |
| 11 | 0.603 | 0.591 | 0.591 | 0.607 | 0.594 | 0.611 | 0.629 | 0.648 | 0.633 | 0.635 | 0.635 | 0.587 |
| 12 | 0.670 | 0.636 | 0.631 | 0.687 | 0.644 | 0.666 | 0.665 | 0.715 | 0.686 | 0.657 | 0.690 | 0.668 |
| | vear | | | | | | | | | | | |
| age | 2008 | 2009 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | 0.051 | 0.106 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0.128 | 0.156 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | 0.227 | 0.215 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | 0.295 | 0.283 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | 0.371 | 0.331 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | 0.418 | 0.388 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | 0 4 4 4 | 0 4 2 4 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | 0 497 | 0.451 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | 0.550 | 0.406 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | 0.570 | 0.490 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | 0.570 | 0.550 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | 0.5020 | 0.500 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | 0.662 | 0.630 | | | | | | | | | | |
| * - | 0.002 | 0.000 | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 2.7.3. Weights at age in the stock

| Units | : | Kø | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| | vear | | | | | | | | | | | |
| age | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 |
| 0 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.008 |
| 1 | 0.132 | 0.132 | 0.130 | 0.129 | 0.128 | 0.127 | 0.111 | 0.110 | 0.109 | 0.087 | 0.086 | 0.086 |
| 2 | 0 178 | 0 177 | 0 173 | 0 171 | 0 170 | 0 167 | 0.175 | 0 174 | 0 173 | 0.186 | 0 135 | 0 172 |
| 3 | 0 243 | 0 242 | 0.238 | 0.236 | 0.236 | 0.233 | 0.238 | 0.237 | 0.236 | 0.252 | 0 221 | 0.235 |
| 4 | 0.240 | 0.212 | 0.200 | 0.200 | 0.200 | 0.200 | 0.200 | 0.200 | 0.200 | 0.202 | 0.221 | 0.280 |
| 5 | 0.111 | 0.001 | 0.220 | 0.271 | 0.200 | 0.207 | 0.346 | 0.2/5 | 0.2/7 | 0.323 | 0.200 | 0.200 |
| 6 | | 0.400 | 0.022 | 0.345 | 0.315 | 0.261 | 0.292 | 0.340 | 0.370 | 0.320 | 0.353 | 0.377 |
| 7 | | | 0.407 | 0.303 | 0.303 | 0.301 | 0.362 | 0.300 | 0.377 | 0.370 | 0.333 | 0.377 |
| 0 | | | | 0.497 | 0.419 | 0.416 | 0.410 | 0.400 | 0.407 | 0.419 | 0.406 | 0.404 |
| 0 | | | | | 0.512 | 0.440 | 0.452 | 0.430 | 0.429 | 0.434 | 0.457 | 0.439 |
| 9 | | | | | | 0.550 | 0.451 | 0.449 | 0.446 | 0.449 | 0.440 | 0.505 |
| 10 | | | | | | | 0.514 | 0.504 | 0.505 | 0.445 | 0.479 | 0.473 |
| 10 | | | | | | | | 0.516 | 0.508 | 0.523 | 0.526 | 0.555 |
| 12 | | | | | | | | | 0.518 | 0.531 | 0.534 | 0.563 |
| | vear | 1005 | 1007 | 1007 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1001 | 1000 | 1000 | 1004 | 1005 |
| age | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 |
| 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 1 | 0.081 | 0.085 | 0.077 | 0.078 | 0.072 | 0.076 | 0.074 | 0.075 | 0.078 | 0.078 | 0.079 | 0.081 |
| 2 | 0.194 | 0.165 | 0.179 | 0.148 | 0.156 | 0.177 | 0.138 | 0.155 | 0.212 | 0.197 | 0.178 | 0.164 |
| 3 | 0.253 | 0.293 | 0.267 | 0.240 | 0.237 | 0.244 | 0.222 | 0.230 | 0.259 | 0.268 | 0.237 | 0.267 |
| 4 | 0.295 | 0.306 | 0.304 | 0.286 | 0.301 | 0.306 | 0.287 | 0.307 | 0.310 | 0.315 | 0.301 | 0.326 |
| 5 | 0.324 | 0.341 | 0.356 | 0.374 | 0.329 | 0.352 | 0.339 | 0.357 | 0.362 | 0.360 | 0.361 | 0.398 |
| 6 | 0.393 | 0.384 | 0.351 | 0.386 | 0.423 | 0.380 | 0.373 | 0.409 | 0.402 | 0.416 | 0.413 | 0.448 |
| 7 | 0.436 | 0.430 | 0.416 | 0.411 | 0.445 | 0.429 | 0.414 | 0.432 | 0.424 | 0.454 | 0.466 | 0.491 |
| 8 | 0.441 | 0.459 | 0.473 | 0.429 | 0.432 | 0.474 | 0.409 | 0.502 | 0.462 | 0.465 | 0.470 | 0.508 |
| 9 | 0.479 | 0.468 | 0.443 | 0.482 | 0.455 | 0.457 | 0.437 | 0.541 | 0.487 | 0.484 | 0.483 | 0.546 |
| 10 | 0.520 | 0.559 | 0.468 | 0.499 | 0.522 | 0.466 | 0.514 | 0.566 | 0.522 | 0.511 | 0.550 | 0.514 |
| 11 | 0.510 | 0.579 | 0.497 | 0.470 | 0.589 | 0.510 | 0.523 | 0.566 | 0.552 | 0.585 | 0.608 | 0.619 |
| 12 | 0.550 | 0.607 | 0.575 | 0.549 | 0.632 | 0.595 | 0.529 | 0.594 | 0.583 | 0.577 | 0.584 | 0.639 |
| | vear | | | | | | | | | | | |
| age | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
| 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 1 | 0.076 | 0.076 | 0.077 | 0.081 | 0.074 | 0.078 | 0.078 | 0.074 | 0.059 | 0.074 | 0.076 | 0.064 |
| 2 | 0.133 | 0.186 | 0.149 | 0.194 | 0.185 | 0.164 | 0.181 | 0.181 | 0.138 | 0.168 | 0.178 | 0.169 |
| 3 | 0.251 | 0.228 | 0.223 | 0.242 | 0.235 | 0.241 | 0.239 | 0.273 | 0.246 | 0.238 | 0.228 | 0.224 |
| 4 | 0.317 | 0.296 | 0.285 | 0.301 | 0.289 | 0.342 | 0.311 | 0.316 | 0.313 | 0.336 | 0.297 | 0.278 |
| 5 | 0.366 | 0.361 | 0.342 | 0.353 | 0.350 | 0.390 | 0.364 | 0.371 | 0.355 | 0.381 | 0.345 | 0.309 |
| 6 | 0.444 | 0.402 | 0.400 | 0.396 | 0.390 | 0.446 | 0.411 | 0.446 | 0.412 | 0.401 | 0.391 | 0.363 |
| 7 | 0.462 | 0.445 | 0.426 | 0.423 | 0.426 | 0.459 | 0.436 | 0.446 | 0.463 | 0.481 | 0.436 | 0.439 |
| 8 | 0.501 | 0 478 | 0 466 | 0 440 | 0 447 | 0 499 | 0.462 | 0.475 | 0 462 | 0.501 | 0 458 | 0 448 |
| 9 | 0.565 | 0.519 | 0.502 | 0.485 | 0.485 | 0.529 | 0.500 | 0 584 | 0.508 | 0.550 | 0.517 | 0.498 |
| 10 | 0.573 | 0.537 | 0 549 | 0.498 | 0.492 | 0.576 | 0.522 | 0.527 | 0.520 | 0.550 | 0.523 | 0.517 |
| 11 | 0.611 | 0.532 | 0.512 | 0.465 | 0.122 | 0.603 | 0.522 | 0.599 | 0.520 | 0.576 | 0.528 | 0.542 |
| 12 | 0.632 | 0.585 | 0.524 | 0.400 | 0.544 | 0.586 | 0.565 | 0.610 | 0.590 | 0.590 | 0.078 | 0.542 |
| 12 | 0.05Z | 0.565 | 0.500 | 0.505 | 0.544 | 0.500 | 0.505 | 0.010 | 0.570 | 0.570 | 0.014 | 0.505 |
| | 2009 | 2000 | | | | | | | | | | |
| age 0 | 2006 | 2009 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | | | | | | | | | | |
| ו ר | 0.071 | 0.070 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | 0.157 | 0.174 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 4 | 0.198 | 0.221 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | 0.269 | 0.268 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | 0.308 | 0.316 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | 0.339 | 0.346 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | 0.396 | 0.380 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | 0.431 | 0.448 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | 0.457 | 0.442 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | 0.463 | 0.498 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | 0.506 | 0.532 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | 0.530 | 0.526 | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 2.7.4. Proportion mature at age

| Units | : propo | rtion | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------|---------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| age | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 |
| 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 |
| 2 | 0.53 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.57 | 0.57 | 0.57 | 0.58 | 0.58 |
| 3 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 |
| 4 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.97 |
| 5 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.97 |
| 6 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |
| 7 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 8 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 9 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 10 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 11 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 12 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| | vear | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| age | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 |
| 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 |
| 2 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 |
| 3 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 |
| 4 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 |
| 5 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 |
| 6 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |
| 7 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 8 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 9 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 10 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 11 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 12 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| | vear | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| age | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | |
| 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 1 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.06 | |
| 2 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.59 | 0.59 | 0.59 | 0.59 | 0.58 | 0.57 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | |
| 3 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.86 | 0.87 | 0.86 | |
| 4 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | |
| 5 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | |
| 6 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | |
| 7 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| 8 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| 9 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| 10 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| 11 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| 12 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | |

Table 2.7.5. Survey index

Triennal Mackerel Egg Sruvey Units :10^3 tonnes year age 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 SSB NA year age 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 NA NA NA SSB NA NA NA NA NA 3370 NA 2840 NA NA year 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 age SSB 3750 NA NA 2900 NA NA 2750 NA NA 3260 NA NA 4133

Table 2.7.6. Stock summary

| Year | Recruitment | TSB | SSB | Fbar | Landings |
|------|-------------|----------|----------|---------|----------|
| | Age 0 | | | Age 4-8 | |
| | (Thousands) | (Tonnes) | (Tonnes) | | (Tonnes) |
| 1972 | 2085645 | 5199651 | 3857946 | 0.019 | 361262 |
| 1973 | 4709253 | 5092285 | 3914001 | 0.185 | 570719 |
| 1974 | 3930988 | 4967992 | 3734122 | 0.210 | 607473 |
| 1975 | 4866816 | 4779779 | 3460216 | 0.223 | 784329 |
| 1976 | 4894496 | 4495038 | 3129591 | 0.259 | 828434 |
| 1977 | 944038 | 4191431 | 2957332 | 0.200 | 620016 |
| 1978 | 3206806 | 3844752 | 2912654 | 0.197 | 736519 |
| 1979 | 5272224 | 3416418 | 2458755 | 0.261 | 842739 |
| 1980 | 5514151 | 3108732 | 2053704 | 0.253 | 734950 |
| 1981 | 7185936 | 3226151 | 2076110 | 0.235 | 754045 |
| 1982 | 2004846 | 3167472 | 2007181 | 0.229 | 716987 |
| 1983 | 1550114 | 3293793 | 2309138 | 0.218 | 672283 |
| 1984 | 7354594 | 3077408 | 2336643 | 0.227 | 641928 |
| 1985 | 3283142 | 3254049 | 2275007 | 0.223 | 614371 |
| 1986 | 3389599 | 3269024 | 2306482 | 0.236 | 602201 |
| 1987 | 5105993 | 3141445 | 2307153 | 0.222 | 654992 |
| 1988 | 3559961 | 3217653 | 2314265 | 0.244 | 680491 |
| 1989 | 4390675 | 3302379 | 2395977 | 0.184 | 585920 |
| 1990 | 3165130 | 3095034 | 2266356 | 0.185 | 626107 |
| 1991 | 3685614 | 3384281 | 2522688 | 0.229 | 675665 |
| 1992 | 4705800 | 3499069 | 2544660 | 0.257 | 760690 |
| 1993 | 5565462 | 3433293 | 2384252 | 0.322 | 824568 |
| 1994 | 4749535 | 3310100 | 2206047 | 0.361 | 819087 |
| 1995 | 4226987 | 3515308 | 2397397 | 0.349 | 756277 |
| 1996 | 4157612 | 3342525 | 2424668 | 0.242 | 563472 |
| 1997 | 3088117 | 3492673 | 2541173 | 0.234 | 573029 |
| 1998 | 2966216 | 3332124 | 2457824 | 0.297 | 666316 |
| 1999 | 3321609 | 3362217 | 2469329 | 0.306 | 640309 |
| 2000 | 2053829 | 3074018 | 2205950 | 0.356 | 738606 |

| 2001 | 4852982 | 2962676 | 2138374 | 0.402 | 737463 |
|------|---------|---------|---------|-------|--------|
| 2002 | 7854289 | 2638442 | 1749298 | 0.449 | 772905 |
| 2003 | 3474797 | 2893282 | 1748701 | 0.440 | 669600 |
| 2004 | 4436814 | 2751195 | 1848672 | 0.397 | 650221 |
| 2005 | 6794043 | 3182784 | 2290881 | 0.285 | 543486 |
| 2006 | 6914980 | 3457794 | 2409602 | 0.234 | 472652 |
| 2007 | 3818138 | 3708540 | 2540759 | 0.263 | 579379 |
| 2008 | 4506953 | 3742153 | 2709395 | 0.236 | 612856 |
| 2009 | 3904766 | 3989039 | 2978321 | 0.233 | 734889 |
| | | | | | |

Table 2.7.7. Estimated stock numbers at age

| Units : thousands | i | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------------------|
| | vear | | | | | | | |
| age | 1972 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 |
| 0 | 2085645 4709253 | 3930988 | 4866816 | 4894496 | 944038 | 3206806 | 5272224 | 5514151 |
| 1 | 50849591785208 | 4037538 | 3356300 | 4155385 | 4154803 | 806909 | 2728037 | 4431722 |
| 2 | 2067250 4344246 | 1493674 | 3375004 | 2830501 | 3314685 | 3413759 | 662543 | 2014361 |
| 3 | 40992741731440 | 3670051 | 1241693 | 2819296 | 2205459 | 2548712 | 2419774 | 512025 |
| 4 | 76585343348155 | 1389309 | 3014899 | 990480 | 2080378 | 1688570 | 1778306 | 1519981 |
| 5 | 0 5989107 | 2497803 | 1058319 | 2349511 | 689084 | 1572103 | 1195180 | 1174398 |
| 6 | 0 0 | 4401404 | 1757444 | 758620 | 1731526 | 530384 | 1092295 | 797021 |
| 7 | 0 0 | 0 | 3165640 | 1280097 | 529239 | 1317632 | 383556 | 710990 |
| 8 | 0 0 | 0 | 0 | 1810238 | 751445 | 358485 | 974778 | 244570 |
| 9 | 0 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1116024 | 434826 | 240238 | 682202 |
| 10 | 0 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 741640 | 256200 | 136619 |
| 11 | 0 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 414008 | 126289 |
| 12 | 0 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 235743 |
| 200 | 1001 1000 | 1092 | 1094 | 1095 | 1096 | 1097 | 1000 | 1020 |
| 0 | 7185026 2004846 | 1550114 | 1904 7254504 | 2282142 | 2280500 | 5105002 | 2550061 | 1909 |
| 1 | 1715205 6122464 | 1715225 | 1227200 | 6064021 | 2750025 | 2871126 | 4287907 | 2010669 |
| 1 | 4/10090 0102401 | 1713223 5080061 | 1327399 | 0004021 | 2750035 | 20/1130 | 4367907 | 2625281 |
| 2 | 3433071 3602737 | 2020001 | 1431913 | 1112943 | 4970212 | 2313117 | 2434023 | 3033201 1067515 |
| 3 | 1370338 2490732 | 2672505 | 3733034 | 2500012 | 936360 | 3004030 | 1040042 | 1412510 |
| 4 E | 300904 903323 1005052 207520 | 1/0/144 | 2071240 | 2399912 | 936143 | 772200 | 2/30413 | 1412510 |
| 5 | 1005055 297559 | 000209 | 1124557 | 1424505 | 1826021 | 1005101 | 612214 | 1652545 |
| 6 | 776004 694178 | 231522 | 451355 | 735583 | 992922 | 1235191 | 551649 | 459471 |
| / | 553833 507788 | 469065 | 180596 | 297893 | 480510 | 662418 | 83/12/ | 394059 |
| 8 | 477617 369210 | 333158 | 320410 | 135005 | 198780 | 297112 | 431058 | 534892 |
| 9 | 159905 295200 | 234979 | 220208 | 218652 | 98107 | 131763 | 176509 | 257990 |
| 10 | 466316 99611 | 172240 | 157281 | 145261 | 144259 | 71923 | 81293 | 100365 |
| 11 | 82479 266554 | 60310 | 102806 | 100759 | 90311 | 93882 | 43/63 | 50850 |
| 12 | 520372 453601 | 403310 | 236254 | 364893 | 319056 | 230252 | 190932 | 130980 |
| age | vear 1990 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 |
| 0 | 3165130 3685614 | 4705800 | 5565462 | 4749535 | 4226987 | 4157612 | 3088117 | 2966216 |
| 1 | 3718484 2701782 | 3162963 | 4010055 | 4772300 | 4064452 | 3624522 | 3543313 | 2624593 |
| 2 | 2531761 3070339 | 2271277 | 2644944 | 3332765 | 3971071 | 3422759 | 3008618 | 2024000 |
| 3 | 28393971984831 | 2445875 | 1810182 | 2081803 | 2663435 | 3102345 | 2789571 | 2416846 |
| 4 | 1501243 2063979 | 1517316 | 1775724 | 1311393 | 1507905 | 1977684 | 2361699 | 2180281 |
| 5 | 1060690 1099595 | 1429430 | 1059514 | 1160526 | 881624 | 1043639 | 1443973 | 1682386 |
| 6 | 1259522 767977 | 772044 | 947488 | 686311 | 720698 | 586187 | 734008 | 1014682 |
| 7 | 350404 849356 | 541600 | 520288 | 579725 | 420038 | 437717 | 415491 | 506927 |
| 8 | 285419 262226 | 548287 | 360926 | 309486 | 323952 | 230334 | 266158 | 279648 |
| 9 | 357594 199718 | 178497 | 344086 | 220440 | 168586 | 174320 | 146713 | 167683 |
| 10 | 159066 228877 | 131791 | 106387 | 184296 | 115987 | 81429 | 94925 | 89867 |
| 11 | 56670 106381 | 131666 | 79648 | 55831 | 105487 | 60735 | 46294 | 57034 |
| 12 | 95338 189513 | 219267 | 186926 | 157453 | 110470 | 101423 | 82878 | 76369 |
| | vear | | | | | | | |
| age | 1999 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
| 0 | 3321609 2053829 | 4852982 | 7854289 | 3474797 | 4436814 | 6794043 | 6914980 | 3818138 |
| 1 | 2538784 2842486 | 1755924 | 4145516 | 6703300 | 2966085 | 3790357 | 5816377 | 5925586 |
| 2 | 2215192 2141505 | 2389811 | 1471879 | 3464079 | 5604683 | 2487059 | 3201719 | 4929588 |
| 3 | 23695301796941 | 1720472 | 1903171 | 1161450 | 2738165 | 4467504 | 2025813 | 2633719 |
| 4 | 1830894 1788165 | 1327308 | 1246293 | 1350842 | 827518 | 1987556 | 3402395 | 1576893 |
| 5 | 1533586 1280031 | 1208505 | 869817 | 790843 | 862349 | 544047 | 1409810 | 2498158 |
| 6 | 1117770 1010999 | 807957 | 733246 | 506397 | 463980 | 525393 | 365375 | 989677 |
| 7 | 631141 688499 | 589685 | 448456 | 386434 | 269473 | 258874 | 331243 | 243517 |
| 8 | 311469 383874 | 395722 | 321926 | 232006 | 201935 | 147908 | 161304 | 218646 |
| 9 | 167277 184281 | 213661 | 208352 | 159937 | 116519 | 106942 | 89823 | 104249 |
| 10 | 99198 97847 | 101218 | 110825 | 101796 | 79018 | 60801 | 64259 | 57548 |
| 11 | 51998 56715 | 52334 | 50952 | 52363 | 48668 | 40030 | 35766 | 40457 |
| 12 | 105455 98441 | 106705 | 97005 | 75532 | 48018 | 36417 | 41013 | 49976 |

| | vear | | | |
|-----|---------|----------------------|------------------------|--|
| age | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | |
| 0 | 4506953 | 3904766 ¹ | 3904766 ¹ | |
| 1 | 3270061 | 3861954 | 3346168 ^{2 1} | Geometric mean of recruitment |
| 2 | 5012604 | 2771132 | 3273470 | over the period 1972-2008 |
| 3 | 4032470 | 4121762 | 2280184 ² | Calculated from abundance, fishing and |
| 4 | 2024831 | 3136202 | 3210455 | natural mortality at age 0 in 2009 |
| 5 | 1135339 | 1484701 | 2305065 | |
| 6 | 1710156 | 795624 | 1043618 | |
| 7 | 639126 | 1137325 | 531145 | |
| 8 | 155564 | 420926 | 752007 | |
| 9 | 136403 | 100296 | 272541 | |
| 10 | 64402 | 87172 | 64379 | |
| 11 | 34861 | 40439 | 54993 | |
| 12 | 38732 | 20242 | 38546 | |

Table 2.7.8. Estimated fishing mortality at age

| | vear | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|--------------|------|------|---------|------|------|------|---------|------|---------|------|---------|
| age | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 |
| 0 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| 1 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.15 | 0.11 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.03 |
| י ז | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.24 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.15 |
| 2 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.11 | 0.17 | 0.11 | 0.24 | 0.17 | 0.15 | 0.15 |
| .⊃ ∡ | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.15 | 0.12 | 0.21 | 0.52 | 0.15 | 0.20 | 0.25 | 0.16 |
| 4 | 0.10 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.10 | 0.21 | 0.13 | 0.20 | 0.27 | 0.26 | 0.10 | 0.23 | 0.27 |
| 5 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.20 | 0.18 | 0.16 | 0.11 | 0.21 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.22 | 0.10 | 0.23 |
| 6 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.18 | 0.17 | 0.21 | 0.12 | 0.17 | 0.28 | 0.21 | 0.27 | 0.24 | 0.10 |
| 7 | 0.00 | 0.21 | 0.26 | 0.41 | 0.38 | 0.24 | 0.15 | 0.30 | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.27 | 0.23 |
| 8 | 0.00 | 0.22 | 0.28 | 0.26 | 0.33 | 0.40 | 0.25 | 0.21 | 0.28 | 0.33 | 0.30 | 0.26 |
| 9 | 0.00 | 0.23 | 0.29 | 0.27 | 0.23 | 0.26 | 0.38 | 0.40 | 0.23 | 0.32 | 0.39 | 0.25 |
| 10 | 0.00 | 0 24 | 0.31 | 0.28 | 0.24 | 0.17 | 0.43 | 0.56 | 0.37 | 0 41 | 0.35 | 0.37 |
| 11 | 0.00 | 0.24 | 0.30 | 0.27 | 0.23 | 0.17 | 0.32 | 0.75 | 0.65 | 0.53 | 0.46 | 0.43 |
| 12 | 0.00 | 0.24 | 0.20 | 0.27 | 0.20 | 0.17 | 0.02 | 0.75 | 0.65 | 0.52 | 0.46 | 0.43 |
| 12 | 0.00 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.27 | 0.20 | 0.17 | 0.02 | 0.75 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.40 | 0.40 |
| | vear 1004 | 1005 | 1007 | 1007 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1001 | 1000 | 1000 | 1004 | 1005 |
| age | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 |
| () | ().()4 | 0.03 | 0.02 | ().()() | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 | ().()() | 0.01 | ()_()() | 0.01 | ().()() |
| 1 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.02 |
| 2 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.10 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.10 |
| 3 | 0.22 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.20 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.17 | 0.12 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.15 |
| 4 | 0.22 | 0.20 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.24 | 0.14 | 0.16 | 0.22 | 0.21 | 0.28 | 0.25 | 0.22 |
| 5 | 0 27 | 0.21 | 0 24 | 0 14 | 0.14 | 0.24 | 0 17 | 0.20 | 0.26 | 0.28 | 0.33 | 0.26 |
| 6 | 0.27 | 0.28 | 0.26 | 0.24 | 0.19 | 0.12 | 0.24 | 0.20 | 0.25 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.35 |
| 7 | 0.14 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.21 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.37 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
| 0 | 0.14 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.14 | 0.29 | 0.20 | 0.37 | 0.40 | 0.40 |
| ð | 0.23 | 0.17 | 0.26 | 0.37 | 0.36 | 0.25 | 0.21 | 0.24 | 0.32 | 0.34 | 0.46 | 0.47 |
| 9 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.16 | 0.33 | 0.42 | 0.33 | 0.30 | 0.27 | 0.37 | 0.47 | 0.49 | 0.58 |
| 10 | 0.30 | 0.33 | 0.28 | 0.35 | 0.32 | 0.42 | 0.25 | ().4() | 0.35 | 0.50 | 0.41 | 0.50 |
| 11 | 0.38 | 0.34 | 0.32 | 0.35 | 0.39 | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.36 | 0.41 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.49 |
| 12 | 0.38 | 0.34 | 0.32 | 0.35 | 0.39 | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.36 | 0.41 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.49 |
| | vear | | | | | | | | | | | |
| age | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
| 0 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| 1 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 2 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.05 |
| 2 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.15 | 0.17 | 0.10 | 0.07 | 0.17 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 4 | 0.12 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.17 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.17 | 0.12 | 0.10 | 0.11 |
| 4 | 0.17 | 0.19 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.24 | 0.27 | 0.31 | 0.30 | 0.27 | 0.19 | 0.16 | 0.18 |
| 5 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.26 | 0.27 | 0.31 | 0.35 | 0.39 | 0.38 | 0.35 | 0.25 | 0.20 | 0.23 |
| 6 | 0.19 | 0.22 | 0.33 | 0.34 | 0.39 | 0.44 | 0.49 | 0.48 | 0.43 | 0.31 | 0.26 | 0.29 |
| 7 | 0.35 | 0.25 | 0.34 | 0.35 | 0.40 | 0.46 | 0.51 | 0.50 | 0.45 | 0.32 | 0.27 | 0.30 |
| 8 | 0.30 | 0.31 | 0.36 | 0.38 | 0.44 | 0.49 | 0.55 | 0.54 | 0.49 | 0.35 | 0.29 | 0.32 |
| 9 | 0.46 | 0.34 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.51 | 0.57 | 0.56 | 0.50 | 0.36 | 0.30 | 0.33 |
| 10 | 0.42 | 0.36 | 0.40 | 0.41 | 0.48 | 0.54 | 0.60 | 0.59 | 0.53 | 0.38 | 0.31 | 0.35 |
| 11 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.47 | 0.52 | 0.59 | 0.58 | 0.52 | 0.37 | 0.31 | 0.34 |
| 12 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.47 | 0.52 | 0.59 | 0.58 | 0.52 | 0.37 | 0.31 | 0.34 |
| | vear | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 200 | 2008 | 2000 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | 2006 | 2009 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0.02 | 0.02 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | 0.05 | 0.05 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | 0.10 | 0.10 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | 0.16 | 0.16 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | 0.21 | 0.20 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | 0.26 | 0.25 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | 0.27 | 0.26 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | 0.20 | 0.20 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | 0.22 | 0.29 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | 0.30 | 0.29 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | 0.32 | 0.31 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | 0.31 | 0.30 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | 0.31 | 0.30 | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 2.7.9. Fitted selection pattern

| age | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 |
|-----|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| 0 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 1 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 |
| 2 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.22 |
| 3 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.49 |
| 4 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.78 |
| 5 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 6 | 1.26 | 1.26 | 1.26 | 1.26 | 1.26 | 1.26 | 1.26 | 1.26 | 1.26 | 1.26 | 1.26 | 1.26 |
| 7 | 1.30 | 1.30 | 1.30 | 1.30 | 1.30 | 1.30 | 1.30 | 1.30 | 1.30 | 1.30 | 1.30 | 1.30 |
| 8 | 1.41 | 1.41 | 1.41 | 1.41 | 1.41 | 1.41 | 1.41 | 1.41 | 1.41 | 1.41 | 1.41 | 1.41 |
| 9 | 1.45 | 1.45 | 1.45 | 1.45 | 1.45 | 1.45 | 1.45 | 1.45 | 1.45 | 1.45 | 1.45 | 1.45 |
| 10 | 1.53 | 1.53 | 1.53 | 1.53 | 1.53 | 1.53 | 1.53 | 1.53 | 1.53 | 1.53 | 1.53 | 1.53 |
| 11 | 1.50 | 1.50 | 1.50 | 1.50 | 1.50 | 1.50 | 1.50 | 1.50 | 1.50 | 1.50 | 1.50 | 1.50 |
| 12 | 1.50 | 1.50 | 1.50 | 1.50 | 1.50 | 1.50 | 1.50 | 1.50 | 1.50 | 1.50 | 1.50 | 1.50 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 2.7.10. Predicted index values

| vear age all vear | 1972 NA | 1973 NA | 1974 NA | 1975 NA | 1976 NA | 1977 NA | 1978 NA | 1979 NA | 1980 NA | 1981 NA | 1982 NA | 1983 NA |
|----------------------------|------------|------------|-----------------|------------|------------|-----------------|------------|------------|-----------------|------------|------------|-----------------|
| age all | 1984 NA | 1985 NA | 1986 NA | 1987 NA | 1988 NA | 1989 NA | 1990 NA | 1991 NA | 1992 3456253 | 1993 NA | 1994 NA | 1995 3256498 |
| vear age all vear | 1996 NA | 1997 NA | 1998 3338650 | 1999 NA | 2000 NA | 2001 2904528 | 2002 NA | 2003 NA | 2004 2511004 | 2005 NA | 2006 NA | 2007 3451155 |
| age all | 2008 NA | 2009 NA | 2010 4077354 | | | | | | | | | |

Table 2.7.11. Index residuals

| 79 1980 1981 1982 1983 |
|------------------------|
| A NA NA NA NA |
| |
| 91 1992 1993 1994 1995 |
| A -0.025 NA NA -0.137 |
| |
| 03 2004 2005 2006 2007 |
| A 0.091 NA NA -0.057 |
| |
| |
| |
| |

Table 2.7.12. Predicted catch in number

| Units | : | thousands | | | | | | | | |
|----------|--------|-----------|----------|---------|---------|-----------------|---|-----------------|---------|---------|
| | vear | | | | | | | | | |
| age | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |
| 0 | 10707 | 16997 | 29277 | 36171 | 62510 | 6077 | 34623 | 114529 | 33101 | 56682 |
| 1 | 34979 | 46267 | 108077 | 62908 | 282818 | 175220 | 34513 | 360698 | 411327 | 276229 |
| 2 | 51652 | 74544 | 47410 | 92385 | 249293 | 328732 | 560738 | 62909 | 393025 | 502365 |
| 3 | 194461 | 109015 | 155390 | 84509 | 374245 | 226560 | 449338 | 609522 | 64549 | 231814 |
| 4 | 650980 | 415015 | 148543 | 265129 | 176793 | 236116 | 279236 | 385578 | 328206 | 32814 |
| 5 | 0 | 814518 | 424462 | 164673 | 314261 | 67758 | 282158 | 250755 | 254172 | 184867 |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 673317 | 251420 | 133822 | 186619 | 78877 | 248099 | 142978 | 173349 |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 991632 | 379790 | 105004 | 172213 | 92655 | 145385 | 116328 |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 478925 | 229803 | 73933 | 169605 | 54778 | 125548 |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 236966 | 127975 | 73900 | 130771 | 41186 |
| 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 243333 | 102363 | 39920 | 146186 |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 204291 | 56210 | 31639 |
| 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 104927 | 199615 |
| | vear | | | | | | | | | |
| age | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 |
| 0 | 11180 | 7333 | 287287 | 81799 | 49983 | 7403 | 57644 | 65400 | 24246 | 10007 |
| 1 | 213936 | 47914 | 31901 | 268960 | 58126 | 40126 | 152656 | 64263 | 140534 | 58459 |
| 2 | 432867 | 668909 | 86064 | 20893 | 424563 | 156670 | 137635 | 312739 | 209848 | 212521 |
| 3 | 472457 | 433744 | 682491 | 58346 | 38387 | 663378 | 190403 | 207689 | 410751 | 206421 |
| 4 | 184581 | 373262 | 387582 | 445357 | 76545 | 56680 | 538394 | 167588 | 208146 | 375451 |
| 5 | 26544 | 126533 | 251503 | 252217 | 364119 | 89003 | 72914 | 362469 | 156742 | 188623 |
| 6 | 138970 | 20175 | 98063 | 165219 | 208021 | 244570 | 87323 | 48696 | 254015 | 129145 |
| 7 | 112476 | 90151 | 22086 | 62363 | 126174 | 150588 | 201021 | 58116 | 42549 | 197888 |
| 8 | 89672 | 72031 | 61813 | 19562 | 42569 | 85863 | 122496 | 111251 | 49698 | 51077 |
| 9 | 88726 | 48668 | 47925 | 47560 | 13533 | 34795 | 55913 | 68240 | 85447 | 43415 |
| 10 | 27552 | 49252 | 37482 | 37607 | 32786 | 19658 | 20710 | 32228 | 33041 | 70839 |
| 11 | 91743 | 19745 | 30105 | 26965 | 22971 | 25747 | 13178 | 13904 | 16587 | 29743 |
| 12 | 156121 | 132040 | 69183 | 97652 | 81153 | 63146 | 57494 | 35814 | 27905 | 52986 |
| 12 | vear | 102040 | ()) (()) | 77 (K)Z | ()11()) | (),)14() | (), (), (), (), (), (), (), (), (), (), | | 27 7007 | 02700 |
| 200 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| 0 | 43447 | 19354 | 25368 | 14759 | 37956 | 36012 | 15388 | 17748 | 12757 | 33971 |
| 1 | 83583 | 128144 | 147315 | 81529 | 119852 | 144390 | 47285 | 47102 | 61234 | 42586 |
| 2 | 156292 | 210219 | 221/180 | 3/0898 | 168882 | 186/81 | 151474 | 118/3/ | 132536 | 166050 |
| 2 | 356209 | 266677 | 206070 | 340215 | 333365 | 238426 | 269403 | 271581 | 237090 | 253579 |
| 4 | 266591 | 398240 | 267420 | 275031 | 279182 | 278881 | 270993 | 320003 | 2577/0 | 205116 |
| 5 | 306143 | 244285 | 207420 | 186855 | 177667 | 246781 | 357482 | 334457 | 318180 | 232583 |
| 6 | 156070 | 255472 | 18/025 | 107856 | 96202 | 125050 | 262272 | 206201 | 204088 | 267000 |
| 7 | 112800 | 1/0022 | 180847 | 147242 | 110821 | 84278 | 125264 | 172671 | 0124000 | 207 900 |
| 8 | 128458 | 07746 | 105047 | 142.042 | 55812 | 66504 | 79571 | 00827 | 126628 | 1/2557 |
| 0 | 51208 | 121400 | 80054 | 60101 | 598012 | 29450 | 18016 | 500057 | 62269 | 70227 |
| 10 | 36612 | 3879/ | 57622 | 42441 | 25803 | 26735 | 27487 | 31085 | 34603 | 20281 |
| 10 | 40956 | 20067 | 20407 | 27960 | 18252 | 120755 | 17126 | 15008 | 10705 | 10065 |
| 12 | 68205 | 68217 | 57551 | 20752 | 20648 | 2/07/ | 22022 | 22446 | 24202 | 40706 |
| 12 | 08203 | 00217 | 57551 | 39733 | 50040 | 24974 | 22932 | 52440 | 34202 | 40700 |
| 2.00 | 2002 | 2002 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2000 | | |
| age 0 | 61449 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2006 | 17524 | 19575 | 21005 | | |
| 1 | 112246 | 177076 | 71004 | 65472 | 20201 | 04526 | 16575 | 21005 | | |
| 1 | 112240 | 177970 | 20400E | 102060 | 02074 | 94020 007015 | 40672 | 04040 112005 | | |
| 2 | 113646 | 2020/0 | 200101 | 123962 | 131728 | 22/215 | 207942 | 115295 | | |
| 3 | 310640 | 186172 | 102005 | 478488 | 180122 | 261495 | 361430 | 364234 | | |
| 4 | 305246 | 323234 | 182085 | 325419 | 465182 | 239947 | 279006 | 426236 | | |
| 5 | 262650 | 234919 | 234933 | 111352 | 242026 | 4/6103 | 196399 | 255400 | | |
| 6 | 265595 | 180590 | 152380 | 131017 | 76808 | 230301 | 362182 | 166304 | | |
| 7 | 16/186 | 141858 | 91167 | 66631 | 71938 | 58512 | 139832 | 245606 | | |
| 8 | 127255 | 90335 | 72577 | 40616 | 37449 | 56098 | 36381 | 97178 | | |
| 9 | 84241 | 63705 | 42868 | 30113 | 21402 | 27437 | 32/37 | 23/64 | | |
| 10 | 46766 | 42328 | 30388 | 17958 | 16086 | 15898 | 16238 | 21701 | | |
| 11 | 21147 | 21412 | 18397 | 11605 | 8783 | 10967 | 8623 | 9875 | | |
| 12 | 40260 | 30887 | 18151 | 10558 | 10071 | 13548 | 9580 | 4943 | | |

Table 2.7.13. Catch residuals

| | vear | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| age | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 |
| 0 | 1.379 | 1.328 | 1.047 | -0.266 | 0.136 | -0.615 | -1.781 | -1.908 | 0.724 | -0.131 | 0.326 | -0.238 |
| 1 | 0.742 | 0.446 | 0.514 | -0.055 | 0.683 | 0.023 | -1.061 | -0.392 | -0.176 | -0.174 | -0.109 | -0.449 |
| 2 | 0.417 | 0.116 | 0.075 | -0.044 | -0.49 | 0.009 | 0.101 | 0.062 | 0.226 | -0.18 | -0.282 | -0.041 |
| 3 | -0.018 | -0.194 | 0.15 | -0.08 | 0.167 | -0.739 | 0.224 | 0.324 | -0.14 | -0.138 | 0.068 | 0.208 |
| 4 | -0.138 | -0.201 | 0.089 | 0.007 | 0.136 | -0.114 | -0.243 | -0.117 | 0.007 | -0.162 | 0.001 | 0.367 |
| 5 | 0.012 | -0.174 | -0.074 | -0.071 | -0.001 | -0.019 | 0.041 | 0.062 | -0.22 | -0.059 | 0.27 | 0.235 |
| 6 | -0.234 | -0.194 | -0.23 | -0.145 | -0.114 | -0.001 | -0.099 | -0.089 | 0.232 | -0.138 | -0.355 | 0.296 |
| 7 | -0.133 | -0.082 | -0.195 | -0.031 | -0.1 | -0.069 | -0.082 | -0.051 | 0.025 | 0.252 | -0.126 | -0.239 |
| 8 | -0.09 | -0.046 | -0.175 | -0.177 | -0.069 | 0.031 | -0.167 | -0.066 | -0.119 | 0.044 | 0.446 | -0.282 |
| 9 | -0.032 | 0.012 | -0.173 | -0.094 | -0.053 | 0.16 | -0.131 | -0.238 | -0.13 | 0.031 | -0.02 | 0.218 |
| 10 | -0.12 | -0.024 | -0.101 | 0.079 | -0.066 | 0.079 | 0.077 | 0.041 | -0.142 | 0.034 | 0.167 | -0.379 |
| 11 | -0.034 | 0.064 | -0.041 | 0.029 | 0.021 | 0.182 | -0.179 | -0.389 | -0.055 | 0.073 | -0.241 | -0.285 |
| 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 2.7.14. Fitted parameters

| Parameter | Value | CV | Lower 95%Confidence | Upper 95%Confidence |
|---------------------------------|---------|---------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| F 1998 | 0.26 | 10% | 0.21 | 0.32 |
| F 1999 | 0.20 | 10% | 0.22 | 0.32 |
| F 2000 | 0.31 | 9% | 0.26 | 0.37 |
| F 2001 | 0.35 | 9% | 0.20 | 0.42 |
| F 2002 | 0.39 | 9% | 0.22 | 0.47 |
| F 2002 | 0.39 | 10% | 0.32 | 0.46 |
| F 2004 | 0.35 | 10% | 0.32 | 0.42 |
| F 2004 | 0.35 | 10% | 0.20 | 0.42 |
| F 2005 | 0.20 | 10 / 0 | 0.20 | 0.30 |
| F 2007 | 0.20 | 11 /0 | 0.17 | 0.25 |
| F 2007 | 0.23 | 11 /0 | 0.19 | 0.26 |
| F 2000 | 0.21 | 11 /0 110/ | 0.16 | 0.25 |
| F, 2009 Selectivity at age 0 | 0.20 | 11/0 710/ | 0.16 | 0.25 |
| Selectivity at age 1 | 0.02 | 71/0 | 0.01 | 0.09 |
| Selectivity at age 1 | 0.08 | 23 /0 | 0.03 | 0.12 |
| Selectivity at age 2 | 0.22 | 10% | 0.18 | 0.27 |
| Selectivity at age 5 | 0.49 | 10% | 0.41 | 0.60 |
| Selectivity at age 4 | 0.78 | 10% | 0.65 | 0.94 |
| Selectivity at age 6 | 1.25 | 9% | 1.05 | 1.50 |
| Selectivity at age 7 | 1.30 | 9% | 1.10 | 1.55 |
| Selectivity at age 8 | 1.41 | 8% | 1.19 | 1.66 |
| Selectivity at age 9 | 1.45 | 8% | 1.24 | 1.70 |
| Selectivity at age 10 | 1.53 | 8% | 1.31 | 1.80 |
| Terminal year pop, age 0 | 5172823 | 242% | 44976 | 594935429 |
| Terminal year pop, age 1 | 3861953 | 58% | 1249094 | 11940401 |
| Terminal year pop, age 2 | 2771131 | 22% | 1784783 | 4302575 |
| Terminal year pop, age 3 | 4121761 | 16% | 3036440 | 5595011 |
| l erminal year pop, age 4 | 3136201 | 13% | 2450724 | 4013409 |
| Terminal year pop, age 5 | 1484700 | 12% | 1179661 | 1868618 |
| Terminal year pop, age 6 | 795623 | 11% | 635505 | 996085 |
| Terminal year pop, age 7 | 1137325 | 10% | 935998 | 1381955 |
| Terminal year pop, age 8 | 420925 | 11% | 342340 | 517548 |
| Terminal year pop, age 9 | 100295 | 12% | 79871 | 125940 |
| Terminal year pop, age 10 | 87171 | 12% | 68745 | 110536 |
| Terminal year pop, age 11 | 40439 | 13% | 31243 | 52341 |
| Last TRUE age pop, 1998 | 57033 | 25% | 35073 | 92742 |
| Last TRUE age pop, 1999 | 51997 | 19% | 36046 | 75004 |
| Last TRUE age pop, 2000 | 56714 | 16% | 41432 | 77633 |
| Last TRUE age pop, 2001 | 52333 | 15% | 39338 | 69621 |
| Last TRUE age pop, 2002 | 50951 | 14% | 38851 | 66819 |
| Last TRUE age pop, 2003 | 52362 | 14% | 40123 | 68334 |
| Last TRUE age pop, 2004 | 48667 | 14% | 37127 | 63794 |
| Last TRUE age pop, 2005 | 40029 | 14% | 30595 | 52373 |
| Last TRUE age pop, 2006 | 35765 | 13% | 27614 | 46323 |
| Last TRUE age pop, 2007 | 40456 | 13% | 31617 | 51766 |
| Last TRUE age pop, 2008 | 34860 | 13% | 27108 | 44829 |
| Index 1, biomass, Q | 1.36 | 2% | 1.29 | 1.42 |

| Ricker | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|-------------|------------|------------|-----------|----------------|---------------|
| 967/1000 Iterati | ions resul | ted in fe | asible para | meter esti | mates | | | |
| | Fcrash | Fmsy | Bmsy | MSY | ADMB Alpha | ADMB Beta | Unscaled Alpha | Unscaled Beta |
| Deterministic | 1.36 | 0.42 | 1716070 | 672166 | 0.47 | 2.00 | 5.84 | 5.18E-07 |
| Mean | 1.12 | 0.32 | 1672219 | 637711 | 0.51 | 1.86 | 5.74 | 4.83E-07 |
| 5%ile | e 0.32 0.11 1130449 324463 0.35 | | 0.35 | 1.00 | 3.06 | 2.60E-07 | | |
| 25%ile | 0.70 | 0.21 | 1389105 | 498556 | 0.43 | 1.52 | 4.25 | 3.94E-07 |
| 50%ile | 0.99 | 0.29 | 1584730 | 633848 | 0.50 | 1.86 | 5.35 | 4.82E-07 |
| 75%ile | 1.44 | 0.40 | 1822325 | 774796 | 0.57 | 2.22 | 6.79 | 5.75E-07 |
| 95%ile | 2.26 | 0.65 | 2527490 | 973593 | 0.69 | 2.74 | 9.79 | 7.11E-07 |
| CV | 0.56 | 0.52 | 0.37 | 0.32 | 0.21 | 0.29 | 0.37 | 0.29 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Smooth hocke | ystick | | | | | | | |
| 970/1000 Iterati | ions resul | ted in fe | asible para | meter esti | mates | | | |
| | Fcrash | Fmsy | Bmsy | MSY | ADMB Alpha | ADMB Beta | Unscaled Alpha | Unscaled Beta |
| Deterministic | 0.38 | 0.38 | 1750060 | 632570 | 0.66 | 0.69 | 1.12 | 1748700 |
| Mean | 0.21 | 0.20 | 1831251 | 543742 | 0.60 | 0.79 | 1.01 | 1990267.608 |
| 5%ile | 0.01 | 0.01 | 1751822 | 62522 | 0.49 | 0.70 | 0.83 | 1766322 |
| 25%ile | 0.13 | 0.12 | 1820990 | 405209 | 0.56 | 0.73 | 0.94 | 1829470 |
| 50%ile | 0.20 | 0.19 | 1930890 | 553527 | 0.60 | 0.77 | 1.01 | 1935790 |
| 75%ile | 0.28 | 0.28 | 2098718 | 692890 | 0.64 | 0.84 | 1.09 | 2106520 |
| 95%ile | 0.42 | 0.40 | 2390678 | 892317 | 0.71 | 0.96 | 1.19 | 2404196.5 |
| CV | 0.59 | 0.58 | 0.43 | 0.42 | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.11 | 0.10 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Per recruit | | | | | | | | |
| | F35 | F40 | F01 | Fmax | Bmsypr | MSYpr | Fpa | Flim |
| Deterministic | 0.23 | 0.18 | 0.173 | 0.774 | 0.45 | 0.16 | 0.23 | 0.42 |
| Mean | 0.41 | 0.33 | 0.152 | 0.829 | 0.50 | 0.14 | | |
| 5%ile | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.002 | 0.367 | 0.42 | 0.02 | | |
| 25%ile | 0.20 | 0.16 | 0.120 | 0.525 | 0.46 | 0.10 | | |
| 50%ile | 0.39 | 0.31 | 0.165 | 0.697 | 0.49 | 0.14 | | |
| 75%ile | 0.57 | 0.46 | 0.199 | 0.989 | 0.53 | 0.17 | | |
| 95%ile | 0.88 | 0.71 | 0.238 | 1.850 | 0.60 | 0.22 | | |
| CV | 0.67 | 0.68 | 0.448 | 0.562 | 0.12 | 0.41 | | |

Table 2.8.1.1. Results from PlotMSY indicating deterministic fits and the range of values estimated from 1000 iterations of the programme. Results are presented from the Ricker and Smooth hockey stick models. Results from the Beverton and Holt model were not meaningful and are therefore not given here. Table 2.8.2.1 North East Atlantic Mackerel. Short term prediction: INPUT DATA

| 2010 | Stock | Natural | Maturity | Prop of F | Prop of M | Weights in | Exploitation | Weights in |
|------|-----------|-----------|----------|-------------|-------------|------------|--------------|------------|
| | abundance | mortality | ogive | before spw. | before spw. | the stock | pattern | the catch |
| 0 | 3904766 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.421 | 0.35 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.08 |
| 1 | 3346168 | 0.15 | 0.06 | 0.421 | 0.35 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 0.14 |
| 2 | 3273470 | 0.15 | 0.58 | 0.421 | 0.35 | 0.17 | 0.05 | 0.22 |
| 3 | 2280184 | 0.15 | 0.86 | 0.421 | 0.35 | 0.21 | 0.10 | 0.29 |
| 4 | 3210455 | 0.15 | 0.98 | 0.421 | 0.35 | 0.27 | 0.17 | 0.36 |
| 5 | 2305065 | 0.15 | 0.98 | 0.421 | 0.35 | 0.31 | 0.21 | 0.40 |
| 6 | 1043618 | 0.15 | 0.99 | 0.421 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.27 | 0.44 |
| 7 | 531145 | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0.421 | 0.35 | 0.41 | 0.28 | 0.48 |
| 8 | 752007 | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0 421 | 0.35 | 0.44 | 0.30 | 0.53 |
| 9 | 272541 | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0.421 | 0.35 | 0.47 | 0.31 | 0.56 |
| 10 | 6/379 | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0.421 | 0.35 | 0.17 | 0.33 | 0.50 |
| 10 | 54002 | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0.421 | 0.35 | 0.42 | 0.33 | 0.59 |
| 11 | 34993 | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0.421 | 0.33 | 0.55 | 0.32 | 0.39 |
| 12 | 38546 | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0.421 | 0.35 | 0.54 | 0.32 | 0.65 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 2011 | Stock | Natural | Maturity | Prop of F | Prop of M | Weights in | Exploitation | Weights in |
| -011 | abundance | mortality | ogive | before spw. | before spw. | the stock | pattern | the catch |
| 0 | 3904766 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.421 | 0.35 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.08 |
| 1 | - | 0.15 | 0.06 | 0.421 | 0.35 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 0.14 |
| 2 | - | 0.15 | 0.58 | 0.421 | 0.35 | 0.17 | 0.05 | 0.22 |
| 3 | - | 0.15 | 0.86 | 0.421 | 0.35 | 0.21 | 0.10 | 0.29 |
| 4 | - | 0.15 | 0.98 | 0.421 | 0.35 | 0.27 | 0.17 | 0.36 |
| 5 | - | 0.15 | 0.98 | 0.421 | 0.35 | 0.31 | 0.21 | 0.40 |
| 6 | - | 0.15 | 0.99 | 0.421 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.27 | 0.44 |
| 7 | - | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0 421 | 0.35 | 0.41 | 0.28 | 0.48 |
| 8 | _ | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0.421 | 0.35 | 0.44 | 0.30 | 0.53 |
| 9 | | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0.421 | 0.35 | 0.11 | 0.30 | 0.56 |
| 10 | - | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0.421 | 0.35 | 0.47 | 0.31 | 0.50 |
| 10 | - | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0.421 | 0.35 | 0.42 | 0.33 | 0.59 |
| 11 | - | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0.421 | 0.35 | 0.53 | 0.32 | 0.59 |
| 12 | - | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0.421 | 0.35 | 0.54 | 0.32 | 0.65 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 2012 | Stock | Natural | Maturity | Prop of F | Prop of M | Weights in | Exploitation | Weights in |
| | abundance | mortality | ogive | before spw. | before spw. | the stock | pattern | the catch |
| 0 | 3904766 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.421 | 0.35 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.08 |
| 1 | - | 0.15 | 0.06 | 0.421 | 0.35 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 0.14 |
| 2 | - | 0.15 | 0.58 | 0.421 | 0.35 | 0.17 | 0.05 | 0.22 |
| 3 | - | 0.15 | 0.86 | 0.421 | 0.35 | 0.21 | 0.10 | 0.29 |
| 4 | - | 0.15 | 0.98 | 0.421 | 0.35 | 0.27 | 0.17 | 0.36 |
| 5 | - | 0.15 | 0.98 | 0.421 | 0.35 | 0.31 | 0.21 | 0.40 |
| 6 | - | 0.15 | 0.99 | 0.421 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.27 | 0.44 |
| 7 | - | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0 421 | 0.35 | 0.41 | 0.28 | 0.48 |
| 8 | _ | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0 421 | 0.35 | 0.44 | 0.30 | 0.53 |
| 9 | _ | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0.421 | 0.35 | 0.47 | 0.31 | 0.56 |
| 10 | - | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0.421 | 0.35 | 0.40 | 0.22 | 0.50 |
| 10 | - | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0.421 | 0.55 | 0.47 | 0.33 | 0.09 |
| 11 | - | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0.421 | 0.35 | 0.53 | 0.32 | 0.59 |
| 12 | - | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0.421 | 0.35 | 0.54 | 0.32 | 0.65 |

Input units are thousands and kg - output in tonnes

| Voor | 2010 | E Mult -1 2 | 246 | Ebar=0.214 | | | | | |
|--------|--------|-------------|--------------|------------|---------|-------------|----------|------------|---------|
| rear: | 2010 | F Mult =1.3 | /40 Viald | FDar=0.314 | Diamaga | CCNIco/Icm) | CCP/Iam) | CCNIcc(CT) | CCD/CT) |
| Age | F | Catchinos | 1700 | 20047((| biomass | 55NOS(Jan) | 555(Jan) | 551005(51) | 350(51) |
| 0 | 0.006 | 21359 | 1/80 | 3904766 | 0 | 0 | 14401 | 100244 | 10(00 |
| 1 | 0.021 | 63543 | 8578 | 3346168 | 228655 | 211924 | 14481 | 199344 | 13622 |
| 2 | 0.061 | 179054 | 39392 | 3273470 | 545578 | 1898612 | 316435 | 1756081 | 292680 |
| 3 | 0.135 | 267156 | 77742 | 2280184 | 488719 | 1968559 | 421928 | 1764952 | 378288 |
| 4 | 0.213 | 573130 | 204607 | 3210455 | 872174 | 3146246 | 854730 | 2729435 | 741496 |
| 5 | 0.273 | 513144 | 207652 | 2305065 | 716875 | 2258964 | 702538 | 1910699 | 594227 |
| 6 | 0.343 | 282275 | 124295 | 1043618 | 364570 | 1033181 | 360925 | 848688 | 296475 |
| 7 | 0.355 | 148206 | 71781 | 531145 | 215114 | 531145 | 215114 | 433929 | 175741 |
| 8 | 0.384 | 223619 | 117400 | 752007 | 332638 | 752007 | 332638 | 607097 | 268539 |
| 9 | 0.395 | 83067 | 46434 | 272541 | 126913 | 272541 | 126913 | 218944 | 101955 |
| 10 | 0.419 | 20563 | 12208 | 64379 | 31718 | 64379 | 31718 | 51212 | 25230 |
| 11 | 0.410 | 17248 | 10234 | 54993 | 28963 | 54993 | 28963 | 43917 | 23130 |
| 12 | 0.410 | 12089 | 7898 | 38546 | 20828 | 38546 | 20828 | 30783 | 16633 |
| Total | | 2404453 | 930002 | 21077337 | 3972745 | 12231097 | 3427211 | 10595081 | 2928016 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Year : | 2011 | F Mult =1 | | Fbar=0.233 | | | | | |
| Age | F | CatchNos | Yield | StockNos | Biomass | SSNos(Jan) | SSB(Jan) | SSNos(ST) | SSB(ST) |
| 0 | 0.004 | 15871 | 1323 | 3904766 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0.015 | 47232 | 6376 | 3341068 | 228306 | 211601 | 14459 | 199486 | 13632 |
| 2 | 0.045 | 115451 | 25399 | 2821192 | 470199 | 1636292 | 272715 | 1523437 | 253906 |
| 3 | 0.100 | 234540 | 68251 | 2651667 | 568341 | 2289272 | 490667 | 2082666 | 446385 |
| 4 | 0.158 | 233342 | 83303 | 1715369 | 466008 | 1681061 | 456688 | 1492397 | 405434 |
| 5 | 0 203 | 381526 | 154391 | 2233454 | 694604 | 2188785 | 680712 | 1906945 | 593060 |
| 6 | 0.254 | 315892 | 139098 | 1509984 | 527488 | 1494884 | 522213 | 1274396 | 445189 |
| 7 | 0.264 | 137835 | 66758 | 637731 | 258281 | 637731 | 258281 | 541474 | 219297 |
| 8 | 0.201 | 74032 | 38867 | 320398 | 141723 | 320398 | 141723 | 269645 | 119273 |
| 9 | 0.200 | 104573 | 58456 | 440979 | 205349 | 440979 | 205349 | 369772 | 172191 |
| 10 | 0.274 | 39358 | 23365 | 157964 | 77824 | 157964 | 77824 | 131/92 | 64782 |
| 10 | 0.311 | 8909 | 5286 | 36451 | 101024 | 36451 | 101024 | 30431 | 16027 |
| 11 | 0.304 | 12065 | 8526 | 52456 | 28884 | 52456 | 28884 | 44627 | 24114 |
| Tatal | 0.304 | 1721625 | 6330 | 10824470 | 20004 | 11149974 | 20004 | 0966769 | 24114 |
| Total | | 1721625 | 679409 | 19824479 | 3000203 | 111400/4 | 3108/13 | 9666766 | 2773290 |
| V | 0010 | | | EI 0.000 | | | | | |
| Yea | r:2012 | F Mult =1 | N/: 11 | Fbar=0.233 | р. | | CCD(I) | | |
| Age | F | CatchNos | Yield | StockNos | Biomass | SSNos(Jan) | SSB(Jan) | 55Nos(51) | SSB(S1) |
| 0 | 0.004 | 15871 | 1323 | 3904766 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0.015 | 47304 | 6386 | 3346154 | 228654 | 211923 | 14481 | 199790 | 13652 |
| 2 | 0.045 | 115890 | 25496 | 2831914 | 471986 | 1642510 | 273752 | 1529227 | 254871 |
| 3 | 0.100 | 205317 | 59747 | 2321275 | 497527 | 2004034 | 429531 | 1823170 | 390766 |
| 4 | 0.158 | 280928 | 100291 | 2065192 | 561044 | 2023889 | 549823 | 1796749 | 488117 |
| 5 | 0.203 | 215336 | 87140 | 1260579 | 392040 | 1235368 | 384199 | 1076295 | 334728 |
| 6 | 0.254 | 328368 | 144591 | 1569618 | 548320 | 1553922 | 542837 | 1324725 | 462771 |
| 7 | 0.264 | 217818 | 105496 | 1007790 | 408155 | 1007790 | 408155 | 855678 | 346550 |
| 8 | 0.285 | 97407 | 51139 | 421564 | 186472 | 421564 | 186472 | 354784 | 156933 |
| 9 | 0.294 | 49181 | 27492 | 207395 | 96577 | 207395 | 96577 | 173906 | 80982 |
| 10 | 0.311 | 70507 | 41857 | 282983 | 139416 | 282983 | 139416 | 235560 | 116052 |
| 11 | 0.304 | 24348 | 14446 | 99623 | 52468 | 99623 | 52468 | 83169 | 43802 |

Table 2.8.2.2 North East Atlantic Mackerel Short term prediction single option table. Catch con-straint of 930 Kt in 2010 and F status quo for 2011 and 2012

Total

0.304

Table 2.8.2.3 North East Atlantic Mackerel. . Short term prediction; single area management option table. OPTION: Catch constraint 930 Kt in 2010.

| 2010 | | | | |
|---------|---------|-------|-------|----------|
| Biomass | SSB | Fmult | Fbar | Landings |
| 3972745 | 2928017 | 1.346 | 0.314 | 930002 |

| 2011 | | | | | 2012 | | |
|---------|---------|-------|------|----------|---------|---------|---------------------------------|
| TSB | SSB | Fmult | Fbar | Landings | TSB | SSB | Implied changed in the landings |
| 3686205 | 3006648 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 4178115 | 3474966 | -100% |
| - | 2973921 | 0.10 | 0.03 | 99969 | 4094893 | 3358304 | -89% |
| - | 2941608 | 0.20 | 0.06 | 197151 | 4014037 | 3246471 | -79% |
| - | 2909705 | 0.30 | 0.09 | 291631 | 3935472 | 3139249 | -69% |
| - | 2878204 | 0.40 | 0.13 | 383494 | 3859127 | 3036430 | -59% |
| - | 2847101 | 0.50 | 0.16 | 472821 | 3784932 | 2937816 | -49% |
| - | 2816391 | 0.60 | 0.19 | 559692 | 3712819 | 2843218 | -40% |
| - | 2786068 | 0.70 | 0.22 | 644182 | 3642722 | 2752456 | -31% |
| - | 2756127 | 0.80 | 0.25 | 726365 | 3574578 | 2665359 | -22% |
| - | 2726562 | 0.90 | 0.28 | 806312 | 3508326 | 2581764 | -13% |
| - | 2697368 | 1.00 | 0.31 | 884093 | 3443908 | 2501515 | -5% |
| - | 2668541 | 1.10 | 0.34 | 959773 | 3381266 | 2424463 | 3% |
| - | 2640075 | 1.20 | 0.38 | 1033417 | 3320344 | 2350467 | 11% |
| - | 2611966 | 1.30 | 0.41 | 1105088 | 3261090 | 2279393 | 19% |
| - | 2584209 | 1.40 | 0.44 | 1174846 | 3203451 | 2211111 | 26% |
| - | 2556798 | 1.50 | 0.47 | 1242749 | 3147378 | 2145499 | 34% |
| - | 2529729 | 1.60 | 0.50 | 1308853 | 3092822 | 2082441 | 41% |
| - | 2502998 | 1.70 | 0.53 | 1373214 | 3039737 | 2021824 | 48% |
| - | 2476600 | 1.80 | 0.56 | 1435884 | 2988078 | 1963544 | 54% |
| - | 2450531 | 1.90 | 0.60 | 1496914 | 2937799 | 1907497 | 61% |
| - | 2424785 | 2.00 | 0.63 | 1556354 | 2888861 | 1853588 | 67% |

| Sub-Divisions | | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 |
|----------------|----------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|------|
| VIIIb | Spain | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 487 | 7 | 4 | 427 | 247 |
| VIIIc | Spain | 322 | 254 | 656 | 513 | 750 | 1150 | 1214 | 3091 | 1923 | 1502 | 859 | 1892 | 1903 | 2558 |
| IXa North | Spain | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 895 | 3357 | 8573 | 5068 |
| IXa-CN, CS & S | Portugal | 2458 | 1364 | 8059 | 9118 | 8184 | 8876 | 3816 | 6447 | 8568 | 10142 | 8981 | 7341 | 4430 | 3884 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sub-Divisions | | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 |

Table 2.12.1. Catches in tonnes of *Scomber colias* in Divisions VIIIb, VIIIc and IXa in the period 1982 – 2009.

| Sub-Divisions | | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 |
|----------------|----------|------|------|------|-------|-------|------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| VIIIb | Spain | 778 | 362 | 1218 | 632 | 344 | 426 | 99 | 157 | 40 | 222 | 262 | 744 | 42 | 122 |
| VIIIc | Spain | 2679 | 5026 | 1765 | 418 | 1905 | 1496 | 1509 | 2525 | 2741 | 3150 | 4260 | 7153 | 5203 | 3930 |
| IXa North | Spain | 5437 | 2340 | 1381 | 983 | 1001 | 553 | 1566 | 981 | 888 | 812 | 2984 | 8239 | 8544 | 11860 |
| IXa-CN, CS & S | Portugal | 4759 | 5408 | 6690 | 13877 | 10520 | 4228 | 5301 | 8030 | 14714 | 14905 | 13031 | 20222 | 23286 | 14428 |

Table 2.15: Overview of major existing regulations on mackerel catches

| Technical measure | National/International level | Specification | Note |
|--|-------------------------------|---|---|
| Catch limitation | Coastal States/NEAFC | 2010: not agreed | |
| Management plan | European (EU, Norway) | If SSB \geq 2.200.000t, F = 0.2 to 0.22 if SSB is between 1.670.000t and 2.200.000t, F = 0.22 * SSB/2.200.000 TAC should not be changed more than 20% if SSB < 1.670.000t, parties shall decide on a TAC which is less than that arising from the calculation above | |
| Minimum size (North Sea) | European (EU, Norway, Faroes) | 30cm in the North Sea | |
| Minimum size (all areas except North Sea) | European (EU, Faroes) | 20cm in all areas except North Sea | 10% undersized allowed |
| Minimum size | National (Nor) | 30cm in all areas | |
| Catch limitation | European (EU, Norway, Faroes) | Within the limits of the quota for the western component (VI,VII, VIIIabde, Vb(EC), IIa(nonEC), XII, XIV), a certain quantity may be taken from IVa but only during the periods 1 January to 15 February and 1 October to 31 December. | |
| Area closure | National (UK) | South-West Mackerel Box off Cornwall | except where the weight of the mackerel does not exceed 15 % by liveweight of the total quantities of mackerel and other marine organisms onboard which have been caught in this area |
| Quota adaptation | European (EU) | Reducing of UK and Irish mackerel quota with a scheduled payback until 2012 following the exceeding of fishing opportunities 2001 to 2004 | |
| Discard prohibition | National (Nor) | All discarding is prohibited in Norwegian waters | |

* incl. unilateral Norway/Faroes



Figure 2.1.1. Map of approximate national zones and ICES Divisions and Subareas. Note that EU region is considered as one zone in this map. The 200 and 500 m depth contour is shown on the map.



Figure 2.3.1.1 NE Atlantic Mackerel, commercial catches in 2009, quarter1.



Figure 2.3.1.2 NE Atlantic Mackerel, commercial catches in 2009, quarter2.


Figure 2.3.1.3 NE Atlantic Mackerel, commercial catches in 2009, quarter3.



Figure 2.3.1.4 NE Atlantic Mackerel, commercial catches in 2009, quarter4.





Figure 2.3.2.1. NEA mackerel (Southern component). Effort data by fleets and area .





Figure 2.3.2.2. NEA mackerel (Southern component). CPUE data by fleet and area.



Figure 2.3.7.1. Stock biomass estimates of 3-12 years old mackerel, 1986-2006, based on the MERKAN (solid line and circles) and the HAMRE (broken line and squares) models. The estimates are compared with the official spawning stock biomass estimates (dotted line and diamonds, ICES, 2009a) and the triennial egg survey SSB estimates (dotted line and filled circles, ICES, 2008). The MERKAN estimates are presented as bootstrap medians with 25th and 75th percentiles.



Figure 2.5.1.1.1 Survey lines along the cruise tracks with pre-defined CTD stations (0-500 m) and WP2 samples (0-200 m) for M/V"Libas", M/V"Brennholm", M/V "Finnur Fridi" and R/V "Arni Fridriksson" 9 July – 20 August 2010. This large ocean area included the following Economical Exclusive Zones (EEZ): Norwegian EEZ, United Kingdom EEZ, Faeroe Island EEZ, Iceland EEZ, Jan Mayen fishery protection zone, Spitzbergen protected area and International waters.



Figure 2.5.1.1.2 Swept area estimates for Northeast Atlantic mackerel based on pelagic trawl haul catches at the surface onboard Libas, Brennholm, Finnr Fridi and Arni Fridriksson from 9 July to 20 August 2010.



Figure 2.5.2.1.3 Sa or Nautical Area Scattering Coefficient (NASC) values of mackerel along the cruise track.



Figure 2.5.1.1.4 Length distribution of mackerel within the sampled area from 9 July to 20 August 2010.



Figure 2.5.1.1.5 Age and length distribution in percent (%) of Atlantic mackerel in the Norwegian Sea and surrounding waters from pelagic trawl samples.



Figure 2.5.1.1.6 Mackerel catches (kg/nmi) from Libas, Brennholm, Finnur Fridi and Arni Fridriksson combined in the Norwegian Sea and surrounding waters, 9 July- 20 August 2010.



Figure 2.5.1.1.7 Distribution and spatial overlap between mackerel (red), herring (blue), blue whiting (yellow) salmon (turquoise) and other species (violet) from Libas, Brennholm, Finnur Fridi and Arni Fridriksson in the Norwegian Sea and surrounding water from 9 July and 20 August 2010.



Figure 2.5.1.1.8 Temperature at 20 m depth in the Norwegian Sea and surrounding waters, 9 July - 20 August 2010.



Figure 2.5.3.1. Sampling design of the acoustic surveys carred out by the IEO (PELACUS04 and PELACUS10). It identifies the tracks and the ICES divisions.



Figure 2.5.3.2. Mackerel distribution from Spanish spring acoustic surveys (PELACUS 04) from 2001 to 2009. Polygon colour indicates the average of values of integrated energy in m²/mn²(sA, NASC) within each polygon.



Figure 2.5.3.3. Spanish spring acoustic surveys (PELACUS04) from 2001 to 2010. Mackerel abundance (individuals x10⁶) and Biomass (t).



Figure 2.5.3.4. Mackerel length distribution for the spring Spanish acoustic survey (PELACUS04) from 2001 to 2010. The line denotes the cumulative frequency.



Figure 2.5.3.5. Mackerel age distribution for the spring Spanish acoustic survey (PELACUS04) from 2001 to 2009. The line denotes the cumulative frequency.



Figure 2.5.3.6. Mackerel abundance (percentage) by age group and ICES Subdivision from the Spanish acoustic surveys (PELACUS04). For each year the abundance (number) and biomass (t) are shown for the whole Spanish area.

.



Figure 2.5.3.7. Tracks surveyed by PELAGO (Portuguese acoustic survey), PELACUS (Spanish acoustic survey) and PELGAS (French acoustic survey) during spring 2009.



Figure 2.5.3.8. Mackerel distribution from Spanish acoustic survey in autumn (PELACUS 10) from 2006 to 2009. Polygon colour indicates the average of values of integrated energy in m2/mn2 (sA, NASC) within each polygon.



Figure 2.5.3.9. Mackerel length frequency distribution from the fishing trawls in the Spanish autumn acoustic survey (PELACUS 10). Period 2006-2009.







+

Figure 2.6.1.2: Mackerel egg production by half rectangle for period 2 (8th March – 11th April). Filled blue circles represent observed values, filled red circles represent interpolated values, black crosses represent

7°₩

5°W

3°W

1°W

1°E

3°E

21°W 19°W 17°W 15°W 13°W 11°W 9°W

observed zeroes, red crosses interpolated zeroes.

42°N



Figure 2.6.1.3: Mackerel egg production by half rectangle for period 3 (12th April – 9th May). Filled blue circles represent observed values, filled red circles represent interpolated values, black crosses represent observed zeroes, red crosses interpolated zeroes.



Figure 2.6.1.4: Mackerel egg production by half rectangle for period 4 (10th May – 30th May). Filled blue circles represent observed values, filled red circles represent interpolated values, black crosses represent observed zeroes, red crosses interpolated zeroes.



Figure 2.6.1.5: Mackerel egg production by half rectangle for period 5 (31st May – 5th July). Filled blue circles represent observed values, filled red circles represent interpolated values, black crosses represent observed zeroes, red crosses interpolated zeroes.



Figure 2.6.1.6: Mackerel egg production by half rectangle for period 6 (5th July – 31st July). Filled blue circles represent observed values, filled red circles represent interpolated values, black crosses represent observed zeroes, red crosses interpolated zeroes.



Figure 2.6.1.7: Provisional annual egg production curve for mackerel in the western spawning component. The curve for 1998, 2001, 2004 and 2007 are included for comparison.



Figure 2.6.1.8: Provisional annual egg production curve for mackerel in the southern spawning component for 2010. The curve for 2007 is included for comparison.



NEA Mackerel Stock Summary Plot

Figure 2.7.1 NE Atlantic Mackerel stock summary (spawning stock biomass, 1980 to 2009, recruitment from 1972-2009, catches from 1972 to 2009 and Fbar4-8 from 1977 to 2009.



NEA.Mac Egg Survey, diagnostics

Figure 2.7.2. NE Atlantic mackerel final assessment FLICA diagnostics for fit to mackerel egg survey.





Figure 2.7.3. NE Atlantic mackerel final assessment FLICA diagnostics for fit of catch to the separable period, a) weighted log residuals by year (age, 0 and 1 down weighted). b) fitted selection pattern, sum of the residuals c) by year, d) by age.



Figure 2.7.4. NEA mackerel. Spawner biomass per recruit and yield per recruit analysis



Management plan for NEA Mackerel

Figure 2.7.5. Recent history of the stock in relation to the management plan. Black dots represent the estimated fishing mortality (Fbar4-8) in relation to the estimated SSB for the years 2001 to 2009. The 2010 point is estimated from the short term forecast (see section 2.9). The grey area represents the range for Fbar in agreement with the management plan if SSB>B_{trigger}. If B_{lim}<SSB<B_{trigger}, Fbar should be on the black line of equation Fbar = 0.22 SSB/ 2 2000 000. A maximum TAC variation constraint of 20% also apply when SSB>B_{trigger}.



Figure 2.8.1.1. Fit of 3 stock recruitment models (Ricker, Beverton and Holt and smooth hockey stick)for NEA mackerel. The panels on the left show the deterministic fit of the models and the spread of curves estimated the MCMC. The panels on the right show the first 100 MCMC estimates for each model.



Figure 2.8.1.2. Relationship between the yield and F_{bar} for the 3 stock recruitment model (left panels, deterministic value in blue, distribution of the curves estimated by MCMC estimation in red). The distribution of the F reference values estimated by MCMC is shown by the box plots on the right.



Figure 2.8.1.3. Yield and SSB per recruit as a function of fishing mortality (deterministic and MCMC results) and distribution of the F reference values among the MCMC trials.



Figure 2.8.2. NEA mackerel short term forecast.


NEA Mackerel Retrospective Summary Plot

Figure 2.9.1 NE Atlantic mackerel final ICA assessment analytical retrospective of Spawning Stock Biomass (SSB), recruitment age 0 and mean F ages 4 – 8.



Figure 2.9.2. NE Atlantic mackerel, precision of ICA estimates of SSB and Fbar4–8 in 2009 from bootstrap of parameter residuals in FLICA. Showing percentile contours from 10000 realisations and the point estimates.

Assessment 2009



Assessment 2010



Figure 2.10.1. Comparison of the model fit to the catch data for the separable period between 2009 and 2010 assessments (left panels : log residuals; right panels : selection pattern).

3 Horse Mackerel

3.1 Fisheries in 2009

The total international catches of horse mackerel in the North East Atlantic are shown in Table 3.1.1 and Figure 3.3.1. The total catch from all areas in 2009 was 247,637 tons which is 50,000 tons more than in 2008 and the highest since 2001. Ireland, Denmark, Scotland, France (no catches reported for 2009), Germany and the Netherlands have a directed trawl fishery and Norway a directed purse seine fishery for horse mackerel. Spain and Portugal have both directed and mixed trawl and purse seine fisheries. In earlier years most of the catches were used for meal and oil while in later years most of the catches have been used for human consumption.

The quarterly catches of horse mackerel by Division and Subdivision in 2009 are given in Table 3.1.2 and the distribution of the fisheries are given in Figure 3.1.1.a–d. The figures are based on data provided by Denmark, Germany, Ireland, Netherlands, Norway, Scotland, Portugal and Spain representing 99 % of the total catches. The distribution of the fishery is similar to the later years.

The Dutch and German fleets operated mainly west of the Channel, in the Channel area, north and west of Ireland and in the southern North Sea. Ireland fished mainly north and west of Ireland and Norway in the north eastern part and central part of the North Sea. The Spanish and Portuguese fleets operated mainly in their respective waters. Lithuania reported catches of horse mackerel for the three years 2006-2008, but no catches were reported for 2009.

First quarter: 49,700 tons, which is the same as in 2007 and 2008. The fishery was mainly carried out west of Scotland, west and south of Ireland, in the Channel, along the Spanish and Portuguese coasts (Figure 3.1.1.a).

Second quarter: 25,800 tons. This is 6,000 tons more than in 2008. As usual, rather low catches were taken during the second quarter, which is the main spawning period. Most of the catches were taken south of Ireland, in the northern part of the Bay of Biscay, along the Spanish and Portuguese coasts. A few small catches were taken in the south eastern part of the North Sea (Figure 3.1.1.b).

Third quarter: 22,900 tons. This is 8,000 tons less than in 2008. Most of the catches were taken in Portuguese and Spanish waters and south of Ireland. As usual also some small catches were reported from the northern part of the North Sea (Figure 3.1.1.c).

Fourth quarter: This is the main fishing season with a catch of 149,200 tons which is 48,000 tons more than in 2008. The catches were distributed in four main areas (Figure 3.1.1.d):

- Portuguese and Spanish waters,
- Irish waters
- Channel
- northern-central part of the North Sea
- close to the Norwegian coast in Division IIa

3.2 Stock Units

For many years the Working Group has considered the horse mackerel in the north east Atlantic as separated into three stocks: the North Sea, the Southern and the Western stocks (ICES 1990/Assess: 24, ICES 1991/Assess: 22). For further information see Stock Annex Western Horse Mackerel. The boundaries for the different stocks are given in Figure 3.2.1.

3.3 Allocation of Catches to Stocks

The distribution areas for the three stocks are given in the Stock Annex Western Horse Mackerel. The catches in 2009 were allocated to the three stocks as follows:

Western stock: 3 and 4 quarter: Divisions IIIa and IVa. 1-4 quarter: IIa, Vb, VIa, VIIa–c,e–k and VIIIa-e.

North Sea stock: 1-2 quarter: Divisions IIIa and IVa. 1-4 quarter: IVb,c and VIId.

Southern stock: Division IXa. All catches from these areas were allocated to the southern stock.

The catches by stock are given in Table 3.3.1 and Figure 3.3.1. The catches by stock and countries for the period 1997-2009 are given in Table 3.3.2-3.3.4 (Iversen, 2010).

3.4 Estimates of discards

Over the years only Netherlands has provided data on discards and in some few years also Germany has provided such data. Therefore the amount of discards given in Table 3.1.1 are not representative for the total fishery. During the last year only the Netherlands provided discard data. Based on the limited data available it is impossible to estimate the amount of discard in the horse mackerel fisheries (see section 1.3.3).

3.5 Trachurus Species Mixing

Three species of genus Trachurus: *T. trachurus, T. mediterraneus* and *T. picturatus* are found together and are commercially exploited in NE Atlantic waters. Following the Working Group recommendation (ICES 2002/ACFM: 06) special care was taken to ensure that catch and length distributions and numbers at age of *T. trachurus* supplied to the Working Group did not include *T. mediterraneus* and/or *T. picturatus. T. mediterraneus* is mainly landed in Spanish ports of the Cantabrian Sea. *T. picturatus* fishery takes place in the southern part of sub- Division IXa and in Subarea X. Landings of *T. mediterraneus* show substantial variability, ranging from about 500t to 7,000 tones. Since 2004 there has been a decrease in landings of *T. picturatus* show an important decrease in the last years (Table 3.5.1).

Taking into account that the assessment is only made for *T. trachurus*, the Working Group recommends that the TACs and any other management regulations which might be established in the future should be related only to *T. trachurus* and not to *Trachurus spp*. More information is needed about the *Trachurus spp*. before the fishery and the stock can be evaluated.

3.6 Length Distribution by Fleet and by Country:

Ireland, Germany, Netherlands, Norway, Portugal and Spain provided length distribution for their catches in 2009. These length distributions covered 87 % of the total landings and are shown in Table 3.6.1.

References:

Iversen, S.,A. 2010 National catches of the Western, Southern and North Sea Horse Mackerel Stocks 1997-2008. WD for WGWIDE 2010

| Sub-area | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | | 1984 | | | |
|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--|--|--|
| II | 2 | - | + | - | 412 | | 23 | | | |
| IV + IIIa | 1,412 | 2,151 | 7,245 | 2,788 | 4,420 |) | 25,987 | | | |
| VI | 7,791 | 8,724 | 11,134 | 6,283 | 24,88 | 31 | 31,716 | | | |
| VII | 43,525 | 45,697 | 34,749 | 33,478 | 40,52 | 26 | 42,952 | | | |
| VIII | 47,155 | 37,495 | 40,073 | 22,683 | 28,22 | 23 | 25,629 | | | |
| IX | 37,619 | 36,903 | 35,873 | 39,726 | 48,73 | 33 | 23,178 | | | |
| Total | 137,504 | 130,970 | 129,074 | 104,958 | 147,1 | .95 | 149,485 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sub-area | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |) | 1990 | | | |
| II | 79 | 214 | 3,311 | 6,818 | 4,80 | 9 | 11,414 | | | |
| IV + IIIa | 24,238 | 20,746 | 20,895 | 62,892 | 112, | 047 | 145,062 | | | |
| VI | 33,025 | 20,455 | 35,157 | 45,842 | 34,8 | 70 | 20,904 | | | |
| VII | 39,034 | 77,628 | 100,734 | 90,253 | 138, | 890 | 192,196 | | | |
| VIII | 27,740 | 43,405 | 37,703 | 34,177 | 38,6 | 86 | 46,302 | | | |
| IX | 20,237 | 31,159 | 24,540 | 29,763 | 29,2 | 31 | 24,023 | | | |
| Total | 144,353 | 193,607 | 222,340 | 269,745 | 5 358, | 533 | 439,901 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sub-area | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | | | |
| II + Vb | 4,487 | 13,457 | 3,168 | 759 | 13,133 | 3,366 | 2,617 | | | |
| IV + IIIa | 77,994 | 113,141 | 140,383 | 112,580 | 98,745 | 27,782 | 81,198 | | | |
| VI | 34,455 | 40,921 | 53,822 | 69,616 | 83,595 | 81,259 | 40,145 | | | |
| VII | 201,326 | 188,135 | 221,120 | 200,256 | 330,705 | 279,109 | 326,415 | | | |
| VIII | 49,426 | 54,186 | 53,753 | 35,500 | 28,709 | 48,269 | 40,806 | | | |
| IX | 21,778 | 26,713 | 31,944 | 28,442 | 25,147 | 20,400 | 27,642 | | | |
| Total | 389,466 | 436,553 | 504,190 | 447,153 | 580,034 | 460,185 | 518,882 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sub-area | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | | | |
| II + Vb | 2,538 | 2,557 | 1,169 | 60 | 1,324 | 24 | 47 | | | |
| IV + IIIa | 31,295 | 58,746 | 31,583 | 19,839 | 49,691 | 34,226 | 30,540 | | | |
| VI | 35,073 | 40,381 | 20,657 | 24,636 | 14,190 | 23,254 | 21,929 | | | |
| VII | 250,656 | 186,604 | 137,716 | 138,790 | 97,906 | 123,046 | 116,139 | | | |
| VIII | 38,562 | 47,012 | 54,211 | 75,120 | 54,560 | 41,711 | 24,125 | | | |
| IX | 41,574 | 27,733 | 27,160 | 24,912 | 23,665 | 19,570 | 23,581 | | | |
| Total | 399,698 | 363,033 | 272,496 | 283,357 | 241,335 | 241,831 | 216,361 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sub-area | 2005 | 2 | .006 | 2007 | 2008 | | 20091 | | | |
| II + Vb | 176 | 3 | 0 | 366 | 572 | | 1,847 | | | |
| IV + IIIa | 40,564 | 3 | 8,911 | 16,407 | 15,377 | | 78,591 | | | |
| VI | 22,055 | 1 | 5,751 | 26,279 | 25,902 | | 17,776 | | | |
| VII | 107,475 | 5 1 | 01,912 | 93,132 | 98,746 | | 89,563 | | | |
| VIII | 41,495 | 3 | 4,122 | 28,387 | 33,892 | | 33,355 | | | |
| IX | 23,111 | 2 | 4,557 | 23,423 | 23,596 | | 26,496 | | | |
| Total | 234,876 | 5 2 | 15,283 | 187,994 | 198,085 | ; | 247,628 | | | |

Table 3.1.1HORSE MACKEREL general. Catches (t) by Sub-area. Data as submitted byWorking Group members. Data of limited discard information are only available for some years.

¹Preliminary.

| Division | 1Q | 2Q | 3Q | 4Q | TOTAL |
|-------------|------|------|------|-------|-------|
| IIa+Vb | + | - | + | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| III | + | + | + | + | + |
| IVa | 0.1 | + | 0.3 | 58.5 | 58.8 |
| IVbc | 1.3 | + | 0.1 | 17.9 | 19.7 |
| VIId | 5.0 | + | - | 19.3 | 24.4 |
| VIa,b | 7.4 | + | 1.6 | 8.8 | 17.8 |
| VIIa–c,e–k | 23.5 | 3.7 | 4.7 | 33.3 | 65.2 |
| VIIIa,b,d,e | 5.1 | 7.3 | + | o.1 | 12.5 |
| VIIIc | 2.0 | 6.0 | 8.6 | 4.3 | 20.9 |
| IXa | 5.3 | 8.6 | 7.3 | 5.2 | 26.5 |
| Sum | 49.7 | 25.8 | 22.9 | 149.2 | 247.6 |

| Table 3.1.2 | HORSE MACKEREL general. Quarterly catches (1000 t) by Division and Sub- |
|-------------------|---|
| division in 2009. | |

+ less than 50 t

| Year | IIIa | IVa | IVb,c | Discards | VIId | North | IIa | IIIa | IVa | VIa,b | VIIa-c,e-k | VIIIa,b,d, | VIIIc | Disc | Western | Southern | All |
|------|---------|-------|--------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|----------------------|--------|------------|------------|--------|--------|---------|-------------|---------|
| | | | | | | Sea | Vb | | | | | e | | | Stock | Stock (IXa) | stocks |
| | | | | | | Stock | | | | | | | | | | . , | |
| 1982 | 2,7881 | | - | | 1,247 | 4,035 | - | | - | 6,283 | 32,231 | 3,073 | 19,610 | - | 61,197 | 39,726 | 104,958 |
| 1983 | 4,4201 | | - | | 3,600 | 8,020 | 412 | | - | 24,881 | 36,926 | 2,643 | 25,580 | - | 90,442 | 48,733 | 147,195 |
| 1984 | 25,8931 | | - | | 3,585 | 29,478 | 23 | | 94 | 31,716 | 38,782 | 2,510 | 23,119 | 500 | 96,744 | 23,178 | 149,400 |
| 1985 | - | | 22,897 | | 2,715 | 26,750 | 79 | | 203 | 33,025 | 35,296 | 4,448 | 23,292 | 7,500 | 103,843 | 20,237 | 150,830 |
| 1986 | - | | 19,496 | | 4,756 | 24,648 | 214 | | 776 | 20,343 | 72,761 | 3,071 | 40,334 | 8,500 | 145,999 | 31,159 | 201,806 |
| 1987 | 1,138 | | 9,477 | | 1,721 | 11,634 | 3,311 | | 11,185 | 35,197 | 99,942 | 7,605 | 30,098 | - | 187,338 | 24,540 | 223,512 |
| 1988 | 396 | | 18,290 | | 3,120 | 23,671 | 6,818 | | 42,174 | 45,842 | 81,978 | 7,548 | 26,629 | 3,740 | 214,729 | 29,763 | 268,163 |
| 1989 | 436 | | 25,830 | | 6,522 | 33,265 | 4,809 | | 85,304 ² | 34,870 | 131,218 | 11,516 | 27,170 | 1,150 | 296,037 | 29,231 | 358,533 |
| 1990 | 2,261 | | 17,437 | | 1,325 | 18,762 | 11,414 | 14,878 | 112,753 ² | 20,794 | 182,580 | 21,120 | 25,182 | 9,930 | 398,645 | 24,023 | 441,430 |
| 1991 | 913 | | 11,400 | | 600 | 12,000 | 4,487 | 2,725 | 63,869 ² | 34,415 | 196,926 | 25,693 | 23,733 | 5,440 | 357,288 | 21,778 | 391,066 |
| 1992 | | | 13,955 | 400 | 688 | 15,043 | 13,457 | 2,374 | 101,752 | 40,881 | 180,937 | 29,329 | 24,243 | 1,820 | 394,793 | 26,713 | 436,548 |
| 1993 | | | 3,895 | 930 | 8,792 | 13,617 | 3,168 | 850 | 134,908 | 53,782 | 204,318 | 27,519 | 25,483 | 8,600 | 458,628 | 31,945 | 504,190 |
| 1994 | | | 2,496 | 630 | 2,503 | 5,689 | 759 | 2,492 | 106,911 | 69,546 | 194,188 | 11,044 | 24,147 | 3,935 | 413,022 | 28,442 | 447,153 |
| 1995 | 112 | | 7,948 | 30 | 8,666 | 16,756 | 13,133 | 128 | 90,527 | 83,486 | 320,102 | 1,175 | 27,534 | 2,046 | 538,131 | 25,147 | 580,034 |
| 1996 | 1,657 | | 7,558 | 212 | 9,416 | 18,843 | 3,366 | | 18,356 | 81,259 | 252,823 | 23,978 | 24,290 | 16,870 | 420,942 | 20,400 | 460,185 |
| 1997 | | | 14,078 | 10 | 5,452 | 19,540 | 2,617 | 2,037 | 65,073 ³ | 40,145 | 318,101 | 11,677 | 29,129 | 2,921 | 471,700 | 27,642 | 518,882 |
| 1998 | 3,693 | | 10,530 | 83 | 16,194 | 30,500 | 2,5404 | | 17,011 | 35,043 | 232,451 | 15,662 | 22,906 | 830 | 326,443 | 41,574 | 398,523 |
| 1999 | | | 9,335 | | 27,889 | 37,224 | 2,5575 | 2,095 | 47,316 | 40,381 | 158,715 | 22,824 | 24,188 | | 298,076 | 27,733 | 363,033 |
| 2000 | | | 25,954 | | 22,471 | 48,425 | 1,1696 | 1,105 | 4,524 | 20,657 | 115,245 | 32,227 | 21,984 | | 196,911 | 27,160 | 272,496 |
| 2001 | 85 | 69 | 8,157 | | 38,114 | 46,356 | 60 | 72 | 11,456 | 24,636 | 100,676 | 54,293 | 20,828 | | 212,090 | 24,911 | 283,357 |
| 2002 | | | 12,636 | 20 | 10,723 | 23,379 | 1,324 | 179 | 36,855 | 14,190 | 86,878 | 32,450 | 22,110 | 305 | 194,292 | 23,665 | 241,336 |
| 2003 | 48 | 623 | 10,309 | | 21,098 | 32,078 | 24 | 1,974 | 21,272 | 23,254 | 101,948 | 21,732 | 19,979 | | 190,183 | 19,570 | 241,831 |
| 2004 | 351 | | 18,348 | | 16,455 | 35,154 | 47 | | 11,841 | 21,929 | 98,984 | 8,353 | 15,772 | 701 | 157,627 | 23,581 | 216,361 |
| 2005 | 357 | | 13,892 | 62 | 15,460 | 29,711 | 176 | | 26,315 | 22,054 | 91,431 | 26,483 | 14,775 | 760 | 181,994 | 23,111 | 234,876 |
| 2006 | 1,099 | 2,661 | 7,998 | 78 | 23,790 | 35,626 | 30 | | 27,152 | 15,722 | 77,970 | 20,651 | 13,470 | 99 | 155,094 | 24,557 | 215,277 |
| 2007 | 63 | 2,056 | 9,118 | 139 | 29,788 | 41,164 | 3667 | 110 | 4,940 | 26,279 | 63,223 | 14,428 | 13,960 | 102 | 123,408 | 23,423 | 187,994 |
| 2008 | 27 | 1,003 | 2,330 | | 31,389 | 34,749 | 572 | 3 | 12,014 | 25,902 | 67,325 | 14,537 | 19,345 | 43 | 139,741 | 23,596 | 198,085 |
| 2009 | 38 | 72 | 18,711 | 1,036 | 24,366 | 44,223 | 1,847 | - | 58,738 | 17,775 | 65,122 | 12,452 | 20,903 | 81 | 176,918 | 26,496 | 247,637 |

Table 3.3.1 HORSE MACKEREL general. Landings and discards (t) by year and Division, for the North Sea, Western, and Southern horse mackerel stocks. (Data submitted by Working Group members.)

¹Divisions IIIa and IVb,c combined-

²Norwegian catches in IVb included in Western horse mackerel. ⁵Includes 132 t from Vb. 6Includes 250 t from Vb.

³ Includes Norwegian catches in IVb (1,426 t).

⁴Includes 1,937 t from Vb.

⁷ all fom Vb

| Country | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
|-----------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Belgium | 18 | - | - | - | 19 | - | - | + | + |
| Denmark | 62,897 | 29,542 | 22,663 | 13,084 | 6,108 | 10,152 | 11739 | 11,480 | 1,021 |
| Estonia | 78 | 22 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Faroe Islands | 1,095 | 216 | 905 | 824 | - | 699 | 59 | 3,847 | 3,695 |
| France | 39,188 | 24,267 | 25,141 | 20,457 | 15,145 | 18,951 | 10,383 | 8,060 | 10,690 |
| Germany, | 28,533 | 27,872 | 17,629 | 13,348 | 11,493 | 12,614 | 15,826 | 17,830 | 16,734 |
| Fed.Rep. | 74,250 | 70,811 | 57,956 | 55,300 | 51,874 | 36,483 | 35,855 | 26,431 | 35,361 |
| Ireland | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lithuania | 82,885 | 92,535 | 75,333 | 57,971 | 73,439 | 42,019 | 47,327 | 40987 | 43,445 |
| Netherlands | 45,058 | 13,363 | 46,410 | 2,087 | 7,956 | 36,689 | 20,315 | - | 25,113 |
| Norway | 554 | 345 | 121 | 80 | 16 | 3 | - | 5 | - |
| Russia | 31,087 | 14,882 | 25,123 | 22,669 | 23,053 | 23,214 | 24,588 | 16,272 | 16,636 |
| Spain | 1,761 | 10 | 1,952 | 1,101 | 68 | 575 | 1,074 | 568 | 148 |
| Sweden | 19,778 | 12,162 | 9,257 | 1,555 | 7,096 | 5,971 | 4,440 | 4,617 | 3,560 |
| UK (Engl. + Wales) | - | 1,158 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 426 |
| UK (Northen | 32,865 | 18,283 | 11,197 | 7,230 | 8,029 | 2,907 | 672 | 1,523 | 142 |
| Ireland) | 48,732 | 20,145 | 4,389 | 823 | 7,794 | 3,710 | 17,905 | 25,306 | 24,263 |
| UK (Scotland) | 2,921 | 830 | - | 382 | - | 305 | - | 701 | 760 |
| Unallocated | | | | | | | | | |
| Discard | | | | | | | | | |

Total 471,700 326,443 298,076 196,911 212,090 194,292 190,183 157,627 181,994

| Country | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 20091 |
|-------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Belgium | - | - | - | - |
| Denmark | 8,353 | 7,617 | 5,261 | 6,009 |
| Estonia | - | - | - | - |
| Faroe Islands | 1,205 | 478 | 841 | - |
| France | 11,034 | 12,748 | 12,626 | - |
| Germany, Fed.Rep. | 10,863 | 5,784 | 11,708 | 15,121 |
| Ireland | 26,779 | 30,091 | 35,612 | 40,754 |
| Lithuania | 6,829 | 5,467 | 5,548 | - |
| Netherlands | 37,130 | 29,083 | 43,648 | 39,451 |
| Norway | 27,114 | 4,182 | 1,223 | 59,764 |
| Russia | - | - | - | - |
| Spain | 13,878 | 14,257 | 19,851 | 21,077 |

| Sweden | | - | 76 | 9 | 258 |
|------------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| UK (Engl | + Wales) | 3,583 | 5,482 | - | - |
| UK | (Northen | 224 | - | - | - |
| Ireland) | | 469 | 778 | 1,077 | 1,413 |
| UK (Scotla | nd) | 7,534 | 7,263 | 2,294 | -7,010 |
| Unallocate | d | 99 | 102 | 43 | 81 |
| Discard | | | | | |
| Total | | 155,094 | 123,408 | 139,741 | 178,918 |

¹Preliminary

| Country | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
|--------------------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|
| Belgium | - | 19 | 21 | 19 | 19 | 30 | 5 | 4 | 6 |
| Denmark | 180 | 1,481 | 3,377 | 7,855 | 17,316 | 2,310 | 2,902 | 8,738 | 3,987 |
| Faroe Islands | - | - | 135 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| France | 3,246 | 2,399 | - | - | 1,696 | 1,246 | 2,326 | 2,530 | 5,236 |
| Germany, Fed.Rep. | 7,847 | 5,844 | 5,920 | 3,728 | 968 | 3,267 | 2,936 | 4,912 | 2,248 |
| Ireland | - | 2,861 | 27 | 130 | 338 | - | - | 1 | - |
| Lithuania | - | 10,711 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Netherlands | 36,855 | - | 8,117 | 7,987 | 13,867 | 15,187 | 24,118 | 26,302 | 25,579 |
| Norway | - | - | 238 | - | 36 | - | - | - | - |
| Sweden | - | 3,401 | 5 | 40 | 46 | 14 | - | 97 | 91 |
| UK (Engl. + Wales) | 269 | 907 | 11 | 1,585 | 3,333 | 2,323 | 1,965 | 1,552 | 3,859 |
| UK (Scotland) | 29 | - | - | 421 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Unallocated | -28,896 | 2,794 | 19,373 | 26,660 | 8,737 | -1,018 | -2,174 | -8,982 | -11,358 |
| Discard | 10 | 83 | - | - | - | 20 | - | - | 62 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | 19,540 | 30,500 | 37,224 | 48,425 | 46,356 | 23,379 | 32,078 | 35,154 | 29,711 |

| Table 3.3.3 National | catches of the N | North Sea Horse | mackerel stock |
|------------------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Table 5.5.5. Ivational | catches of the F | vorun oca monse | . mackerer stock. |

| Country | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 ¹ |
|--------------------|--------|--------|--------|-------------------|
| Belgium | 4 | 6 | 3 | 5 |
| Denmark | 1,341 | 255 | 57 | 89 |
| Faroe Islands | - | - | - | - |
| France | 4,380 | 5,349 | 2,246 | - |
| Germany, Fed.Rep. | 1,691 | 87 | 1,176 | 1,299 |
| Ireland | 2,077 | 1 | 897 | - |
| Lithuania | 2,377 | 296 | - | - |
| Netherlands | 27,284 | 31,154 | 19,439 | 22,546 |
| Norway | 113 | 1,243 | 21 | 12,855 |
| Sweden | 491 | 53 | 35 | 402 |
| UK (Engl. + Wales) | 596 | - | - | - |
| UK (Scotland) | 300 | 625 | 6 | 4 |
| Unallocated | -5,106 | 1,956 | 10,869 | 5,988 |
| Discard | 78 | 139 | - | 1,036 |
| Total | 35,626 | 41,164 | 34,749 | 44,223 |

¹Preliminary

Table 3.3.4. National catches of the Southern Horse Mackerel Stock.

| Country | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Portugal | 16,376 | 21,334 | 14,420 | 15,348 | 13,760 | 14,270 | 11,242 | 11,875 | 13,307 |
| Spain | 10,906 | 20,230 | 13,313 | 11,812 | 11,152 | 9,393 | 8,324 | 11,702 | 9,804 |
| Total | 27,642 | 41,564 | 27,733 | 27,160 | 24,912 | 23,663 | 19,566 | 23,577 | 23,111 |

¹Preliminary

| T. me | diter | rraneus | | | | | T. picturatus | | | | | |
|-------|-------|---------|-----------|-----------|-------|-------|---------------|-----------------|-------------------------|-------|--|--|
| | VII | VIIIab | VIII East | VIII West | Total | TOTAL | IXa | X (Azorean Area | 34.1.1 (Madeira's area) | TOTAL | | |
| 1986 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 367 | 3331 | 2006 | 5704 | | |
| 1987 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 181 | 3020 | 1533 | 4734 | | |
| 1988 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2370 | 3079 | 1687 | 7136 | | |
| 1989 | 0 | 23 | 3903 | 0 | 3903 | 3926 | 2394 | 2866 | 1564 | 6824 | | |
| 1990 | 0 | 298 | 2943 | 0 | 2943 | 3241 | 2012 | 2510 | 1863 | 6385 | | |
| 1991 | 0 | 2122 | 5020 | 0 | 5020 | 7142 | 1700 | 1274 | 1161 | 4135 | | |
| 1992 | 0 | 1123 | 4804 | 0 | 4804 | 5927 | 1035 | 1255 | 792 | 3082 | | |
| 1993 | 0 | 649 | 5576 | 0 | 5576 | 6225 | 1028 | 1732 | 530 | 3290 | | |
| 1994 | 0 | 1573 | 3344 | 0 | 3344 | 4917 | 1045 | 1778 | 297 | 3120 | | |
| 1995 | 0 | 2271 | 4585 | 0 | 4585 | 6856 | 728 | 1822 | 206 | 2756 | | |
| 1996 | 0 | 1175 | 3443 | 0 | 3443 | 4618 | 1009 | 1715 | 393 | 3117 | | |
| 1997 | 0 | 557 | 3264 | 0 | 3264 | 3821 | 834 | 1920 | 762 | 3516 | | |
| 1998 | 0 | 740 | 3755 | 0 | 3755 | 4495 | 526 | 1473 | 657 | 2657 | | |
| 1999 | 0 | 1100 | 1592 | 0 | 1592 | 2692 | 320 | 690 | 344 | 1354 | | |
| 2000 | 59 | 988 | 808 | 0 | 808 | 1854 | 464 | 563 | 646 | 1672 | | |
| 2001 | 1 | 525 | 1293 | 0 | 1293 | 1820 | 420 | 1089 | 385 | 1894 | | |
| 2002 | 1 | 525 | 1198 | 0 | 1198 | 1724 | 663 | 5000 | 358 | 6021 | | |
| 2003 | 0 | 340 | 1699 | 0 | 1699 | 2039 | 773 | 1509 | 572 | 2854 | | |
| 2004 | 0 | 53 | 841 | 0 | 841 | 894 | 508 | 1244 | 653 | 2405 | | |
| 2005 | 1 | 155 | 1005 | 0 | 1005 | 1162 | | | | 0 | | |
| 2006 | 1 | 168 | 794 | 0 | 794 | 963 | | | | 0 | | |
| 2007 | 0 | 126 | 326 | 0 | 326 | 452 | | | | 0 | | |
| 2008 | 0 | 82 | 405 | 0 | 405 | 487 | | | | 0 | | |
| 2009 | 0 | 42 | 1082 | 0 | 1082 | 1124 | | | | | | |

Table 3.5.1 Catches (t) of Trachurus mediterraneus in Divisions VIIIab, VIIIc and IXa and Subarea VII in the period 1989-2009 and Trachurus picturatus

(-) Not available

| | Neth | Ireland | Norway | Germany | | Portugal | | |
|-----------|---------------|---------|----------------|----------------------|---------|-----------|-----------|------|
| | D -1 (| D.I.TI | Deri | | Desta | D | A | |
| | All | A 11 | P.seine IVo | I rawi Via Vilhai | P.seine | Dem.trawi | Artisanai | |
| <u>cm</u> | All | All | Iva | via,viibej | All | All | All | іла |
| 5 | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | | | |
| é | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | | | | | 0.0 | | | 0.0 |
| 10 | | | | | 0.6 | | | 0.0 |
| 11 | | | | | 2.6 | | | 0.2 |
| 12 | | | | | 51 | | | 4.0 |
| 13 | | | | | 42 | | | 85 |
| 14 | | | | | 18.4 | 0.0 | | 9.5 |
| 15 | | | | | 21.1 | 0.2 | | 9.9 |
| 16 | 0.1 | | | | 5.3 | 0.7 | | 7.5 |
| 17 | 0.5 | | | | 3.5 | 0.9 | | 8.6 |
| 18 | 1.2 | | | | 4.5 | 0.4 | | 11.2 |
| 19 | 3.4 | | | | 5.6 | 0.3 | | 6.1 |
| 20 | 8.3 | | | | 3.0 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 2.4 |
| 21 | 4.0 | | | | 2.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 1.6 |
| 22 | 5.5 | | | | 2.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 2.1 |
| 23 | 7.9 | | | | 1.3 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 3.3 |
| 24 | 7.8 | 0.0 | | 0.1 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 4.2 |
| 25 | 8.7 | 0.3 | | 0.6 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 2.7 | 3.4 |
| 26 | 9.0 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 4.9 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 2.8 | 2.0 |
| 27 | 12.1 | 11.4 | 0.0 | 16.9 | 2.7 | 1.5 | 3.0 | 1.9 |
| 28 | 10.6 | 22.1 | 0.2 | 26.9 | 3.8 | 2.3 | 4.3 | 1.4 |
| 29 | 8.8 | 22.7 | 0.8 | 23.3 | 3.5 | 2.6 | 5.9 | 1.1 |
| 30 | 5.3 | 15.1 | 3.1 | 11.2 | 2.8 | 3.9 | 8.0 | 1.2 |
| 31 | 2.8 | 9.2 | 5.3 | 7.5 | 1.4 | 4.0 | 6.8 | 1.8 |
| 32 | 1.6 | 5.2 | 8.7 | 5.1 | 0.8 | 6.5 | 7.7 | 1.0 |
| 33 | 1.0 | 3.2 | 12.7 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 5.7 | 7.0 | 1.3 |
| 34 | 0.4 | 2.3 | 14.6 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 6.7 | 7.3 | 0.8 |
| 35 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 18.0 | | 0.2 | 9.0 | 7.3 | 0.5 |
| 36 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 14.9 | | 0.1 | 9.5 | 8.8 | 0.5 |
| 37 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 10.3 | | 0.1 | 10.2 | 6.1 | 0.7 |
| 38 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 5.3 | | 0.1 | 7.8 | 4.2 | 0.8 |
| 39 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 3.4 | | 0.1 | 7.5 | 4.5 | 0.6 |
| 40 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1.9 | | 0.1 | 5.0 | 3.6 | 0.7 |
| 41 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.5 | | 0.0 | 3.1 | 1.4 | 0.6 |
| 42+ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | | 0.0 | 3.4 | 2.0 | 0.4 |

Table 3.6.1 Horse mackerel general. Length distributions (%) catches by fleet and country in 2009. (0.0= <0.05%)



Figure 3.1.1a Horse mackerel catches 1 quarter 2009



Figure 3.1.1b Horse mackerel catches 2 quarter 2009



Figure 3.1.1c Horse mackerel catches 3 quarter 2009



Figure 3.1.1d Horse mackerel catches 4 quarter 2009



Figure 3.2.1: Distribution of Horse Mackerel in the Northeast-Atlantic: Stock definitions as used by the 2004 WG MHSA. Note that the "Juvenile Area" is currently only defined for the Western Stock distribution area – juveniles do also occur in other areas (like in Div. VIId). Map source: GEBCO, polar projection, 200 m depth contour drawn.



Figure 3.3.1 Horse mackerel general. Total catches in the northeast Atlantic during the period 1965 - 2008. The catches taken by the USSR and catches taken from the southern, western and North Sea horse mackerel stocks are shown in relation to the total catches in the northeast Atlantic. Caches from Div. VIIIc are transferred from southern stock to western stock from 1982 onwards.

4 North Sea Horse Mackerel: Divisions IVa (first and second quarters), IIIa (excluding Western Skagerrak in third and fourth quarter), IVb, IVc and VIId

4.1 ICES advice Applicable to 2009

The ICES advice has been the same since 2002. Also in 2009 ICES recommended that catches should not be more than the 1982-1997 average of 18 000 t, in order to avoid an expansion of the fishery until there is more information about the structure of horse mackerel stocks, and sufficient information to facilitate an adequate assessment. The TAC for this stock should apply to all areas in which North Sea horse mackerel are fished, i.e., Divisions IIIa, (eastern part), IVb, IVc and VIId.

EU has since 1987 set three TACs for horse mackerel in different EU waters. Two of these TACs cover part of the North Sea stock and thereby do not correspond to the distribution areas of neither the North Sea stock, nor the western and southern stocks.

4.2 The Fishery in 2009 on the North Sea stock

Catches taken in Divisions IV a and IIIa during the two first quarters and all year in Divisisons IVb, IVc and VIId are regarded North Sea horse mackerel. Table 3.3.1 shows the reported catches of this stock from 1982–2009. The catches were relatively low during the period 1982-1997 with an average of 18,000 tons. The catches increased from 1998 (30,500 tons) until record high in 2000 (48,400 tons). Since then it has varied between 23,400 and 48,400 tons. In 2009 the catch was 44,200 tons, including 12,800 tons taken by Norway in the northern part of Division IVb in the fourth quarter (Figure 3.1.1.c). These catches were taken close to the Norwegian catches in Division IVa in the same quarter which were allocated to the western stock. At least parts of the Norwegian IVb catches might therefore also be of western origin, but all these catches have been allocated to the North Sea stock.

In previous years most of the catches from the North Sea stock were taken as a bycatch in the small-mesh industrial fisheries in the fourth quarter carried out mainly in Divisions IVb and VIId, but in recent years larger parts of the catches have been taken in a directed horse mackerel fishery for human consumption.

4.3 Fishery-independent Information

4.3.1 Egg Surveys

No egg surveys for horse mackerel have been carried out in the North Sea since 1991. Such surveys were carried out during the period 1988-1991. SSB estimates are available historically. However, they were calculated assuming horse mackerel to be a determinate spawner. Horse mackerel is now considered an indeterminate spawner, where fecundity is not determined prior to spawning. Therefore it is not possible currently to provide a realistic estimate of the spawning biomass. The mackerel egg surveys in the North Sea do not cover the spawning area of horse mackerel.

4.4 Biological Data

4.4.1 Catch in Numbers at Age

Catch in numbers at age for 2009 were calculated according to Dutch samples from Division IVc (4Q) and VIId (1Q and 4Q), and Norwegian samples from samples from Divison IVb (4Q). Table 4.4.1.1 shows catch number by quarter and by area in 2009. Annual catch numbers at age for 1995-2009 are given in Table 4.4.1.2. Earlier years age compositions were presented based on samples taken from smaller Dutch commercial catches and research vessel catches. These are available for the period 1987–1995, and cover only a small proportion of the total catch, but give a rough indication of the age composition of the stock (Figure 4.4.1.1).

At present the sampling intensity is relatively high (92%) due to the Dutch and Norwegian data. Due to poor coverages of the catches in earlier periods the catch at age data may be questionable and involve large uncertainties. If a dependable analytical assessment is to be done in the future, the sampling needs to be improved considerably.

4.4.2 Mean weight at age and mean length at age

Table2 4.4.2.1-2 show weight and length by quarter and by area in 2009. The annual average values are shown in Table 4.4.1.2.

4.4.3 Maturity at age

No data has been made available for this Working Group.

4.4.4 Natural mortality

There is no specific information available about natural mortality of this stock.

| 1Q | Illa | IVa | IVb | IVc | VIId | Total |
|------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2 | 6.8 | 1.5 | 80.8 | 28.7 344.6 | 1643.3 | 2092.9 |
| 4 | 4.8 | 12.3 | 57.3 | 244.1 | 1164.0 | 1482.5 |
| 5 | 12.2 | 31.1 | 144.8 | 617.4 | 2944.2 | 3749.7 |
| 6 | 28.3 | 72.4 | 336.8 | 1435.9 | 6847.1 | 8720.3 |
| 8 | 18.4 | 47.0 | 218.9 154 9 | 933.3 | 4450.6 3149 7 | 4011 4 |
| 9 | 8.5 | 21.7 | 101.0 | 430.8 | 2054.1 | 2616.1 |
| 10 | 6.5 | 16.7 | 77.5 | 330.3 | 1574.8 | 2005.7 |
| 11 | 0.9 | 2.2 | 10.1 | 43.1 | 205.4 | 261.6 |
| 12 | 0.9 | 2.2 | 10.1 | 43.1 | 205.4 | 261.6 |
| 15 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 3.4 | 45.1 | 68.5 | 87.2 |
| 15+ | 2.5 | 6.5 | 30.3 | 129.2 | 616.2 | 784.8 |
| SUM | 104.3 | 267.0 | 1242.7 | 5298.4 | 25265.6 | 32178.0 |
| 2Q 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.8 |
| 3 | 0.1 | 6.1 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 9.0 |
| 4 | 0.1 | 4.3 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 6.4 16 1 |
| 6 | 0.2 | 25.4 | 5.9 | 4.8 | 0.4 | 37.4 |
| 7 | 0.3 | 16.5 | 3.8 | 3.1 | 0.5 | 24.3 |
| 8 | 0.2 | 11.7 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 0.4 | 17.2 |
| 9 | 0.1 | 7.6 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 11.2 |
| 10 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1.1 |
| 12 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 1.1 |
| 13 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 1.1 |
| 14 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| 15+ SUM | 0.0 | 2.3 | 0.5 21.8 | 0.4 17 9 | 0.1 | 3.4 137.8 |
| 3Q | 1.5 | 55.7 | 21.0 | 17.5 | 5.1 | 10/10 |
| 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 44.7 25.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 44.7 |
| 2 | 25.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.6 |
| 4 | 25.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.6 |
| 5 | 6.4 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.4 |
| 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.4 |
| 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.3 |
| 9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.8 |
| 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 116.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 116.2 |
| 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 141.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 141.2 |
| 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 108.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 108.8 |
| 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.4 |
| 15+ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 164.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 164.2 |
| SUM | 159.7 | 0.0 | 1208.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1368.1 |
| 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13262.0 | 19722.2 | 32985.8 |
| 2 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7577.4 | 5697.5 | 13275.9 |
| 3 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1/048.5 | 8327.1 | 25377.9 |
| 5 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 76.0 | 1895.9 | 10956.7 | 12928.8 |
| 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1264.1 | 0.9 | 5697.5 | 6962.5 |
| 7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 660.3 | 2.3 | 14462.9 | 15125.5 |
| 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13889.5 | 4.3 | 27172.8 | 41066.5 8225.0 |
| 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2917.4 | 0.6 | 3944.4 | 6862.4 |
| 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3544.8 | 0.5 | 3067.9 | 6613.2 |
| 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2730.5 | 0.1 | 438.3 | 3168.8 |
| 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.6 |
| 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4121.9 | 0.1 | 456.5 876.5 | 4998.6 |
| SUM | 6.23 | 0.0 | 30335.94 | 47372.15 | 120524.37 | 198238.69 |
| 1-4Q | | | | | | |
| 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2 | 27.1 | 2.0 | 6.9 | 7606.2 | 5834.5 | 13476.7 |
| 3 | 66.6 | 23.5 | 82.2 | 17394.3 | 9970.6 | 27537.3 |
| 4 | 31.4 | 16.6 | 58.3 | 7823.4 | 13435.8 | 21365.4 |
| 5 | 19.0 28.7 | 42.U 97 s | 226.4 1657 1 | 2515.4 1441 6 | 13901.3 | 15770 5 |
| 7 | 18.6 | 63.5 | 909.3 | 938.7 | 18914.1 | 20844.3 |
| 8 | 13.2 | 45.0 | 14600.4 | 667.0 | 30322.8 | 45648.3 |
| 9 | 8.6 | 29.3 | 906.8 | 433.4 | 9505.0 | 10883.1 |
| 10 11 | 6.6 | 22.5 | 3112.4 | 332.0 | 5519.4 3272 2 | 8992.9 |
| 12 | 0.9 | 2.9 | 2849.5 | 43.3 | 643.7 | 3540.3 |
| 13 | 0.9 | 2.9 | 33.8 | 43.2 | 205.4 | 286.2 |
| 14 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 352.6 | 14.5 | 506.8 | 875.1 |
| 15+ SUM | 2.6 271.8 | 8.8 360.7 | 4316.9 32808.7 | 129.8 52688.3 | 1492.9 145793.1 | 5950.9 231922.6 |
| | | | | | | |

Table 4.1.1.1 North Sea Horse Mackerel stock. Catch in numbers (1000) Mean length (Cm) at age by quarter and area in 2009

 Table 4.4.1.2 Catch in numbers at age (millions), w eight at age (kg) and length at age (cm) for the North Sea horse mackerel stock 1995-2009

 millions
 Catch number

| initiation and a | Caton | number | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|---------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Age | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 |
| 1 | 1.76 | 4.58 | 12.56 | 2.30 | 12.42 | 70.23 | 12.81 | 60.42 | 13.81 | 15.65 | 52.4 | 5.0 | 3.4 | 1.7 | 33.0 |
| 2 | 3.12 | 13.78 | 27.24 | 22.13 | 31.45 | 77.98 | 36.36 | 16.82 | 56.15 | 17.54 | 29.8 | 23.7 | 15.5 | 8.6 | 13.5 |
| 3 | 7.19 | 11.04 | 14.07 | 36.69 | 23.13 | 28.41 | 174.34 | 19.27 | 23.44 | 34.38 | 27.8 | 61.5 | 22.8 | 35.1 | 27.5 |
| 4 | 10.32 | 11.87 | 14.93 | 38.82 | 17.59 | 21.42 | 87.81 | 11.90 | 33.21 | 14.51 | 12.6 | 40.9 | 82.6 | 16.2 | 21.4 |
| 5 | 12.08 | 9.64 | 14.58 | 20.79 | 23.12 | 31.27 | 18.51 | 5.61 | 26.93 | 27.77 | 16.7 | 72.9 | 71.2 | 35.3 | 16.7 |
| 6 | 13.16 | 12.49 | 12.38 | 12.10 | 26.19 | 19.64 | 11.49 | 5.83 | 10.59 | 20.17 | 5.2 | 23.4 | 30.5 | 35.0 | 15.8 |
| 7 | 11.43 | 7.96 | 10.12 | 13.99 | 20.64 | 19.47 | 18.25 | 5.54 | 6.33 | 10.58 | 2.9 | 13.7 | 23.9 | 26.5 | 20.8 |
| 8 | 12.64 | 6.60 | 8.64 | 10.79 | 21.75 | 9.00 | 14.70 | 10.48 | 9.56 | 3.82 | 2.4 | 5.9 | 17.3 | 21.3 | 45.6 |
| 9 | 7.25 | 1.48 | 2.45 | 8.26 | 12.91 | 11.50 | 10.22 | 6.33 | 10.90 | 5.37 | 3.8 | 1.6 | 7.9 | 9.9 | 10.9 |
| 10 | 5.87 | 5.31 | 0.75 | 4.01 | 8.21 | 8.96 | 9.98 | 6.75 | 1.51 | 10.95 | 5.8 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 7.3 | 9.0 |
| 11 | 0.01 | 0.29 | 0.34 | 2.72 | 2.14 | 6.98 | 9.58 | 5.12 | 3.43 | 6.22 | 2.3 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 1.9 | 7.0 |
| 12 | 8.84 | 1.28 | 0.25 | 0.71 | 0.43 | 3.07 | 5.35 | 3.02 | 3.29 | 4.47 | 4.1 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 2.0 | 3.5 |
| 13 | 0.20 | 8.92 | 0.00 | 1.81 | 1.40 | 1.61 | 3.73 | 2.17 | 2.25 | 6.16 | 2.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| 14 | 4.37 | 8.01 | 1.38 | 0.31 | 3.78 | 0.00 | 1.95 | 1.29 | 3.40 | 2.25 | 9.9 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 2.4 | 0.9 |
| 15+ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.11 | 4.03 | 12.22 | 5.81 | 2.71 | 4.70 | 8.52 | 9.6 | 0.8 | | 1.0 | 6.0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| kg | w eight | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Age | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 |
| 1 | 0.076 | 0.107 | 0.063 | 0.063 | 0.063 | 0.075 | 0.055 | 0.066 | 0.073 | 0.076 | 0.079 | 0.069 | 0.073 | 0.063 | 0.063 |
| 2 | 0.126 | 0.123 | 0.102 | 0.102 | 0.102 | 0.101 | 0.072 | 0.095 | 0.105 | 0.104 | 0.077 | 0.095 | 0.082 | 0.096 | 0.096 |
| 3 | 0.125 | 0.143 | 0.126 | 0.126 | 0.126 | 0.136 | 0.071 | 0.129 | 0.123 | 0.120 | 0.103 | 0.116 | 0.105 | 0.109 | 0.109 |
| 4 | 0.133 | 0.156 | 0.142 | 0.142 | 0.142 | 0.152 | 0.082 | 0.154 | 0.137 | 0.147 | 0.132 | 0.124 | 0.115 | 0.125 | 0.125 |
| 5 | 0.146 | 0.177 | 0.160 | 0.160 | 0.160 | 0.166 | 0.120 | 0.172 | 0.166 | 0.174 | 0.158 | 0.141 | 0.130 | 0.145 | 0.145 |
| 0 _ | 0.164 | 0.187 | 0.175 | 0.175 | 0.175 | 0.194 | 0.183 | 0.195 | 0.181 | 0.198 | 0.196 | 0.177 | 0.164 | 0.161 | 0.161 |
| 1 | 0.161 | 0.203 | 0.199 | 0.199 | 0.199 | 0.198 | 0.197 | 0.216 | 0.195 | 0.225 | 0.251 | 0.210 | 0.191 | 0.194 | 0.194 |
| 8 | 0.178 | 0.195 | 0.231 | 0.231 | 0.231 | 0.213 | 0.201 | 0.227 | 0.212 | 0.229 | 0.270 | 0.244 | 0.197 | 0.221 | 0.221 |
| 9 | 0.165 | 0.218 | 0.250 | 0.250 | 0.250 | 0.247 | 0.235 | 0.228 | 0.238 | 0.256 | 0.280 | 0.231 | 0.256 | 0.286 | 0.286 |
| 10 | 0.173 | 0.241 | 0.259 | 0.259 | 0.259 | 0.280 | 0.246 | 0.251 | 0.259 | 0.291 | 0.291 | 0.284 | 0.258 | 0.296 | 0.296 |
| 11 | 0.317 | 0.307 | 0.300 | 0.300 | 0.300 | 0.279 | 0.260 | 0.302 | 0.245 | 0.301 | 0.344 | 0.237 | 0.517 | 0.273 | 0.273 |
| 12 | 0.233 | 0.211 | 0.329 | 0.329 | 0.329 | 0.342 | 0.286 | 0.292 | 0.295 | 0.300 | 0.361 | 0.257 | 0.279 | 0.309 | 0.309 |
| 13 | 0.241 | 0.258 | 0.367 | 0.367 | 0.367 | 0.318 | 0.287 | 0.318 | 0.356 | 0.302 | 0.332 | 0.268 | 0.338 | 0.375 | 0.375 |
| 14 | 0.348 | 0.277 | 0.299 | 0.299 | 0.299 | 0.325 | 0.295 | 0.319 | 0.319 | 0.338 | 0.376 | 0.291 | 0.414 | 0.277 | 0.277 |
| 10+ | 0.348 | 0.277 | 0.360 | 0.360 | 0.360 | 0.332 | 0.336 | 0.390 | 0.380 | 0.401 | 0.367 | 0.402 | | 0.389 | 0.389 |
| cm | longth | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Age | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 |
| 1 | 19.2 | 19.2 | 19.2 | 19.2 | 19.2 | 19.0 | 18.7 | 17 1 | 20.2 | 19.8 | 20.54 | 19.89 | 20.05 | 20.00 | 20.00 |
| 2 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 21.5 | 20.4 | 21.4 | 22.4 | 22.2 | 21.49 | 21.94 | 20.83 | 21.62 | 21.62 |
| 3 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 23.9 | 20.6 | 22.9 | 23.8 | 23.6 | 23.00 | 23.38 | 22.59 | 23.20 | 23.20 |
| 4 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 24.9 | 21.3 | 24.9 | 24.6 | 25.2 | 24.69 | 24.13 | 23.64 | 24.11 | 24.11 |
| 5 | 25.5 | 25.5 | 25.5 | 25.5 | 25.5 | 26.0 | 25.0 | 26.2 | 26.2 | 26.6 | 25.53 | 25.42 | 24.37 | 25.61 | 25.61 |
| 6 | 26.4 | 26.4 | 26.4 | 26.4 | 26.4 | 27.8 | 27.4 | 26.6 | 27.3 | 27.5 | 27.77 | 27.01 | 26.58 | 26.33 | 26.33 |
| 7 | 27.2 | 27.2 | 27.2 | 27.2 | 27.2 | 28.3 | 28.0 | 27.4 | 28.2 | 28.9 | 30.42 | 28.53 | 27.80 | 28.07 | 28.07 |
| 8 | 29.2 | 29.2 | 29.2 | 29.2 | 29.2 | 28.6 | 28.4 | 28.2 | 29.0 | 29.2 | 31.19 | 29.84 | 28.12 | 28.77 | 28.77 |
| 9 | 29.5 | 29.5 | 29.5 | 29.5 | 29.5 | 30.0 | 29.7 | 29.2 | 29.9 | 30.5 | 31.82 | 30.63 | 30.05 | 31.16 | 31.16 |
| 10 | 29.5 | 29.5 | 29.5 | 29.5 | 29.5 | 31.3 | 30.2 | 30.8 | 30.8 | 31.5 | 32.32 | 31.55 | 31.15 | 31.79 | 31.79 |
| 11 | 30.6 | 30.6 | 30.6 | 30.6 | 30.6 | 31.4 | 30.7 | 32.5 | 30.8 | 32.0 | 34.41 | 31.18 | 39.50 | 31.60 | 31.60 |
| 12 | 32.1 | 32.1 | 32.1 | 32.1 | 32.1 | 33.7 | 32.0 | 33.8 | 31.9 | 31.8 | 36.16 | 30.75 | 31.50 | 32.24 | 32.24 |
| 13 | 33.3 | 33.3 | 33.3 | 33.3 | 33.3 | 33.5 | 31.7 | 33.8 | 32.9 | 32.0 | 34.20 | 32.13 | 33.40 | 33.90 | 33.90 |
| 14 | 31.1 | 31.1 | 31.1 | 31.1 | 31.1 | 33.4 | 32.1 | 32.4 | 32.7 | 33.0 | 34.90 | 32.15 | 34.50 | 32.33 | 32.33 |
| 15+ | 32.5 | 32.5 | 32.5 | 32.5 | 32.5 | 33.4 | 33.4 | 34.4 | 34.6 | 34.8 | 35.39 | 35 42 | | 35.12 | 35 12 |

| 1Q | Illa | IVa | IVb | IVc | VIId | Total |
|----------|----------|--------|----------|--------|--------|----------|
| 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 2 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 3 | 0.108 | 0.108 | 0.108 | 0.108 | 0.108 | 0.108 |
| 4 | 0.132 | 0.132 | 0.132 | 0.132 | 0.132 | 0.132 |
| 5 | 0.139 | 0.139 | 0.139 | 0.139 | 0.139 | 0.139 |
| 6 | 0.177 | 0.177 | 0.177 | 0.177 | 0.177 | 0.177 |
| 7 | 0.210 | 0.210 | 0.210 | 0.210 | 0.210 | 0.210 |
| 8 | 0.227 | 0.227 | 0.227 | 0.227 | 0.227 | 0.227 |
| 9 | 0.249 | 0.249 | 0.249 | 0.249 | 0.249 | 0.249 |
| 10 | 0.269 | 0.269 | 0.269 | 0.269 | 0.269 | 0.269 |
| 11 | 0.356 | 0.356 | 0.356 | 0.356 | 0.356 | 0.356 |
| 12 | 0.269 | 0.269 | 0.269 | 0.269 | 0.269 | 0.269 |
| 13 | 0.364 | 0.364 | 0.364 | 0.364 | 0.364 | 0.364 |
| 14 | 0.399 | 0.399 | 0.399 | 0.399 | 0.399 | 0.399 |
| Sum | 0.567 | 0.567 | 0.567 | 0.567 | 0.567 | 0.567 |
| 20 | 0.155 | 0.155 | 0.155 | 0.155 | 0.155 | 0.155 |
| 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 2 | 0.073 | 0.073 | 0.073 | 0.073 | 0.073 | 0.073 |
| 3 | 0.108 | 0.108 | 0.108 | 0.108 | 0.108 | 0.108 |
| 4 | 0.132 | 0.132 | 0.132 | 0.132 | 0.132 | 0.132 |
| 5 | 0.139 | 0.139 | 0.139 | 0.139 | 0.139 | 0.139 |
| 6 | 0.177 | 0.177 | 0.177 | 0.177 | 0.177 | 0.177 |
| 7 | 0.210 | 0.210 | 0.210 | 0.210 | 0.210 | 0.210 |
| 8 | 0.227 | 0.227 | 0.227 | 0.227 | 0.227 | 0.227 |
| 9 | 0.249 | 0.249 | 0.249 | 0.249 | 0.249 | 0.249 |
| 10 | 0.269 | 0.269 | 0.269 | 0.269 | 0.269 | 0.269 |
| 12 | 0.350 | 0.350 | 0.350 | 0.350 | 0.350 | 0.350 |
| 12 | 0.205 | 0.203 | 0.203 | 0.203 | 0.203 | 0.205 |
| 14 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.399 |
| 15+ | 0.387 | 0.387 | 0.387 | 0.387 | 0.387 | 0.387 |
| Sum | 0.1974 | 0.1985 | 0.1986 | 0.1987 | 0.1993 | 0.1985 |
| 3Q | | | | | | |
| 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 1 | 0.070 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.070 |
| 2 | 0.084 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.084 |
| 3 | 0.111 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.111 |
| 4 | 0.130 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.130 |
| 5 | 0.169 | 0.000 | 0.260 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.198 |
| 6 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.326 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.326 |
| 7 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.353 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.353 |
| 8 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.380 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.380 |
| 9 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.493 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.493 |
| 10 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.449 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.449 |
| 12 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.341 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.341 |
| 13 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.600 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.600 |
| 14 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.506 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.506 |
| 15+ | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.499 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.499 |
| Sum | 0.100455 | | 0.433436 | | | 0.394557 |
| 4Q | | | | | | |
| 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 1 | 0.070 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.070 | 0.076 | 0.074 |
| 2 | 0.084 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.084 | 0.092 | 0.088 |
| 3 | 0.111 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.111 | 0.119 | 0.113 |
| 4 | 0.130 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.130 | 0.137 | 0.134 |
| 5 | 0.109 | 0.000 | 0.200 | 0.109 | 0.149 | 0.135 |
| 7 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.320 | 0.137 | 0.137 | 0.187 |
| 8 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.380 | 0.200 | 0.200 | 0.261 |
| 9 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.493 | 0.229 | 0.229 | 0.254 |
| 10 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.449 | 0.252 | 0.252 | 0.336 |
| 11 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.541 | 0.287 | 0.287 | 0.423 |
| 12 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.496 | 0.254 | 0.254 | 0.462 |
| 13 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.600 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.600 |
| 14 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.506 | 0.253 | 0.253 | 0.363 |
| 15+ | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.499 | 0.349 | 0.349 | 0.472 |
| Sum | 0.1005 | | 0.4334 | 0.1005 | 0.1606 | 0.1880 |
| 1-4Q | | | | | | |
| 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 1 | 0.070 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.070 | 0.076 | 0.0/4 |
| 2 | 0.084 | 0.073 | 0.073 | 0.084 | 0.091 | 0.08/ |
| <u>з</u> | 0 130 | 0.127 | 0.100 | 0.130 | 0 127 | 0.134 |
| 5 | 0.150 | 0.139 | 0.181 | 0,162 | 0.147 | 0,150 |
| 6 | 0.177 | 0.177 | 0.295 | 0.177 | 0,168 | 0.182 |
| 7 | 0.210 | 0.210 | 0.318 | 0.209 | 0.187 | 0.194 |
| 8 | 0.227 | 0.227 | 0.379 | 0.227 | 0.203 | 0.259 |
| 9 | 0.249 | 0.249 | 0.465 | 0.249 | 0.233 | 0.253 |
| 10 | 0.269 | 0.269 | 0.445 | 0.269 | 0.257 | 0.322 |
| 11 | 0.356 | 0.356 | 0.540 | 0.356 | 0.291 | 0.423 |
| 12 | 0.269 | 0.269 | 0.495 | 0.269 | 0.259 | 0.449 |
| 13 | 0.364 | 0.364 | 0.528 | 0.364 | 0.364 | 0.383 |
| 14 | 0.399 | 0.399 | 0.505 | 0.398 | 0.273 | 0.369 |
| 15+ | 0.387 | 0.387 | 0.498 | 0.387 | 0.365 | 0.462 |
| Sum | 0.139 | 0.199 | 0.424 | 0.110 | U.167 | 0.191 |

Table 4.4.2.1 North Sea Horse Mackerel stock. Mean weight (kg) in catch at age by quarter and area in 2009

| | 111a | IVa | IVb | IVc | VIId | Tota |
|--|---|--|--|---|---|---|
| 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2 | 20.8 | 20.8 | 20.8 | 20.8 | 20.8 | 20.8 |
| 3 | 23.3 | 23.3 | 23.3 | 23.3 | 23.3 | 23.3 |
| 4 | 24.7 | 24.7 | 24.7 | 24.7 | 20.0 | 24.7 |
| 5 | 25.8 | 25.8 | 25.8 | 25.8 | 25.8 | 25.8 |
| 6 | 23.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 |
| 7 | 28.6 | 28.6 | 28.6 | 28.6 | 28.6 | 28.6 |
| 8 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |
| 0 | 20.4 | 20.4 | 20.4 | 20.4 | 20.4 | 20.4 |
| 9 10 | 20.4 | 20.4 | 20.4 | 20.4 | 20.4 | 30.4 |
| 11 | 22.2 | 22.2 | 22.2 | 30.0 | 22.2 | 30.0 |
| 12 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 |
| 12 | 30.9 | 30.9 | 30.9 | 30.9 | 20.9 | 30.9 |
| 13 | 35.5 | 33.3 3E 0 | 35.5 | 35.5 | 35.5 | 35.5 |
| 14 | 35.0 | 33.0 3E 1 | 33.0 3E 1 | 33.0 3E 1 | 33.0 3E 1 | 35.0 |
| 157 | 28.0 | 20.1 | 20.1 | 20.1 | 20.1 | 33.1 |
| 20 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |
| 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 20.9 |
| 2 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.8 | 20.0 | 20.8 | 20.0 |
| 5 | 25.5 | 25.5 | 25.5 | 25.5 | 25.5 | 25.5 |
| 4 | 24.7 | 24.7 | 24.7 | 24.7 | 24.7 | 24.7 |
| 5 | 25.8 | 25.8 | 25.8 | 25.8 | 25.8 | 25.8 |
| - | 27.2 | 27.2 | 27.2 | 27.2 | 27.2 | 2/.2 |
| 7 | 28.6 | 28.6 | 28.6 | 28.6 | 28.6 | 28.6 |
| 8 | 29.3 | 29.3 | 29.3 | 29.3 | 29.3 | 29.3 |
| 9 | 30.4 | 30.4 | 30.4 | 30.4 | 30.4 | 30.4 |
| 10 | 30.8 | 30.8 | 30.8 | 30.8 | 30.8 | 30.8 |
| 11 | 33.3 | 33.3 | 33.3 | 33.3 | 33.3 | 33.3 |
| 12 | 30.9 | 30.9 | 30.9 | 30.9 | 30.9 | 30.9 |
| 13 | 33.3 | 33.3 | 33.3 | 33.3 | 33.3 | 33.3 |
| 14 | 35.8 | 35.8 | 35.8 | 35.8 | 35.8 | 35.8 |
| 15+ | 35.1 | 35.1 | 35.1 | 35.1 | 35.1 | 35.1 |
| Sum | 27.9 | 28.0 | 28.0 | 28.0 | 28.0 | 28.0 |
| 3Q | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 20.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.2 |
| 2 | 20.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.3 |
| 2 | 21.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.5 |
| 3 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 |
| - | 24.4 | 0.0 | 27.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24.4 |
| 5 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 27.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.0 |
| 7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 32.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 32.1 |
| / | 0.0 | 0.0 | 32.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 32.3 |
| 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.5 |
| 9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.5 |
| 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.5 |
| 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.5 |
| 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.4 |
| 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.0 |
| 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.2 |
| 15+ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.5 |
| Sum | 22.4 | | 34.9 | | | 33.4 |
| 4Q | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| 1 | 20.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.2 | 20.4 | 20.0 |
| 2 | 20.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.5 | 20.4 | 20.3 |
| 2 | 21.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.5 | 21.8 | 21.0 |
| 3 | 23.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.0 | 23.6 | 23.2 |
| 4 | 24.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24.4 | 24.7 | 24.6 |
| 5 | 26.8 | 0.0 | 27.4 | 26.7 | 25.7 | 25.9 |
| 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 32.1 | 26.2 | 26.2 | 27.3 |
| 7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 32.3 | 27.6 | 27.6 | 27.8 |
| 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.5 | 29.3 | 29.3 | 30.7 |
| 9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.5 | 30.7 | 30.7 | 31.4 |
| 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.5 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 32.9 |
| 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.5 | 32.2 | 32.2 | 35.0 |
| | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.4 | 31.3 | 31.3 | 35.7 |
| 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.0 |
| 12 13 | 1 | 0.0 | 37.2 | 31.8 | 31.8 | 34.1 |
| 12 13 14 | 0.0 | | 20 5 | 25.0 | 35.0 | 36.2 |
| 12 13 14 15+ | 0.0 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.5 | 35.0 | | |
| 12 13 14 15+ Sum | 0.0 0.0 22.4 | 0.0 | 36.5 34.9 | 35.0 22.4 | 26.2 | 26.6 |
| 12 13 14 15+ Sum 1-4Q | 0.0 0.0 22.4 | 0.0 | 36.5 | 22.4 | 26.2 | 26.6 |
| 12 13 14 15+ Sum 1-4Q 0 | 0.0 0.0 22.4 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.5 34.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.6 |
| 12 13 14 15+ Sum 1-4Q 0 1 | 0.0 0.0 22.4 0.0 20.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 0.0 | 0.0 20.3 | 26.2 0.0 20.4 | 26.6 0.0 20.3 |
| 12 13 14 15+ Sum 1-4Q 0 1 2 | 0.0 0.0 22.4 0.0 20.3 21.5 | 0.0 0.0 0.0 20.8 | 0.0 0.0 0.0 20.8 | 0.0 20.3 21.5 | 26.2 0.0 20.4 21.7 | 26.6 0.0 20.3 21.6 |
| 12 13 14 15+ Sum 1-4Q 0 1 2 3 | 0.0 0.0 22.4 0.0 20.3 21.5 23.0 | 0.0 0.0 0.0 20.8 23.3 | 0.0 0.0 20.8 23.3 | 0.0 20.3 21.5 23.0 | 26.2 0.0 20.4 21.7 23.5 | 26.6 0.0 20.3 21.6 23.2 |
| 12 13 14 15+ Sum 1-4Q 0 1 2 3 4 | 0.0 0.0 22.4 0.0 20.3 21.5 23.0 24.4 | 0.0 0.0 0.0 20.8 23.3 24.7 | 0.0 0.0 20.8 23.3 24.7 | 0.0 22.4 0.0 20.3 21.5 23.0 24.4 | 0.0 20.4 21.7 23.5 24.7 | 26.6 0.0 20.3 21.6 23.2 24.6 |
| 12 13 14 15+ Sum 1-4Q 0 1 2 3 4 5 | 0.0 0.0 22.4 0.0 20.3 21.5 23.0 24.4 26.1 | 0.0 0.0 20.8 23.3 24.7 25.8 | 36.5 34.9 0.0 20.8 23.3 24.7 26.3 | 0.0 22.4 0.0 20.3 21.5 23.0 24.4 26.5 | 26.2 0.0 20.4 21.7 23.5 24.7 25.7 | 26.6 0.0 20.3 21.6 23.2 24.6 25.8 |
| 12 13 14 15+ Sum 1-4Q 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 | 0.0 0.0 22.4 0.0 20.3 21.5 23.0 24.4 26.1 27.2 | 0.0 0.0 20.8 23.3 24.7 25.8 27.2 | 36.5 34.9 0.0 20.8 23.3 24.7 26.3 31.1 | 0.0 22.4 0.0 20.3 21.5 23.0 24.4 26.5 27.2 | 26.2 0.0 20.4 21.7 23.5 24.7 25.7 26.7 | 26.6 0.0 20.3 21.6 23.2 24.6 25.8 27.2 |
| 12 13 14 15+ Sum 1-4Q 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 | 0.0 0.0 22.4 0.0 20.3 21.5 23.0 24.4 26.1 27.2 28.6 | 0.0 0.0 20.8 23.3 24.7 25.8 27.2 28.6 | 0.0 0.0 0.0 20.8 23.3 24.7 26.3 31.1 31.4 | 0.0 22.4 0.0 20.3 21.5 23.0 24.4 26.5 27.2 28.5 | 26.2 0.0 20.4 21.7 23.5 24.7 25.7 26.7 27.9 | 26.6 0.0 20.3 21.6 23.2 24.6 25.8 27.2 28.0 |
| 12 13 14 15+ Sum 1-4Q 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | 0.0 0.0 22.4 0.0 20.3 21.5 23.0 24.4 26.1 27.2 28.6 29.3 | 0.0 0.0 20.8 23.3 24.7 25.8 27.2 28.6 29.3 | 0.0 0.0 0.0 20.8 23.3 24.7 26.3 31.1 31.4 33.5 | 0.0 22.4 0.0 20.3 21.5 23.0 24.4 26.5 27.2 28.5 29.3 | 26.2 0.0 20.4 21.7 23.5 24.7 25.7 26.7 27.9 29.3 | 26.6 0.0 20.3 21.6 23.2 24.6 25.8 27.2 28.0 30.6 |
| 12 13 14 15+ Sum 1-4Q 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | 0.0 0.0 22.4 0.0 20.3 21.5 23.0 24.4 26.1 27.2 28.6 29.3 30.4 | 0.0 0.0 20.8 23.3 24.7 25.8 27.2 28.6 29.3 30.4 | 36.5 34.9 0.0 20.8 23.3 24.7 26.3 31.1 31.4 33.5 36.7 | 0.0 22.4 0.0 20.3 21.5 23.0 24.4 26.5 27.2 28.5 29.3 30.4 | 26.2 0.0 20.4 21.7 23.5 24.7 25.7 26.7 27.9 29.3 30.6 | 26.6 0.0 20.3 21.6 23.2 24.6 25.8 27.2 28.0 30.6 31.1 |
| 12 13 14 15+ Sum 1-4Q 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | 0.0 0.0 22.4 0.0 20.3 21.5 23.0 24.4 26.1 27.2 28.6 29.3 30.4 30.8 | 0.0 0.0 20.8 23.3 24.7 25.8 27.2 28.6 29.3 30.4 30.8 | 36.5 34.9 0.0 20.8 23.3 24.7 26.3 31.1 31.4 33.5 36.7 35.4 | 33.0 22.4 0.0 20.3 21.5 23.0 24.4 26.5 27.2 28.5 29.3 30.4 30.8 | 26.2 26.2 0.0 20.4 21.7 23.5 24.7 25.7 26.7 27.9 29.3 30.6 30.9 | 26.6 0.0 20.3 21.6 23.2 24.6 25.8 27.2 28.0 30.6 31.1 32.5 |
| 12 13 14 15+ 5um 1-4Q 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | 0.0 0.0 22.4 0.0 20.3 21.5 23.0 24.4 26.1 27.2 28.6 29.3 30.4 30.8 33.3 | 0.0 0.0 20.8 23.3 24.7 25.8 27.2 28.6 29.3 30.4 30.8 33.3 | 36.5 34.9 0.0 0.0 20.8 23.3 24.7 26.3 31.1 31.4 33.5 36.7 35.4 37.5 | 33.0 22.4 0.0 20.3 21.5 23.0 24.4 26.5 27.2 28.5 29.3 30.4 30.8 33.2 | 26.2 0.0 20.4 21.7 23.5 24.7 25.7 26.7 27.9 29.3 30.6 30.9 32.2 | 26.6 0.0 20.3 21.6 23.2 24.6 25.8 27.2 28.0 30.6 31.1 32.5 35.0 |
| 12 13 14 15+ Sum 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | 0.0 0.0 22.4 0.0 20.3 21.5 23.0 24.4 26.1 27.2 28.6 29.3 30.4 30.8 33.3 30.9 | 0.0 0.0 20.8 23.3 24.7 25.8 27.2 28.6 29.3 30.4 30.4 33.3 30.9 | 36.5 34.9 0.0 0.0 20.8 23.3 24.7 26.3 31.1 31.4 33.5 36.7 35.4 37.5 36.4 | 33.0 22.4 0.0 20.3 21.5 23.0 24.4 26.5 27.2 28.5 29.3 30.4 30.8 33.2 30.9 | 26.2 0.0 20.4 21.7 23.5 24.7 25.7 26.7 27.9 29.3 30.6 30.9 32.2 31.1 | 26.6 0.0 20.3 21.6 23.2 24.6 25.8 27.2 28.0 30.6 31.1 32.5 35.0 35.4 |
| 12 13 14 15+ Sum 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | 0.0 0.0 22.4 0.0 20.3 21.5 23.0 24.4 26.1 27.2 28.6 29.3 30.4 30.8 33.3 30.9 33.3 | 0.0 0.0 20.8 23.3 24.7 25.8 27.2 28.6 29.3 30.4 30.8 33.3 30.9 33.3 | 36.5 34.9 0.0 0.0 20.8 23.3 24.7 26.3 31.1 31.4 33.5 36.7 35.4 37.5 36.4 37.5 36.4 39.3 | 33.0 22.4 0.0 20.3 21.5 23.0 24.4 26.5 27.2 28.5 29.3 30.4 30.8 33.2 30.9 33.3 | 26.2 0.0 20.4 21.7 23.5 24.7 25.7 26.7 27.9 29.3 30.6 30.9 32.2 31.1 33.3 | 26.6 0.0 20.3 21.6 23.2 24.6 25.8 27.2 28.0 30.6 31.1 32.5 35.0 35.4 34.0 |
| 12 13 14 15+ Sum 1-4Q 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | 0.0 0.0 22.4 0.0 20.3 21.5 23.0 24.4 26.1 27.2 28.6 29.3 30.4 30.8 33.3 30.9 33.3 35.8 | 0.0 0.0 20.8 23.3 24.7 25.8 27.2 28.6 29.3 30.4 30.8 33.3 30.9 33.3 35.8 | 36.5 34.9 0.0 0.0 20.8 23.3 24.7 26.3 31.1 31.4 33.5 36.7 35.4 37.5 36.4 37.5 36.4 37.5 | 33.0 22.4 0.0 20.3 21.5 23.0 24.4 26.5 27.2 28.5 29.3 30.4 30.8 33.2 30.9 33.3 35.7 | 26.2 0.0 20.4 21.7 23.5 24.7 25.7 26.7 27.9 29.3 30.6 30.9 32.2 31.1 33.3 32.3 | 26.6 0.0 20.3 21.6 23.2 24.6 25.8 27.2 28.0 30.6 31.1 32.5 35.0 35.4 34.0 34.3 |
| 12 13 14 15+ Sum 1-4Q 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 111 12 13 14 15+ | 0.0 0.0 22.4 0.0 20.3 21.5 23.0 24.4 26.1 27.2 28.6 29.3 30.4 30.4 30.4 33.3 30.9 33.3 35.8 35.1 | 0.0 0.0 20.8 23.3 24.7 25.8 27.2 28.6 29.3 30.4 30.8 33.3 30.9 33.3 30.9 33.3 35.8 35.1 | 36.5 34.9 0.0 0.0 20.8 23.3 24.7 26.3 31.1 31.4 33.5 36.7 35.4 37.5 36.4 39.3 37.2 36.5 | 35.0 22.4 0.0 20.3 21.5 23.0 24.4 26.5 27.2 28.5 29.3 30.4 30.8 33.2 30.9 33.3 25.7 35.1 | 26.2 0.0 20.4 21.7 23.5 24.7 25.7 26.7 27.9 29.3 30.6 30.9 32.2 31.1 33.3 32.3 35.1 | 26.6 0.0 20.3 21.6 23.2 24.6 25.8 27.2 28.0 30.6 31.1 32.5 35.0 35.4 34.0 34.3 36.1 |

Table 4.4.2.2 North sea Horse Mackerel stock. Mean length (Cm) in catch at age by quarter and area in 2009

| 100 ± 0 50 ± | | | | | | 19 | 982 | 100 50 | % | | | | | | | 1 | 996 |
|---|--|-----------------------|---|--|--------------------------------|--|---|--|---|--|---|---------------------|---|---|-------------------------|---|---|
| 0 = 1 | 3 | 5 | - - 7 | - - 9 | - - 11 | 13 | 15+ | 0 | ++ - 1 | 3 | 5 | - - 7 | - , | 9 | - - 11 | <mark>− −- </mark> 13 | ⊐¦ — 15+ |
| 100 % 50 | | | | | | 19 | 983 | 100 50 | % | | | | | | | 1 | 997 |
| 0 - 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | - - 9 | - - 11 | 13 | 15+ | 0 | 1 1 | 3 | 5 | | - | 9 | - 11 | 13 | 15+ |
| 100 % 50 + | | | | | | 19 | 984 | 100 50 | % | | | | | | | 1 | 998 |
| 0 + + | 3 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 11 | 13 | 15+ | 0 | 1 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | , | 9 | 11 | 13 | 15+ |
| 100 % 50 - | | | | | | 19 | 985 | 100 50 | % Ŧ | | | | | | | 1 | 999 |
| 0 + | 3 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 11 | 13 | 15+ | 0 | + = 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | - <u> </u> | 9 | _ - 11 | 13 | 15+ |
| 100 % 50 - | | | | | | 1 | 986 | 100 50 | % | | | | | | | 2 | 2000 |
| 0 +-+ | 3 | 5 | 7 | - - 9 | _ - 11 | - - 13 | 15+ | 0 | ∔⊧= 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | | 9 | - - 11 | - - 13 | 15+ |
| 100 [%] 50 - | | | | | | 19 | 987 | 100 50 | % | | | | | | | 2 | 2001 |
| 0 + | 3 | 5 | _ = 7 | - 9 | _ - 11 | 13 | 15+ | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | - | 9 | - - 11 | 13 | 15+ |
| 100 % 50 | | | | | | 19 | 988 | 100 50 | % T | | | | | | | 2 | 2002 |
| 0 + | 3 | 5 | 7 | 9 | - - 11 | 13 | 15+ | 0 | 1 | 3 | - 5 | 7 | , | 9 | - - 11 | 13 | 15+ |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 100 % 50 - | | | | | | 1 | 989 | 100 50 | % I | | | | | | | 2 | 2003 |
| 100 % 50 + 0 + + 1 | - - 3 | - - 5 | | - - 9 | - 11 | 1 13 | 989 | 100 50 0 | % 1 | - ii 3 | 5 | | | - 9 | | - 13 | 2 003 |
| $ \begin{array}{c} 100 \% \\ 50 + \\ 0 + \\ 1 \end{array} $ | 3 | - - 5 | | 9 | - 11 | 1 13 13 | 989 | 100 50 0 100 50 | % 1 % | 3 | | 7 | | 9 | 11 | -ii 13 2 | 2003 |
| $ \begin{array}{c} 100 \% \\ 50 + \\ 0 + \\ 1 \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} 100 \% \\ 50 + \\ 0 + \\ 1 \end{array} $ | 3 | 5 | -+ <mark></mark> + 7 -++ 7 | | | 19 13 19 | 989 15+ 990 15+ | 100 50 0 100 50 0 | % 1 % 1 | 3 , ,,, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | 5 | | | 9 9 11 | | 13 13 2 | 2003 15+ 2004 |
| $ \begin{array}{c} 100 & \% \\ 50 & + \\ 0 & + \\ 1 \\ 100 & \% \\ 50 & + \\ 1 \\ 100 & \% \\ 50 & + \\ 1 \\ 100 & \% \\ 50 & + \\ 1 \\ 100 & \% \\ 50 & + \\ 1 \\ 100 & \% \\ 50 & + \\ 1 \\ 100 & \% \\ 50 & + \\ 1 \\ 100 & \% \\ 50 & + \\ 1 \\ 100 & \% \\ 50 & + \\ 1 \\ 100 & \% \\ 50 & + \\ 1 \\ 100 & \% \\ 50 & + \\ 1 \\ 100 & \% \\ 50 & + \\ 1 \\ 100 & \% \\ 50 & + \\ 1 \\ 100 & \% \\ 50 & + \\ 1 \\ 100 & \% \\ 50 & + \\ 1 \\ 100 & \% \\ 50 & + \\ 1 \\ 100 & \% \\ 50 & + \\ 1 \\ 100 & \% \\ 50 & + \\ 1 \\ 100 & \% \\ 50 & + \\ 1 \\ 100 & \% \\ 100$ | 3 | 5 | -+ <mark></mark> | - - 9 - 9 | | 1 13 19 19 13 | 989 | 100 50 0 100 50 0 100 50 | % 1 % 1 % | 3 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 9 9 11 | | 2 13 2 15+ | 2003 |
| $ \begin{array}{c} 100 & \% \\ 50 & + \\ 0 & + \\ 1 \\ 100 & \% \\ 50 & + \\ 0 & + \\ 1 \\ 100 & \% \\ 50 & + \\ 0 & + \\ 1 \\ 100 & \% \\ 50 & + \\ 1 \\ 100 & \% \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 100 & \% \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 100 & \% \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1$ | 3 | 5 | 7 7 7 7 7 | 9 9 4 9 9 | | 19 13 19 19 13 19 19 13 | 989 | 100 50 0 100 50 0 100 50 0 | % 1 % 1 % 1 % | 3 | 5 | 7 | - | 9 9 11 | | 2 | 2003 15+ 2004 |
| $ \begin{array}{c} 100 & \% \\ 50 & + \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} 100 & \% \\ 50 & + \\ 0 & + \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} 100 & \% \\ 50 & + \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} 100 & \% \\ 50 & + \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} $ | 3 3 3 | 5 | 7 7 7 7 | | | 19 13 19 13 19 13 19 13 | 989 | 100 50 0 100 50 0 100 50 0 | % 1 % 1 % 1 1 % | 3 | 5 | 7 | | 9 9 11 11 | | 2 13 2 | 2003 15+ 2004 2004 2005 2005 |
| $ \begin{array}{c} 100 & \% \\ 50 & + \\ 1 & 1 \\ 100 & \% \\ 50 & + \\ 0 & + \\ 1 & 1 \\ 100 & \% \\ 50 & + \\ 1 & 1 \\ 100 & \% \\ 1 & 1 \\ 100 & \% \\ 1 & 1 \\ 100 & \% \\ 1 & 1 \\ 100 & \% \\ 1 & 1 \\ 100 & \% \\ 1 & 1 \\ 100 & \% \\ 1 & 1 \\ 100 & \% \\ 1 & 1 \\ 100 & \% \\ 1 & 1 \\ 100 & \% \\ 1 & 1 \\ 100 & \% \\ 1 & 1 \\ 100 & \% \\ 1 & 1 \\ $ | 3 3 3 3 | | | 9 9 9 | | 19 13 19 13 19 13 19 13 | 989 | 100 50 0 100 50 0 100 50 0 100 50 0 | % 1 % 1 % 1 % 1 % | 3 | 5 | 7 7 7 | 9 | 9 9 11 11 | | 2 13 13 15 15 2 15 2 15 15 | 2003 15+ 2004 2004 2005 2005 4 2006 |
| $ \begin{array}{c} 100 & \% \\ 50 & + \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} 100 & \% \\ 50 & + \\ 50 & + \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} 100 & \% \\ 50 & + \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} 100 & \% \\ 50 & + \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} 100 & \% \\ 50 & + \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} $ | 3 3 3 3 | | | | -;;- 11 11 -;;- 11 | 11 13 13 13 13 13 15 13 15 13 15 13 15 13 15 15 13 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 | 989 15+ 990 15+ 991 15+ 991 15+ 992 15+ 992 15+ 992 | 100 50 0 100 50 0 100 50 0 100 50 0 | % 1 % 1 % 1 1 % | 3 | 5 | 7 7 7 7 7 7 | - - - 9 - - 9 - 9 - 9 | 9 9 11 ,- 11 11 | | 2 13 15 15 15 15 15 15 2 15 2 | 2003 15+ 2004 2005 2005 2006 2006 |
| $ \begin{array}{c} 100 & \% \\ 50 & + \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} 100 & \% \\ 50 & + \\ 50 & + \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} 100 & \% \\ 50 & + \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} 100 & \% \\ 50 & + \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} 100 & \% \\ 50 & + \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} $ | 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 | | | | | 11 13 19 13 19 13 19 13 19 13 19 13 19 13 19 13 19 13 19 13 19 13 19 19 13 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 | 989 15+ 990 15+ 991 15+ 991 15+ 992 15+ 993 15+ 993 15+ | 100 50 0 100 50 0 100 50 0 100 50 0 100 50 0 | % 1 % 1 % 1 1 % 1 1 % | 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 | 5 | 7 7 7 7 7 7 | - - 9 - 9 - 9 - 9 - 9 - 9 - 9 | 9 9 11 11 11 | | 13 13 15- 15- 2 15- 2 15- 15- 15- | 2003 15+ 2004 2005 2005 2006 2006 |
| $ \begin{array}{c} 100 & \% \\ 50 & + \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} 100 & \% \\ 50 & + \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} 100 & \% \\ 50 & + \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} 100 & \% \\ 50 & + \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} 100 & \% \\ 50 & + \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} 100 & \% \\ 50 & + \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} $ | 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 | | 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 | | | 11 13 13 14 13 15 13 15 13 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 | 989 15+ 990 15+ 991 15+ 991 15+ 992 15+ 993 15+ 993 15+ 993 | 100 50 0 100 50 0 100 50 0 100 50 0 | | 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 | 5 | 7 7 7 7 7 7 | - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - | 9 11 11 11 11 | | 13 13 15 15 2 15 4 2 15 4 2 15 4 2 | 2003 15+ 2004 2005 2005 2005 2006 2007 2007 |
| $ \begin{array}{c} 100 & 9 \\ 50 & - \\ 1 \\ 100 & 9 \\ 50 & - \\ 1 \\ 100 & 9 \\ 50 & - \\ 1 \\ 100 & 9 \\ 50 & - \\ 1 \\ 100 & 9 \\ 50 & - \\ 1 \\ 100 & 9 \\ 50 & - \\ 1 \\ 100 & 9 \\ 50 & - \\ 1 \\ 100 & 9 \\ 50 & - \\ 1 \\ 100 & 9 \\ 50 & - \\ 1 \\ 100 & 9 \\ 50 & - \\ 1 \\ 100 & 9 \\ 50 & - \\ 1 \\ 100 & 9 \\ 50 & - \\ 1 \\ 100 & 9 \\ 50 & - \\ 1 \\ 100 & 9 \\ 50 & - \\ 1 \\ 100 & 9 \\ 50 & - \\ 1 \\ 100 & 9 \\ 50 & - \\ 1 \\ 100 & 9 \\ 50 & - \\ 1 \\ 100 & 9 \\ 50 & - \\ 1 \\ 100 & 9 \\ 50 & - \\ 1 \\ 100 & 9 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 100 & 9 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 100 & 9 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 100 & 9 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 100 & 9 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1$ | 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 | | | -;;- 9 -;;- 9 -;;- 9 -;;- 9 -;;- 9 -;;- 9 | | 1 1 1 3 1 4 1 3 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 9 | 989 15+ 990 15+ 991 15+ 992 15+ 993 15+ 993 15+ 993 15+ 993 15+ | 100 50 0 100 50 0 100 50 0 100 50 0 | | 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 | 5 | 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 | 9 9 9 9 9 | 9 11 11 11 11 11 | | 13 13 15 15 2 15 4 2 15 4 2 15 4 2 15 4 2 15 4 2 15 4 15 4 | 2003 15+ 2004 2005 2005 2005 2007 2007 2007 |
| $ \begin{array}{c} 100 & 9 \\ 50 & - \\ 1 \\ 100 & 9 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 100 & 9 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1$ | 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 | | | -;-;-;- 9 -;-;-;- 9 -;-;-;- 9 -;-;-;- 9 -;-;-;- 9 -;-;-;- 9 -;-;-;- 9 | | 1 1 1 3 1 3 | 989 15+ 990 15+ 990 15+ 991 15+ 992 15+ 992 15+ 992 15+ 992 15+ 993 15+ 993 15+ 993 993 993 993 993 993 995 | 100 50 0 100 50 0 100 50 0 100 50 0 100 50 0 | | 3 | 5 5 5 5 5 | 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 | 9 9 9 9 9 | 9 11 11 11 11 | | 2 13 15 15 15 15 15 15 2 15 15 2 2 15 15 2 2 15 15 2 2 2 15 2 2 2 2 | 2003 15+ 2004 2005 2005 2006 2007 2007 2007 2007 2007 |

Figure 5.5.1.2 WESTERN HORSE MACKEREL. Age composition in the international catches during 1982-2005.

5 Western Horse Mackerel – Divisions IIa, IIIa (Western Part), IVa, Vb, VIa, VIIa-c, VIIe-k, AND VIIIa-e

5.1 ICES advice applicable to 2009 and 2010

EU has set TACs for western horse mackerel in EU waters since 1987. However, these TACs cover a mixture of western, North Sea and southern horse mackerel areas. For 2008 and 2009, the TACs can be summarised as follows (EC 40/2008, EC 43/2009):

| Areas in EU waters | TAC 2009 | TAC 2010 | Stocks fished in this area |
|---|-----------|-----------|-------------------------------|
| Div Vb, Subareas VI and VII, Div VIIIa,b,d,e | 170 000 t | 170 000 t | Western & North Sea stocks |
| Div IIa and Subarea IV | 39 309 t | 39 309 t | Western & North Sea stocks |
| Division VIIIc and Subarea IX | 57 750 t | 57 750 t | Southern & Western stocks |

The TAC for the western stock should apply to the distribution area of western horse mackerel as follows:

All Quarters: IIa, Vb, VIa, VIIa-c, VIIe-k, VIIIa-e Quarters 3&4: IIIa (west), IVa

The TAC for the North Sea stock should apply to the distribution area of North Sea horse mackerel as follows:

All Quarters: IIIa (east), IVb-c, VIId Quarters 1&2: IIIa (west), IVa

The TAC for the southern stock should apply to the distribution area of southern horse mackerel as follows:

All Quarters: IXa

In 2007 ICES evaluated the proposed management plan for western horse mackerel to be in accordance with the precautionary approach and advised a TAC of 180,000 tons for each of the years 2008, 2009 and 2010. The TAC should apply to the total distribution area of this stock. The EU horse mackerel catches in Division IIIa in 2008 were taken outside the horse mackerel TACs.

5.1.1 Stock description and management units

The western horse mackerel stock spawns in the Bay of Biscay, and in UK and Irish waters. After spawning, parts of the stock migrate northwards into the Norwegian Sea and North Sea, where they are fished in the third and fourth quarter. The stock is distributed in Divisions IIa, Vb, IIIa, IVa, VIa, VIIa-c, VIIe-k and VIIIa-e. The stock is caught in these areas in the total or parts of the year as described in Section 3.3. The western stock is considered a management unit and advised accordingly. At present there are no international agreed management and TAC of western horse mackerel. EU regulates their fishery by TAC, but the TAC is not set in accordance with the distribution of the stock.

Based on various biological examinations undertaken in the last decade, an EU nonpaper outlines the proposed updates to the management and assessment area. A summary of the existing structure is presented in the following text table:

| ICES Division concerned | Allocation to existing TAC area | Biological observation as reviewed by ICES and ICES working groups | Allocation in the ICES advice |
|--|--|---|---|
| VIIIc North and Northwest Spain | Southern area (VIIIc, IXa) | Inhabited by the Western stock, exchange between stocks not specified | Western stock (IIa, IVa, Vb, VI, VIIa-c, VIIe-k, VIIIa-e) |
| VIId Eastern English Channel | Western area (VI, VII, VIIIab, VIIIde, Vb, XII, XIV) | Inhabited by the North Sea stock for overwintering, overlap with the Western stock possible | North Sea stock (IIIa Eastern part, IVbc, VIId) |
| IIa Norwegian Sea and IVa Northern North Sea | Northern area (IIa, IV) | Inhabited by the Western stock in autumn, in first and second quarter presence of North Sea stock possible | Western stock (IIa, IVa, Vb, VIa, VIIa-c, VIIe-k, VIIIa-e) |
| IIIa Skagerrak and Kattegat | none | Presence of the Western stock in autumn; catches in winter/ spring in the Western part and catches in the Eastern part likely attributable to the North Sea stock | Eastern part to the North Sea stock, Western part to the Western stock |

5.2 Scientific data

5.2.1 The fishery in 2009

Information on the development of the fisheries by quarter and division is shown in Table 3.1.2 and in Figures 3.1.1.a–d. The total catch allocated to western horse mackerel in 2009 was approximately 177,000 t (Table 3.3.1) which is 38,000 tons more than in 2008. The catches of horse mackerel by country and area are shown in Tables 5.2.1.1-5.

5.2.2 Egg survey estimates

A new egg survey was carried out in the western and southern spawning areas earlier this year. A report with the preliminary results of the survey was distributed to WGWIDE members on time (Ulleweit et al. 2010). Details of this mackerel and horse mackerel egg survey are given in section 2.6 of this report.

Egg abundance plots displaying the spatial distribution of stage 1 western horse mackerel eggs are presented for periods 2 - 6 (Figures 5.2.2.1 – 5.2.2.5).

Figure 5.2.2.6 displays the mean daily stage I egg production estimates (DEP) for each survey period plotted against the mid-period days. The results of 1998, 2001, 2004 and 2007 are also included in the figure for comparison. Period number and duration are the same as those used to estimate the western mackerel stock, as are the dates defining the start and end of spawning. The shape of the egg production curve does not suggest that those dates should be altered for 2010 although it seems likely that some spawning continued after the survey ended. The daily egg production curve revealed an provisional estimate of total annual egg production of 1.01×10^{15} . This is about 30% less than observed in 2007 (1.43×10^{15}). In contrast to 2007 the 2010 results display a bimodal distribution which is almost identical both in shape and scale to that seen in 1998 with peak spawning occurring in periods 3 and 5 and a significant decline in production during period 4.

5.2.3 Other surveys for western horse mackerel

Bottom trawl surveys

No new information was presented on bottom trawl surveys. These surveys could be considered in future to provide indices of recruitment or abundance for western horse mackerel. Further information can be found in the stock annex, and in ICES (2008/ACOM:13) and ICES (2009/RMC:04).

Acoustic surveys

No new information was presented on acoustic surveys. Further information can be found in the stock annex and in ICES (2008/ACOM:13) and ICES (2006/LRC:18).

5.2.4 Effort and catch per unit effort

No new information was presented on effort and catch per unit effort. Further information can be found in the stock annex.

5.2.5 Catch in numbers

In 2008 the Netherlands (VIIc,e,h,j, VIIIb), Norway (IIa and IVa), Ireland (VIa, and VIIb,c,j), Germany (Via, VIIb,c,j) and Spain (VIIIb,c) provided catch in numbers at age. The catch sampled for age readings in 2009 covered 84% of the total catch compared to 70% in 2008.

The total annual and quarterly catches in numbers for western horse mackerel in 2009 are shown in Table 5.2.5.1. The sampling intensity is discussed in Section 1.3.

The catch at age matrix, as used in the assessment, is given in Table 5.2.5.2, and illustrated in Figure 5.2.5.1. It shows the dominance of the 1982 year class in the catches since 1984 until it entered the plus group in 1996. Since 2002 the 2001 year class of horse mackerel has been caught in considerable numbers.

5.2.6 Mean length at age and mean weight at age

Mean length at age and mean weight at age in the catches

The mean weight and mean length at age in the catches by year, and by quarter in 2008 are shown in Tables 5.2.6.1 and 5.2.6.2. Weight at age time-series is shown in Figure 5.2.6.1.

Mean weight at age in the stock

Mean weights-at-age in the stock, as used in the assessment, are presented in Table 5.2.6.3. Further information can be found in the stock annex. Weight at age timeseries is shown in Figure 5.2.6.2.

5.2.7 Maturity ogive

Maturity-at-age, as used in the assessment, is presented in Table 5.2.7.1. Further information can be found in the stock annex.

5.2.8 Natural mortality

A fixed natural mortality of 0.15.year⁻¹ is assumed for all ages and years in the assessment. Further information can be found in the stock annex. The potential fecundity data used in the assessment is listed in Table 5.2.9.1. The basis for specifying the realised fecundity 'prior', as used in the assessment (mean=1 847 eggs per gram spawning female, CV=0.287), is given in the stock annex.

5.2.10 Data exploration

Within-cohort consistency of the catch-at-age matrix is investigated in Figure 5.2.8.1, and demonstrates that the catch-at-age data contains information on year class strength that could form the basis for an age-structured model.

Log-catch curves are shown in Figure 5.2.8.2, along with the negative of the gradients fitted to ages 1-3 (bottom left plot), and ages 4-8 (bottom right plot). The general pattern of log-catches is increasing log-catch with age for the earlier years, indicating cohorts are not fully selected until they have reached an advanced age, and the more usual decreasing log-catch for a wider range of ages in the most recent years (compared to earlier years), indicating selection has shifted towards younger fish over time. A requirement for interpreting the negative gradient as a proxy for total mortality is that catchability and selectivity-at-age remains stable within a cohort, so that any changes in the catch of a cohort are explained by changes in total mortality. The prevalence of negative values for the proxy (bottom plots of Figure 5.2.8.2) indicates that this requirement has not always been met for western horse mackerel catch data, and also indicates that a separable model with constant selectivity-at-age for the earliest data would not be appropriate.

5.2.11 Assessment model

The SAD (linked Separable-ADAPT VPA) model is used for the assessment of western horse mackerel. A description of the model can be found in the stock annex. The western horse mackerel assessment is presented as an update assessment and was conducted with a 6-year window as in the previous assessment carried out in 2009.

Fits to the available data are given in Figure 5.2.9.1, and model estimates with associated precision in Figures 5.2.9.2-3. Model estimates and residual patterns are similar to those presented in 2009 (ICES 2009/ACOM:13). A comparison with the 2009 assessment is discussed in Section 5.6.

Sensitivity to the length of the separable window is shown in Figure 5.2.9.4. This figure indicates that SSB, recruitment and F trajectories are relatively insensitive to the length of the separable period (although the precision of these estimates are affected, as discussed above), but selectivity-at-age is affected most probably because of the known increased targeting of younger fish in recent years.

Retrospective plots are shown for two cases. In the first case, 6-year retrospective plots were constructed for SSB, recruitment and F trajectories, and for selectivity-at-age, where the length of the separable window is kept at six years. For this case, Figure 5.2.9.5 indicates substantial retrospective bias both in the recent period and historically, with changes in the bias from one direction to the other and back again. This behaviour is likely due to the changes in selectivity-at-age for the separable period as the window is moved back in time, the availability of the new egg production estimates also have had an effect (not only for this set of retrospective plots, but for the one discussed below). The changes in selectivity-at-age indicate increased selection of younger fish in recent years (also evident in Figure 5.2.9.4).

For the second case, 3-year retrospective plots were constructed as before, but this time the starting year of the separable window (2004) was kept constant, thus resulting in the separable window reducing in length as years were dropped. The reduced length of the separable window only allowed 3 years for the analysis, because a window any shorter than 4 years in length resulted in a large deterioration in the precision of model estimates. Results for the second set of retrospective plots are shown in Figure 5.2.9.6, giving little indication of the retrospective bias problems previously shown in Figure 5.2.9.5. However, estimates of selectivity-at-age in Figure 5.2.9.6 were different for the 2004-2007 window compared to the other window options shown, but in this case precision of the selectivity-at-age estimates was slightly worse than the other cases shown (1.6% and 6.2% worse, on average, than the 2004-2008 and 2004-2009 windows, respectively), and these estimates remain within the confidence bounds of both the 2004-2008 and 2004-2009 window options (see Figure 5.2.9.1a for the latter).

5.3 State of the Stock

5.3.1 Stock assessment

The SAD model with a separable window of 2004-2009 is presented as the final assessment model. Stock numbers-at-age and Fishing mortality-at-age are given in Tables 5.3.1.1 and 5.3.1.2, and a stock-summary is provided in Table 5.3.1.3, and illustrated in Figure 5.3.1.1. SSB peaked in 1988 following the very strong 1982 year class and has since declined, slightly more so with the input of the new 2010 egg estimate, however the decline in SSB is within the confidence bounds of the 2009 assessment. There had been two increases in SSB following the moderate year classes in the early- to mid-90s and the moderate-to-strong year class of 2001 (a third the size of the 1982 year class). Year classes following 2001 have been weak, although these year classes are estimated with poorer precision than previous ones. Fishing mortality on the older ages (4-8) has increased but continues to be low compared to levels in the later half of the 1990s. Selectivity for the 1 year olds has increased, this is mainly driven by the 2010 egg estimate which has resulted in a reduction of the numbers at age in the population.

The overall effect of the new 2010 egg estimate on the assessment is a decline in SSB relative to last year's assessment, although SSB appears to be slightly increasing since 2002. Recruitment in recent years has been low. Although the recruits, age 0, are higher than in the previous 3 years estimate they are still a week year class. Selectivity-at-age for the older ages (seven to ten) has remained similar than estimated previously but, has been increasing for the younger ages. This was more so since the introduction of the new year's data particularly the new 2010 egg estimate.

5.4 Short-term forecast

A short-term forecast was conducted with the ICES standard software MFDP (Multi Fleet Deterministic Projection) version 1a.

Input

Table 5.4.1 lists the input data for the short term predictions. Weight at age in the stock and weight at age in the catch are the 2009 estimates as there is an increasing trend in the observations. These estimates where used due to the trend increase in weights for this year. Selection (exploitation pattern is based on F in 2009 from the most recent assessment is the average of ages 1 to 10, which assumes a fixed selection

in the period 2004-2009. Natural mortality is assumed to be 0.15 across all ages. The proportion mature for this stock has been constant since 1998 and values are copied from the assessment input. The expected landings in 2009 are 177 000 t which is close to the TAC set for that year, therefore the input value was set at the TAC level.

Output

A range of Predicted catch and SSB options from the short term forecast are presented in Table 5.4.2.

The proposed management plan results in a target F_{2011} of 0.10 and an annual catch of 181 000 tons for the years 2011-2013. Catch options for precautionary approach take into account SSB on 1st January 2011 which would be above B_{pa} . For SSB to be above Bpa in 2012 the F should be fixed at 0.03. This would lead to a TAC in 2011 of 62 223 t.

Following the ICES MSY framework implies fishing mortality = F_{MSY} = 0.13 resulting in catches of 229 314 tonnes in 2011. This is expected to lead to an SSB of 1 645 276 tonnes in 2012.

Following the transition scheme towards the ICES MSY framework implies fishing mortality would be Fmsy as the calculation applied for the transition results in an F that < Fmsy. This is expected to lead to catches in 2011 of 229 314 thousand tonnes and an SSB of 1 645 276 tonnes in 2012.

5.5 Uncertainties in the assessment and forecast

Fishery-independent data for this stock is extremely limited, with only a single data point for egg production every three years. In addition, the assessment contains a fecundity model which links the egg production to SSB that could be improved if further evidence was obtained on the spawning biology of this stock which at present is considered an indeterminate spawner.

The reliability of this assessment depends on the reliability of the input data, and the extent to which model assumptions are violated. For example, simulation testing has shown that if there is an increasing trend in the realised fecundity parameter that is not accounted for, then the model over-estimates SSB and recruitment, and underestimates fishing mortality and realised fecundity (ICES 2008/ACOM:13).

The model relies on a 'prior' distribution for realised fecundity (based on published values), which it uses for scaling, and the inclusion of any additional information on realised fecundity would help improve the reliability of the assessment. Estimates of F are considerably lower than the assumed value for natural mortality (M=0.15). Reviewers have commented that the assumed value for M should be investigated. However, there is no data available (such as tagging) that could assist in estimating M more accurately. Nevertheless, total mortality appears to be low, given the persistence of the 1982 year class in the catch data.

Decisions on the length of the separable window need to balance the precision of model estimates (windows that are too short result in less precise model estimates) with considerations of whether the separability assumption continues to hold (by considering information from the fishery and patterns in the log-catch residual plots).

Although some estimates for the uncertainty of the egg input data are available, they are not currently available in a form that can be included in the assessment model. This is one area that might need addressing in the future if a systematic estimation of likely error in the model is to be evaluated. The inclusion of independent estimates of the uncertainty of the egg production would improve the reliability of the assessment

The precision of recruitment estimates for the most recent years is poor, with CVs of 32-59% for the most recent 5 years. This result is expected given the negligible input the first three age classes make to SSB, and the limited catch data for recruits. This uncertainty increases as the assessment is updated without additional egg production survey data. The estimate for the 1994 year class at age 0 is the largest since 1982, with a CV of 23%.

The assessment could be improved by the inclusion of information such as survey tuning indices on the numbers at age in the stock. However, obtaining a reliable tuning series is likely to be hampered by the large geographic area in which the stock occurs and the strong migration patterns. It does not seem that changes to the modelling methodology alone will fundamentally solve this problem.

5.6 Comparison with previous assessment and forecast

A comparison with the update assessment with the 2009 assessment is shown in Figure 5.6.1. SSB, recruitment and F trajectories show a similar pattern but have been reduced by the incorporation of the new 2010 egg estimate, the increase in the selectivity-at-age curve for the younger ages, 1 to 6 year olds, is largely due to the model taking in to account the 30% decrease in number of eggs as compared to the 2007 estimate, with the model expectations of fewer younger age groups in the catch, relatively these age groups would appear to be targeted more than previously estimated. The 2009 model predictions, however, occur within the uncertainty, confidence bounds, as shown in Figure 5.2.9.1a and b.

5.7 Management Options

5.7.1 MSY FRAMEWORK

Deterministic and stochastic equilibrium analyses were carried out using the 'plot-MSY' software (WKFRAME 2010) to determine candidate Fmsy values for the western horse mackerel stock. Stock-recruit pairs from the period 1982-2009, as estimated from the most recent SAD assessment of the stock, were used together with 5-year averages of selectivity, weight and maturity at age , F refers to the mean for ages 1 – 10. Three stock recruit relationships were examined, Ricker, Beverton-Holt and the segmented regression ('smooth hockey stick'), and yield-per-recruit (YPR) analyses were also done. For the stochastic analyses, uncertainty (CVs) in the biological and fishery parameters at age were used to create alternative fits to the stock-recruit relationships (*N*=1000).

The results show a very poor Beverton and Holt fit (Figure 5.7.1.1) to the deterministic data, with an extremely steep slope at the origin and an asymptote at the geometric mean recruitment level. The majority of stochastic stock-recruit model fits fell out of the range of the deterministic fit to the data, and thus it can be concluded that the stock-recruit form is unclear and not suitable for the data and the level of uncertainty associated with the parameters. Given the lack of any clear patterns in the stockrecruit data, a smooth segmented regression model fit, while uncertain around the origin, could provides a most cautious fit to the data. The deterministic segmented regression fit has a shallow slope to the breakpoint, hence the estimated value of Fcrash associated with this function is low. However this slope is determined by very few data points and is therefore poorly estimated. The value for Bmsy is at the breakpoint in the segmented regression, hence F_{msy} is estimated to be the same as Fcrash (Table 5.7.1.1). The uncertainty with regards to the slope at the origin makes this stock-recruitment function unsuitable as a basis for advice on F_{msy} . The Ricker stock recruit relationship fits the data best, and the median of the stochastic fits is in close agreement with the deterministic fit. If this stock recruit relationship is considered to be biologically reasonable, this function could be used in the calculation of F_{msy} . However, there is a very large uncertainty around the fit to the data, as can be seen in the spread of potential stochastic fits. This results in a very high CV around the estimate of F_{msy} , again making this function unsuitable as the basis of advice on the selection of F_{msy} .

Given the poor fits to stock and recruitment data, a yield-per-recruit analysis remains the conducted (Figure 5.7.1.2). The stochastic analysis shows a well defined F_{max} . The uncertainty around this value which results from the associated CVs in the input data and believed to be realistic, provide a potential range of values for consideration of a proxy for F_{MSY} . However, the point estimate of F_{max} = 0.21 is close to Fcrash. Alternatively, $F_{0.1}$ = 0.13 is consistent with the findings of the management plan evaluation. This evaluation by simulation showed that catches above 170 000t would result in a risk greater than 10% of depleting the stock. Examination of the fishing mortality time-series suggests that in the absence of extraordinary age classes such catches result from Fs of around 0.1. On that basis $F_{0.1}$ = 0.13 is considered a more suitable candidate for F_{msy} than F_{max} . It is proposed that $F_{0.1}$ = 0.13 be used as a proxy for F_{msy} for this stock. Bpa (1.8Mt) is proposed as MSY Btrigger.

5.7.2 Management plans and evaluations

In 2007 the Pelagic RAC, in collaboration with a group of scientists, developed and proposed a management plan for the Western Horse Mackerel stock. The plan sets a multiannual TAC using a harvest rule that comprises a fixed TAC component and one that varies with the trend in egg production as recorded during the previous 3 egg surveys. The TAC is set according to the following rule:

$$TAC_{y+1 \text{ to } y+3} = 1.07 \left[\frac{TAC_{ref}}{2} + \frac{TAC_{y-2 \text{ to } y} sl}{2} \right]$$

where *y* is the year an egg survey becomes available, $TAC_{ref} = 150$ kt and *sl* is a function of the slope of the most recent three egg abundance estimates from surveys such that

| | slope | ≤ -1.5 | sl = 0 |
|----------|-------|--------|----------------------------|
| -1.5 < | slope | < 0 | sl = 1-((1/-1.5)*slope) |
| $0 \leq$ | slope | ≤ 0.5 | sl = 1 + ((0.4/0.5)*slope) |
| 0.5 < | slope | | <i>sl</i> = 1.4 |

Upon evaluation, ICES considered the plan to be precautionary only in the short term (3 years). The plan was used in the setting of the TAC for the three year period 2008-2010 at 180kt, using the egg survey result of 2007. This year, the provisional egg survey estimate for 2010 has been applied, resulting in a TAC for 2011-2013 of 181,211t, subject to review in 2011 when the final egg survey results become available.

Although in use for the purposes of setting the TAC, there are several issues related to the implementation of the management plan. The plan has not yet been officially placed into EC regulations as aspects remain under negotiation and the legal structure of the plan is adapted to account for changes under the Lisbon treaty. Aspects of the proposed plan currently under discussion include the realignment of the assessment and management areas for the stock (which has been highlighted for several years as problematic in terms of the management of the fishery) and an annual TAC adjustment to account for estimated discards and slipping in the previous year. The regulation also proposes a formal review of the plan in 2014.

5.8 Management considerations

The 2001 year class is now well established in the fishery. It is around a third the size of the 1982 year class and well above those in the early to mid-90s. This year, a preliminary egg abundance estimate is available from the 2010 egg survey. This data point has been included in the assessment with the catch data from 2009.

SSB in 2010 was estimated at 2.0Mt, which is well above the 1982 SSB of 1.4Mt which has been adopted as B_{lim} . A B_{pa} consistent with this is 1.8Mt and was proposed in 2008. However, B_{pa} is not used as a reference for management but rather the rule in the agreed management plan is used.

The TAC has only been given for parts of the distribution and fishing areas (EU waters). The Working Group advises that the TAC should apply to all areas where western horse mackerel are caught. Note that sub-area VIIIc is now included in the Western stock distribution area. If (as planned) the management area limits are revised, measures should be taken to ensure that misreporting of juvenile catch taken in sub-areas VIIe,h and VIId (the latter then belonging to the North Sea stock management area) is effectively hindered. The mismatch between TAC and fishing areas and the fact that the TAC is only applied to EU waters has resulted in the catch prior to 2007 exceeding those advised by ICES.

The management plan proposed by the Pelagic RAC in 2007 was evaluated by ICES and considered to be precautionary in the short term. This plan makes use of the information available in the egg production surveys, and bases triennial TACs on the slope of the three previous egg production estimates. The rule proposed by the plan was used to set the TAC for 2008-2010 at 180kt. Using the provisional 2010 egg survey result the catch advice for 2011-2013 is 181,211t. It should be noted that the management plan assumes that all catches are taken against the TAC and, should the management and assessment areas be combined in the future, the TAC as set by the EU will not cover all fisheries.

5.9 Ecosystem considerations

Knowledge about the distribution of the western horse mackerel stock is gained from the egg surveys and the seasonal changes in the fishery. However, based on these observations it is not possible to infer a similar changing trend in the distribution of western horse mackerel as for NEA mackerel.

5.10 Regulations and their effects

There are no horse mackerel management agreements between EU and non EU countries. The TAC set by EU therefore only apply to EU waters and the EU fleet in international waters. The minimum landing size of horse mackerel by the EU fleet is 15cm (10% undersized allowed in the catches).

The stock allocations were changed in 2005 following the results of the HOMSIR project (Abaunza *et al.* 2003) and VIIIc is now belonging to the western stock. In view of the front loading of the Fishing Opportunities Regulation for 2009, alterations based on the findings of the HOMSIR project were applied to the TAC management areas.
In Norwegian waters there is no quota for horse mackerel but existing regulations on bycatch proportions as well as a general discard prohibition (for all species) apply to horse mackerel.

5.11 Changes in fishing technology and fishing patterns

The description of the fishery is given in Sections 3.1 and 5.2.1 and no big changes in fishing areas or patterns have taken place. However, there has been a gradual shift from an industrial fishery for meal and oil towards a human consumption fishery.

5.12 Changes in the environment

Migrations are closely associated with the slope current, and horse mackerel migrations are known to be modulated by temperature. Continued warming of the slope current is likely to affect the timing and spatial extent of this migration.

Since the strong 1982 year class of the western stock started to appear in the North Sea in 1987 a good correspondence between the modelled influx of Atlantic water to the North Sea in the first quarter and the horse mackerel catches taken by Norwegian purse seiners in the Norwegian EEZ (NEZ) later (October-November) the same year (Iversen *et al.* 2002, Iversen WD presented in ICES 2007/ACFM:31) has been noted in most years.

References

- Abaunza, P., Gordo, L., Karlou-Riga, C., Murta, A., Eltink, A. T. G. W., García Santamaría, M. T., Zimmermann, C., Hammer, C., Lucio, P., Iversen, S. A., Molloy J., and Gallo, E. 2003. Growth and reproduction of horse mackerel, *Trachurus trachurus* (carangidae). Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries, 13: 27–61.
- ICES. 2006. Report of the Working Group on Acoustic and Egg Surveys for Sardine and Anchovy in ICES Areas VIII and IX (WGACEGG). 27 November-1 December 2006, Lisbon, Portugal. ICES CM 2006/LRC:18: 169 pp.
- ICES. 2007. Report of the Working Group on the Assessment of Mackerel, Horse Mackerel, Sardine and Anchovy (WGMHSA). 4-13 September 2007, ICES Headquarters. ICES CM 2007/ACFM:31: 712 pp.
- ICES. 2008. Report of the Working Group on Mackerel and Horse Mackerel Egg Surveys (WGMEGS). 7-11 April 2008, IJmuiden, Netherlands. ICES CM 2008/LRC:09. 111 pp.
- ICES. 2008. Report of the Working Group on Widely Distributed Stocks (WGWIDE). 2-11 September 2008, ICES Headquarters Copenhagen. ICES CM 2008/ACOM:13: 691pp.
- ICES. 2009. Report of the Working Group on Mackerel and Horse Mackerel Egg Surveys (WGMEGS). 20–24 April 2009, Hamburg, Germany. ICES CM 2009/LRC:09. 107 pp.
- ICES. 2009. Report of the International Bottom Trawl Survey Working Group (IBTSWG). 30 March-3 April 2009, Bergen, Norway. ICES CM 2009/RMC:04: 241 pp.
- Iversen, S., A., Skogen, M., D., and Svendsen, E. 2002. Availability of horse mackerel (*Trachurus trachurus*) in the north-eastern North Sea, predicted by the transport of Atlantic water. Fish. Oceanogr., 11(4): 245-250.

| Denmark | _ | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|-------|------------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------------|-----------|-------|--------|
| T. | | - | - | - | - | - | - | 39 |
| France | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | _2 | _2 |
| Germany, Fed.Rep | - | + | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Norway | - | - | - | 412 | 22 | 78 | 214 | 3,272 |
| USSR | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | - | + | - | 412 | 23 | 79 | 214 | 3,311 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 |
| Faroe Islands | - | - | 9643 | 1,115 | 9,157 ³ | 1,068 | - | 950 |
| Denmark | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 200 |
| France | -2 | - | - | - | - | - | 55 | - |
| Germany, Fed. Rep. | 64 | 12 | + | - | - | - | - | - |
| Norway | 6,285 | 4,770 | 9,135 | 3,200 | 4,300 | 2,100 | 4 | 11,300 |
| USSR / Russia (1992 -) | 469 | 27 | 1,298 | 172 | - | - | 700 | 1,633 |
| UK (England + Wales) | - | - | 17 | | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 6,818 | 4,809 | 11,414 | 4,487 | 13,457 | 3,168 | 759 | 14,083 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 |
| Faroe Islands | 1,598 | 799 ³ | 188 ³ | 132 ³ | 250 ³ | - | | |
| Denmark | - | - | 1,755 ³ | | | - | | |
| France | - | - | - | | | - | | |
| Germany | - | - | - | | | - | | |
| Norway | 887 | 1,170 | 234 | 2,304 | 841 | 44 | 1,321 | 22 |
| Russia | 881 | 648 | 345 | 121 | 843 | 16 | 3 | 2 |
| UK (England + Wales) | - | - | - | | | - | | |
| Estonia | - | - | 22 | | | | | |
| Total | 3,366 | 2,617 | 2,544 | 2557 | 1175 | 60 | 1,324 | 24 |
| | | 2004 | 200 | 15 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 20091 |
| Faroe Islands | | 2004 | 200 | | 2000 | 2007 | 2000 | 2009- |
| Donmark | | - | | _ | 5 | - | - | - |
| France | | - | | _ | - | - | - | - |
| Cormany | | - | | _ | - | - | - | - |
| Ireland | | - | | - | - | - 3664 | - | - |
| Norway | | 42 | 15 | 76 | 27 | - | 572 | 1.847 |

-

_

42

_

_

176

-

-

30

-

-

366

-

-

572

_

_

1,847

Table 5.2.1.1 Horse mackerel general. Catches (t) in Subarea II. (Data as submitted by Working Group members.)

¹Preliminary.

Russia

Estonia

Total

²Included in Subarea IV.

UK (England + Wales)

³Includes catches in Div. Vb.

⁴Taken in Div. Vb

| Country | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 |
|------------------------|----------|---------------------------|--------|--------------------|---------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Belgium | 8 | 34 | 7 | 55 | 20 | 13 | 13 | 9 | 10 |
| Denmark | 199 | 3,576 | 1,612 | 1,590 | 23,730 | 22,495 | 18,652 | 7,290 | 20,323 |
| Faroe Islands | 260 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| France | 292 | 421 | 567 | 366 | 827 | 298 | 231 ² | 189 ² | 784 ² |
| Germany, Fed.Rep. | + | 139 | 30 | 52 | + | + | - | 3 | 153 |
| Ireland | 1,161 | 412 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Netherlands | 101 | 355 | 559 | 2.029 ³ | 824 | 160 ³ | 600 ³ | 8504 | 1.060^{3} |
| Norwav ² | 119 | 2,292 | 7 | 322 | 3 | 203 | 776 | 11.728^{4} | 34.4254 |
| Poland | _ | - | - | 2 | 94 | - | _ | - | - , - |
| Sweden | - | - | - | _ | - | - | 2 | - | - |
| UK (Engl. + Wales) | 11 | 15 | 6 | 4 | - | 71 | 3 | 339 | 373 |
| UK (Scotland) | | | - | _ | 3 | 998 | 531 | 487 | 5.749 |
| USSR | - | - | - | - | 489 | - | | | |
| Total | 2 1 5 1 | 7 253 | 2 788 | 4 4 2 0 | 25 987 | 24 238 | 20.808 | 20.895 | 62 877 |
| 10141 | 2,101 | 7,200 | 2,700 | 4,420 | 20,707 | 24,200 | 20,000 | 20,090 | 02,017 |
| Country | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 |
| Belgium | 10 | 13 | - | + | 74 | 57 | 51 | 28 | - |
| Denmark | 23.329 | 20.605 | 6.982 | 7.755 | 6.120 | 3.921 | 2.432 | 1.433 | 648 |
| Estonia | | - 20,000 | | 293 | | 0,721 | 17 | | |
| Earoe Islands | _ | 942 | 340 | | 360 | 275 | - | _ | 296 |
| France | 248 | 220 | 174 | 162 | 302 | 2,0 | _ | _ | 2,0 |
| Cermany Fed Ren | 506 | 2 1695 | 5 995 | 2 801 | 1 570 | 1 014 | 1 600 | 7 | 7 603 |
| Ireland | 500 | 2, 1 07 687 | 2 657 | 2,001 | 4 086 | 415 | 220 | 1 100 | 8 1 5 2 |
| Netherlands | 1/1 172 | 1 970 | 3 852 | 2,000 | 2 470 | 1 329 | 5 285 | 6 205 | 37 778 |
| Norway | 8/ 161 | 117 903 | 50,002 | 96,000 | 126 800 | 94 000 | 84 747 | 14 639 | 45 314 |
| Poland | 04,101 | 117,903 | 50,000 | 90,000 | 120,000 | 94,000 | 04,747 | 14,009 | 45,514 |
| Sweden | - | 102 | 953 | 800 | 697 | 2 087 | - | - 95 | - 222 |
| JWeden | - 10 | 102 | 122 | 4 | 115 | 2,007 | 479 | 40 | 232 |
| UK (M Iroland) | 10 | 10 | 350 | 4 | 115 | 369 | 470 | 40 | 242 |
| UK (IN. Helaliu) | 2 002 | 459 | 7 200 | - 006 | - 1 050 | 7 592 | 2 650 | - 2 4 4 2 | - 10 511 |
| USER (Bussie (1002)) | 2,093 | 450 | 7,309 | 990 | 1,039 | 7,382 | 3,030 | 2,442 | 10,511 |
| Upollocated L diagonda | 10 4004 | - 2174 | 7504 | 2796 | 2 270 | 1 511 | 20 | 126 | 21 615 |
| | 112,402* | -31/* | -730* | -270 | -3,270 | 110 500 | -20 | 26 125 | -51,015 |
| Total | 112,047 | 145,062 | 77,904 | 114,133 | 140,383 | 112,580 | 98,452 | 26,125 | 79,161 |
| Country | 1008 | 1000 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2002 | 2004 | 2005 | 20061 |
| Duitry | 1996 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 1.004 | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 | 20061 |
| Beigium | 19 | 21 | 19 | 19 | 1,004 | C 2 774 | 0 705 | 6 | 1 0 4 0 |
| Denmark | 2,048 | 8,006 | 4,409 | 2,288 | 1,393 | 3,774 | 8,735 | 4,258 | 1,343 |
| Estonia | 22 | - | - | | (00 | 000 | | 25 | |
| Faroe Islands | 28 | 908 | 24 | - | 699 | 809 | 4.54 | 35 | • • • • • |
| France | 379 | 60 | 49 | 48 | - | 392 | 174 | 3,876 | 2,380 |
| Germany | 4,620 | 4,071 | 3,115 | 230 | 2,671 | 3,048 | 4,905 | 1,811 | 965 |
| Ireland | - | 404 | 103 | 375 | 72 | 93 | 379 | 753 | 2,077 |
| Lithuania | | | | | | | | | 2,354 |
| Netherlands | 3,811 | 3,610 | 3,382 | 4,685 | 6,612 | 17,354 | 21,418 | 24,679 | 20,984 |
| Norway | 13,129 | 44,344 | 1,246 | 7,948 | 35,368 | 20,493 | 10,709 | 24,937 | 27,200 |
| Kussia | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | | | |
| Sweden | 3,411 | 1,957 | 1,141 | 119 | 575 | 1,074 | 665 | 239 | 491 |
| UK (Engl. + Wales) | 2 | 11 | 15 | 317 | 1,191 | 1,192 | 2,552 | 1,778 | 423 |
| UK (Scotland) | 3,041 | 1,658 | 3,465 | 3,161 | 255 | 1 | 1 | 22 | |
| Unallocated+discards | 737 | -325 | 14613 | 649 | -149 | -14,009 | -19,103 | -21,830 | 314 |
| | | | | | | | | | -19,623 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | 31,247 | 64,725 | 31583 | 19,839 | 49,691 | 34,226 | 30,435 | 40,564 | 38,911 |

Table 5.2.1.2. Horse mackerel general. Catches (t) in North Sea Subarea IV and Skagerrak Division IIIa by country. (Data submitted by Working Group members). Catches partly concern the North Sea horse mackerel.

¹⁻Preliminary. ² Includes Division IIa. ³ Estimated from biological sampling. ⁴ Assumed to be misreported. ⁵ Includes 13 t from the German Democratic Republic. ⁶ Includes a negative unallocated catch of -4,000 t.

| Country | 2007 | 2008 | 20091 | |
|-----------------------|---------|----------|--------|--|
| Belgium | 5 | 2 | 4 | |
| Denmark | 329 | 59 | 279 | |
| Faroe Islands | 3 | 55 | - | |
| France | 457 | 943 | - | |
| Germany, Fed.Rep. | 93 | 1,167 | 1,299 | |
| Ireland | 652 | 1,186 | 342 | |
| Netherlands | 20,027 | 9,400 | 10,077 | |
| Lithuania | 98 | - 11,652 | - | |
| Norway | 5.423 | 45 | 70,745 | |
| Sweden | 130 | - | 660 | |
| UK (Engl. + Wales) | 2,966 | 20 | - | |
| UK (Scotland) | 626 | -9,151 | 51 | |
| Unallocated +discards | -14,403 | | -5,898 | |
| Total | 16,407 | 15,377 | 78,595 | |

Table 5.2.1.2 cont. Horse mackerel general. Catches (t) in North Sea Subarea IV and Skagerrak Division IIIa by country. (Data submitted by Working Group members). Catches partly concern the North Sea horse mackerel.

¹-Preliminary.

| Country | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 |
|---|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| Denmark | 734 | 341 | 2,785 | 7 | - | - | - | 769 | 1,655 |
| Faroe Islands | - | - | 1,248 | - | - | 4,014 | 1,992 | 4,450 ³ | 4,000 ³ |
| France | 45 | 454 | 4 | 10 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 20 | 10 |
| Germany, Fed. Rep. | 5,550 | 10.212 | 2.113 | 4,146 | 130 | 191 | 354 | 174 | 615 |
| Ireland | - | - | - | 15.086 | 13.858 | 27.102 | 28.125 | 29,743 | 27.872 |
| Netherlands | 2 385 | 100 | 50 | 94 | 17 500 | 18,450 | 3.450 | 5 750 | 3 340 |
| Norway | 2,000 | 5 | | - | - | 10,100 | 83 | 75 | 41 |
| Spain | - | 5 | - | | | | 2 | 2 | -11 |
| Spalli UK (En al + Malaa) | - | - | - | - | - | 004 | 100 | 404 | 475 |
| UK (Engl. + Wales) | 9 | 5 | + | 30 | т | 990 | 198 | 404 | 475 |
| UK (N. Ireland) | | 45 | 00 | | 24.4 | - | - | - | - |
| UK (Scotland) | 1 | 17 | 83 | - | 214 | 1,427 | 138 | 1,027 | 7,834 |
| USSR | - | - | - | | - | - | - | - | - |
| Unallocated + disc. | | | | | | -19,168 | -13,897 | -7,255 | - |
| Total | 8,724 | 11,134 | 6,283 | 19,381 | 31,716 | 33,025 | 20,455 | 35,157 | 45,842 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Country | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 |
| Denmark | 973 | 615 | - | 42 | - | 294 | 106 | 114 | 780 |
| Faroe Islands | 3.059 | 628 | 255 | - | 820 | 80 | _ | - | _ |
| France | 2 | 17 | 4 | 3 | + | - | _ | - | 52 |
| Germany Fed Ren | 1 162 | 2 474 | 2 500 | 6 281 | 10.023 | 1 430 | 1 368 | 943 | 229 |
| Ireland | 19/193 | 15 911 | 24 766 | 32 994 | 44 802 | 65 564 | 120 124 | 87 872 | 22/ |
| Notherlanda | 19,493 | 13,911 | 24,700 | 2 150 | 5002 500 | 241 | 2 226 | 572 | 22, 4 74 409 |
| Netherlands | 1,907 | 660 | 3,309 | 2,150 | 390 | 341 | 2,326 | 572 | 498 |
| Norway | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Spain | -2 | -2 | 1 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - |
| UK (Engl. + Wales) | 44 | 145 | 1,229 | 577 | 144 | 109 | 208 | 612 | 56 |
| UK (N.Ireland) | - | - | 1,970 | 273 | - | - | - | - | 767 |
| UK (Scotland) | 1,737 | 267 | 1,640 | 86 | 4,523 | 1,760 | 789 | 2,669 | 14,452 |
| USSR/Russia (1992-) | - | 44 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Unallocated + disc. | 6,493 | 143 | -1,278 | -1,940 | -6,9604 | -51 | -41,326 | -11,523 | 837 |
| Total | 34,870 | 20,904 | 34,456 | 40,469 | 53,942 | 69,527 | 83,595 | 81,259 | 40,145 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | · · · | | | | | | | |
| Country | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 |
| Country Denmark | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 |
| Country Denmark Faroe Islands | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 |
| Country Denmark Faroe Islands France | 1998 - - 221 | 1999 - - 25.007 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 |
| Country Denmark Faroe Islands France Commany | 1998 - - 221 414 | 1999 - - 25,007 1 031 | 2000 | 2001 - 428 265 | 2002 - - 55 149 | 2003 - - 209 1 337 | 2004 - - 172 1 413 | 2005 - - 41 1.958 | 2006 - - 411 1.025 |
| Country Denmark Faroe Islands France Germany | 1998 - 221 414 21 608 | 1999 - 25,007 1,031 31,736 | 2000 - - 209 15 842 | 2001 - 428 265 20162 | 2002 - - 55 149 | 2003 - - 209 1,337 20.915 | 2004 - - 172 1,413 15 702 | 2005 - - 41 1,958 12,395 | 2006 - 411 1,025 9,780 |
| Country Denmark Faroe Islands France Germany Ireland Lithuania | 1998 - 221 414 21,608 | 1999 25,007 1,031 31,736 | 2000 - - 209 15,843 | 2001 - 428 265 20,162 | 2002 - - 55 149 12,341 | 2003 - - 209 1,337 20,915 | 2004 - - 172 1,413 15,702 | 2005 - 41 1,958 12,395 | 2006 - 411 1,025 9,780 2,822 |
| Country Denmark Faroe Islands France Germany Ireland Lithuania | 1998 - 221 414 21,608 | 1999 25,007 1,031 31,736 | 2000 - - 209 15,843 | 2001 - 428 265 20,162 | 2002 - - 55 149 12,341 | 2003 - 209 1,337 20,915 | 2004 - 172 1,413 15,702 | 2005 41 1,958 12,395 | 2006 - 411 1,025 9,780 2,822 1,802 |
| Country Denmark Faroe Islands France Germany Ireland Lithuania Netherlands | 1998 221 414 21,608 885 | 1999 25,007 1,031 31,736 1,139 | 2000 - - 209 15,843 687 | 2001 - 428 265 20,162 600 | 2002 - - 55 149 12,341 450 | 2003 - 209 1,337 20,915 847 | 2004 - 172 1,413 15,702 3,701 | 2005 - 41 1,958 12,395 6,039 | 2006 - 411 1,025 9,780 2,822 1,892 |
| Country Denmark Faroe Islands France Germany Ireland Lithuania Netherlands Spain | 1998 - 221 414 21,608 885 - | 1999 25,007 1,031 31,736 1,139 | 2000 - 209 15,843 687 - | 2001 - 428 265 20,162 600 - | 2002 - 55 149 12,341 450 - | 2003 - 209 1,337 20,915 847 - | 2004 | 2005 - 41 1,958 12,395 6,039 - | 2006 - 411 1,025 9,780 2,822 1,892 - |
| Country Denmark Faroe Islands France Germany Ireland Lithuania Netherlands Spain UK (Engl.+Wales) | 1998 - 221 414 21,608 885 - 10 | 1999 25,007 1,031 31,736 1,139 - 344 | 2000 - - 209 15,843 687 - 41 | 2001 - 428 265 20,162 600 - 91 | 2002 - 55 149 12,341 450 - | 2003 - 209 1,337 20,915 847 - 46 | 2004 - 172 1,413 15,702 3,701 - 5 | 2005 - 41 1,958 12,395 6,039 - 52 | 2006 - 411 1,025 9,780 2,822 1,892 - - |
| Country Denmark Faroe Islands France Germany Ireland Lithuania Netherlands Spain UK (Engl.+Wales) UK (N.Ireland) | 1998 - 221 414 21,608 885 - 10 1,132 | 1999 25,007 1,031 31,736 1,139 - 344 | 2000 - 209 15,843 687 - 41 | 2001 - 428 265 20,162 600 - 91 | 2002 - 55 149 12,341 450 - - | 2003 - 209 1,337 20,915 847 - 46 453 | 2004 - 172 1,413 15,702 3,701 - 5 | 2005 - 41 1,958 12,395 6,039 - 52 210 | 2006 - 411 1,025 9,780 2,822 1,892 - - 82 |
| Country Denmark Faroe Islands France Germany Ireland Lithuania Netherlands Spain UK (Engl.+Wales) UK (N.Ireland) UK (Scotland) | 1998 - 221 414 21,608 885 - 10 1,132 10,447 | 1999 25,007 1,031 31,736 1,139 - 344 - 4,544 | 2000 - - 209 15,843 687 - 41 - 1,839 | 2001 - 428 265 20,162 600 - 91 3,111 | 2002 - - 55 149 12,341 450 - - 1,192 | 2003 - 209 1,337 20,915 847 - 46 453 | 2004 - - 172 1,413 15,702 3,701 - 5 377 | 2005 - - 41 1,958 12,395 6,039 - 52 210 62 | 2006 - - 411 1,025 9,780 2,822 1,892 - - 82 43 |
| Country Denmark Faroe Islands France Germany Ireland Lithuania Netherlands Spain UK (Engl.+Wales) UK (N.Ireland) UK (Scotland) Unallocated+disc. | 1998 - 221 414 21,608 885 - 10 1,132 10,447 98 | 1999 - 25,007 1,031 31,736 1,139 - 344 - 4,544 1,507 | 2000 - - 209 15,843 687 - 411 - 1,839 2,038 | 2001 - 428 265 20,162 600 - 91 3,111 -21 | 2002 - - 55 149 12,341 450 - - 1,192 3 | 2003 - 209 1,337 20,915 847 - 46 453 -553 | 2004 - - 172 1,413 15,702 3,701 - 5 377 559 | 2005 - - 41 1,958 12,395 6,039 - 52 210 62 1,298 | 2006 - - 411 1,025 9,780 2,822 1,892 - - - 82 43 -304 |
| Country Denmark Faroe Islands France Germany Ireland Lithuania Netherlands Spain UK (Engl.+Wales) UK (N.Ireland) UK (Scotland) Unallocated+disc. Total | 1998 - 221 414 21,608 885 - 10 1,132 10,447 98 34,815 | 1999 - 25,007 1,031 31,736 1,139 - 344 - 4,544 1,507 65,308 | 2000 - - 209 15,843 687 - 41 - 1,839 2,038 20,657 | 2001 - 428 265 20,162 600 - 91 3,111 -21 24,636 | 2002 - - 55 149 12,341 450 - - 1,192 3 14,190 | 2003 - 209 1,337 20,915 847 - 46 453 -553 23,254 | 2004 - - 172 1,413 15,702 3,701 - 5 377 559 21,929 | 2005 - - 41 1,958 12,395 6,039 - 52 210 62 1,298 22,055 | 2006 - - 411 1,025 9,780 2,822 1,892 - - - 82 43 -304 15,751 |
| Country Denmark Faroe Islands France Germany Ireland Lithuania Netherlands Spain UK (Engl.+Wales) UK (N.Ireland) UK (Scotland) Unallocated+disc. Total | 1998 - 221 414 21,608 885 - 10 1,132 10,447 98 34,815 | 1999 - 25,007 1,031 31,736 1,139 - 344 - 4,544 1,507 65,308 | 2000 - - 209 15,843 687 - 41 - 1,839 2,038 20,657 | 2001 - 428 265 20,162 600 - 91 3,111 -21 24,636 | 2002 - - 55 149 12,341 450 - - 1,192 3 14,190 | 2003 - 209 1,337 20,915 847 - 46 453 -553 23,254 | 2004 - 172 1,413 15,702 3,701 - 5 377 559 21,929 | 2005 - - 41 1,958 12,395 6,039 - 52 210 62 1,298 22,055 | 2006 - - 411 1,025 9,780 2,822 1,892 - - - 82 43 -304 15,751 |
| Country Denmark Faroe Islands France Germany Ireland Lithuania Netherlands Spain UK (Engl.+Wales) UK (N.Ireland) UK (Scotland) Unallocated+disc. Total Country | 1998 - 221 414 21,608 885 - 10 1,132 10,447 98 34,815 2007 | 1999 - 25,007 1,031 31,736 1,139 - 344 - 4,544 1,507 65,308 2008 | 2000 - - 209 15,843 687 - 41 - 1,839 2,038 20,657 2009 ¹ | 2001 - 428 265 20,162 600 - 91 3,111 -21 24,636 | 2002 - - 55 149 12,341 450 - - 1,192 3 14,190 | 2003 - 209 1,337 20,915 847 - 46 453 -553 23,254 | 2004 - - 172 1,413 15,702 3,701 - 5 3777 559 21,929 | 2005 - 41 1,958 12,395 6,039 - 52 210 62 1,298 22,055 | 2006 - - 411 1,025 9,780 2,822 1,892 - - - 82 43 -304 15,751 |
| Country Denmark Faroe Islands France Germany Ireland Lithuania Netherlands Spain UK (Engl.+Wales) UK (N.Ireland) UK (Scotland) Unallocated+disc. Total Country Denmark | 1998 221 414 21,608 885 - 10 1,132 10,447 98 34,815 2007 | 1999 - 25,007 1,031 31,736 1,139 - 344 - 4,544 1,507 65,308 2008 | 2000 - 209 15,843 687 - 41 - 1,839 2,038 20,657 2009 ¹ | 2001 - 428 265 20,162 600 - 91 3,111 -21 24,636 | 2002 - - 55 149 12,341 450 - - 1,192 3 14,190 | 2003 - 209 1,337 20,915 847 - 46 453 -553 23,254 | 2004 - 172 1,413 15,702 3,701 - 5 3777 559 21,929 | 2005 - 41 1,958 12,395 6,039 - 52 210 62 1,298 22,055 | 2006 - - 1,025 9,780 2,822 1,892 - - 82 43 -304 15,751 |
| Country Denmark Faroe Islands France Germany Ireland Lithuania Netherlands Spain UK (Engl.+Wales) UK (N.Ireland) UK (Scotland) Unallocated+disc. Total Country Denmark Faroe Islands | 1998 221 414 21,608 885 - 10 1,132 10,447 98 34,815 2007 | 1999 25,007 1,031 31,736 1,139 - 344 - 4,544 1,507 65,308 2008 | 2000 - 209 15,843 687 - 41 - 1,839 2,038 20,657 2009 ¹ | 2001 - 428 265 20,162 600 - 91 3,111 -21 24,636 | 2002 - - 55 149 12,341 450 - - 1,192 3 14,190 | 2003 - 209 1,337 20,915 847 - 46 453 -553 23,254 | 2004 - 172 1,413 15,702 3,701 - 5 377 559 21,929 | 2005 - 41 1,958 12,395 6,039 - 52 210 62 1,298 22,055 | 2006 - - 411 1,025 9,780 2,822 1,892 - - - 82 43 -304 15,751 |
| Country Denmark Faroe Islands France Germany Ireland Lithuania Netherlands Spain UK (Engl.+Wales) UK (N.Ireland) UK (Scotland) Unallocated+disc. Total Country Denmark Faroce | 1998 221 414 21,608 885 - 10 1,132 10,447 98 34,815 2007 | 1999 25,007 1,031 31,736 1,139 - 344 - 4,544 1,507 65,308 2008 - 573 74 | 2000 - 209 15,843 687 - 41 - 1,839 2,038 20,657 2009 ¹ - | 2001 - 428 265 20,162 600 - 91 3,111 -21 24,636 | 2002 - - 55 149 12,341 450 - - 1,192 3 14,190 | 2003 - 209 1,337 20,915 847 - 46 453 -553 23,254 | 2004 - 172 1,413 15,702 3,701 - 5 3777 559 21,929 | 2005 - 41 1,958 12,395 6,039 - 52 210 62 1,298 22,055 | 2006 - 411 1,025 9,780 2,822 1,892 - - 82 43 -304 15,751 |
| Country Denmark Faroe Islands France Germany Ireland Lithuania Netherlands Spain UK (Engl.+Wales) UK (N.Ireland) UK (Scotland) Unallocated+disc. Total Country Denmark Faroe Islands France | 1998 221 414 21,608 885 10 1,132 10,447 98 34,815 2007 | 1999 25,007 1,031 31,736 1,139 - 344 - 4,544 1,507 65,308 2008 - 573 74 5 097 | 2000 - 209 15,843 687 - 41 - 1,839 2,038 20,657 2009 ¹ - - - - - - - - - - - - | 2001 - 428 265 20,162 600 - 91 3,111 -21 24,636 | 2002 - 55 149 12,341 450 - 1,192 3 14,190 | 2003 - 209 1,337 20,915 847 - 46 453 -553 23,254 | 2004 - 172 1,413 15,702 3,701 - 5 377 559 21,929 | 2005 41 1,958 12,395 6,039 - 52 210 62 1,298 22,055 | 2006 - 411 1,025 9,780 2,822 1,892 - |
| Country Denmark Faroe Islands France Germany Ireland Lithuania Netherlands Spain UK (Engl.+Wales) UK (N.Ireland) UK (Scotland) UNIOcated+disc. Total Country Denmark Faroe Islands France Germany | 1998 221 414 21,608 885 10 1,132 10,447 98 34,815 2007 - - - 1,835 20.241 | 1999 25,007 1,031 31,736 1,139 - 344 - 4,544 1,507 65,308 2008 - 573 74 5,097 | 2000 - 209 15,843 687 - 41 - 1,839 2,038 20,657 2009 ¹ - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - | 2001 - 428 265 20,162 600 - 91 3,111 -21 24,636 | 2002 - 55 149 12,341 450 - 1,192 3 14,190 | 2003 - 209 1,337 20,915 847 - 46 453 -553 23,254 | 2004 - 172 1,413 15,702 3,701 - 5 377 559 21,929 | 2005 - 41 1,958 12,395 6,039 - 52 210 62 1,298 22,055 | 2006 - 411 1,025 9,780 2,822 1,892 - - 82 43 -304 15,751 |
| Country Denmark Faroe Islands France Germany Ireland Lithuania Netherlands Spain UK (Engl.+Wales) UK (N.Ireland) UK (Scotland) Unallocated+disc. Total Country Denmark Faroe Islands France Germany Ireland Lithuania | 1998 221 414 21,608 885 10 1,132 10,447 98 34,815 2007 - - 1,835 20,341 \$20 | 1999 25,007 1,031 31,736 1,139 - 344 - 4,544 1,507 65,308 2008 - 573 74 5,097 18,786 (41) | 2000 - 209 15,843 687 - 41 - 1,839 2,038 20,657 2009 ¹ - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - | 2001 - 428 265 20,162 600 - 91 3,111 -21 24,636 | 2002 - 55 149 12,341 450 - 1,192 3 14,190 | 2003 - 209 1,337 20,915 847 - 46 453 -553 23,254 | 2004 - 172 1,413 15,702 3,701 - 5 377 559 21,929 | 2005 - 41 1,958 12,395 6,039 - 52 210 62 1,298 22,055 | 2006 - 411 1,025 9,780 2,822 1,892 - - 82 43 -304 15,751 |
| Country Denmark Faroe Islands France Germany Ireland Lithuania Netherlands Spain UK (Engl.+Wales) UK (N.Ireland) UK (Scotland) Unallocated+disc. Total Country Denmark Faroe Islands France Germany Ireland Lithuania | 1998 221 414 21,608 885 - 10 1,132 10,447 98 34,815 2007 - - 1,835 20,341 80 2,177 | 1999 25,007 1,031 31,736 1,139 - 344 - 4,544 1,507 65,308 2008 - 573 74 5,097 18,786 641 2,004 | 2000 - - 209 15,843 687 - 41 - 1,839 2,038 20,657 2009 ¹ - - - - - - - - - - - - - | 2001 - 428 265 20,162 600 - 91 3,111 -21 24,636 | 2002 - - 55 149 12,341 450 - - 1,192 3 14,190 | 2003 - - 209 1,337 20,915 847 - 46 453 -553 23,254 | 2004 - - 172 1,413 15,702 3,701 - 5 377 559 21,929 | 2005 - - 41 1,958 12,395 6,039 - 52 210 62 1,298 22,055 | 2006 - - 411 1,025 9,780 2,822 1,892 - - 82 43 -304 15,751 |
| Country Denmark Faroe Islands France Germany Ireland Lithuania Netherlands Spain UK (Engl.+Wales) UK (N.Ireland) UK (Scotland) Unallocated+disc. Total Country Denmark Faroe Islands France Germany Ireland Lithuania Netherlands | 1998 221 414 21,608 885 - 10 1,132 10,447 98 34,815 2007 - - 1,835 20,341 80 2,177 | 1999 25,007 1,031 31,736 1,139 - 344 - 4,544 1,507 65,308 2008 - 573 74 5,097 18,786 641 3,904 | 2000 - - 209 15,843 687 - 41 - 1,839 2,038 20,657 2009 ¹ - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - | 2001 - 428 265 20,162 600 - 91 3,111 -21 24,636 | 2002 - - 55 149 12,341 450 - - 1,192 3 14,190 | 2003 - - 209 1,337 20,915 847 - 46 453 -553 23,254 | 2004 - - 172 1,413 15,702 3,701 - 5 377 559 21,929 | 2005 - - 41 1,958 12,395 6,039 - 52 210 62 1,298 22,055 | 2006 - - 411 1,025 9,780 2,822 1,892 - - 82 43 -304 15,751 |
| Country Denmark Faroe Islands France Germany Ireland Lithuania Netherlands Spain UK (Engl.+Wales) UK (N.Ireland) UK (Scotland) Unallocated+disc. Total Country Denmark Faroe Islands France Germany Ireland Lithuania Netherlands Norway | 1998 - 221 414 21,608 885 - 10 1,132 10,447 98 34,815 2007 - 1,835 20,341 80 2,177 2 | 1999 - 25,007 1,031 31,736 1,139 - 344 - 4,544 1,507 65,308 2008 - 573 74 5,097 18,786 641 3,904 20 | 2000 - - 209 15,843 687 - 41 - 1,839 2,038 20,657 2009 ¹ - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - | 2001 - 428 265 20,162 600 - 91 3,111 -21 24,636 | 2002 - - 55 149 12,341 450 - - 1,192 3 14,190 | 2003 - 209 1,337 20,915 847 - 46 453 -553 23,254 | 2004 - - 172 1,413 15,702 3,701 - 5 377 559 21,929 | 2005 - - 41 1,958 12,395 6,039 - 52 210 62 1,298 22,055 | 2006 - - 411 1,025 9,780 2,822 1,892 - - 82 43 -304 15,751 |
| Country Denmark Faroe Islands France Germany Ireland Lithuania Netherlands Spain UK (Engl.+Wales) UK (N.Ireland) UK (Scotland) Unallocated+disc. Total Country Denmark Faroe Islands France Germany Ireland Lithuania Netherlands Norway Russia | 1998 - 221 414 21,608 885 - 10 1,132 10,447 98 34,815 2007 - 1,835 20,341 80 2,177 2 - | 1999 25,007 1,031 31,736 1,139 - 344 - 4,544 1,507 65,308 2008 - 573 74 5,097 18,786 641 3,904 20 | 2000 - - 209 15,843 687 - 41 - 1,839 2,038 20,657 2009 ¹ - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - | 2001 - 428 265 20,162 600 - 91 3,111 -21 24,636 | 2002 - - 55 149 12,341 450 - - 1,192 3 14,190 | 2003 - - 209 1,337 20,915 847 - 46 453 -553 23,254 | 2004 - - 172 1,413 15,702 3,701 - 5 377 559 21,929 | 2005 - - 41 1,958 12,395 6,039 - 52 210 62 1,298 22,055 | 2006 - - 411 1,025 9,780 2,822 1,892 - - 82 43 -304 15,751 |
| Country Denmark Faroe Islands France Germany Ireland Lithuania Netherlands Spain UK (Engl.+Wales) UK (N.Ireland) UK (Scotland) Unallocated+disc. Total Country Denmark Faroe Islands France Germany Ireland Lithuania Netherlands Norway Russia Spain | 1998 221 414 21,608 885 - 10 1,132 10,447 98 34,815 2007 - 1,835 20,341 80 2,177 2 - - | 1999 25,007 1,031 31,736 1,139 - 344 - 4,544 1,507 65,308 2008 - 573 74 5,097 18,786 641 3,904 20 - | 2000 - - 209 15,843 687 - 41 - 1,839 2,038 20,657 2009 ¹ - - - 635 16,565 - - 2,332 27 - - - | 2001 - 428 265 20,162 600 - 91 3,111 -21 24,636 | 2002 - - 55 149 12,341 450 - - 1,192 3 14,190 | 2003 - 209 1,337 20,915 847 - 46 453 -553 23,254 | 2004 - 172 1,413 15,702 3,701 - 5 377 559 21,929 | 2005 - - 41 1,958 12,395 6,039 - 52 210 62 1,298 22,055 | 2006 - - 411 1,025 9,780 2,822 1,892 - - 82 43 -304 15,751 |
| Country Denmark Faroe Islands France Germany Ireland Lithuania Netherlands Spain UK (Engl.+Wales) UK (N.Ireland) UK (Scotland) Unallocated+disc. Total Country Denmark Faroe Islands France Germany Ireland Lithuania Netherlands Norway Russia Spain UK (Engl. + Wales) | 1998 221 414 21,608 885 - 10 1,132 10,447 98 34,815 2007 - 1,835 20,341 80 2,177 2 - 232 | 1999 25,007 1,031 31,736 1,139 - 344 - 4,544 1,507 65,308 2008 - 573 74 5,097 18,786 641 3,904 20 - - - - - - - - - - - - - | 2000 - - 209 15,843 687 - 41 - 1,839 2,038 20,657 2009 ¹ - - - 635 16,565 - - 2,332 27 - - 2,332 27 - - | 2001 - 428 265 20,162 600 - 91 3,111 -21 24,636 | 2002 - - 55 149 12,341 450 - - 1,192 3 14,190 | 2003 - 209 1,337 20,915 847 - 46 453 -553 23,254 | 2004 - 172 1,413 15,702 3,701 - 5 377 559 21,929 | 2005 - - 41 1,958 12,395 6,039 - 52 210 62 1,298 22,055 | 2006 - - 411 1,025 9,780 2,822 1,892 - - 82 43 -304 15,751 |
| Country Denmark Faroe Islands France Germany Ireland Lithuania Netherlands Spain UK (Engl.+Wales) UK (N.Ireland) UK (Scotland) Unallocated+disc. Total Country Denmark Faroe Islands France Germany Ireland Lithuania Netherlands Norway Russia Spain UK (Engl. + Wales) UK (Scotland) | 1998 221 414 21,608 885 - 10 1,132 10,447 98 34,815 2007 - - 1,835 20,341 80 2,177 2 - 232 38 | 1999 - 25,007 1,031 31,736 1,139 - 344 - 4,544 1,507 65,308 2008 - 573 74 5,097 18,786 641 3,904 20 - - - 588 | 2000 - - 209 15,843 687 - 41 - 1,839 2,038 20,657 2009 ¹ - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - | 2001 - 428 265 20,162 600 - 91 3,111 -21 24,636 | 2002 - - 55 149 12,341 450 - - 1,192 3 14,190 | 2003 - 209 1,337 20,915 847 - 46 453 -553 23,254 | 2004 - 172 1,413 15,702 3,701 - 5 377 559 21,929 | 2005 - - 41 1,958 12,395 6,039 - 52 210 62 1,298 22,055 | 2006 - - 411 1,025 9,780 2,822 1,892 - - 82 43 -304 15,751 |
| Country Denmark Faroe Islands France Germany Ireland Lithuania Netherlands Spain UK (Engl.+Wales) UK (N.Ireland) UK (Scotland) Unallocated+disc. Total Country Denmark Faroe Islands France Germany Ireland Lithuania Netherlands Norway Russia Spain UK (Engl. + Wales) UK (Scotland) Unallocated+discards | 1998 221 414 21,608 885 - 10 1,132 10,447 98 34,815 2007 - 1,835 20,341 80 2,177 2 - 1,835 20,341 80 2,177 2 - 232 38 1,474 | 1999 - 25,007 1,031 31,736 1,139 - 344 - 4,544 1,507 65,308 2008 - 573 74 5,097 18,786 641 3,904 20 - - - 588 - 3,781 | 2000 - - 209 15,843 687 - 41 - 1,839 2,038 20,657 2,038 20,657 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - | 2001 - 428 265 20,162 600 - 91 3,111 -21 24,636 | 2002 - - 55 149 12,341 450 - - 1,192 3 14,190 | 2003 - 209 1,337 20,915 847 - 46 453 -553 23,254 | 2004 - 172 1,413 15,702 3,701 - 5 377 559 21,929 | 2005 - 41 1,958 12,395 6,039 - 52 210 62 1,298 22,055 | 2006 - - 411 1,025 9,780 2,822 1,892 - - 82 43 -304 15,751 |
| Country Denmark Faroe Islands France Germany Ireland Lithuania Netherlands Spain UK (Engl.+Wales) UK (N.Ireland) UK (Scotland) Unallocated+disc. Total Country Denmark Faroe Islands France Germany Ireland Lithuania Netherlands Norway Russia Spain UK (Engl. + Wales) UK (Scotland) Unallocated+discards Total | 1998 221 414 21,608 885 - 10 1,132 10,447 98 34,815 2007 - 1,835 20,341 80 2,177 2 - 1,835 20,341 80 2,177 2 - - 232 38 1,474 26,279 | 1999 - 25,007 1,031 31,736 1,139 - 344 - 4,544 1,507 65,308 2008 - 573 74 5,097 18,786 641 3,904 20 - - - 588 - 3,781 25,902 | 2000 - - 209 15,843 687 - 41 - 1,839 2,038 20,657 2,038 20,657 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - | 2001 - 428 265 20,162 600 - 91 3,111 -21 24,636 | 2002 - - 55 149 12,341 450 - - 1,192 3 14,190 | 2003 - 209 1,337 20,915 847 - 46 453 -553 23,254 | 2004 - 172 1,413 15,702 3,701 - 5 377 559 21,929 | 2005 - 41 1,958 12,395 6,039 - 52 210 62 1,298 22,055 | 2006 - - 411 1,025 9,780 2,822 1,892 - - 82 43 -304 15,751 |

Table 5.2.1.3 Horse mackerel general. Catches (t) in Subarea VI by country. (Data submitted by Working Group members).

¹Preliminary. ²Included in Subarea VII.,

 3 Includes Divisions IIIa, IVa,b and VIb. 4 Includes a negative unallocated catch of -7000 t.

| Country | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 |
|------------------------|------------------|---------|-------------------|---------|---------|-------------|---------------------|---------|---------|
| Belgium | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | + | + | 2 | - |
| Denmark | 5.045 | 3.099 | 877 | 993 | 732 | 1.477^{2} | 30.408 ² | 27.368 | 33.202 |
| France | 1.983 | 2.800 | 2.314 | 1.834 | 2.387 | 1.881 | 3.801 | 2.197 | 1.523 |
| Germany Fed Ren | 2 289 | 1 079 | 12 | 1 977 | 228 | - | 5 | 374 | 4 705 |
| Ireland | 2,207 | 1,0,7 | | 1,577 | 65 | 100 | 703 | 15 | 481 |
| Nothorlands | 22 002 | 25.000 | 27 5002 | 24 250 | 28 700 | 22 550 | 40 750 | 69 400 | 42 560 |
| Nemuenanus | 25,002 | 25,000 | 27,300- | 34,330 | 36,700 | 33,330 | 40,730 | 09,400 | 43,360 |
| Norway | 594 | - | - | - | - | - | 107 | - | 150 |
| Spain | 50 | 234 | 104 | 142 | 560 | 2/5 | 137 | 148 | 150 |
| UK (Engl. + Wales) | 12,933 | 2,520 | 2,670 | 1,230 | 279 | 1,630 | 1,824 | 1,228 | 3,759 |
| UK (Scotland) | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | + | 2 | 2,873 |
| USSR | - | - | - | - | - | 120 | - | - | - |
| Total | 45,697 | 34,749 | 33,478 | 40,526 | 42,952 | 39,034 | 77,628 | 100,734 | 90,253 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Country | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 |
| Faroe Islands | - | 28 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Belgium | - | + | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 18 |
| Denmark | 34,474 | 30,594 | 28,888 | 18,984 | 16,978 | 41,605 | 28,300 | 43,330 | 60,412 |
| France | 4,576 | 2,538 | 1,230 | 1,198 | 1,001 | - | - | - | 27,201 |
| Germany, Fed.Rep. | 7,743 | 8.109 | 12.919 | 12,951 | 15,684 | 14,828 | 17.436 | 15.949 | 28,549 |
| Ireland | 12.645 | 17.887 | 19.074 | 15,568 | 16.363 | 15,281 | 58.011 | 38,455 | 43.624 |
| Netherlands | 43 582 | 111 900 | 104 107 | 109 197 | 157,110 | 92,903 | 116 126 | 114 692 | 81 464 |
| Norway | 10,002 | - | | | | - | - | | |
| Spain | 14 | 16 | 113 | 106 | 54 | 29 | 25 | 33 | _ |
| JUK (Engl + Waloo) | 1 1 1 9 9 | 12 271 | 6 426 | 7 870 | 6 000 | 12/18 | 21 6 4 1 | 28 605 | 17464 |
| UK (Eligi. + Wales) | 4,400 | 13,371 | 0,430 | 1,670 | 597 | 12,410 | 51,041 | 20,000 | 1 002 |
| UK (IN.Ireland) | - | 120 | 2,020 | 1,090 | 2 1 2 2 | 0.015 | 10 500 | - | 1,093 |
| UK (Scotland) | + | 139 | 1,992 | 5,008 | 3,123 | 9,015 | 10,522 | 11,241 | 7,931 |
| USSR / Russia (1992-) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Unallocated + discards | 28,368 | 7,614 | 24,541 | 15,563 | 4,0103 | 14,057 | 68,644 | 26,795 | 58,718 |
| Total | 135,890 | 192,196 | 201,326 | 188,135 | 221,000 | 200,256 | 330,705 | 279,100 | 326,474 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Country | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 |
| Faroe Islands | - | - | 550 | - | - | - | - | 3,660 | 1,201 |
| Belgium | 18 | - | - | - | 1 | - | + | + | + |
| Denmark | 25,492 | 19,223 | 13,946 | 20,574 | 10,094 | 10,867 | 11,529 | 9,939 | 6,838 |
| France | 24,223 | - | 20,401 | 11,049 | 6,466 | 7,199 | 8,083 | 8,469 | 7,928 |
| Germany | 25,414 | 15,247 | 9,692 | 8,320 | 10,812 | 13,873 | 16,352 | 10,437 | 7,139 |
| Ireland | 51.720 | 25.843 | 32,999 | 30,192 | 23,366 | 13,533 | 8,470 | 20.406 | 16.841 |
| Lithuania | - , - | - / | , | , | , | , | | -, | 3.569 |
| Netherlands | 91,946 | 56.223 | 50.120 | 46.196 | 37.605 | 48.222 | 41.123 | 31,156 | 35,467 |
| Spain | - | | 50 | | 0 | 1 | 27 | 12 | 60 |
| UK (Engl + Wales) | 12 832 | 8 885 | 2 972 | 8 901 | 5 525 | 4 186 | 7 178 | 4 752 | 2 935 |
| UK (Mireland) | 12,002 | | | | 0,020 | 1,100 | 7,170 | 217 | 1/2 |
| UK (Cootland) | 5.005 | 1 001 | 5 152 | 1 757 | 1 461 | 268 | 1 1 1 6 | 50 | 412 |
| Unallocated discards | 12 706 | 21 220 | 1 884 | 11.046 | 2 576 | 200 | 10 /05 | 10 260 | 10 270 |
| | 12,700 | 1(1(54 | 1004 | 100.040 | 2,370 | 102.046 | 10,400 | 10,300 | 19,379 |
| Total | 249,446 | 161,654 | 137,766 | 138,042 | 97,906 | 123,046 | 112,393 | 107,475 | 101,912 |
| | | | A 00001 | | | | | | |
| Country | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 ¹ | | | | | | |
| Faroe Islands | 475 | 212 | - | | | | | | |
| Belgium | + | + | 1 | | | | | | |
| Denmark | 4,806 | 1,970 | 2,710 | | | | | | |
| France | 6,844 | 11,008 | - | | | | | | |
| Germany | 3.943 | 5,700 | 14,204 | | | | | | |
| Ireland | 8,039 | 16,293 | 23,841 | | | | | | |
| Lithuania | 5,585 | 4,907 | - | | | | | | |
| Netherlands | 38,034 | 43,514 | 47,741 | | | | | | |
| Spain | - | 11 | 6 | | | | | | |
| Śweden | 55 | - | - | | | | | | |
| UK (Engl. + Wales) | 9,105 | - | - | | | | | | |
| UK (Scotland) | 738 | 476 | 1,123 | | | | | | |
| Unallocated+discards | 15,460 | 14.656 | -61 | | | | | | |
| chanocated abeards | 1 X / A TV / V / | | | | | | | | |
| Total | 02 001 | 08 744 | 80 545 | | | | | | |

Table 5.2.1.4 Horse mackerel general . Catches (t) in Subarea VII by country. (Data submitted by the Working Group members).

¹Preliminary

| Country | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 |
|----------------------|---------|-------------|--------|-------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|--------|
| Donmark | 1700 | 1701 | 1702 | 1700 | 1704 | 1700 | 1700 | 2 782 | 2 702 |
| Erence | - 2 261 | - 2 711 | 2 072 | - | 2 490 | 4 205 | 2 524 | 2,203 | 4 500 |
| Notherlands | 5,501 | 3,711 | 3.073 | 2,043 | 2,409 | 4,303 | 3,004 | 3,963 | 4,002 |
| Service | - | - | - | - 25 580 | 22 110 | | 40.224 | 20.000 | - |
| | 34,134 | 30,302 | 19,010 | 25,560 | 23,119 | 23,292 | 40,334 | 30,098 | 20,029 |
| UK (Engl.+wales) | - | + | 1 | - | 1 | 143 | 392 | 339 | 253 |
| USSK | - | - | - | - | 20 | - | 656 | - | - |
| Total | 37,495 | 40,073 | 22,684 | 28,223 | 25,629 | 27,740 | 45,362 | 37,703 | 34,177 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Country | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 |
| Denmark | 6,729 | 5,726 | 1,349 | 5,778 | 1,955 | - | 340 | 140 | 729 |
| France | 4,719 | 5,082 | 6,164 | 6,220 | 4,010 | 28 | - | 7 | 8,690 |
| Germany, Fed. Rep. | - | - | 80 | 62 | - | | - | - | - |
| Netherlands | - | 6,000 | 12,437 | 9,339 | 19,000 | 7,272 | - | 14,187 | 2,944 |
| Spain | 27,170 | 25,182 | 23,733 | 27,688 | 27,921 | 25,409 | 28,349 | 29,428 | 31,081 |
| UK (Engl.+Wales) | 68 | 6 | 70 | 88 | 123 | 753 | 20 | 924 | 430 |
| USSR/Russia (1992-) | - | - | - | - | | - | | - | |
| Unallocated+discards | - | 1,500 | 2,563 | 5.011 | 700 | 2.038 | - | 3.583 | -2.944 |
| Total | 38 686 | 43 496 | 46,396 | 54 186 | 53 709 | 35,500 | 28 709 | 48 269 | 40,930 |
| Total | 00,000 | 10,170 | 10,070 | 01,100 | 00,707 | 00,000 | 20,707 | 10,207 | 10,700 |
| Country | 1008 | 1000 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 |
| Donmark | 1 7 2 9 | 1 010 | 2000 | 2001 E92 | 2002 | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 | 1 512 |
| Deninark | 1,720 | 4,010 | 2,364 | 50Z | - | - | 2 1 6 1 | 2 5 4 0 | 2 044 |
| France | 1,844 | 74 2.107 | 27(0 | 5,316 | 13,676 | - | 2,161 | 3,540 | 3,944 |
| Germany | 3,268 | 3,197 | 3,760 | 3,645 | 2,249 | 4,908 | 1.000 | 4,776 | 3,323 |
| Ireland | - | - | 6,485 | 1,483 | 704 | 504 | 1,882 | 1,808 | 158 |
| Lithuania | | | | | | | | | 401 |
| Netherlands | 6,604 | 22,479 | 11,768 | 36,106 | 12,538 | 1,314 | 1,047 | 6,607 | 6,073 |
| Russia | - | - | - | - | - | 6,620 | | | - |
| Spain | 23,599 | 24,190 | 24,154 | 23,531 | 22,110 | 24,598 | 16,245 | 16,624 | 13,874 |
| UK (Engl. + Wales) | 9 | 29 | 112 | 1,092 | 157 | 982 | 516 | 838 | 821 |
| UK (Scotland) | - | - | 249 | - | - | - | | - | - |
| Unallocated+discards | 1,884 | -8658 | 5,093 | 4,365 | 1,705 | 2,785 | 2,202 | 7,302 | 4,013 |
| Total | 38,936 | 46,129 | 54,212 | 76,120 | 54,560 | 41,711 | 24,125 | 41,495 | 34,122 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Country | 2007 | 2008 | 20091 | | | | | | |
| Denmark | 2,687 | 3,289 | 3,109 | | | | | | |
| France | 10,741 | 2,848 | - | | | | | | |
| Germany | - | 918 | 281 | | | | | | |
| Ireland | 694 | 246 | - | | | | | | |
| Lithuania | - | - | - | | | | | | |
| Netherlands | - | 6,269 | 1,849 | | | | | | |
| Russia | - | - | - | | | | | | |
| Spain | 13,853 | 19,840 | 21,071 | | | | | | |
| UK (Engl. + Wales) | - | - | - | | | | | | |
| UK (Scotland) | - | - | - | | | | | | |
| Unallocated+discards | 412 | 482 | 7,045 | | | | | | |
| Total | 28,387 | 33,892 | 33,355 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Table 5.2.1.5 Horse mackerel general. Catches (t) in Subarea VIII by country. (Data submitted by Working Group members).

¹Preliminary.

²Included in Subarea VII.

| Year | Total egg production |
|------|----------------------|
| 1983 | 513 |
| 1989 | 1762 |
| 1992 | 1712 |
| 1995 | 1265 |
| 1998 | 1136 |
| 2001 | 821 |
| 2004 | 889 |
| 2007 | 1427 |
| 2010 | 1005 |

Table 5.2.2.1 Western horse mackerel. The time series of egg production estimates (10^{-12} eggs).

| 1Q | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|------|-----|---------|-------|---------|---------|--------|------|---------|--------|---------|---------|---------|-------|---------|----------|
| Ages | IIa | IVa | VIIIa | VIIIb | VIIIc E | VIIIc W | VIIId | VIIa | VIIb | VIIc | VIIe | VIIh | VIIj | VIIk | VIa | Total |
| 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | | | 4338.3 | 24.7 | 67.1 | 6529.6 | 789.8 | | | | 320.7 | 6650.5 | | | | 18720.7 |
| 2 | | | 5251.6 | 29.9 | 111.3 | 9106.0 | 1081.2 | | | | 1763.9 | 1773.5 | | | | 19117.3 |
| 3 | | | 2107.7 | 12.0 | 93.7 | 436.4 | 139.3 | | | | 1924.2 | 1457.0 | | | | 6170.3 |
| 4 | | | 5234.0 | 29.8 | 126.2 | 172.4 | 225.0 | | | | 801.8 | 1773.5 | | | 21.4 | 8384.1 |
| 5 | 0.1 | | 7447.1 | 42.4 | 180.4 | 108.3 | 307.3 | | 90.5 | | 1135.3 | 2914.0 | 134.0 | 0.7 | 96.5 | 12456.5 |
| 6 | 0.5 | | 4601.7 | 26.2 | 256.5 | 199.5 | 247.8 | | 1428.9 | 50.0 | 3220.8 | 2914.0 | 1081.8 | 6.4 | 449.8 | 14483.9 |
| 7 | 0.3 | | 2634.6 | 15.0 | 283.6 | 161.9 | 189.0 | | 720.7 | 399.9 | 1131.0 | 5828.1 | 832.5 | 10.8 | 223.2 | 12430.5 |
| 8 | 25.3 | | 1686.1 | 9.6 | 332.5 | 203.9 | 177.6 | | 21976.1 | 2749.5 | 1099.9 | 11656.1 | 25490.1 | 178.3 | 12710.3 | 78295.4 |
| 9 | 4.9 | | 1317.3 | 7.5 | 348.3 | 264.3 | 176.4 | | 2228.7 | 1149.8 | 653.6 | 2914.0 | 2364.5 | 31.3 | 947.2 | 12407.8 |
| 10 | 5.2 | | 1053.8 | 6.0 | 354.7 | 365.9 | 179.7 | | 1540.8 | 799.9 | 176.4 | 2914.0 | 2197.7 | 25.0 | 1984.6 | 11603.7 |
| 11 | 3.4 | | 368.8 | 2.1 | 121.9 | 250.3 | 74.0 | | 1286.9 | 449.9 | 7.4 | 1457.0 | 1642.6 | 17.1 | 1720.4 | 7401.8 |
| 12 | 3.0 | | 210.8 | 1.2 | 52.4 | 216.7 | 44.0 | | 62.4 | 0.0 | 160.4 | 1457.0 | 889.1 | 4.9 | 677.6 | 3779.4 |
| 13 | 0.6 | | 87.8 | 0.5 | 46.0 | 246.8 | 40.9 | | 280.8 | 50.0 | | 1457.0 | 547.5 | 4.1 | 553.6 | 3315.7 |
| 14 | 1.7 | | 35.1 | 0.2 | 23.0 | 112.2 | 19.1 | | 897.9 | 50.0 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 59.6 | 1.1 | 235.0 | 1439.3 |
| 15+ | 6.3 | | 105.4 | 0.6 | 104.9 | 299.7 | 64.9 | | 2057.6 | 549.9 | 13.4 | 1457.0 | 1378.0 | 16.7 | 4440.4 | 10494.8 |
| SUM | 51.1 | 0.0 | 36480.2 | 207.7 | 2502.5 | 18673.9 | 3755.9 | 0.0 | 32571.3 | 6248.9 | 12413.1 | 46622.9 | 36617.4 | 296.3 | 24060.0 | 220501.1 |

Table 5.2.5.1 Western Horse Mackerel stock. Catch in numbers (1000) at age by quarter and area in 2009

| 2Q | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|-----|-----|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------|------|------|------|------|------|---------|------|-------|----------|
| Ages | IIa | IVa | VIIIa | VIIIb | VIIIc E | VIIIc W | VIIId | VIIa | VIIb | VIIc | VIIe | VIIh | VIIj | VIIk | VIa | Total |
| 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | | | 24169.6 | 1192.8 | 10247.5 | 29499.7 | 2639.3 | | | | | | | | | 67748.9 |
| 2 | | | 11921.2 | 917.4 | 2040.8 | 2557.0 | 1034.9 | | | | | | | | | 18471.3 |
| 3 | | | 15388.8 | 9437.0 | 425.3 | 687.0 | 1140.3 | | | | | | | | 0.5 | 27078.9 |
| 4 | | | 9155.1 | 5400.7 | 409.2 | 871.0 | 692.2 | | | | | | 225.8 | | 2.8 | 16756.8 |
| 5 | | | 662.7 | 14.9 | 428.6 | 875.1 | 85.4 | | | | | | 1030.9 | | 7.1 | 3104.7 |
| 6 | | | 1540.8 | 684.0 | 494.7 | 1123.8 | 154.2 | | | | | | 1585.8 | | 6.9 | 5590.2 |
| 7 | | | 324.7 | 7.3 | 475.3 | 1084.2 | 65.4 | | | | | | 2232.6 | | 2.1 | 4191.5 |
| 8 | | | 235.7 | 5.3 | 571.5 | 1067.4 | 67.5 | | | | | | 8244.5 | | 55.1 | 10247.0 |
| 9 | | | 355.8 | 8.0 | 613.3 | 753.0 | 79.8 | | | | | | 4559.6 | | 6.0 | 6375.5 |
| 10 | | | 618.2 | 13.9 | 637.4 | 629.6 | 100.7 | | | | | | 928.6 | | 7.1 | 2935.6 |
| 11 | | | 475.9 | 10.7 | 318.8 | 376.6 | 62.3 | | | | | | 197.7 | | 4.0 | 1446.0 |
| 12 | | | 444.8 | 10.0 | 242.6 | 467.9 | 53.4 | | | | | | 45.9 | | 3.4 | 1267.8 |
| 13 | | | 631.5 | 14.2 | 229.0 | 793.5 | 65.5 | | | | | | 31.5 | | 0.7 | 1766.0 |
| 14 | | | 306.9 | 6.9 | 92.3 | 388.7 | 30.2 | | | | | | 28.2 | | 1.9 | 855.0 |
| 15+ | | | 489.2 | 11.0 | 110.8 | 3570.3 | 44.9 | | | | | | 201.3 | | 7.2 | 4434.7 |
| SUM | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66720.8 | 17734.1 | 17337.1 | 44744.8 | 6316.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19312.5 | 0.0 | 104.7 | 172270.0 |

Table 5.2.5.1 cont. Western Horse Mackerel stock. Catch in numbers (1000) at age by quarter and area in 2009

| 3Q | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|-----|-------|-------|-------|---------|---------|-------|------|-------|------|---------|------|---------|------|--------|---------|
| Ages | IIa | IVa | VIIIa | VIIIb | VIIIc E | VIIIc W | VIIId | VIIa | VIIb | VIIc | VIIe | VIIh | VIIj | VIIk | VIa | Total |
| 0 | | | | 28.5 | 4674.9 | 0.0 | | | | | | | | | | 4703.4 |
| 1 | | | | 2.6 | 4592.4 | 5.1 | | | | | 2688.4 | | 0.0 | | | 7288.5 |
| 2 | | | | 2.3 | 3686.3 | 359.9 | | | | | 1466.4 | | 0.0 | | | 5514.9 |
| 3 | | | | 2.7 | 1211.4 | 82.3 | | 0.0 | 0.4 | | 1466.4 | | 0.4 | | 92.3 | 2855.9 |
| 4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | | 1.5 | 1009.7 | 161.1 | | 0.1 | 4.3 | | 1710.8 | | 20.4 | | 480.8 | 3388.7 |
| 5 | 0.0 | 3.2 | | 4.0 | 1659.5 | 997.8 | | 0.1 | 10.3 | | 1955.2 | | 996.5 | | 1205.0 | 6831.6 |
| 6 | 0.1 | 15.5 | | 4.2 | 1789.9 | 2110.6 | | 0.4 | 21.1 | | 1222.0 | | 3306.0 | | 1094.1 | 9564.0 |
| 7 | 0.5 | 60.7 | | 2.9 | 1523.7 | 3178.4 | | 0.1 | 25.4 | | 733.2 | | 684.4 | | 306.3 | 6515.6 |
| 8 | 1.3 | 167.3 | | 5.3 | 1333.5 | 3509.0 | | 0.8 | 109.4 | | 977.6 | | 8852.3 | | 4545.1 | 19501.6 |
| 9 | 0.7 | 90.7 | | 2.5 | 938.2 | 3046.6 | | 0.3 | 14.3 | | | | 1675.9 | | 83.1 | 5852.2 |
| 10 | 0.5 | 66.6 | | 1.0 | 598.2 | 1864.7 | | 0.1 | 5.9 | | | | 653.2 | | 214.8 | 3404.9 |
| 11 | 0.6 | 76.7 | | 1.3 | 380.8 | 1163.1 | | 0.0 | 2.6 | | | | 3.2 | | 24.2 | 1652.4 |
| 12 | 0.5 | 59.8 | | 5.5 | 85.3 | 451.5 | | 0.0 | 2.1 | | | | 9.5 | | 0.0 | 614.1 |
| 13 | 0.0 | 4.1 | | 5.0 | 90.5 | 655.5 | | 0.0 | 1.1 | | | | 325.8 | | 0.0 | 1082.0 |
| 14 | 0.1 | 7.4 | | 2.5 | 39.3 | 693.6 | | 0.0 | 0.7 | | | | 3.8 | | 0.0 | 747.3 |
| 15+ | 0.5 | 64.3 | | 2.8 | 50.4 | 3883.8 | | 0.0 | 2.5 | | | | 14.5 | | 0.0 | 4018.9 |
| SUM | 4.7 | 616.3 | 0.0 | 74.6 | 23664.0 | 22163.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 200.0 | 0.0 | 12219.9 | 0.0 | 16545.6 | 0.0 | 8045.7 | 83535.9 |

Table 5.2.5.1 cont. Western Horse Mackerel stock. Catch in numbers (1000) at age by quarter and area in 2009

| 4Q | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|--------|----------|-------|-------|---------|---------|-------|------|----------|--------|---------|--------|---------|-------|---------|----------|
| Ages | IIa | IVa | VIIIa | VIIIb | VIIIc E | VIIIc W | VIIId | VIIa | VIIb | VIIc | VIIe | VIIh | VIIj | VIIk | VIa | Total |
| 0 | | | | 76.3 | 128.0 | 41259.4 | | | | | | | | | | 41463.7 |
| 1 | | | | 40.0 | 798.9 | 15137.8 | | | | | 7783.8 | | | | | 23760.5 |
| 2 | | | | 29.1 | 61.6 | 987.6 | | | | | 2075.7 | | | | | 3154.0 |
| 3 | | | | 1.7 | 69.5 | 8.6 | | | 165.1 | | 3113.5 | | | | 113.0 | 3471.5 |
| 4 | 1.0 | 23.3 | | 4.7 | 211.0 | 3.1 | | 0.1 | 1705.3 | 37.9 | 2075.7 | 16.0 | 28.8 | 1.3 | 1143.7 | 5251.8 |
| 5 | 22.0 | 703.2 | | 18.0 | 565.2 | 14.5 | | 0.8 | 5363.9 | 269.1 | 4151.4 | 240.8 | 716.5 | 13.7 | 3921.0 | 16000.0 |
| 6 | 108.0 | 3462.5 | | 27.7 | 799.0 | 30.1 | | 2.2 | 10935.1 | 314.6 | 2594.6 | 638.0 | 1570.5 | 28.7 | 5547.5 | 26058.4 |
| 7 | 424.0 | 13544.9 | | 18.9 | 915.3 | 50.2 | | 1.1 | 9692.0 | 382.5 | 3113.5 | 313.5 | 933.7 | 18.4 | 1371.1 | 30779.0 |
| 8 | 1168.0 | 37341.4 | | 38.0 | 842.9 | 65.5 | | 8.5 | 57908.7 | 1726.9 | 7783.8 | 2431.1 | 5423.9 | 119.4 | 25397.4 | 140255.5 |
| 9 | 633.0 | 20241.8 | | 10.9 | 546.9 | 83.4 | | 5.0 | 6893.6 | 462.1 | 3632.4 | 1414.3 | 6134.8 | 59.1 | 1539.6 | 41657.0 |
| 10 | 465.0 | 14867.3 | | 6.5 | 396.1 | 100.6 | | 2.3 | 2839.0 | 214.8 | 518.9 | 657.1 | 2810.2 | 27.5 | 901.7 | 23807.1 |
| 11 | 535.0 | 17118.8 | | 8.9 | 245.5 | 177.4 | | 0.2 | 1600.1 | 37.9 | 1037.8 | 41.9 | 110.0 | 2.2 | 337.5 | 21253.1 |
| 12 | 417.0 | 13337.2 | | 40.2 | 60.3 | 182.8 | | 0.0 | 955.4 | 117.2 | 518.9 | | | 2.2 | 219.1 | 15850.3 |
| 13 | 29.0 | 914.0 | | 38.4 | 63.2 | 327.2 | | 0.5 | 500.0 | 75.8 | 0.0 | 139.6 | 551.3 | 6.4 | 177.6 | 2822.9 |
| 14 | 52.0 | 1659.8 | | 31.3 | 33.2 | 472.6 | | 0.2 | 376.6 | 75.8 | 0.0 | 62.8 | 164.9 | 3.7 | 145.2 | 3078.2 |
| 15+ | 449.0 | 14346.4 | | 25.3 | 43.0 | 1873.8 | | 0.9 | 1585.4 | 151.6 | 518.9 | 250.6 | 854.9 | 11.8 | 516.8 | 20628.3 |
| SUM | 4303.0 | 137560.6 | 0.0 | 415.9 | 5779.6 | 60774.6 | 0.0 | 21.8 | 100520.1 | 3866.2 | 38919.0 | 6205.6 | 19299.5 | 294.4 | 41331.1 | 419291.2 |

Table 5.2.5.1 cont. Western Horse Mackerel stock. Catch in numbers (1000) at age by quarter and area in 2009

| Q1-4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|--------|----------|----------|---------|---------|----------|---------|------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-------|---------|----------|
| Ages | IIa | IVa | VIIIa | VIIIb | VIIIc E | VIIIc W | VIIId | VIIa | VIIb | VIIc | VIIe | VIIh | VIIj | VIIk | VIa | Total |
| 0 | | | | 104.8 | 4802.9 | 41259.4 | | | | | | | | | | 46167.1 |
| 1 | | | 28507.9 | 1260.1 | 15705.9 | 51172.2 | 3429.1 | | | | 10792.9 | 6650.5 | | | | 117518.6 |
| 2 | | | 17172.8 | 978.7 | 5900.0 | 13010.5 | 2116.1 | | | | 5306.0 | 1773.5 | | | | 46257.5 |
| 3 | | | 17496.4 | 9453.4 | 1799.9 | 1214.3 | 1279.6 | 0.0 | 165.5 | | 6504.1 | 1457.0 | 0.4 | | 205.9 | 39576.5 |
| 4 | 1.0 | 23.4 | 14389.1 | 5436.7 | 1756.1 | 1207.6 | 917.2 | 0.1 | 1709.5 | 37.9 | 4588.2 | 1789.5 | 275.0 | 1.3 | 1648.6 | 33781.4 |
| 5 | 22.1 | 706.4 | 8109.8 | 79.3 | 2833.7 | 1995.7 | 392.7 | 1.0 | 5464.7 | 269.1 | 7241.8 | 3154.8 | 2877.9 | 14.4 | 5229.6 | 38392.8 |
| 6 | 108.6 | 3478.0 | 6142.5 | 742.1 | 3340.1 | 3464.0 | 402.0 | 2.6 | 12385.2 | 364.6 | 7037.3 | 3552.0 | 7544.1 | 35.1 | 7098.3 | 55696.5 |
| 7 | 424.7 | 13605.6 | 2959.3 | 44.1 | 3197.9 | 4474.7 | 254.4 | 1.2 | 10438.1 | 782.4 | 4977.7 | 6141.5 | 4683.2 | 29.3 | 1902.6 | 53916.6 |
| 8 | 1194.6 | 37508.7 | 1921.9 | 58.2 | 3080.4 | 4845.8 | 245.1 | 9.4 | 79994.2 | 4476.5 | 9861.3 | 14087.2 | 48010.8 | 297.7 | 42707.8 | 248299.4 |
| 9 | 638.6 | 20332.5 | 1673.1 | 28.9 | 2446.7 | 4147.3 | 256.2 | 5.2 | 9136.5 | 1611.9 | 4286.0 | 4328.3 | 14734.8 | 90.4 | 2576.0 | 66292.4 |
| 10 | 470.7 | 14933.9 | 1672.0 | 27.4 | 1986.4 | 2960.8 | 280.4 | 2.4 | 4385.8 | 1014.7 | 695.3 | 3571.2 | 6589.7 | 52.4 | 3108.3 | 41751.3 |
| 11 | 539.0 | 17195.5 | 844.7 | 23.0 | 1067.0 | 1967.4 | 136.3 | 0.2 | 2889.5 | 487.8 | 1045.3 | 1498.9 | 1953.5 | 19.3 | 2086.0 | 31753.4 |
| 12 | 420.4 | 13396.9 | 655.5 | 56.9 | 440.6 | 1318.9 | 97.3 | 0.0 | 1019.9 | 117.2 | 679.3 | 1457.0 | 944.5 | 7.1 | 900.1 | 21511.6 |
| 13 | 29.7 | 918.1 | 719.4 | 58.1 | 428.7 | 2023.0 | 106.5 | 0.5 | 781.8 | 125.8 | | 1596.6 | 1456.1 | 10.5 | 731.9 | 8986.6 |
| 14 | 53.7 | 1667.2 | 342.0 | 40.9 | 187.8 | 1667.1 | 49.3 | 0.2 | 1275.2 | 125.8 | 4.5 | 62.8 | 256.4 | 4.8 | 382.1 | 6119.8 |
| 15+ | 455.8 | 14410.7 | 594.6 | 39.7 | 309.1 | 9627.6 | 109.8 | 0.9 | 3645.5 | 701.5 | 532.3 | 1707.7 | 2448.6 | 28.5 | 4964.3 | 39576.7 |
| SUM | 4358.8 | 138176.9 | 103201.0 | 18432.3 | 49283.2 | 146356.3 | 10071.9 | 23.7 | 133291.3 | 10115.2 | 63551.9 | 52828.4 | 91775.0 | 590.7 | 73541.5 | 895598.1 |

Table 5.2.5.1 cont. Western Horse Mackerel stock. Catch in numbers (1000) at age by quarter and area in 2009

| 2 | 0 |
|---|---|
| _ | - |
| | 2 |

| | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11+ |
|------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 1982 | 0 | 3713 | 21072 | 134743 | 11515 | 13197 | 11741 | 8848 | 1651 | 414 | 1651 | 81385 |
| 1983 | 0 | 7903 | 2269 | 32900 | 53508 | 15345 | 44539 | 52673 | 17923 | 3291 | 5505 | 129139 |
| 1984 | 0 | 0 | 241360 | 4439 | 36294 | 149798 | 22350 | 38244 | 34020 | 14756 | 4101 | 58370 |
| 1985 | 0 | 1633 | 4901 | 602992 | 4463 | 41822 | 100376 | 12644 | 16172 | 6200 | 9224 | 40976 |
| 1986 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1548 | 676208 | 8727 | 65147 | 109747 | 25712 | 21179 | 15271 | 56824 |
| 1987 | 0 | 99 | 493 | 0 | 2950 | 891660 | 2061 | 41564 | 90814 | 11740 | 9549 | 62776 |
| 1988 | 876 | 27369 | 6112 | 2099 | 4402 | 18968 | 941725 | 12115 | 39913 | 67869 | 9739 | 76096 |
| 1989 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20766 | 18282 | 5308 | 14500 | 1276731 | 12046 | 59357 | 83125 | 78951 |
| 1990 | 0 | 20406 | 45036 | 138929 | 61442 | 33298 | 10549 | 20607 | 1384850 | 37011 | 70512 | 226294 |
| 1991 | 20632 | 33560 | 89715 | 23034 | 207751 | 143072 | 73730 | 25369 | 25584 | 1219646 | 23987 | 137131 |
| 1992 | 14887 | 229703 | 36331 | 80552 | 56275 | 256085 | 127048 | 49020 | 19053 | 23449 | 1103480 | 152305 |
| 1993 | 46 | 109152 | 94500 | 16738 | 62714 | 94711 | 317337 | 144610 | 70717 | 32693 | 4822 | 1309609 |
| 1994 | 3686 | 60759 | 911713 | 115729 | 53132 | 44692 | 38769 | 221970 | 106512 | 40799 | 42302 | 998180 |
| 1995 | 2702 | 165382 | 470498 | 424563 | 215468 | 59035 | 90832 | 35654 | 245230 | 119117 | 99495 | 1362342 |
| 1996 | 10729 | 19774 | 658727 | 860992 | 186306 | 85508 | 51365 | 55229 | 53379 | 57131 | 56962 | 729283 |
| 1997 | 4860 | 110145 | 465350 | 735919 | 410638 | 244328 | 119062 | 127658 | 134488 | 109962 | 109165 | 601196 |
| 1998 | 744 | 91505 | 184443 | 488662 | 360116 | 219650 | 157396 | 122583 | 81499 | 68264 | 50555 | 389594 |
| 1999 | 14822 | 97561 | 83714 | 176919 | 265820 | 254516 | 212225 | 187250 | 147328 | 77691 | 35635 | 252044 |
| 2000 | 637 | 78856 | 131112 | 52716 | 71779 | 150869 | 170393 | 177995 | 133290 | 61578 | 18010 | 168770 |
| 2001 | 58685 | 69430 | 246525 | 151707 | 98454 | 101344 | 116952 | 234832 | 203823 | 103968 | 36076 | 132706 |
| 2002 | 13707 | 461055 | 120106 | 164977 | 126329 | 64449 | 69828 | 94429 | 130285 | 85325 | 45798 | 150103 |
| 2003 | 1843 | 303721 | 585700 | 165666 | 152117 | 88944 | 57445 | 45596 | 49476 | 92758 | 50503 | 109994 |
| 2004 | 21246 | 140299 | 110976 | 474273 | 76136 | 103011 | 69844 | 43981 | 31618 | 49188 | 56109 | 63823 |
| 2005 | 1260 | 71508 | 170936 | 310085 | 531221 | 68559 | 74392 | 61641 | 43454 | 22304 | 27127 | 99898 |
| 2006 | 1901 | 49396 | 39439 | 41585 | 73860 | 501168 | 57299 | 39424 | 43667 | 17148 | 12274 | 102329 |
| 2007 | 4583 | 37208 | 39743 | 46218 | 63337 | 105042 | 336626 | 48066 | 27637 | 20155 | 8801 | 59268 |
| 2008 | 29912 | 76358 | 19219 | 41715 | 46963 | 74125 | 47740 | 294659 | 50621 | 36873 | 25725 | 73986 |
| 2009 | 46167 | 117519 | 46258 | 39576 | 33781 | 38393 | 55696 | 53917 | 248299 | 66292 | 41751 | 107948 |

 Table 5.2.5.2 Western horse mackerel. Catch-at-age (thousands of fish).

| 1Q | | | | | 0.0 | | 5 5 1 1 | | | | | | | | | |
|------|-------|-----|-------|-------|---------|---------|----------------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Ages | IIa | IVa | VIIIa | VIIIb | VIIIc E | VIIIc W | VIIId | VIIa | VIIb | VIIc | VIIe | VIIh | VIIj | VIIk | VIa | Total |
| 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | | | 0.046 | 0.046 | 0.049 | 0.048 | 0.048 | | | | 0.053 | 0.054 | | | | 0.050 |
| 2 | | | 0.056 | 0.056 | 0.064 | 0.060 | 0.060 | | | | 0.068 | 0.082 | | | | 0.062 |
| 3 | | | 0.112 | 0.112 | 0.101 | 0.082 | 0.098 | | | | 0.100 | 0.118 | | | | 0.107 |
| 4 | 0.135 | | 0.127 | 0.127 | 0.118 | 0.103 | 0.116 | | | | 0.124 | 0.120 | | | 0.135 | 0.124 |
| 5 | 0.157 | | 0.142 | 0.142 | 0.146 | 0.135 | 0.141 | | 0.137 | | 0.143 | 0.129 | 0.140 | 0.140 | 0.157 | 0.139 |
| 6 | 0.180 | | 0.156 | 0.156 | 0.164 | 0.166 | 0.162 | | 0.164 | 0.151 | 0.157 | 0.127 | 0.162 | 0.165 | 0.180 | 0.153 |
| 7 | 0.204 | | 0.173 | 0.173 | 0.181 | 0.182 | 0.179 | | 0.158 | 0.172 | 0.213 | 0.141 | 0.179 | 0.176 | 0.204 | 0.162 |
| 8 | 0.271 | | 0.190 | 0.190 | 0.198 | 0.201 | 0.197 | | 0.199 | 0.187 | 0.204 | 0.154 | 0.189 | 0.191 | 0.243 | 0.196 |
| 9 | 0.302 | | 0.206 | 0.206 | 0.213 | 0.217 | 0.212 | | 0.227 | 0.222 | 0.266 | 0.182 | 0.221 | 0.221 | 0.319 | 0.221 |
| 10 | 0.325 | | 0.215 | 0.215 | 0.229 | 0.236 | 0.227 | | 0.275 | 0.234 | 0.280 | 0.161 | 0.210 | 0.218 | 0.345 | 0.234 |
| 11 | 0.359 | | 0.245 | 0.245 | 0.249 | 0.265 | 0.253 | | 0.293 | 0.247 | 0.317 | 0.152 | 0.282 | 0.265 | 0.398 | 0.280 |
| 12 | 0.336 | | 0.265 | 0.265 | 0.271 | 0.289 | 0.275 | | 0.433 | 0.000 | 0.226 | 0.291 | 0.216 | 0.219 | 0.389 | 0.289 |
| 13 | 0.409 | | 0.280 | 0.280 | 0.302 | 0.322 | 0.301 | | 0.298 | 0.289 | | 0.179 | 0.364 | 0.327 | 0.409 | 0.277 |
| 14 | 0.345 | | 0.266 | 0.266 | 0.297 | 0.331 | 0.298 | | 0.300 | 0.215 | 0.339 | 0.000 | 0.258 | 0.237 | 0.354 | 0.306 |
| 15+ | 0.378 | | 0.285 | 0.285 | 0.432 | 0.417 | 0.378 | | 0.376 | 0.282 | 0.417 | 0.209 | 0.323 | 0.302 | 0.421 | 0.361 |
| SUM | 0.304 | | 0.127 | 0.127 | 0.194 | 0.083 | 0.119 | | 0.221 | 0.212 | 0.148 | 0.138 | 0.203 | 0.208 | 0.305 | 0.176 |

 Table 5.2.6.1.: Western horse mackerel stock. Mean weight (kg) in catch at age by quarter and area in 2009.

 10

| 2Q | | | | | | 8, | -89-1 | | | | | | | | | |
|------|-----|-----|-------|-------|---------|---------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Ages | IIa | IVa | VIIIa | VIIIb | VIIIc E | VIIIc W | VIIId | VIIa | VIIb | VIIc | VIIe | VIIh | VIIj | VIIk | VIa | Total |
| 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | | | 0.051 | 0.052 | 0.032 | 0.031 | 0.046 | | | | | | | | | 0.039 |
| 2 | | | 0.060 | 0.067 | 0.057 | 0.053 | 0.059 | | | | | | | | | 0.059 |
| 3 | | | 0.094 | 0.090 | 0.097 | 0.095 | 0.095 | | | | | | | | 0.152 | 0.093 |
| 4 | | | 0.115 | 0.108 | 0.114 | 0.120 | 0.115 | | | | | | 0.145 | | 0.154 | 0.114 |
| 5 | | | 0.140 | 0.140 | 0.142 | 0.142 | 0.141 | | | | | | 0.167 | | 0.171 | 0.150 |
| 6 | | | 0.149 | 0.139 | 0.164 | 0.163 | 0.152 | | | | | | 0.179 | | 0.186 | 0.160 |
| 7 | | | 0.178 | 0.178 | 0.181 | 0.179 | 0.179 | | | | | | 0.192 | | 0.198 | 0.186 |
| 8 | | | 0.201 | 0.201 | 0.199 | 0.195 | 0.200 | | | | | | 0.194 | | 0.247 | 0.195 |
| 9 | | | 0.220 | 0.220 | 0.214 | 0.205 | 0.218 | | | | | | 0.211 | | 0.274 | 0.211 |
| 10 | | | 0.240 | 0.240 | 0.230 | 0.224 | 0.237 | | | | | | 0.235 | | 0.288 | 0.233 |
| 11 | | | 0.265 | 0.265 | 0.260 | 0.264 | 0.263 | | | | | | 0.261 | | 0.317 | 0.263 |
| 12 | | | 0.291 | 0.291 | 0.285 | 0.296 | 0.289 | | | | | | 0.334 | | 0.336 | 0.293 |
| 13 | | | 0.326 | 0.326 | 0.311 | 0.332 | 0.321 | | | | | | 0.325 | | 0.409 | 0.327 |
| 14 | | | 0.339 | 0.339 | 0.312 | 0.343 | 0.330 | | | | | | 0.407 | | 0.345 | 0.340 |
| 15+ | | | 0.399 | 0.399 | 0.331 | 0.474 | 0.376 | | | | | | 0.319 | | 0.378 | 0.454 |
| SUM | | | 0.088 | 0.095 | 0.083 | 0.102 | 0.087 | | | | | | 0.200 | | 0.255 | 0.104 |

Table 5.2.6.1. cont.: Western horse mackerel stock. Mean weight (kg) in catch at age by quarter and area in 2009.

| 3Q | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|---------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Ages | IIa | IVa | VIIIa | VIIIb | VIIIc E | VIIIc W | VIIId | VIIa | VIIb | VIIc | VIIe | VIIh | VIIj | VIIk | VIa | Total |
| 0 | | | | 0.035 | 0.033 | | | | | | | | | | | 0.033 |
| 1 | | | | 0.048 | 0.061 | 0.104 | | | | | 0.056 | | | | | 0.059 |
| 2 | | | | 0.099 | 0.094 | 0.106 | | | | | 0.088 | | | | | 0.093 |
| 3 | | | | 0.117 | 0.119 | 0.120 | | 0.119 | 0.147 | | 0.125 | | 0.119 | | 0.152 | 0.123 |
| 4 | | 0.250 | | 0.137 | 0.136 | 0.156 | | 0.148 | 0.175 | | 0.136 | | 0.148 | | 0.172 | 0.142 |
| 5 | | 0.287 | | 0.148 | 0.154 | 0.165 | | 0.170 | 0.187 | | 0.145 | | 0.167 | | 0.185 | 0.161 |
| 6 | | 0.297 | | 0.168 | 0.168 | 0.177 | | 0.168 | 0.194 | | 0.159 | | 0.167 | | 0.191 | 0.172 |
| 7 | | 0.341 | | 0.212 | 0.186 | 0.191 | | 0.152 | 0.200 | | 0.167 | | 0.120 | | 0.191 | 0.181 |
| 8 | | 0.376 | | 0.223 | 0.202 | 0.205 | | 0.197 | 0.210 | | 0.172 | | 0.201 | | 0.200 | 0.202 |
| 9 | | 0.414 | | 0.244 | 0.221 | 0.223 | | 0.206 | 0.236 | | | | 0.204 | | 0.219 | 0.220 |
| 10 | | 0.445 | | 0.280 | 0.243 | 0.245 | | 0.237 | 0.231 | | | | 0.241 | | 0.214 | 0.246 |
| 11 | | 0.491 | | 0.288 | 0.261 | 0.262 | | 0.280 | 0.260 | | | | 0.280 | | 0.232 | 0.272 |
| 12 | | 0.473 | | 0.245 | 0.301 | 0.313 | | 0.258 | 0.230 | | | | 0.258 | | | 0.325 |
| 13 | | 0.435 | | 0.269 | 0.305 | 0.334 | | 0.244 | 0.235 | | | | 0.219 | | | 0.297 |
| 14 | | 0.458 | | 0.300 | 0.368 | 0.391 | | 0.317 | 0.276 | | | | 0.317 | | | 0.390 |
| 15+ | | 0.536 | | 0.297 | 0.409 | 0.490 | | 0.256 | 0.251 | | | | 0.256 | | | 0.488 |
| SUM | 0.425 | 0.425 | | 0.141 | 0.115 | 0.267 | | 0.191 | 0.209 | | 0.120 | | 0.191 | | 0.195 | 0.181 |

Table 5.2.6.1. cont.: Western horse mackerel stock. Mean weight (kg) in catch at age by quarter and area in 2009.

| 4Q | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Ages | IIa | IVa | VIIIa | VIIIb | VIIIc E | VIIIc W | VIIId | VIIa | VIIb | VIIc | VIIe | VIIh | VIIj | VIIk | VIa | Total |
| 0 | | | | 0.044 | 0.045 | 0.031 | | | | | | | | | | 0.031 |
| 1 | | | | 0.055 | 0.059 | 0.038 | | | | | 0.054 | | | | | 0.044 |
| 2 | | | | 0.070 | 0.080 | 0.083 | | | | | 0.082 | | | | | 0.082 |
| 3 | | | | 0.127 | 0.128 | 0.103 | | | 0.147 | | 0.096 | | | | 0.151 | 0.101 |
| 4 | 0.250 | 0.250 | | 0.139 | 0.141 | 0.149 | | 0.158 | 0.174 | 0.149 | 0.120 | 0.158 | 0.158 | 0.154 | 0.169 | 0.151 |
| 5 | 0.287 | 0.287 | | 0.149 | 0.158 | 0.164 | | 0.189 | 0.183 | 0.166 | 0.145 | 0.189 | 0.181 | 0.183 | 0.183 | 0.177 |
| 6 | 0.297 | 0.297 | | 0.170 | 0.172 | 0.178 | | 0.188 | 0.195 | 0.179 | 0.145 | 0.188 | 0.189 | 0.186 | 0.196 | 0.203 |
| 7 | 0.341 | 0.341 | | 0.205 | 0.189 | 0.194 | | 0.195 | 0.200 | 0.191 | 0.184 | 0.195 | 0.199 | 0.194 | 0.205 | 0.262 |
| 8 | 0.376 | 0.376 | | 0.217 | 0.202 | 0.208 | | 0.214 | 0.212 | 0.221 | 0.163 | 0.214 | 0.214 | 0.216 | 0.217 | 0.256 |
| 9 | 0.414 | 0.414 | | 0.246 | 0.222 | 0.227 | | 0.224 | 0.235 | 0.225 | 0.239 | 0.224 | 0.224 | 0.225 | 0.240 | 0.323 |
| 10 | 0.445 | 0.445 | | 0.283 | 0.243 | 0.255 | | 0.248 | 0.238 | 0.281 | 0.247 | 0.248 | 0.214 | 0.256 | 0.260 | 0.371 |
| 11 | 0.491 | 0.491 | | 0.292 | 0.261 | 0.285 | | 0.313 | 0.256 | 0.319 | 0.160 | 0.313 | 0.313 | 0.316 | 0.279 | 0.448 |
| 12 | 0.473 | 0.473 | | 0.252 | 0.302 | 0.319 | | 0.000 | 0.242 | 0.224 | 0.169 | | | 0.224 | 0.258 | 0.441 |
| 13 | 0.435 | 0.435 | | 0.301 | 0.307 | 0.353 | | 0.340 | 0.245 | 0.293 | | 0.340 | 0.388 | 0.328 | 0.283 | 0.360 |
| 14 | 0.458 | 0.458 | | 0.338 | 0.372 | 0.386 | | 0.415 | 0.276 | 0.268 | | 0.415 | 0.415 | 0.342 | 0.243 | 0.405 |
| 15+ | 0.536 | 0.536 | | 0.343 | 0.411 | 0.481 | | 0.290 | 0.269 | 0.307 | 0.307 | 0.290 | 0.292 | 0.295 | 0.306 | 0.484 |
| SUM | 0.425 | 0.425 | | 0.183 | 0.176 | 0.055 | | 0.224 | 0.211 | 0.221 | 0.138 | 0.224 | 0.223 | 0.223 | 0.213 | 0.255 |

Table 5.2.6.1. cont.: Western horse mackerel stock. Mean weight (kg) in catch at age by quarter and area in 2009.

| Q1-4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Ages | IIa | IVa | VIIIa | VIIIb | VIIIc E | VIIIc W | VIIId | VIIa | VIIb | VIIc | VIIe | VIIh | VIIj | VIIk | VIa | Total |
| 0 | | | | 0.042 | 0.034 | 0.031 | | | | | | | | | | 0.032 |
| 1 | | | 0.050 | 0.052 | 0.042 | 0.035 | 0.046 | | | | 0.054 | 0.054 | | | | 0.043 |
| 2 | | | 0.059 | 0.067 | 0.080 | 0.062 | 0.060 | | | | 0.079 | 0.082 | | | | 0.066 |
| 3 | | | 0.097 | 0.090 | 0.113 | 0.092 | 0.095 | 0.119 | 0.147 | | 0.104 | 0.118 | 0.119 | | 0.151 | 0.098 |
| 4 | 0.247 | 0.250 | 0.119 | 0.108 | 0.130 | 0.122 | 0.115 | 0.153 | 0.174 | 0.149 | 0.127 | 0.121 | 0.147 | 0.154 | 0.169 | 0.125 |
| 5 | 0.286 | 0.287 | 0.142 | 0.143 | 0.153 | 0.153 | 0.141 | 0.186 | 0.183 | 0.166 | 0.145 | 0.134 | 0.169 | 0.181 | 0.183 | 0.159 |
| 6 | 0.297 | 0.297 | 0.154 | 0.141 | 0.168 | 0.172 | 0.158 | 0.185 | 0.191 | 0.175 | 0.153 | 0.138 | 0.173 | 0.182 | 0.195 | 0.180 |
| 7 | 0.341 | 0.341 | 0.174 | 0.190 | 0.186 | 0.188 | 0.179 | 0.190 | 0.197 | 0.181 | 0.188 | 0.143 | 0.181 | 0.187 | 0.203 | 0.223 |
| 8 | 0.374 | 0.376 | 0.192 | 0.212 | 0.201 | 0.202 | 0.198 | 0.212 | 0.209 | 0.200 | 0.168 | 0.164 | 0.195 | 0.201 | 0.223 | 0.230 |
| 9 | 0.413 | 0.414 | 0.209 | 0.228 | 0.218 | 0.220 | 0.214 | 0.223 | 0.233 | 0.223 | 0.243 | 0.196 | 0.217 | 0.223 | 0.269 | 0.284 |
| 10 | 0.444 | 0.445 | 0.224 | 0.246 | 0.236 | 0.240 | 0.230 | 0.248 | 0.251 | 0.244 | 0.255 | 0.177 | 0.218 | 0.238 | 0.311 | 0.313 |
| 11 | 0.490 | 0.491 | 0.256 | 0.275 | 0.259 | 0.265 | 0.258 | 0.311 | 0.272 | 0.253 | 0.161 | 0.157 | 0.282 | 0.271 | 0.377 | 0.391 |
| 12 | 0.472 | 0.473 | 0.283 | 0.258 | 0.289 | 0.304 | 0.283 | 0.258 | 0.254 | 0.224 | 0.183 | 0.291 | 0.222 | 0.221 | 0.357 | 0.402 |
| 13 | 0.434 | 0.435 | 0.321 | 0.304 | 0.308 | 0.335 | 0.314 | 0.335 | 0.264 | 0.291 | 0.000 | 0.193 | 0.340 | 0.328 | 0.378 | 0.315 |
| 14 | 0.455 | 0.458 | 0.331 | 0.335 | 0.333 | 0.374 | 0.318 | 0.411 | 0.293 | 0.247 | 0.339 | 0.415 | 0.376 | 0.318 | 0.312 | 0.370 |
| 15+ | 0.534 | 0.536 | 0.379 | 0.355 | 0.389 | 0.480 | 0.377 | 0.288 | 0.330 | 0.287 | 0.310 | 0.221 | 0.312 | 0.299 | 0.409 | 0.448 |
| SUM | 0.424 | 0.425 | 0.102 | 0.097 | 0.115 | 0.105 | 0.099 | 0.221 | 0.214 | 0.215 | 0.136 | 0.148 | 0.205 | 0.216 | 0.241 | 0.200 |

Table 5.2.6.1. cont.: Western horse mackerel stock. Mean weight (kg) in catch at age by quarter and area in 2009.

| | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11+ |
|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 1982 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.050 | 0.080 | 0.207 | 0.232 | 0.269 | 0.280 | 0.292 | 0.305 | 0.369 | 0.352 |
| 1983 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.050 | 0.080 | 0.171 | 0.227 | 0.257 | 0.276 | 0.270 | 0.243 | 0.390 | 0.311 |
| 1984 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.050 | 0.077 | 0.122 | 0.155 | 0.201 | 0.223 | 0.253 | 0.246 | 0.338 | 0.287 |
| 1985 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.050 | 0.081 | 0.148 | 0.140 | 0.193 | 0.236 | 0.242 | 0.289 | 0.247 | 0.306 |
| 1986 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.050 | 0.080 | 0.105 | 0.134 | 0.169 | 0.195 | 0.242 | 0.292 | 0.262 | 0.342 |
| 1987 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.050 | 0.080 | 0.105 | 0.126 | 0.150 | 0.171 | 0.218 | 0.254 | 0.281 | 0.317 |
| 1988 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.050 | 0.080 | 0.105 | 0.126 | 0.141 | 0.143 | 0.217 | 0.274 | 0.305 | 0.366 |
| 1989 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.050 | 0.080 | 0.105 | 0.103 | 0.131 | 0.159 | 0.127 | 0.210 | 0.252 | 0.336 |
| 1990 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.050 | 0.080 | 0.105 | 0.127 | 0.135 | 0.124 | 0.154 | 0.174 | 0.282 | 0.345 |
| 1991 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.050 | 0.080 | 0.121 | 0.137 | 0.143 | 0.144 | 0.150 | 0.182 | 0.189 | 0.333 |
| 1992 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.050 | 0.080 | 0.105 | 0.133 | 0.151 | 0.150 | 0.158 | 0.160 | 0.182 | 0.287 |
| 1993 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.050 | 0.080 | 0.105 | 0.153 | 0.166 | 0.173 | 0.172 | 0.170 | 0.206 | 0.222 |
| 1994 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.050 | 0.080 | 0.105 | 0.147 | 0.185 | 0.169 | 0.191 | 0.191 | 0.190 | 0.235 |
| 1995 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.050 | 0.066 | 0.119 | 0.096 | 0.152 | 0.166 | 0.178 | 0.187 | 0.197 | 0.233 |
| 1996 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.050 | 0.095 | 0.118 | 0.129 | 0.148 | 0.172 | 0.183 | 0.185 | 0.202 | 0.238 |
| 1997 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.050 | 0.080 | 0.112 | 0.124 | 0.162 | 0.169 | 0.184 | 0.188 | 0.208 | 0.238 |
| 1998 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.050 | 0.090 | 0.108 | 0.129 | 0.142 | 0.151 | 0.162 | 0.174 | 0.191 | 0.215 |
| 1999 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.050 | 0.110 | 0.120 | 0.130 | 0.160 | 0.170 | 0.180 | 0.190 | 0.210 | 0.222 |
| 2000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.050 | 0.087 | 0.108 | 0.148 | 0.170 | 0.173 | 0.193 | 0.202 | 0.257 | 0.260 |
| 2001 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.070 | 0.074 | 0.082 | 0.100 | 0.121 | 0.131 | 0.142 | 0.161 | 0.187 | 0.268 |
| 2002 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.050 | 0.109 | 0.120 | 0.135 | 0.146 | 0.153 | 0.177 | 0.206 | 0.216 | 0.275 |
| 2003 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.050 | 0.110 | 0.142 | 0.139 | 0.161 | 0.169 | 0.169 | 0.176 | 0.176 | 0.206 |
| 2004 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.050 | 0.104 | 0.114 | 0.127 | 0.142 | 0.157 | 0.168 | 0.166 | 0.178 | 0.213 |
| 2005 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.085 | 0.095 | 0.110 | 0.141 | 0.163 | 0.182 | 0.197 | 0.181 | 0.209 | 0.243 |
| 2006 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.085 | 0.098 | 0.095 | 0.113 | 0.167 | 0.157 | 0.164 | 0.205 | 0.195 | 0.229 |
| 2007 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.085 | 0.098 | 0.095 | 0.118 | 0.128 | 0.137 | 0.168 | 0.180 | 0.173 | 0.181 |
| 2008 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.085 | 0.107 | 0.128 | 0.142 | 0.153 | 0.160 | 0.169 | 0.188 | 0.263 | 0.217 |
| 2009 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.085 | 0.125 | 0.15 | 0.177 | 0.168 | 0.169 | 0.205 | 0.223 | 0.217 | 0.316 |

Table 5.2.6.3 Western horse mackerel. Stock weights-at-age (kg).

| | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11+ |
|------|---|---|------|------|------|------|---|---|---|---|----|-----|
| 1982 | 0 | 0 | 0.40 | 0.80 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1983 | 0 | 0 | 0.30 | 0.70 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1984 | 0 | 0 | 0.10 | 0.60 | 0.85 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1985 | 0 | 0 | 0.10 | 0.40 | 0.80 | 0.95 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1986 | 0 | 0 | 0.10 | 0.40 | 0.60 | 0.90 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1987 | 0 | 0 | 0.10 | 0.40 | 0.60 | 0.80 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1988 | 0 | 0 | 0.10 | 0.40 | 0.60 | 0.80 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1989 | 0 | 0 | 0.10 | 0.40 | 0.60 | 0.80 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1990 | 0 | 0 | 0.10 | 0.40 | 0.60 | 0.80 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1991 | 0 | 0 | 0.10 | 0.40 | 0.60 | 0.80 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1992 | 0 | 0 | 0.10 | 0.40 | 0.60 | 0.80 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1993 | 0 | 0 | 0.10 | 0.40 | 0.60 | 0.80 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1994 | 0 | 0 | 0.10 | 0.40 | 0.60 | 0.80 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1995 | 0 | 0 | 0.10 | 0.40 | 0.60 | 0.80 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1996 | 0 | 0 | 0.10 | 0.40 | 0.60 | 0.80 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1997 | 0 | 0 | 0.10 | 0.40 | 0.60 | 0.80 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1998 | 0 | 0 | 0.05 | 0.25 | 0.70 | 0.95 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1999 | 0 | 0 | 0.05 | 0.25 | 0.70 | 0.95 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2000 | 0 | 0 | 0.05 | 0.25 | 0.70 | 0.95 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2001 | 0 | 0 | 0.05 | 0.25 | 0.70 | 0.95 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2002 | 0 | 0 | 0.05 | 0.25 | 0.70 | 0.95 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2003 | 0 | 0 | 0.05 | 0.25 | 0.70 | 0.95 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2004 | 0 | 0 | 0.05 | 0.25 | 0.70 | 0.95 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2005 | 0 | 0 | 0.05 | 0.25 | 0.70 | 0.95 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2006 | 0 | 0 | 0.05 | 0.25 | 0.70 | 0.95 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2007 | 0 | 0 | 0.05 | 0.25 | 0.70 | 0.95 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2008 | 0 | 0 | 0.05 | 0.25 | 0.70 | 0.95 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2009 | 0 | 0 | 0.05 | 0.25 | 0.70 | 0.95 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Table 5.2.7.1 Western horse mackerel. Maturity-at-age.

| | 19 | 87 | 19 | 92 | 19 | 95 | 19 | 98 | 20 | 00 | 20 | 01 | 2001 (| contd) |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|
| | w | pfec. | w | pfec. |
| 1 | 0.168 | 1.524 | 0.105 | 1.317 | 0.13 | 1.307 | 0.172 | 1.318 | 0.258 | 0.841 | 0.086 | 0.688 | 0.165 | 1.382 |
| 2 | 0.179 | 0.916 | 0.109 | 2.056 | 0.157 | 1.246 | 0.104 | 0.867 | 0.268 | 0.747 | 0.08 | 0.812 | 0.166 | 1.579 |
| 3 | 0.192 | 2.083 | 0.11 | 1.869 | 0.168 | 1.699 | 0.112 | 1.312 | 0.304 | 1.188 | 0.081 | 0.535 | 0.167 | 1.479 |
| 4 | 0.233 | 1.644 | 0.112 | 1.772 | 0.179 | 1.135 | 0.206 | 0.382 | 0.311 | 1.411 | 0.095 | 0.88 | 0.113 | 0.527 |
| 5 | 0.213 | 1.066 | 0.115 | 1.188 | 0.189 | 1.529 | 0.207 | 0.78 | 0.337 | 0.613 | 0.11 | 1.164 | 0.14 | 0.876 |
| 6 | 0.217 | 2.392 | 0.119 | 1.317 | 0.168 | 1.1 | 0.109 | 1.133 | 0.339 | 1.571 | 0.113 | 1.106 | 0.122 | 0.589 |
| 7 | 0.277 | 1.617 | 0.12 | 1.413 | 0.209 | 1.497 | 0.132 | 1.02 | 0.341 | 1.522 | 0.095 | 0.823 | 0.12 | 0.68 |
| 8 | 0.279 | 1.018 | 0.123 | 1.293 | 0.215 | 1.524 | 0.2 | 1.088 | 0.355 | 1.056 | 0.11 | 0.883 | 0.121 | 0.578 |
| 9 | 0.274 | 1.62 | 0.123 | 1.991 | 0.218 | 1.616 | 0.152 | 1.417 | 0.357 | 0.604 | 0.108 | 0.823 | 0.139 | 0.723 |
| 10 | 0.3 | 1.513 | 0.131 | 1.617 | 0.226 | 1.883 | 0.149 | 1.004 | 0.367 | 1.15 | 0.097 | 0.741 | 0.144 | 1.213 |
| 11 | 0.32 | 1.647 | 0.135 | 0.793 | 0.22 | 1.324 | | | 0.393 | 1.279 | 0.101 | 0.853 | 0.144 | 1.265 |
| 12 | 0.273 | 1.956 | 0.131 | 1.039 | 0.236 | 1.221 | | | 0.393 | 0.668 | 0.106 | 1.133 | 0.171 | 0.956 |
| 13 | 0.212 | 2.83 | 0.136 | 1.06 | 0.261 | 1.21 | | | 0.413 | 0.694 | 0.107 | 0.935 | 0.121 | 0.602 |
| 14 | 0.268 | 1.687 | 0.138 | 1.489 | 0.245 | 1.445 | | | 0.421 | 1.339 | 0.107 | 0.494 | 0.122 | 0.689 |
| 15 | 0.32 | 1.088 | 0.147 | 1.214 | 0.306 | 1.693 | | | 0.423 | 0.798 | 0.11 | 0.85 | 0.139 | 0.91 |
| 16 | 0.318 | 1.208 | 0.151 | 1.158 | 0.314 | 1.312 | | | 0.445 | 1.03 | 0.111 | 0.67 | 0.153 | 0.943 |
| 17 | 0.343 | 1.933 | 0.16 | 1.349 | 0.46 | 1.575 | | | 0.446 | 1.208 | 0.103 | 0.632 | 0.154 | 0.70 |
| 18 | 0.378 | 1.429 | 0.165 | 1.359 | 0.449 | 1.43 | | | 0.152 | 0.643 | 0.111 | 0.547 | 0.156 | 0.773 |
| 19 | 0.404 | 1.849 | 0.165 | 0.945 | | | | | 0.165 | 0.579 | 0.118 | 0.88 | 0.162 | 1.15 |
| 20 | 0.428 | 2.236 | 0.167 | 1 | | | | | 0.175 | 0.596 | 0.107 | 0.944 | 0.174 | 1.389 |
| 21 | 0.398 | 1.538 | 0.168 | 1.545 | | | | | 0.179 | 0.997 | 0.104 | 0.724 | 0.175 | 1.42 |
| 22 | 0.431 | 1.223 | 0.18 | 1.299 | | | | | 0.19 | 0.744 | 0.111 | 0.86 | 0.179 | 1.248 |
| 23 | 0.432 | 1.465 | 0.174 | 1.487 | | | | | 0.197 | 0.613 | 0.11 | 0.728 | 0.179 | 1.23 |
| 24 | 0.421 | 1.843 | 0.178 | 1.594 | | | | | 0.203 | 0.702 | 0.111 | 0.544 | 0.18 | 2.35 |
| 25 | 0.481 | 1.757 | 0.185 | 1.475 | | | | | 0.219 | 0.472 | 0.129 | 0.935 | 0.184 | 2.25 |
| 26 | 0.494 | 1.611 | 0.195 | 1.41 | | | | | 0.223 | 0.806 | 0.114 | 0.901 | 0.139 | 0.93 |
| 27 | 0.54 | 1.754 | 0.203 | 1.937 | | | | | 0.227 | 0.606 | 0.114 | 0.557 | 0.161 | 1.032 |
| 28 | 0.564 | 2.255 | 0.205 | 1.534 | | | | | 0.289 | 1.273 | 0.151 | 1.377 | 0.162 | 0.893 |
| 29 | 0.585 | 1.221 | 0.213 | 1.577 | | | | | 0.294 | 1.395 | 0.153 | 1.596 | 0.169 | 0.69 |
| 30 | | | 0.222 | 0.958 | | | | | 0.3 | 1.305 | 0.154 | 1.699 | 0.18 | 1.609 |
| 31 | | | 0.275 | 2.444 | | | | | | | 0.103 | 0.679 | 0.185 | 1.770 |
| 32 | | | | | | | | | | | 0.12 | 1.14 | 0.211 | 2.10 |
| 33 | | | | | | | | | | | 0.12 | 0.631 | 0.224 | 1.46 |
| 34 | | | | | | | | | | | 0.121 | 0.834 | 0.162 | 0.849 |
| 35 | | | | | | | | | | | 0.144 | 0.626 | 0.17 | 0.66 |
| 36 | | | | | | | | | | | 0.116 | 0.668 | 0.187 | 1.45 |
| 37 | | | | | | | | | | | 0.118 | 1.194 | 0.198 | 1.37 |
| 38 | | | | | | | | | | | 0.112 | 0.779 | 0.219 | 1.84 |
| 39 40 | | | | | | | | | | | 0.126 | 0.782 | 0.22 | 1.578 |
| 40 | | | | | | | | | | | 0.139 | 1.244 | 0.201 | 0.878 |
| 41 | | | | | | | | | | | 0.119 | 1.212 | 0.206 | 1.19 |
| 42 | | | | | | | | | | | 0.109 | 0.755 | 0.223 | 1.11 |
| ±3 4 4 | | | | | | | | | | | 0.122 | 0.841 | 0.225 | 1.4 |
| 44 45 | | | | | | | | | | | 0.131 | 0.929 | 0.233 | 1.72 |
| 45 | | | | | | | | | | | 0.135 | 0.862 | 0.241 | 1.13 |
| 46 | | | | | | | | | | | 0.142 | 1.834 | 0.219 | 0.9 |
| 47 | | | | | | | | | | | 0.146 | 1.689 | 0.237 | 1.3 |
| 48 | | | | | | | | | | | 0.148 | 1.357 | 0.241 | 0.91 |
| 49 | | | | | | | | | | | 0.151 | 1.817 | 0.34 | 0.60 |
| 5U | | | | | | | | | | | 0.164 | 1.631 | 0.407 | 1.18 |
| 21 | | | | | | | | | | | 0.164 | 1.052 | | |

Table 5.2.9.1 Western horse mackerel. Potential fecundity (10⁶ eggs) per kg spawning female vs.

| | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11+ |
|------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|---------|
| 1982 | 72968000 | 745398 | 1854650 | 3389430 | 489946 | 442168 | 353361 | 263486 | 40932.3 | 44356.1 | 48731.9 | 2402210 |
| 1983 | 508231 | 62804200 | 638125 | 1576770 | 2792300 | 411017 | 368334 | 293248 | 218576 | 33699 | 37793.6 | 2032620 |
| 1984 | 1464150 | 437439 | 54048700 | 547134 | 1326610 | 2353710 | 339530 | 275707 | 203534 | 171502 | 25951.8 | 1503090 |
| 1985 | 2696370 | 1260200 | 376507 | 46296200 | 466805 | 1108150 | 1886890 | 271501 | 201823 | 143621 | 133923 | 1092620 |
| 1986 | 3904260 | 2320790 | 1083150 | 319516 | 39288100 | 397642 | 914997 | 1530940 | 221953 | 158707 | 117864 | 977472 |
| 1987 | 5277960 | 3360430 | 1997520 | 932276 | 273574 | 33188200 | 334157 | 727105 | 1215870 | 167182 | 116952 | 811471 |
| 1988 | 2117200 | 4542790 | 2892260 | 1718820 | 802418 | 232730 | 27738200 | 285700 | 587265 | 962258 | 133003 | 728920 |
| 1989 | 2258540 | 1821480 | 3884620 | 2483720 | 1477460 | 686563 | 182715 | 23000800 | 234664 | 468434 | 765258 | 683426 |
| 1990 | 2068440 | 1943940 | 1567760 | 3343520 | 2118490 | 1254700 | 586006 | 143812 | 18612500 | 190802 | 348117 | 1101270 |
| 1991 | 4025170 | 1780320 | 1654230 | 1307600 | 2748910 | 1766400 | 1049040 | 494593 | 104662 | 14735100 | 129888 | 976218 |
| 1992 | 7939440 | 3445350 | 1501200 | 1340580 | 1104090 | 2173270 | 1387620 | 834512 | 402165 | 66348.3 | 11551100 | 763224 |
| 1993 | 9965120 | 6819730 | 2752340 | 1258390 | 1079120 | 898093 | 1632970 | 1076470 | 672793 | 328470 | 35351.8 | 9510160 |
| 1994 | 14630100 | 8577020 | 5768530 | 2281290 | 1067580 | 870621 | 685128 | 1111100 | 792363 | 513471 | 252386 | 7011480 |
| 1995 | 7356710 | 12588800 | 7325940 | 4119190 | 1856160 | 869579 | 707888 | 553728 | 750402 | 583177 | 404098 | 5126410 |
| 1996 | 3628950 | 6329470 | 10681800 | 5868990 | 3151530 | 1397710 | 693685 | 525016 | 443520 | 418366 | 391435 | 3502840 |
| 1997 | 3134420 | 3113520 | 5429480 | 8582820 | 4252710 | 2539700 | 1123690 | 549406 | 400647 | 332219 | 307088 | 2827690 |
| 1998 | 4505130 | 2693320 | 2577640 | 4241470 | 6704560 | 3279370 | 1959270 | 856710 | 354444 | 220070 | 183927 | 1671380 |
| 1999 | 4636890 | 3876910 | 2233260 | 2047480 | 3197320 | 5436570 | 2618800 | 1540340 | 623651 | 229463 | 126084 | 1126260 |
| 2000 | 4094090 | 3977260 | 3246370 | 1844520 | 1598150 | 2505340 | 4443170 | 2057130 | 1152060 | 400099 | 125423 | 751311 |
| 2001 | 19753200 | 3523220 | 3350100 | 2672540 | 1538690 | 1308950 | 2016400 | 3666190 | 1605460 | 867927 | 287240 | 638169 |
| 2002 | 4210640 | 16947300 | 2968050 | 2654750 | 2159530 | 1233020 | 1032600 | 1627030 | 2937660 | 1192740 | 650576 | 688974 |
| 2003 | 2659930 | 3611420 | 14158900 | 2443200 | 2131910 | 1741530 | 1001480 | 823983 | 1312790 | 2407600 | 947437 | 1065640 |
| 2004 | 1368060 | 2287710 | 2826600 | 11643300 | 1949180 | 1693820 | 1416430 | 808688 | 666908 | 1084030 | 1986180 | 1633290 |
| 2005 | 1061950 | 1157790 | 1837890 | 2309040 | 9413630 | 1592560 | 1369930 | 1160330 | 663541 | 547053 | 894595 | 3020570 |
| 2006 | 634660 | 912856 | 912492 | 1479740 | 1834690 | 7580870 | 1265960 | 1106930 | 939502 | 537066 | 446210 | 3239430 |
| 2007 | 998625 | 544493 | 739187 | 749893 | 1204990 | 1508000 | 6175200 | 1042960 | 913252 | 774922 | 445357 | 3086710 |
| 2008 | 1364910 | 855272 | 438219 | 604664 | 607279 | 985860 | 1221620 | 5065200 | 856837 | 750065 | 640206 | 2950010 |
| 2009 | | 1147040 | 674537 | 353007 | 480747 | 489302 | 784171 | 987583 | 4103200 | 693853 | 612057 | 2971480 |
| 2010 | | | 878499 | 531429 | 273290 | 378865 | 379031 | 620744 | 783903 | 3255390 | 556144 | 2927240 |

Table 5.3.1.1 Western horse mackerel. Final assessment. Numbers-at-age (thousands).

Table 5.3.1.2 Western horse mackerel. Final assessment. Fishing mortality-at-age.

| | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11+ |
|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 1982 | 0.000 | 0.005 | 0.012 | 0.044 | 0.026 | 0.033 | 0.036 | 0.037 | 0.044 | 0.010 | 0.037 | 0.037 |
| 1983 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.004 | 0.023 | 0.021 | 0.041 | 0.140 | 0.215 | 0.093 | 0.111 | 0.170 | 0.170 |
| 1984 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.005 | 0.009 | 0.030 | 0.071 | 0.074 | 0.162 | 0.199 | 0.097 | 0.186 | 0.186 |
| 1985 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.014 | 0.014 | 0.010 | 0.042 | 0.059 | 0.052 | 0.090 | 0.048 | 0.077 | 0.077 |
| 1986 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.005 | 0.019 | 0.024 | 0.080 | 0.080 | 0.133 | 0.155 | 0.150 | 0.150 |
| 1987 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.012 | 0.029 | 0.007 | 0.064 | 0.084 | 0.079 | 0.092 | 0.092 |
| 1988 | 0.000 | 0.007 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.006 | 0.092 | 0.037 | 0.047 | 0.076 | 0.079 | 0.082 | 0.082 |
| 1989 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.009 | 0.013 | 0.008 | 0.089 | 0.062 | 0.057 | 0.147 | 0.124 | 0.124 |
| 1990 | 0.000 | 0.011 | 0.031 | 0.046 | 0.032 | 0.029 | 0.020 | 0.168 | 0.084 | 0.235 | 0.245 | 0.245 |
| 1991 | 0.006 | 0.021 | 0.060 | 0.019 | 0.085 | 0.091 | 0.079 | 0.057 | 0.306 | 0.093 | 0.221 | 0.221 |
| 1992 | 0.002 | 0.075 | 0.026 | 0.067 | 0.057 | 0.136 | 0.104 | 0.065 | 0.052 | 0.480 | 0.108 | 0.108 |
| 1993 | 0.000 | 0.017 | 0.038 | 0.014 | 0.065 | 0.121 | 0.235 | 0.156 | 0.120 | 0.113 | 0.159 | 0.159 |
| 1994 | 0.000 | 0.008 | 0.187 | 0.056 | 0.055 | 0.057 | 0.063 | 0.242 | 0.157 | 0.090 | 0.199 | 0.199 |
| 1995 | 0.000 | 0.014 | 0.072 | 0.118 | 0.134 | 0.076 | 0.149 | 0.072 | 0.434 | 0.249 | 0.307 | 0.307 |
| 1996 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.069 | 0.172 | 0.066 | 0.068 | 0.083 | 0.120 | 0.139 | 0.159 | 0.170 | 0.170 |
| 1997 | 0.002 | 0.039 | 0.097 | 0.097 | 0.110 | 0.109 | 0.121 | 0.288 | 0.449 | 0.441 | 0.479 | 0.479 |
| 1998 | 0.000 | 0.037 | 0.080 | 0.133 | 0.060 | 0.075 | 0.091 | 0.168 | 0.285 | 0.407 | 0.349 | 0.349 |
| 1999 | 0.003 | 0.027 | 0.041 | 0.098 | 0.094 | 0.052 | 0.091 | 0.140 | 0.294 | 0.454 | 0.361 | 0.361 |
| 2000 | 0.000 | 0.022 | 0.045 | 0.031 | 0.050 | 0.067 | 0.042 | 0.098 | 0.133 | 0.181 | 0.168 | 0.168 |
| 2001 | 0.003 | 0.021 | 0.083 | 0.063 | 0.071 | 0.087 | 0.065 | 0.072 | 0.147 | 0.138 | 0.145 | 0.145 |
| 2002 | 0.004 | 0.030 | 0.045 | 0.069 | 0.065 | 0.058 | 0.076 | 0.065 | 0.049 | 0.080 | 0.079 | 0.079 |
| 2003 | 0.001 | 0.095 | 0.046 | 0.076 | 0.080 | 0.057 | 0.064 | 0.061 | 0.041 | 0.042 | 0.059 | 0.059 |
| 2004 | 0.017 | 0.069 | 0.052 | 0.063 | 0.052 | 0.062 | 0.049 | 0.048 | 0.048 | 0.042 | 0.031 | 0.031 |
| 2005 | 0.001 | 0.088 | 0.067 | 0.080 | 0.067 | 0.080 | 0.063 | 0.061 | 0.061 | 0.054 | 0.039 | 0.039 |
| 2006 | 0.003 | 0.061 | 0.046 | 0.055 | 0.046 | 0.055 | 0.044 | 0.042 | 0.043 | 0.037 | 0.027 | 0.027 |
| 2007 | 0.005 | 0.067 | 0.051 | 0.061 | 0.051 | 0.061 | 0.048 | 0.047 | 0.047 | 0.041 | 0.030 | 0.030 |
| 2008 | 0.024 | 0.087 | 0.066 | 0.079 | 0.066 | 0.079 | 0.063 | 0.061 | 0.061 | 0.053 | 0.039 | 0.039 |
| 2009 | 0.000 | 0.117 | 0.088 | 0.106 | 0.088 | 0.105 | 0.084 | 0.081 | 0.081 | 0.071 | 0.052 | 0.052 |

| | R (age 0) | SSB | TSB | Catch | Yield/SSB | F (1-3) | F(4-8) | F(1-10) |
|------|----------------------|---------|---------|--------|-----------|---------|--------|---------|
| | (thousands) | (tons) | (tons) | (tons) | | | | |
| 1982 | 72968000 | 1394070 | 1625760 | 61197 | 0.044 | 0.021 | 0.035 | 0.028 |
| 1983 | 508231 | 1390420 | 1618519 | 90442 | 0.065 | 0.009 | 0.102 | 0.082 |
| 1984 | 1464150 | 1308030 | 3934806 | 96744 | 0.074 | 0.005 | 0.107 | 0.083 |
| 1985 | 2696370 | 2385130 | 4879058 | 103843 | 0.044 | 0.010 | 0.051 | 0.041 |
| 1986 | 3904260 | 3168430 | 5176652 | 145999 | 0.046 | 0.002 | 0.067 | 0.065 |
| 1987 | 5277960 | 3835900 | 5156979 | 187338 | 0.049 | 0.000 | 0.039 | 0.037 |
| 1988 | 2117200 | 4395240 | 5046084 | 214729 | 0.049 | 0.003 | 0.052 | 0.043 |
| 1989 | 2258540 | 4064270 | 4850490 | 296037 | 0.073 | 0.003 | 0.046 | 0.051 |
| 1990 | 2068440 | 3442980 | 4202233 | 398645 | 0.116 | 0.030 | 0.066 | 0.090 |
| 1991 | 4025170 | 3311910 | 4030285 | 357288 | 0.108 | 0.033 | 0.124 | 0.103 |
| 1992 | 7939440 | 2745600 | 3317491 | 394793 | 0.144 | 0.056 | 0.083 | 0.117 |
| 1993 | 9965120 | 2595260 | 3236405 | 458628 | 0.177 | 0.023 | 0.139 | 0.104 |
| 1994 | 14630100 | 2187890 | 2970597 | 413022 | 0.189 | 0.084 | 0.115 | 0.111 |
| 1995 | 7356710 | 1714980 | 2658730 | 538131 | 0.314 | 0.068 | 0.173 | 0.162 |
| 1996 | 3628950 | 1675880 | 2908105 | 420942 | 0.251 | 0.081 | 0.095 | 0.105 |
| 1997 | 3134420 | 1645220 | 2897254 | 471700 | 0.287 | 0.078 | 0.216 | 0.223 |
| 1998 | 4505130 | 1668230 | 2555514 | 326443 | 0.196 | 0.083 | 0.135 | 0.168 |
| 1999 | 4636890 | 1859800 | 2540547 | 298076 | 0.160 | 0.056 | 0.134 | 0.165 |
| 2000 | 4094090 | 1948020 | 2508147 | 196911 | 0.101 | 0.032 | 0.078 | 0.084 |
| 2001 | 19753200 | 1422400 | 2006053 | 212090 | 0.149 | 0.056 | 0.088 | 0.089 |
| 2002 | 4210640 | 1737890 | 2358729 | 194292 | 0.112 | 0.048 | 0.062 | 0.062 |
| 2003 | 2659930 | 1710510 | 2853862 | 190183 | 0.111 | 0.072 | 0.061 | 0.062 |
| 2004 | 1368060 | 1823480 | 3111072 | 157627 | 0.086 | 0.061 | 0.052 | 0.052 |
| 2005 | 1061950 | 2356290 | 3220811 | 181994 | 0.077 | 0.078 | 0.066 | 0.066 |
| 2006 | 634660 | 2251270 | 2731731 | 155094 | 0.069 | 0.054 | 0.046 | 0.046 |
| 2007 | 998625 | 1955010 | 2290703 | 123408 | 0.063 | 0.060 | 0.051 | 0.050 |
| 2008 | 1364910 | 2095550 | 2412230 | 139741 | 0.067 | 0.078 | 0.066 | 0.065 |
| 2009 | 3046490 ¹ | 2276680 | 2627135 | 177000 | 0.078 | 0.104 | 0.088 | 0.087 |
| 2010 | | 2009260 | | | | | | |

Table 5.3.1.3 Western horse mackerel. Final assessment. Stock summary table.

Note: the final estimate of SSB assumes the same F-at-age as in the preceding year

1. R(age 0) in 2009 is the geometric mean of the time series 1983 to 2008

| | | ~ ~ |
|--|--|-----|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

| Stock Natural Maturity Prop. Of F Prop. Of M Weights in before spw. Exploration Weights in the tack 0 3046490 0.15 0.00 0.45 0.45 0.000 0 0.32 1 2622138 0.15 0.00 0.45 0.45 0.005 0.015 0.062 3 531429 0.15 0.70 0.45 0.45 0.150 0.088 0.028 4 273290 0.15 0.70 0.45 0.45 0.168 0.084 0.188 7 620744 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.223 0.071 0.284 10 556144 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.223 0.071 0.284 11 2927240 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.217 0.052 0.437 12 3046490 0.15 0.00 0.45 0.45 0.000 0.032 2 0.15 | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------|-----------|-----------|----------|-------------|-------------|------------|-------------|------------|
| Allar abundance mortality ogive before spw. the Stock pattern the catch 0 3046490 0.15 0.00 0.45 0.45 0.000 0.032 1 2622138 0.15 0.05 0.45 0.45 0.000 0.117 0.043 2 878499 0.15 0.25 0.45 0.45 0.150 0.068 0.155 4 273290 0.15 0.70 0.45 0.45 0.169 0.081 0.223 6 379031 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.169 0.081 0.223 8 783903 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.223 0.071 0.283 9 3255390 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.202 0.313 10 Stock Natural Maturity Prop. Of F Prop. Of M Weights in Exploration Weights in 2011 2027240 0.15< | 2010 | Stock | Natural | Maturity | Prop. Of F | Prop. Of M | Weights in | Explotation | Weights in |
| 0 3046490 0.15 0.00 0.45 0.45 0.000 0 0.032 1 2622138 0.15 0.00 0.45 0.45 0.005 0.085 0.088 0.066 3 531429 0.15 0.70 0.45 0.45 0.150 0.088 0.025 5 378865 0.15 0.07 0.45 0.45 0.169 0.081 0.223 6 379303 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.169 0.081 0.223 8 78303 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.25 0.081 0.223 9 325330 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.223 0.071 0.284 10 55614 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.217 0.052 0.479 2011 Stock Natural Maturity Prop. Of F Prop. Of M Weightsin Exploratin the zatch <td< td=""><td>2010</td><td>abundance</td><td>mortality</td><td>ogive</td><td>before spw.</td><td>before spw.</td><td>the Stock</td><td>pattern</td><td>the catch</td></td<> | 2010 | abundance | mortality | ogive | before spw. | before spw. | the Stock | pattern | the catch |
| 1 2262138 0.15 0.00 0.45 0.45 0.000 0.017 0.043 2 878499 0.15 0.05 0.45 0.45 0.088 0.088 3 273290 0.15 0.70 0.45 0.45 0.15 0.068 0.155 5 37865 0.15 0.95 0.45 0.45 0.168 0.084 0.152 6 379031 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.169 0.081 0.223 8 783903 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.223 0.071 0.284 10 556144 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.23 0.071 0.284 11 292720 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.000 0.023 0.15 0.00 0.45 0.45 0.000 0.031 0.023 11 292720 0.15 0.00 0.45 0.45 0.000 0.015 | 0 | 3046490 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.000 | 0 | 0.032 |
| 2 878499 0.15 0.05 0.45 0.45 0.085 0.088 0.066 3 531429 0.15 0.70 0.45 0.45 0.15 0.088 0.155 5 378655 0.15 0.95 0.45 0.45 0.169 0.081 0.225 6 379031 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.45 0.205 0.081 0.223 7 620744 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.205 0.081 0.223 8 783903 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.205 0.081 0.233 10 556144 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.217 0.052 0.407 2017 2927240 0.15 0.00 0.45 0.45 0.316 0.052 0.45 21 50.04 Natural Maturity Prop.Off Prop.Off Weights in the Stock pattern the catch | 1 | 2622138 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.000 | 0.117 | 0.043 |
| 3 531429 0.15 0.25 0.45 0.45 0.125 0.106 0.099 4 273290 0.15 0.70 0.45 0.45 0.177 0.050 0.155 6 379031 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.168 0.084 0.186 7 620744 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.205 0.081 0.235 9 3255390 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.217 0.052 0.313 11 292720 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.316 0.052 0.407 2011 Stock Natural Maturity Prop. Off Prop. Off 0.000 0.015 0.00 0.45 0.45 0.000 0.017 0.032 1 . 0.15 0.00 0.45 0.45 0.000 0.117 0.032 1 . 0.15 0.00 0.45 0.45 0.150 | 2 | 878499 | 0.15 | 0.05 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.085 | 0.088 | 0.066 |
| 4 273290 0.15 0.70 0.45 0.45 0.150 0.088 0.122 5 378865 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.163 0.084 0.158 7 620744 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.169 0.081 0.223 8 783903 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.223 0.071 0.284 10 556144 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.217 0.052 0.313 11 2927240 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.217 0.052 0.407 2011 Stock Natural Maturity Prop. Of F Prop. Of M Weights in before spw. the Stock pattern the catch 0 3046490 0.15 0.00 0.45 0.45 0.005 0.045 2 0.15 0.00 0.45 0.45 0.150 0.068 0.125 3 0 | 3 | 531429 | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.125 | 0.106 | 0.098 |
| S 378865 0.15 0.95 0.45 0.45 0.177 0.105 0.188 6 379031 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.168 0.081 0.223 8 783903 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.205 0.081 0.233 9 3255390 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.217 0.052 0.313 10 556144 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.217 0.052 0.407 2011 Stock Natural Maturity Prop. OF Prop. OF Meights in Explotation Weights in 2011 Stock Natural Maturity Prop. OF Prop. OF Meights in Explotation Weights in 2011 . 0.15 0.00 0.45 0.45 0.000 0.032 21 . 0.15 0.00 0.45 0.45 0.025 0.016 0.036 2 | 4 | 273290 | 0.15 | 0.70 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.150 | 0.088 | 0.125 |
| 6 379031 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.168 0.084 0.180 7 620744 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.205 0.081 0.223 9 3255390 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.223 0.071 0.284 10 556144 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.217 0.052 0.413 11 2927240 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.016 0.020 0.43 2011 Stock Natural Maturity Prop. Of F Prop. Of M Weights in Exploration Weights in Exploration Weights in 2011 Stock Natural Maturity Prop. Of F Prop. Of M Weights in Exploration Weights in Exploration Weights in Exploration 0.033 2 . 0.15 0.00 0.45 0.45 0.150 0.06 0.232 0.071 0.005 0.45 <th>5</th> <th>378865</th> <th>0.15</th> <th>0.95</th> <th>0.45</th> <th>0.45</th> <th>0.177</th> <th>0.105</th> <th>0.159</th> | 5 | 378865 | 0.15 | 0.95 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.177 | 0.105 | 0.159 |
| 7 620744 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.169 0.081 0.223 8 783903 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.220 0.081 0.233 9 3255390 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.221 0.052 0.313 11 2927240 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.217 0.052 0.431 2011 Stock abundance Natural mortality Maturity ogiv Prop. Of F Prop. Of M Weights in the Stock pattern Weights in the catch optic spix. before spix. before spix. before spix. the Stock optic spix. 0.03 1 . 0.15 0.00 0.45 0.45 0.000 0.03 2 . 0.15 0.05 0.45 0.45 0.008 0.023 3 . 0.15 0.05 0.45 0.45 0.169 0.088 0.125 4 . 0.15 0.05 0.45 0. | 6 | 379031 | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.168 | 0.084 | 0.180 |
| 8 783903 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.205 0.081 0.230 9 3255390 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.223 0.071 0.284 10 556144 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.217 0.052 0.431 2011 Stock Natural Maturity Prop. Of F Prop. Of M Weights in the Stock Explotation Weights in the catch 0 3046490 0.15 0.00 0.45 0.45 0.000 0.033 1 . 0.15 0.00 0.45 0.45 0.000 0.033 2 . 0.15 0.05 0.45 0.45 0.025 0.06 0.093 3 . 0.15 0.70 0.45 0.45 0.155 0.066 0.093 4 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.150 0.061 0.232 5 . 0.15 | 7 | 620744 | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.169 | 0.081 | 0.223 |
| 9 3255390 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.223 0.071 0.284 10 556144 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.217 0.052 0.313 11 2927240 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.217 0.052 0.407 2011 Stock Natural abundance Maturity mortality Prop. Of F Prop. Of M Weights in the stock Explotation Weights in the catch 0 3046490 0.15 0.00 0.45 0.45 0.000 0.01 0.032 1 . 0.15 0.00 0.45 0.45 0.000 0.017 0.032 2 . 0.15 0.05 0.45 0.45 0.085 0.088 0.066 3 . 0.15 0.70 0.45 0.45 0.15 0.06 0.99 4 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.168 0.084 0.125 | 8 | 783903 | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.205 | 0.081 | 0.230 |
| 10 556144 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.217 0.052 0.313 11 2927240 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.45 0.316 0.052 0.407 2011 Stock abundance mortality ogive before spw. before spw. before spw. before spw. the Stock the Stock pattern the catch the stock pattern the catch the stock pattern 0 3046490 0.15 0.00 0.45 0.45 0.000 0.017 0.032 2 . 0.15 0.05 0.45 0.45 0.085 0.088 0.066 3 . 0.15 0.05 0.45 0.45 0.125 0.06 0.052 4 . 0.15 0.05 0.45 0.45 0.160 0.088 0.022 5 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.169 0.081 0.223 6 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.223 0.071 | 9 | 3255390 | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.223 | 0.071 | 0.284 |
| 11 2927240 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.316 0.052 0.407 2011 Stock Natural abundance mortality ogive before spw. before spw. before spw. the Stock pattern the catch 0 3046490 0.15 0.00 0.45 0.45 0.000 0 0.032 1 . 0.15 0.00 0.45 0.45 0.000 0.117 0.043 2 . 0.15 0.05 0.45 0.45 0.025 0.088 0.026 3 . 0.15 0.70 0.45 0.45 0.150 0.088 0.125 5 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.150 0.088 0.223 6 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.205 0.081 0.233 7 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.217 0.052 0.313 | 10 | 556144 | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.217 | 0.052 | 0.313 |
| Stock abundance Natural mortality Maturity ogive Prop. Of F before spw. Prop. Of M before spw. Weights in the Stock 0.000 Explotation pattern Weights in the cach 0 3046490 0.15 0.00 0.45 0.45 0.000 0 0.032 1 . 0.15 0.00 0.45 0.45 0.000 0.117 0.043 2 . 0.15 0.05 0.45 0.45 0.085 0.088 0.066 3 . 0.15 0.25 0.45 0.45 0.150 0.088 0.028 4 . 0.15 0.07 0.45 0.45 0.160 0.088 0.125 5 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.168 0.084 0.122 6 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.169 0.081 0.223 7 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.231 0.071 0.284 | 11 | 2927240 | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.316 | 0.052 | 0.407 |
| Stock Natural Maturity Prop. Of F Prop. Of M Weights in exploration Weights in the catch 0 3046490 0.15 0.00 0.45 0.45 0.000 0 0.032 1 0.15 0.00 0.45 0.45 0.000 0.117 0.043 2 0.15 0.05 0.45 0.45 0.088 0.066 3 0.15 0.25 0.45 0.45 0.150 0.088 0.066 3 0.15 0.70 0.45 0.45 0.150 0.088 0.125 5 0.15 0.70 0.45 0.45 0.168 0.084 0.156 6 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.169 0.081 0.223 8 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.205 0.081 0.232 9 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.217 0.052 0.410 10 0.15 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| abundance mortality ogive before spw. before spw. the Stock pattern the catch 0 3046490 0.15 0.00 0.45 0.45 0.000 0.032 1 . 0.15 0.00 0.45 0.45 0.000 0.117 0.043 2 . 0.15 0.05 0.45 0.45 0.085 0.088 0.066 3 . 0.15 0.25 0.45 0.45 0.125 0.106 0.098 4 . 0.15 0.70 0.45 0.45 0.150 0.088 0.125 5 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.168 0.084 0.126 7 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.205 0.081 0.223 8 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.205 0.081 0.223 9 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 | 2011 | Stock | Natural | Maturity | Prop. Of F | Prop. Of M | Weights in | Explotation | Weights in |
| 0 3046490 0.15 0.00 0.45 0.45 0.000 0 0.032 1 . 0.15 0.00 0.45 0.45 0.000 0.117 0.043 2 . 0.15 0.05 0.45 0.45 0.085 0.088 0.066 3 . 0.15 0.25 0.45 0.45 0.150 0.088 0.125 5 . 0.15 0.95 0.45 0.45 0.158 0.084 0.186 6 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.168 0.084 0.186 7 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.205 0.081 0.223 8 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.223 0.071 0.284 10 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.217 0.052 0.431 2012 Stock Natural Maturity <t< td=""><td>2011</td><td>abundance</td><td>mortality</td><td>ogive</td><td>before spw.</td><td>before spw.</td><td>the Stock</td><td>pattern</td><td>the catch</td></t<> | 2011 | abundance | mortality | ogive | before spw. | before spw. | the Stock | pattern | the catch |
| 1 . 0.15 0.00 0.45 0.45 0.000 0.117 0.043 2 . 0.15 0.05 0.45 0.45 0.085 0.088 0.066 3 . 0.15 0.25 0.45 0.45 0.125 0.106 0.098 4 . 0.15 0.70 0.45 0.45 0.157 0.088 0.125 5 . 0.15 0.95 0.45 0.45 0.177 0.105 0.155 6 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.168 0.081 0.223 7 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.205 0.081 0.233 8 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.223 0.071 0.284 10 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.217 0.052 0.413 2012 Stock Natural Maturity Prop.Of F Prop.Of M Weights in Exploration Weights in the catch | 0 | 3046490 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.000 | 0 | 0.032 |
| 2 . 0.15 0.05 0.45 0.45 0.085 0.088 0.066 3 . 0.15 0.25 0.45 0.45 0.125 0.106 0.098 4 . 0.15 0.70 0.45 0.45 0.150 0.088 0.125 5 . 0.15 0.95 0.45 0.45 0.168 0.084 0.155 6 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.168 0.081 0.223 8 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.205 0.081 0.233 9 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.223 0.071 0.284 10 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.217 0.052 0.313 11 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.216 pattern the catch 2012 Stock Natural Maturity Prop. Of F Prop. Of M Weights in Exploration Weights in the catch <td< td=""><td>1</td><td></td><td>0.15</td><td>0.00</td><td>0.45</td><td>0.45</td><td>0.000</td><td>0.117</td><td>0.043</td></td<> | 1 | | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.000 | 0.117 | 0.043 |
| 3 . 0.15 0.25 0.45 0.45 0.125 0.106 0.088 4 . 0.15 0.70 0.45 0.45 0.150 0.088 0.125 5 . 0.15 0.95 0.45 0.45 0.177 0.105 0.155 6 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.169 0.081 0.223 7 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.205 0.081 0.233 9 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.223 0.071 0.284 10 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.217 0.052 0.313 11 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.217 0.052 0.407 2012 Stock Natural Maturity Prop. Of F Prop. Of M Weights in Exploration Weights in 2012 Stock Natural Maturity O.45 0.45 0.000 0 0.032 1 | 2 | | 0.15 | 0.05 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.085 | 0.088 | 0.066 |
| 4 . 0.15 0.70 0.45 0.45 0.150 0.088 0.125 5 . 0.15 0.95 0.45 0.45 0.177 0.105 0.159 6 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.168 0.084 0.180 7 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.169 0.081 0.223 8 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.205 0.081 0.230 9 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.217 0.052 0.313 10 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.217 0.052 0.407 2012 Stock Natural Maturity Prop. Of F Prop. Of M Weights in Explotation Weights in 2012 Stock Natural Maturity ogive before spw. before spw. the Stock pattern the catch 0 3046490 0.15 0.00 0.45 0.45 0.000 0.032 <td>3</td> <td></td> <td>0.15</td> <td>0.25</td> <td>0.45</td> <td>0.45</td> <td>0.125</td> <td>0.106</td> <td>0.098</td> | 3 | | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.125 | 0.106 | 0.098 |
| 5 . 0.15 0.95 0.45 0.45 0.177 0.105 0.159 6 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.168 0.084 0.186 7 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.169 0.081 0.223 8 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.205 0.081 0.223 9 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.223 0.071 0.284 10 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.217 0.052 0.313 11 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.217 0.052 0.313 11 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.217 0.052 0.45 2012 Stock Natural Maturity Prop. Of F Prop. Of M Weights in extock pattern the catch 2012 Stock Natural Maturity Ogive before spw. before spw. the Stock pattern | 4 | | 0.15 | 0.70 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.150 | 0.088 | 0.125 |
| 66 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.168 0.084 0.180 7 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.169 0.081 0.223 8 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.205 0.081 0.233 9 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.223 0.071 0.284 10 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.217 0.052 0.313 11 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.316 0.052 0.407 vertice . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.316 0.052 0.407 vertice . . 0.15 0.00 0.45 0.45 0.316 0.052 0.407 vertice . <td< td=""><td>5</td><td></td><td>0.15</td><td>0.95</td><td>0.45</td><td>0.45</td><td>0.177</td><td>0.105</td><td>0.159</td></td<> | 5 | | 0.15 | 0.95 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.177 | 0.105 | 0.159 |
| 7 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.169 0.081 0.223 8 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.205 0.081 0.230 9 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.223 0.071 0.284 10 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.217 0.052 0.313 11 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.316 0.052 0.407 visit 2012 Stock Natural mortality Maturity ogive Prop. Of F Prop. Of M Weights in the stock pattern the catch pattern . 0 3046490 0.15 0.00 0.45 0.45 0.000 0.117 0.043 1 . 0.15 0.00 0.45 0.45 0.085 0.088 0.066 3 . 0.15 0.05 0.45 0.45 0.150 0.088 | 6 | | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.168 | 0.084 | 0.180 |
| 8 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.205 0.081 0.230 9 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.223 0.071 0.284 10 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.217 0.052 0.313 11 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.316 0.052 0.407 2012 Stock Natural Maturity Prop. Of F Prop. Of M Weights in Explotation Weights in 2012 Stock Natural Maturity Prop. Of F Prop. Of M Weights in the catch 0 3046490 0.15 0.00 0.45 0.45 0.000 0.117 0.043 2 . 0.15 0.05 0.45 0.45 0.085 0.088 0.066 3 . 0.15 0.25 0.45 0.45 0.155 0.156 0.155 0.150 0.088 0.125 <td>7</td> <td></td> <td>0.15</td> <td>1.00</td> <td>0.45</td> <td>0.45</td> <td>0.169</td> <td>0.081</td> <td>0.223</td> | 7 | | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.169 | 0.081 | 0.223 |
| 9 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.223 0.071 0.284 10 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.217 0.052 0.313 11 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.316 0.052 0.407 2012 Stock Natural Maturity Prop. Of F Prop. Of M Weights in before spw. Explotation Weights in the catch 0 3046490 0.15 0.00 0.45 0.45 0.000 0 0.032 1 . 0.15 0.00 0.45 0.45 0.000 0 0.032 1 . 0.15 0.00 0.45 0.45 0.000 0 0.032 1 . 0.15 0.00 0.45 0.45 0.000 0.017 0.043 2 . 0.15 0.05 0.45 0.45 0.088 0.066 3 . 0.15 0.05 0.45 0.45 0.150 0.088 0.125 4 | 8 | | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.205 | 0.081 | 0.230 |
| 10 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.217 0.052 0.313 11 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.316 0.052 0.407 2012 Stock Natural Maturity Prop. Of F Prop. Of M Weights in the stock Explotation Weights in the catch 0 3046490 0.15 0.00 0.45 0.45 0.000 0 0.032 1 . 0.15 0.00 0.45 0.45 0.000 0 0.032 2 . 0.15 0.00 0.45 0.45 0.000 0.117 0.043 2 . 0.15 0.05 0.45 0.45 0.085 0.088 0.066 3 . 0.15 0.25 0.45 0.45 0.125 0.106 0.098 4 . 0.15 0.70 0.45 0.45 0.150 0.088 0.125 5 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.168 0.084 0.180 | 9 | | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.223 | 0.071 | 0.284 |
| 11 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.316 0.052 0.407 2012 Stock abundance mortality Maturity ogive before spw. Prop. Of F Prop. Of M Weights in the catch pattern the catch the catch pattern the catch the catch pattern 0.032 1 0.15 0.00 0.45 0.45 0.45 0.000 0.043 0.066 0.055 0.066 0.055 0.155 0.06 | 10 | | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.217 | 0.052 | 0.313 |
| Stock abundance Natural mortality Maturity ogive Prop. Of F before spw. Prop. Of M before spw. Weights in the Stock Explotation pattern Weights in the catch 0 3046490 0.15 0.00 0.45 0.45 0.000 0 0.032 1 . 0.15 0.00 0.45 0.45 0.000 0.117 0.043 2 . 0.15 0.05 0.45 0.45 0.085 0.088 0.066 3 . 0.15 0.07 0.45 0.45 0.150 0.088 0.068 3 . 0.15 0.70 0.45 0.45 0.150 0.088 0.125 4 . 0.15 0.70 0.45 0.45 0.150 0.088 0.125 5 . 0.15 0.95 0.45 0.45 0.177 0.105 0.159 6 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.168 0.084 0.123 < | 11 | | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.316 | 0.052 | 0.407 |
| 2012 abundance mortality ogive before spw. before spw. the Stock pattern the catch 0 3046490 0.15 0.00 0.45 0.45 0.000 0 0.032 1 . 0.15 0.00 0.45 0.45 0.000 0.117 0.043 2 . 0.15 0.05 0.45 0.45 0.085 0.088 0.066 3 . 0.15 0.25 0.45 0.45 0.155 0.098 0.066 3 . 0.15 0.25 0.45 0.45 0.155 0.088 0.066 3 . 0.15 0.70 0.45 0.45 0.155 0.088 0.125 4 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.168 0.084 0.180 5 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.168 0.084 0.180 7 . 0.15 | | Stock | Natural | Maturity | Pron Of F | Pron Of M | Weights in | Fxplotation | Weights in |
| 0 3046490 0.15 0.00 0.45 0.45 0.000 0 0.032 1 . 0.15 0.00 0.45 0.45 0.000 0.117 0.043 2 . 0.15 0.05 0.45 0.45 0.000 0.117 0.043 3 . 0.15 0.05 0.45 0.45 0.085 0.088 0.066 3 . 0.15 0.25 0.45 0.45 0.125 0.106 0.098 4 . 0.15 0.25 0.45 0.45 0.150 0.088 0.125 5 . 0.15 0.70 0.45 0.45 0.177 0.105 0.159 6 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.168 0.084 0.180 7 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.169 0.081 0.223 8 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 | 2012 | abundance | mortality | ogive | before spw. | before spw. | the Stock | pattern | the catch |
| 1 . 0.15 0.00 0.45 0.45 0.000 0.117 0.043 2 . 0.15 0.05 0.45 0.45 0.085 0.088 0.066 3 . 0.15 0.25 0.45 0.45 0.125 0.106 0.098 4 . 0.15 0.25 0.45 0.45 0.150 0.088 0.125 5 . 0.15 0.70 0.45 0.45 0.177 0.106 0.098 6 . 0.15 0.70 0.45 0.45 0.177 0.105 0.159 6 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.168 0.084 0.180 7 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.169 0.081 0.223 8 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.205 0.081 0.236 9 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.217 0.052 0.313 10 . 0.15 | 0 | 3046490 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.000 | 0 | 0.032 |
| 2 . 0.15 0.05 0.45 0.45 0.085 0.088 0.066 3 . 0.15 0.25 0.45 0.45 0.125 0.106 0.098 4 . 0.15 0.25 0.45 0.45 0.125 0.106 0.098 5 . 0.15 0.70 0.45 0.45 0.150 0.088 0.125 6 . 0.15 0.95 0.45 0.45 0.168 0.084 0.180 7 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.168 0.084 0.180 8 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.25 0.081 0.223 9 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.205 0.081 0.230 9 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.223 0.071 0.284 10 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.217 0.052 0.313 11 0.15 1.00 < | 1 | | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.000 | 0.117 | 0.043 |
| 3 . 0.15 0.25 0.45 0.45 0.125 0.106 0.098 4 . 0.15 0.70 0.45 0.45 0.150 0.088 0.125 5 . 0.15 0.95 0.45 0.45 0.177 0.105 0.159 6 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.168 0.084 0.180 7 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.169 0.081 0.223 8 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.205 0.081 0.233 9 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.223 0.071 0.284 10 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.217 0.052 0.313 11 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.217 0.052 0.313 | 2 | | 0.15 | 0.05 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.085 | 0.088 | 0.066 |
| 4 . 0.15 0.70 0.45 0.45 0.150 0.088 0.125 5 . 0.15 0.95 0.45 0.45 0.177 0.105 0.159 6 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.168 0.084 0.180 7 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.169 0.081 0.223 8 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.205 0.081 0.233 9 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.223 0.071 0.284 10 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.217 0.052 0.313 11 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.217 0.052 0.313 | 3 | | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.125 | 0.106 | 0.098 |
| 5 . 0.15 0.95 0.45 0.45 0.177 0.105 0.159 6 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.168 0.084 0.180 7 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.169 0.081 0.223 8 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.205 0.081 0.230 9 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.223 0.071 0.284 10 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.217 0.052 0.313 11 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.217 0.052 0.402 | 4 | | 0.15 | 0.70 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.150 | 0.088 | 0.125 |
| 6 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.168 0.084 0.180 7 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.169 0.081 0.223 8 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.205 0.081 0.223 9 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.223 0.071 0.284 10 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.217 0.052 0.313 11 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.217 0.052 0.402 | 5 | - | 0.15 | 0.95 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.177 | 0.105 | 0.159 |
| 7 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.169 0.081 0.223 8 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.205 0.081 0.223 9 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.223 0.071 0.284 10 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.217 0.052 0.313 11 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.217 0.052 0.402 | 6 | | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.168 | 0.084 | 0.180 |
| 8 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.205 0.081 0.230 9 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.223 0.071 0.284 10 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.217 0.052 0.313 11 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.217 0.052 0.402 | 7 | - | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.169 | 0.081 | 0.223 |
| 9 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.203 0.001 0.45 10 . 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.217 0.052 0.313 11 0.15 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.217 0.052 0.402 | 8 | | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.205 | 0.081 | 0.230 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 9 | | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.223 | 0.071 | 0.284 |
| | 10 | - | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0 217 | 0.052 | 0 313 |
| 1407 1407 1407 1407 1407 1407 | 11 | | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.316 | 0.052 | 0.407 |

 Table 5.4.1 Western Horse Mackerel. Short term prediction: INPUT DATA

| Year: | 2010 | F multiplier: 1.0 | 0255 | Fbar: | 0.0895 | | | | |
|-------|--------|-------------------|--------|----------|---------|------------|----------|-----------|---------|
| Age | F | CatchNos | Yield | StockNos | Biomass | SSNos(Jan) | SSB(Jan) | SSNos(ST) | SSB(ST) |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3046490 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0.12 | 275730 | 11856 | 2622138 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0.0902 | 70478 | 4630 | 878499 | 74672 | 43925 | 3734 | 39424 | 3351 |
| 3 | 0.1087 | 50902 | 4988 | 531429 | 66429 | 132857 | 16607 | 118257 | 14782 |
| 4 | 0.0902 | 21925 | 2734 | 273290 | 40994 | 191303 | 28695 | 171700 | 25755 |
| 5 | 0.1077 | 35964 | 5733 | 378865 | 67059 | 359922 | 63706 | 320515 | 56731 |
| 6 | 0.0861 | 29083 | 5241 | 379031 | 63677 | 379031 | 63677 | 340819 | 57258 |
| 7 | 0.0831 | 45996 | 10271 | 620744 | 104906 | 620744 | 104906 | 558938 | 94460 |
| 8 | 0.0831 | 58086 | 13354 | 783903 | 160700 | 783903 | 160700 | 705851 | 144699 |
| 9 | 0.0728 | 212485 | 60346 | 3255390 | 725952 | 3255390 | 725952 | 2944815 | 656694 |
| 10 | 0.0533 | 26837 | 8392 | 556144 | 120683 | 556144 | 120683 | 507517 | 110131 |
| 11 | 0.0533 | 141257 | 57455 | 2927240 | 925008 | 2927240 | 925008 | 2671292 | 844128 |
| Total | | 968743 | 185000 | 16253163 | 2350080 | 9250459 | 2213668 | 8379128 | 2007990 |
| Year: | 2011 | F multiplier: 1 | | Fbar: | 0.0873 | | | | |
| Age | F | CatchNos | Yield | StockNos | Biomass | SSNos(Jan) | SSB(Jan) | SSNos(ST) | SSB(ST) |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3046490 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0.117 | 269248 | 11578 | 2622138 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0.088 | 156757 | 10299 | 2001710 | 170145 | 100086 | 8507 | 89920 | 7643 |
| 3 | 0.106 | 64611 | 6332 | 690881 | 86360 | 172720 | 21590 | 153926 | 19241 |
| 4 | 0.088 | 32130 | 4007 | 410289 | 61543 | 287203 | 43080 | 258033 | 38705 |
| 5 | 0.105 | 19919 | 3175 | 214924 | 38042 | 204178 | 36140 | 182043 | 32222 |
| 6 | 0.084 | 21930 | 3952 | 292803 | 49191 | 292803 | 49191 | 263538 | 44274 |
| 7 | 0.081 | 21647 | 4834 | 299308 | 50583 | 299308 | 50583 | 269757 | 45589 |
| 8 | 0.081 | 35562 | 8176 | 491691 | 100797 | 491691 | 100797 | 443146 | 90845 |
| 9 | 0.071 | 39554 | 11233 | 620929 | 138467 | 620929 | 138467 | 562149 | 125359 |
| 10 | 0.052 | 122663 | 38357 | 2605172 | 565322 | 2605172 | 565322 | 2378806 | 516201 |
| 11 | 0.052 | 133837 | 54437 | 2842478 | 898223 | 2842478 | 898223 | 2595492 | 820176 |
| Total | | 917859 | 156378 | 16138814 | 2158674 | 7916567 | 1911900 | 7196812 | 1740255 |
| Year: | 2012 | F multiplier: 1 | | Fbar: | 0.0873 | , | | | |
| Age | F | CatchNos | Yield | StockNos | Biomass | SSNos(Jan) | SSB(Jan) | SSNos(ST) | SSB(ST) |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3046490 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0.117 | 269248 | 11578 | 2622138 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0.088 | 157226 | 10330 | 2007701 | 170655 | 100385 | 8533 | 90190 | 7666 |
| 3 | 0.106 | 147550 | 14460 | 1577754 | 197219 | 394438 | 49305 | 351519 | 43940 |
| 4 | 0.088 | 41884 | 5223 | 534840 | 80226 | 374388 | 56158 | 336364 | 50455 |
| 5 | 0.105 | 29972 | 4778 | 323391 | 57240 | 307222 | 54378 | 273915 | 48483 |
| 6 | 0.084 | 12474 | 2248 | 166548 | 27980 | 166548 | 27980 | 149903 | 25184 |
| 7 | 0.081 | 16759 | 3742 | 231713 | 39159 | 231713 | 39159 | 208836 | 35293 |
| 8 | 0.081 | 17182 | 3950 | 237572 | 48702 | 237572 | 48702 | 214117 | 43894 |
| 9 | 0.071 | 24861 | 7061 | 390274 | 87031 | 390274 | 87031 | 353329 | 78792 |
| 10 | 0.052 | 23439 | 7329 | 497809 | 108025 | 497809 | 108025 | 454554 | 98638 |
| 11 | 0.052 | 209585 | 85246 | 4451248 | 1406594 | 4451248 | 1406594 | 4064474 | 1284374 |
| Total | - | 950181 | 155945 | 16087478 | 2222832 | 7151598 | 1885866 | 6497200 | 1716719 |

Table 5.4.2 Western Horse Mackerel Short term prediction single option table. Catch constraint of 185 Kt in 2010 and F status quo for 2011 and 2012

| Table 5.4.3 Western Horse Mackerel. Short term prediction; single area manage- |
|--|
| ment option table. OPTION: Catch constraint 185 Kt in 2010. |

| 2010 | | | | |
|---------|---------|--------|-------|----------|
| Biomass | SSB | FMult | FBar | Landings |
| 2350080 | 2007990 | 1.0255 | 0.090 | 185000 |

| 2011 | | | | 2012 | | Implied changes in: | | |
|---------|---------|-------|--------|----------|---------|---------------------|-----|----------|
| TSB | SSB | FMult | FBar | Landings | Biomass | SSB | SSB | Landings |
| 2158674 | 1787106 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2377400 | 1872961 | 5% | -100% |
| | 1782362 | 0.1 | 0.0087 | 16108 | 2361420 | 1856676 | 4% | -91% |
| | 1777631 | 0.2 | 0.0175 | 32110 | 2345559 | 1840543 | 3% | -83% |
| | 1772913 | 0.3 | 0.0262 | 48006 | 2329815 | 1824558 | 3% | -74% |
| | 1768678 | 0.39 | 0.034 | 62223 | 2315746 | 1810298 | 2% | -66% |
| | 1768208 | 0.4 | 0.0349 | 63797 | 2314189 | 1808721 | 2% | -66% |
| | 1763517 | 0.5 | 0.0437 | 79484 | 2298679 | 1793030 | 2% | -57% |
| | 1758838 | 0.6 | 0.0524 | 95067 | 2283283 | 1777484 | 1% | -49% |
| | 1754173 | 0.7 | 0.0611 | 110547 | 2268002 | 1762081 | 0% | -40% |
| | 1749520 | 0.8 | 0.0698 | 125925 | 2252833 | 1746821 | 0% | -32% |
| | 1744881 | 0.9 | 0.0786 | 141202 | 2237777 | 1731700 | -1% | -24% |
| | 1743955 | 0.92 | 0.0803 | 144245 | 2234779 | 1728693 | -1% | -22% |
| | 1743029 | 0.94 | 0.0821 | 147285 | 2231786 | 1725691 | -1% | -20% |
| | 1741179 | 0.98 | 0.0856 | 153351 | 2225812 | 1719704 | -1% | -17% |
| | 1740255 | 1 | 0.0873 | 156378 | 2222832 | 1716719 | -1% | -15% |
| | 1739793 | 1.01 | 0.0882 | 157891 | 2221344 | 1715228 | -1% | -15% |
| | 1738869 | 1.03 | 0.0899 | 160912 | 2218370 | 1712251 | -2% | -13% |
| | 1735641 | 1.1 | 0.096 | 171455 | 2207997 | 1701875 | -2% | -7% |
| | 1732880 | 1.16 | 0.1013 | 180453 | 2199149 | 1693035 | -2% | -2% |
| | 1732420 | 1.17 | 0.1021 | 181950 | 2197678 | 1691566 | -2% | -2% |
| | 1731500 | 1.19 | 0.1039 | 184939 | 2194740 | 1688633 | -3% | 0% |
| | 1731041 | 1.2 | 0.1048 | 186433 | 2193272 | 1687168 | -3% | 1% |
| | 1726453 | 1.3 | 0.1135 | 201312 | 2178655 | 1672596 | -3% | 9% |
| | 1724622 | 1.34 | 0.117 | 207236 | 2172839 | 1666804 | -3% | 12% |
| | 1723249 | 1.37 | 0.1196 | 211669 | 2168487 | 1662475 | -4% | 14% |
| | 1722792 | 1.38 | 0.1205 | 213145 | 2167039 | 1661034 | -4% | 15% |
| | 1721878 | 1.4 | 0.1222 | 216094 | 2164146 | 1658157 | -4% | 17% |
| | 1720052 | 1.44 | 0.1257 | 221979 | 2158372 | 1652419 | -4% | 20% |
| | 1717772 | 1.49 | 0.1301 | 229314 | 2151179 | 1645276 | -4% | 24% |
| | 1717316 | 1.5 | 0.131 | 230778 | 2149744 | 1643851 | -4% | 25% |
| | 1712767 | 1.6 | 0.1397 | 245367 | 2135447 | 1629676 | -5% | 33% |
| | 1708230 | 1.7 | 0.1484 | 259860 | 2121255 | 1615631 | -6% | 40% |
| | 1703706 | 1.8 | 0.1571 | 274258 | 2107168 | 1601714 | -6% | 48% |
| | 1699195 | 1.9 | 0.1659 | 288563 | 2093184 | 1587924 | -7% | 56% |
| | 1694696 | 2 | 0.1746 | 302773 | 2079303 | 1574260 | -8% | 64% |

Table 5.7.1.1. Results from PlotMSY indicating deterministic fits and the range of values estimated from 1000 iterations of the programme. Results are presented from the Ricker, Beverton and Holt and Smooth hockey stick models.

| Ricker | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|---------------|
| 893/1000 Iteration | ns resulted | in feasible | paramete | erestim | ates | | | |
| | Fcrash | Fmsy | Bmsy | MSY | ADMB Alpha | ADMB Beta | Unscaled Alpha | Unscaled Beta |
| Deterministic | 0.12 | 0.06 | 1338280 | 91237 | 1.02 | 0.50 | 3.20E+00 | 3.60E-07 |
| Mean | 0.09 | 0.04 | 424270 | 91027 | 1.17 | 0.59 | 4.35E+00 | 4.23E-07 |
| 5%ile | 0.00 | 0.00 | -2861848 | 0 | 0.75 | 0.15 | 1.74E+00 | 1.07E-07 |
| 25%ile | 0.01 | 0.01 | 102415 | 2056 | 0.93 | 0.40 | 2.71E+00 | 2.85E-07 |
| 50%ile | 0.08 | 0.04 | 687309 | 54697 | 1.12 | 0.58 | 3.84E+00 | 4.14E-07 |
| 75%ile | 0.14 | 0.07 | 1120450 | 130062 | 1.34 | 0.77 | 5.31E+00 | 5.54E-07 |
| 95%ile | 0.24 | 0.12 | 2356160 | 262958 | 1.72 | 1.05 | 8.62E+00 | 7.56E-07 |
| CV | 93% | 95% | 1261% | 252% | 27% | 46% | 55% | 46% |
| Beverton-Holt | | | | | | | | |
| 893/1000 Iteration | ns resulted | in feasible | paramete | er estim | ates | | | |
| | Fcrash | Fmsy | Bmsy | MSY | ADMB Alpha | ADMB Beta | Unscaled Alpha | Unscaled Beta |
| Deterministic | 0.27 | 0.10 | 905221 | 110012 | 0.73 | 0.94 | 3.63E+06 | 4.05E+05 |
| Mean | 0.14 | 0.04 | 2544368 | 134236 | 0.56 | 1.15 | 1.33E+07 | 7.86E+06 |
| 5%ile | 0.00 | 0.00 | -3660430 | 0 | 0.12 | 0.81 | 2.74E+06 | 8.88E+04 |
| 25%ile | 0.00 | 0.00 | -129014 | 0 | 0.37 | 0.97 | 3.48E+06 | 4.89E+05 |
| 50%ile | 0.07 | 0.03 | 340840 | 32466 | 0.56 | 1.12 | 4.73E+06 | 1.26E+06 |
| 75%ile | 0.19 | 0.07 | 784156 | 99008 | 0.76 | 1.29 | 7.13E+06 | 3.10E+06 |
| 95%ile | 0.53 | 0.15 | 5209918 | 207019 | 0.96 | 1.62 | 2.24E+07 | 1.48E+07 |
| CV | 141% | 112% | 2139% | 1401% | 47% | 22% | 767% | 979% |
| Smooth hockeyst | ick | | | | | | | |
| 893/1000 Iteration | ns resulted | in feasible | paramete | er estim | ates | | | |
| | Fcrash | Fmsy | Bmsy | MSY | ADMB Alpha | ADMB Beta | Unscaled Alpha | Unscaled Beta |
| Deterministic | 0.05 | 0.05 | 1667670 | 100386 | 0.49 | 0.72 | 9.34E-01 | 1.67E+06 |
| Mean | 0.02 | 0.02 | 299023 | 53188 | 0.47 | 1.00 | 8.98E-01 | 2.33E+06 |
| 5%ile | 0.00 | 0.00 | -2465258 | 0 | 0.32 | 0.59 | 5.98E-01 | 1.37E+06 |
| 25%ile | 0.00 | 0.00 | -1392270 | 0 | 0.39 | 0.71 | 7.40E-01 | 1.65E+06 |
| 50%ile | 0.00 | 0.00 | -850815 | 0 | 0.46 | 0.90 | 8.69E-01 | 2.10E+06 |
| 75%ile | 0.03 | 0.03 | 1677300 | 80144 | 0.54 | 1.23 | 1.02E+00 | 2.86E+06 |
| 95%ile | 0.10 | 0.09 | 3515386 | 242052 | 0.68 | 1.71 | 1.28E+00 | 3.98E+06 |
| CV | 170% | 168% | 1230% | 176% | 24% | 35% | 24% | 35% |
| Per recruit | | | | | | | | |
| | F35 | F40 | F01 | Fmax | Bmsypr | MSYpr | | |
| Deterministic | 0.12 | 0.10 | 0.13 | 0.21 | 0.54 | 0.03 | | |
| Mean | 0.16 | 0.13 | 0.12 | 0.22 | 0.50 | 0.01 | | |
| 5%ile | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.21 | 0.00 | | |
| | | 0.40 | 0.10 | 0 10 | 0.21 | 0.00 | | |
| 25%ile | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.31 | 0.00 | | |
| 25%ile 50%ile | 0.11 0.16 | 0.10 0.14 | 0.10 | 0.18 | 0.31 | 0.00 | | |
| 25%ile 50%ile 75%ile | 0.11 0.16 0.20 | 0.10 0.14 0.17 | 0.10 0.13 0.15 | 0.18 0.21 0.25 | 0.31 0.41 0.54 | 0.00 | | |
| 25%ile 50%ile 75%ile 95%ile | 0.11 0.16 0.20 0.26 | 0.10 0.14 0.17 0.22 | 0.10 0.13 0.15 0.18 | 0.18 0.21 0.25 0.34 | 0.31 0.41 0.54 0.76 | 0.00 0.02 0.06 | | |



Fig. 5.2.2.1: Horse mackerel egg production by half rectangle for period 2 (8th March – 11 th April). Filled green circles represent observed values, filled red circles represent interpolated values, black crosses represent observed zeroes, red crosses interpolated zeroes.



Fig. 5.2.2.2: Horse mackerel egg production by half rectangle for period 3 (12th April – 9th May). Filled green circles represent observed values, filled red circles represent interpolated values, black crosses represent observed zeroes, red crosses interpolated zeroes.



Fig. 5.2.2.3: Horse mackerel egg production by half rectangle for period 4 (10th May – 30th May). Filled green circles represent observed values, filled red circles represent interpolated values, black crosses represent observed zeroes, red crosses interpolated zeroes.



Fig. 5.2.2.4: Horse mackerel egg production by half rectangle for period 5 (31st May – 5th July). Filled green circles represent observed values, filled red circles represent interpolated values, black crosses represent observed zeroes, red crosses interpolated zeroes.



Fig. 5.2.2.5: Horse mackerel egg production by half rectangle for period 6 (5th July – 31st July). Filled green circles represent observed values, filled red circles represent interpolated values, black crosses represent observed zeroes, red crosses interpolated zeroes.



Fig. 5.2.2.6: Provisional annual egg production curve for western horse mackerel. The curves for 1998, 2001, 2004 and 2007 are included for comparison.



Figure 5.2.5.1: Western horse mackerel. Catch-at-age matrix, expressed as numbers (thousands). The area of bubbles is proportional to the catch number. Note that age 11 is a plusgroup.



Figure 5.2.6.1: Western horse mackerel. Weight in the catch by year.



Figure 5.2.6.2: Western horse mackerel. Weight in the stock by year.




commercial catch

Figure 5.2.8.1: Western horse mackerel. Data exploration. Within-cohort consistency in the catch-at-age matrix, shown by plotting the log-catch of a cohort at a particular age against the log-catch of the same cohort at subsequent ages. Thick lines represent a significant (p<0.05) regression and the curved lines are approximate 95% confidence intervals.



Figure 5.2.8.2: Western horse mackerel. Data exploration. Log-catch cohort curves (top row shows the full time series on the left, and the most recent period for ages 1-8 on the right) and the associated negative gradients for each cohort across the reference fishing mortality of ages 1-3 (bottom left and 4-8 (bottom right).



Figure 5.2.9.1: Western horse mackerel. SAD model with 2004-2009 separable window. Model fits to data for the five components of the likelihood, corresponding to (a) the egg estimates, (b) the catches in the separable period, (c) to the catches in the plus-group, and (d) population-mean realised fecundity (left of y-axis) and potential fecundity (right of y-axis). The left-hand column of plots shows the actual fit to the data (average catches are shown in (b) for ease of presentation),

and the right-hand column normalised residuals, of the form: $\ln \mathbf{x} - \ln \hat{\mathbf{x}} / \sigma$. In the residual plot for (b), the area of a bubble reflects the size of the residual, with the maximum absolute size given in the top right of the plot. In the residual plot for (d), only the potential fecundity residuals are shown (there is only one residual for the population-mean realised fecundity). The final SSB estimate assumes the same fishing mortality as in the previous year.



Figure 5.2.9.2: Western horse mackerel. Model with 2004-2009 separable window. Plots of (a) the selectivity pattern, (b) the SSB trajectory, (c) fishing mortality parameters (the scaling parameter F_{scal} , fishing mortality at age 10 in 1992, $F_{92,10}$, and the fishing mortality year effects for the separable period, F_y), and (d) numbers at age 0. The error bars are two standard deviations (indicating roughly 95% confidence bounds). The final SSB estimate assumes the same fishing mortality as in the previous year.



Figure 5.2.9.3: Western horse mackerel. Model with 2004-2009 separable window. Estimates for some key parameters, with (a) corresponding to variability parameters, plotted as standard deviations, for four components of the likelihood (σ_{sep} , σ_{egg} , σ_{11+} and σ_{pfec}), and (b) the fecundity parameters a_{fec} , b_{fec} , q_{fec} . The error bars are two standard deviations (indicating roughly 95% confidence bounds).



Figure 5.2.9.4: Western horse mackerel. Sensitivit of the SAD model to the length of the separable window. Trajectories of SSB, recruitment (age 0), F(1-3) and F(4-8) are shown in the top four plots, while the bottom plot shows selectivity-at-age.



Figure 5.2.9.5: Western horse mackerel. 5-year retrospective bias for the case where the length of the separable window is kept at 6 years (the year shown is the final year shown of the window). For comparison purposes the 2009 assessment is shown with the exclusion of the 2010 egg estimate. Trajectoris of SSB, recruitment (age 0), F(1-3) and F(4-8) are shown in the top four plots, while the bottom plot shows selectivity-at-age.



Figure 5.2.9.6: Western horse mackerel. 3-year retrospective bias for the case where the starting year of the separable window is kept at 2004, so that the window decreases in length as more years are dropped (the year shown is the final year of the window). For comparison purposes the 2009 assessment is shown with the exclusion of the 2010 egg estimate. Trajectories of SSB, recruitment (age 0), F(1-3) and F(4-8) are shown in the top four plots, while the bottom plot shows selectivity-at-age.



Figure 5.3.1.1: Western horse mackerel. Final assessment. Stock summary. Plots of catch, SSB, recruitment (age 0) and fishing mortality (average for 1-3 and 4-8). SSB and catch are in tons, and recruitment is in thousands. The final SSB estimate assumes the same fishing mortality as in the previous year.



Figure 5.6.1: Western horse mackerel. Comparison of the final assessment this year with that of last year. Plots of SSB, recruitment (age 0), fishing mortality (average for ages 1-3 and 4-8) and selectivity-at-age for the separable period (2003-2008 for the 2008 assessment, and 2004-2009 for the 2009 assessment). SSB values are in tons, and recruitment is in thousands.



Fig. 5.7.1.1. Deterministic and stochastic (taking into account uncertainty in weights, selectivity and maturity at age) stock recruit relationship fits for the western horse mackerel. Stock-recruit pairs are from the period 1983-2009.



HOM Ricker



a. Ricker



HOM Beverton-Holt



b) Beverton and Holt



HOM Smooth hockeystick

c) Smooth Hockystick



HOM - Per recruit statistics

d) Yield-per-recruit curve

Fig. 5.7.1.2. The relationship between F and yield-per-recruit (YPR) and spawning stock biomass (SSB) per recruit for the western horse mackerel stock for the 3 stock recruit models a) Ricker, b) Beverton and Holt and c) Smooth hockeystick. and d) the yield-per-recruit curve.

6 Southern Horse Mackerel (Division IXa)

6.1 ICES advice applicable to 2009 and 2010

In 2009 ICES considered that in the absence of defined reference points, the state of this stock cannot be evaluated with regard to these. Catches decreased from the early 1960s but have been relatively stable since the early 1990s. SSB has increased since 2003. ICES further stated that the recent level of catches does not seem to be detrimental to the stock. ICES therefore recommends that catches in 2010 should not exceed the recent average catch of 25 000 t (2000–2004; 2003 is excluded because of the reduced effort following the Prestige oil spill).

ICES also recommended that the TAC for this stock should only apply to *Trachurus trachurus*.

6.2 Management applied in 2009 and 2010

In 2009, the horse mackerel TAC for Divisions IXa and VIIIc was set at 57750 tons. In 2010 the EU followed ICES advice and established separate TACs for Div. VIIIc and IX, corresponding the latter to the southern stock of horse mackerel. This TAC for 2010 was set at 31142 tons.

6.3 Scientific data

6.3.1 The fishery in 2009

Catch allocation between Subdivisions for this stock is described in the Stock Annex. The definition of the ICES Subdivisions was set in 1992 and some of the previous catch statistics came from an area that comprises more than one Subdivision. This is the case of the Galician coasts where the Subdivisions VIIIc West and Subdivision IXa North are located. Further work is necessary to collect the catches by port and to distribute them by Subdivision. At the moment it has been collected the required information for the period 1992 – 2009, and it is expected to go back in time until 1939 (Portuguese catches are available since 1927) during the next years.

The Portuguese catches range from 40% of the total catch of the stock in 2008 to 85% in 1992 (Table 6.3.1.1). Therefore in 2008 the Portuguese catches were the lowest of the time series with a decrease of more than 1,000 tonnes comparing with catches in 2007. On the contrary, Spanish catches in 2007 increased in more than 1,300 t. The catch time series during the assessment period shows a decreasing trend since the peak reached in 1998 until 2003, when the lowest level of the time series was reached (Figure 6.3.1.1). This low catch level was mainly due to the markedly decrease (-21%) observed in Portuguese catches as compared to the catch reported in 2002. The catches in 2009 showed an increase of 3000 t in relation to 2008.

A historical evolution of catches is detailed in the Stock Annex, in Figures 6.3.1.1 and 6.3.1.2, and in Table 6.3.1.2. The different fleets targeting Southern horse mackerel are described in the Stock Annex.

6.3.2 Fishery independent information

6.3.2.1 Bottom trawl surveys

The CPUE matrices from these surveys are shown in Table 6.3.2.1.1 In the Spanish September/October survey, the ages from 1 to 5 are almost absent (except in 1993 and 2004), whereas in the Portuguese survey the oldest adults are not well represented. The total number per haul is dominated by the catch of the incoming year classes in the two time series of surveys. In the Spanish survey appeared an outstanding year class in 2005 but its strength has not been confirmed at age 1 in 2006 (Table 6.3.2.1.1). Figure 6.3.2.1.1 shows the evolution of several year-classes in the combined data set. The patterns in the combined data show a coherent decreasing pattern for each year class. Table 6.3.2.1.2 shows the combined abundance indices used in the assessment (see the Stock Annex for details).

6.3.2.2 Egg surveys

See the Stock Annex for details in the calculation of SSB by the Daily Egg Production Method (DEPM). The SSB estimates of the Daily Egg Production Method, and corresponding CV used in the stock assessment are shown below.

| Year | SSB (ton.) | CV |
|------|------------|------|
| 2002 | 172577 | 0.76 |
| 2005 | 284951 | 0.54 |
| 2007 | 346983 | 0.75 |

6.3.3 Effort and catch per unit of effort

No series of catch-per-unit-effort is currently available to be used for stock assessment.

6.3.4 Mean length at age and mean weight at age

Detailed information on the way to calculate mean weight and mean length at age values is included in the Stock Annex.

Table 6.3.4.1 and Table 6.3.4.2 show the mean weight at age in the catch, and the mean length at age in catch respectively. The mean weight at age in the catch increased significantly in 2004 for the ages above 3 years old, being for some of these ages the highest of the historical series (Figure 6.3.4.1). In 2009, there is not a clear pattern, with some ages showing a decrease and others an increase in mean weigh at age. The mean length at age showed a smooth increase trend for those ages since 2002 with a decrease in 2005 and 2006 (Table 6.3.4.2).

6.3.5 Maturity at age

Maturity ogive estimation procedures are detailed in Stock Annex.

| Age | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
|---------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Maturity (92–06) | 0.04 | 0.31 | 0.83 | 0.98 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Maturity (07-08) | 0.04 | 0.54 | 0.77 | 0.9 | 0.96 | 0.99 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |

The proportion of maturity at age used in the assessment period is:

6.3.6 Catch in numbers at age

The procedure to estimate numbers at age in the catch is described in the Stock Annex. In the time series of the catch in numbers at age, the 1994 year class showed high catches at ages 11 and 12 and the 1996 year class appears to be conspicuous at juvenile ages (0, 1 and 2) and reappearing again at ages 8 and 10. (Table 6.3.6.1.) In general, catches are dominated by juveniles and young adults (ages 0 to 4), although in recent years there is an increment of catch of older ages.

To know more in depth the exploitation history of the southern horse mackerel a new series of catch in numbers at age by fishing fleet is provided (Figure 6.3.1.2). Six fishing fleets are considered defined by the gear type (bottom trawl, purse seine and artisanal) and country (Portugal and Spain). The new time series starts in 1992 although it is expected to be extended back in time in the future.

The following fleets: Portuguese bottom trawl fleet, Portuguese purse seine fleet and Spanish purse seine fleet show a similar exploitation pattern with a great presence of juveniles and lower abundance of adults. On the other hand the Portuguese artisanal fleet, and the Spanish bottom trawl and artisanal fleets show the opposite: a significant presence of adults and low presence of juveniles. The catch of Spanish artisanal fishery is negligible.

6.3.7 Natural mortality

The natural mortality rate used in the assessment is the same value as used in previous years (see Stock Annex).

6.4 Information from the fishing industry

There is no any information in relation with this subsection

6.5 Methods

Given that last year's stock assessment has been rejected by the Advice Drafting Group and a benchmark assessment is scheduled for early 2011, no definitive stock assessment was done in this year's meeting. Therefore, the data exploration carried out is part of the preparatory work for the forthcoming benchmark assessment.

The model used in last year's assessment has been updated with one more year of data, and the assessment was run using the same parameterisation. As in last year, the catch data was separated by fishing fleet (6 fleets) and a catchability parameter, constant in time, was estimated for each age. The assessment diagnostics were very similar to last year's ones, with a clear lack of fitting to the catch data of one of the fishing fleets (Spanish bottom-trawl) and also high residuals in the fitting of the abundance indices from the combined bottom-trawl survey. The Hessian matrix estimated from the model fitting appeared not to be definite positive, indicating a lack of convergence to a local minimum.

Given the lack of an acceptable fit with this model/parameterisation, the Group suggested that several different models, with different parameterisations, should be applied during this meeting and their results compared. Therefore, as suggested by the Group, the following methods were applied to this stock:

- Extended Survivors Analysis (XSA)
- Integrated Catch-at-age Analysis (ICA)

- ASAP with aggregated catch-at-age data and different parameterisations for the abundance data.

The XSA trials provided results similar to the ones obtained several years ago, with a dataset with less years of data. Convergence of the model was only obtained with an F-shrinkage of 0.4. Several runs were made with different options (with or without tappered time-weighting, with or without shrinkage for the young ages, etc), but the pattern of the catchability residuals of the bottom-trawl survey remained similar, with high residuals and a clear year-effect (some years with all negative residuals while other years had all positive residuals).

With ICA, two trials were made with different ways of using the abundance data. In the first trial, no age disaggregated data was used, and the catch in number/hour of the bottom-trawl survey was turned into biomass and aggregated for each year. Runs with different age-weighting were made: firstly giving lower weights to ages 0 and 1, and then giving equal weights to all ages. Age-weighting did not have a noticeable influence in the final result, as the diagnostics from these runs were similar. The second trial was made using the survey data as an age-structured abundance index. In this trial, the same weight was given to all ages. In these trials, separability was assumed for the whole assessment period. Further experiments with shorter separable periods provided much worse fitting diagnostics. Figure 6.5.1 shows the catch-at-age and survey data residuals from the two trials carried out with ICA. In both cases there are clear patterns and very high residuals, especially in the survey data. The age-structured survey data also shows year-effects, with residuals mostly negative or positive in given years.

Finally, one trial was made with ASAP, but in order to reduce the number of parameters (avoiding a possible over-parameterisation), the catch-at-age data were not disaggregated by fleet. Regarding the survey data, and given that the assumption of different catchability for different ages, but constant in time, resulted in a poor fitting to the survey data, a different parameterisation was tried. As it is clear from Figure 6.5.2, there are clear year-effects in the abundance indices from the bottomtrawl surveys, while different ages most probably have different catchabilities. Therefore, a parameterisation assuming separability in the survey data was used, meaning that the parameters that relate observed abundance with the estimated one were the result of a year-effect and an age-effect. Equal weighting was given to all sources contributing to the objective (likelihood) function, except for total catch per year, which was given a weight 100 times higher than for the other sources of data. This weighting did not mean that the objective function was dominated by the total catch residuals, due to the fact that the number of data points is much lower for the total catch than, for example for catch proportions at age or for the age-structured survey data. Therefore, all these three sources of information contributed significantly to the objective function to be minimised. This exploratory assessment made with ASAP provided a good fitting to the total catch (Figure 6.5.3), while the catch proportions at age showed no pattern in the residuals, which were mostly of low absolute value, but the positive residuals outnumbered the negative ones (Figure 6.5.3). The same was observed for the survey residuals. The indices of total abundance per year showed no pattern and low residuals (Figure 6.5.4), but the proportion at age of the abundance indices had more positive residuals than negative ones, although without a clear pattern (Figure 6.5.4). The estimated fishing mortality and SSB from this exploratory assessment are shown in Figure 6.5.5.

6.6 Uncertainties in the stock evaluation

There are typically several sources of uncertainty in a fish stock assessment, e.g.:

- (1) Unsatisfactory fitting of the assessment model;
- (2) Inaccurate catch data (due to black landings or discards);
- (3) Doubts in aging criteria;
- (4) Noisy abundance indices;
- (5) Ignorance on stock identity.

From the exploratory analyses carried out so far, we can conclude that a satisfactory fitting of an assessment model was not yet completely achieved. Survey indices, which are the noisiest data source, also show strong year effects, which must be taken into account when choosing a model parameterisation. Although horse mackerel is usually labelled as a pelagic species, the fact is that most of the catches in Iberian waters are taken by bottom-trawl. The association of this species with the sea floor is much higher than that of other typically pelagic fish, such as scombrids or tunnids. Therefore, abundance data from bottom-trawl surveys, although variable over the years, seems to provide estimates reliable enough to be used in the assessment. That is also supported by the signal along the year classes shown in Figure 6.3.2.1.1.

The catch data used in the assessment is believed to be accurate, given the large number of samples, the good spatial and temporal coverage of the landings and the lack of discards and black landings (horse mackerel usually has a market price good enough to avoid discarding but not so high as to motivate black landings). The aging data for this stock is produced by experienced technicians who have participated more than once on otolith exchange programmes and age reading workshops. Age reading criteria were validated by using an otolith reference collection from the 1982 year-class, which was preponderant for many years in the western horse mackerel stock and therefore allowed to know with little doubt the actual age of the sampled fish.

The stock identity of the north-east Atlantic horse mackerel has been the subject of an international research project, which defined the boundaries of several stocks (including the southern one), using a multidisciplinary approach. The main findings of that project are published in several papers in the special issue of *Fisheries Research* (2008, vol. 89, issue 2) on the stock identification of horse mackerel.

6.7 Management considerations

This stock has supported a stable exploitation level for a long time period. It is clear that the apparent stability in the overall exploitation level is due to a decrease in fishing mortality in some fleets and an increase in others. The one with the highest increase is the Spanish bottom-trawl fleet operating in subdivision IXa North, which accounted less than 20% of the total catches until 2003 and has reached to a maximum level of 35% of the total catches in 2007. This overall stability can change drastically if there is a change in the fishing mortality trend of any of the Portuguese fleets or a faster rise in the Spanish fleets. Such change in fishing mortality has been observed in the late 1990s due to a decrease in sardine abundance, which made many purse-seiners to start targeting horse mackerel. Such a drastic change, in the current conditions, could lead to a decline of the reproductive potential of the stock.

The traditional exploitation pattern across fleets has been, for a long time, the targeting of juvenile age classes. This targeting of juveniles at a moderate level of exploitation does not seem to have been detrimental to the dynamics of this stock,

which has been stable along the years. However, both artisanal fleets and the Spanish bottom-trawl fleet target adult fish, especially above 6 years old. There is a migratory pattern of southern horse mackerel that makes age classes not evenly distributed along the stock area, with old fish mostly present in the waters of Galicia and northern Portugal. Therefore, a high fishing mortality focused on those areas may deplete the spawning stock in a faster way than if the fish were homogeneously distributed, which would reduce the reproductive capacity of the stock. The effect of the ongoing changes in the overall exploitation pattern of the stock can only be investigated in the medium-term, by simulating how the increased depletion of the older ages may affect the renewal capacity of the stock.

The SSB estimates from the bottom-trawl survey (Figure 6.7.1) indicate that there can be a recent increase in the stock abundance.

6.8 Ecosystem considerations

There is no specific information for this stock regarding this point.

6.9 Regulations and their effects

According to the Council Regulation (EU) No 23/2010 of 14 January 2010 the horse mackerel quota for Spanish fleets in area IX is 8,000 tons which may limit or invert the current trend in catches observed for those fleets.

6.10 Changes in fishing technology and fishing patterns

Traditionally this fishery is characterised by the high proportion of juveniles in catches. Recently the importance of the Spanish bottom trawl fleet in the catches of the stock is increasing. This fleet is targeting mainly adult fish.

6.11 Changes in the environment

No specific information for this stock.

| | Countr | | |
|------|--|--|---------------------|
| Year | Portugal (Subdivisions: IX a central north; IXa central south and IXa south) | Spain (Subdivisions IXa North and IXa south*) | Total Catch |
| 1991 | 17,497 | 4,275 | 21,772 |
| 1992 | 22,654 | 4,0591 | 28,4111 |
| 1993 | 25,747 | 6,198 | 31,945 |
| 1994 | 19,061 | 9,380 ¹ | 28,4411 |
| 1995 | 17,698 | 7,449 | 25,147 |
| 1996 | 14,053 | 6,3471 | 20,4001 |
| 1997 | 16,736 | 10,906 | 27,642 |
| 1998 | 21,334 | 20,230 | 41,564 |
| 1999 | 14,420 | 13,313 | 27,733 |
| 2000 | 15,348 | 11,812 | 27,160 |
| 2001 | 13,760 | 11,152 | 24,910 |
| 2002 | 14,270 | 8,236 // (9,393)* | 22,506 // (23,663)* |
| 2003 | 11,242 | 7,645 // (8,324)* | 18,887 // (19,566)* |
| 2004 | 11,875 | 11,377 // (11,702)* | 23,252 // (23,577)* |
| 2005 | 13,307 | 9,388 // (9,804)* | 22,695 // (23,111)* |
| 2006 | 14,607 | 9,295 // (9,951)* | 23,902 // (24,558)* |
| 2007 | 10,381 | 12,409 // (13,043)* | 22,790 // (23,424)* |
| 2008 | 9290 | 13,703 // (14,303)* | 22,993 // (23,593)* |
| 2009 | 10,841 | 14,886 // (15,646)* | 25,737 // (26,497)* |

Table 6.3.1.1 Time series of southern horse mackerel historical catches by country (in tonnes).

(*) In parenthesis: the Spanish catches from Subdivision IXa south are also included. These catches are only available since 2002 and they will not be considered in the assessment data until the rest of the time series be completed.

(1) These figures have been revised in 2008.

| Gear | Bottom | n trawl | Purse | seine | Artisanal | | | |
|------|----------|---------|----------|--------|-----------|-------|--|--|
| | Portugal | Spain | Portugal | Spain | Portugal | Spain | | |
| Year | | | | | | | | |
| 1992 | 13,000 | 1,651 | 7,354 | 2,409 | 3,445 | - | | |
| 1993 | 16,783 | 3,877 | 4,683 | 2,321 | 3,841 | - | | |
| 1994 | 10,466 | 2,655 | 5,369 | 6,724 | 3,202 | - | | |
| 1995 | 12601 | 3,010 | 2,947 | 4,440 | 2,137 | - | | |
| 1996 | 10,674 | 2,705 | 2,085 | 3,642 | 1,228 | - | | |
| 1997 | 12,446 | 2,130 | 4,385 | 8,776 | 1,800 | - | | |
| 1998 | 13,170 | 3,773 | 5,901 | 16,458 | 2,287 | - | | |
| 1999 | 6,868 | 3,238 | 5,707 | 10,074 | 1,855 | - | | |
| 2000 | 7,970 | 4,727 | 4,210 | 7,027 | 2,169 | 58 | | |
| 2001 | 7,690 | 4,536 | 4,788 | 6,260 | 1,281 | 356 | | |
| 2002 | 8,126 | 4,181 | 4,271 | 3,959 | 1,873 | 96 | | |
| 2003 | 6,887 | 3,229 | 2,112 | 4,411 | 2,243 | 5 | | |
| 2004 | 8,625 | 7,501 | 2,042 | 3,658 | 2,441 | 217 | | |
| 2005 | 8,319 | 5,710 | 2,444 | 3,596 | 2,545 | 76 | | |
| 2006 | 9,485 | 5,534 | 1,754 | 3,676 | 3,368 | 77 | | |
| 2007 | 5,706 | 7,999 | 2,683 | 4,092 | 1,992 | 316 | | |
| 2008 | 5,790 | 6,590 | 1,090 | 6,580 | 2,410 | 539 | | |
| 2009 | 4,850 | 10,225 | 2,200 | 4,469 | 3,792 | 192 | | |

| Table 6.3.1.2. | Southern horse | mackerel, La | ndings by gea | ar and by country. |
|-----------------|----------------|--------------|---------------|--------------------|
| 1 abic 0.0.1.2. | Southern noise | mackeren La | numgo by gee | ii and by country |

| _ | Portuguese October Survey | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|---------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------|--------|---------|--|--|
| Year \ Age | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11+ | | |
| 1992 | 522.2433 | 568.2876 | 182.2559 | 63.5430 | 28.2969 | 11.0128 | 7.4246 | 7.7533 | 4.1195 | 3.4621 | 4.7167 | 2.3386 | | |
| 1993 | 2065.4426 | 277.9102 | 279.0535 | 171.6586 | 40.6898 | 5.3466 | 3.1123 | 1.9390 | 1.1076 | 1.2692 | 0.7797 | 2.9203 | | |
| 1994 | 4.0670 | 10.2110 | 70.5896 | 64.5655 | 26.8742 | 6.6428 | 2.9994 | 2.0481 | 1.0044 | 0.5510 | 0.3451 | 0.1791 | | |
| 1995 | 22.8973 | 90.5000 | 129.6341 | 78.5573 | 34.9839 | 6.6355 | 1.3651 | 1.6019 | 0.4966 | 0.2400 | 0.2387 | 1.6041 | | |
| 1996 | 1613.2587 | 11.3420 | 18.4573 | 29.8236 | 29.9718 | 5.6756 | 2.2938 | 0.9104 | 0.3289 | 0.1802 | 0.0623 | 0.2895 | | |
| 1997 | 1306.6102 | 92.1578 | 152.1887 | 45.4040 | 73.8544 | 42.7363 | 8.6522 | 6.8750 | 2.7440 | 3.1068 | 1.1317 | 0.5125 | | |
| 1998 | 115.7542 | 48.9083 | 137.4453 | 19.8992 | 7.3852 | 4.1001 | 2.2007 | 2.1897 | 0.3411 | 0.0651 | 0.0299 | 0.0539 | | |
| 1999 | 147.2168 | 31.3117 | 58.8573 | 69.3633 | 5.8232 | 2.0045 | 1.0510 | 0.2537 | 0.0636 | 0.0969 | 0.0268 | 0.0154 | | |
| 2000 | 3.5097 | 22.7048 | 30.5421 | 34.3248 | 16.7005 | 9.3181 | 4.8150 | 1.4691 | 0.7455 | 0.1017 | 0.0548 | 0.1248 | | |
| 2001 | 726.8029 | 1.1545 | 4.7081 | 3.7012 | 5.1126 | 7.2639 | 8.7959 | 13.9616 | 7.6053 | 2.4691 | 1.3707 | 0.8481 | | |
| 2002 | 41.5849 | 2.6346 | 8.8535 | 14.5696 | 11.5922 | 5.9654 | 1.8800 | 1.2608 | 0.8624 | 0.5182 | 1.0152 | 0.8030 | | |
| 2003 | 82.4589 | 10.4742 | 10.5063 | 20.3363 | 18.0913 | 5.1662 | 2.8067 | 1.7227 | 1.0957 | 0.6309 | 0.2667 | 0.0278 | | |
| 2004 | 63.0787 | 39.3341 | 140.6628 | 55.2227 | 11.5710 | 4.9846 | 2.3551 | 5.9047 | 7.7122 | 1.2177 | 0.2491 | 0.0253 | | |
| 2005 | 383.5094 | 1475.1982 | 237.2061 | 81.0509 | 39.8305 | 17.2338 | 20.2720 | 20.5971 | 15.7765 | 8.1961 | 4.9993 | 14.0825 | | |
| 2006 | 93.1133 | 95.2280 | 253.4003 | 63.1362 | 3.7573 | 12.1072 | 8.7453 | 7.1924 | 2.9255 | 1.6050 | 0.7272 | 0.2015 | | |
| 2007 | 40.7900 | 0.8700 | 28.1853 | 45.6567 | 34.2721 | 8.5803 | 2.8825 | 1.7015 | 0.1696 | 0.5715 | 1.6229 | 3.3875 | | |
| 2008 | 51.7000 | 26.6500 | 41.0700 | 23.6600 | 30.4000 | 21.0600 | 2.9200 | 0.9800 | 1.4300 | 2.0100 | 1.3700 | 5.1100 | | |
| 2009 | 1725.2100 | 81.5300 | 121.1560 | 44.4500 | 36.0000 | 9.9700 | 2.7100 | 1.5200 | 1.1540 | 0.6800 | 0.6100 | 4.7000 | | |

Table 6.3.2.1.1b. Southern horse mackerel. CPUE at age from bottom trawl surveys.

| | | Spanish October Survey (only Subdivision IXa North) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|---------|---|------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|--|--|
| Year \ Age | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11+ | | |
| 1992 | 6.58 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.20 | 0.18 | 0.30 | 3.39 | 7.11 | | |
| 1993 | 92.07 | 1.65 | 5.16 | 3.95 | 0.35 | 0.00 | 1.15 | 5.18 | 5.72 | 8.72 | 5.23 | 16.07 | | |
| 1994 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.48 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.19 | 0.57 | 1.43 | 2.63 | 36.75 | | |
| 1995 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.34 | 0.18 | 0.76 | 19.90 | | |
| 1996 | 33.65 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.26 | 0.35 | 0.90 | 2.71 | 0.56 | 10.26 | | |
| 1997 | 2.03 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.13 | 0.25 | 0.98 | 1.16 | 1.71 | 0.78 | 5.02 | | |
| 1998 | 0.98 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.93 | 0.54 | 0.25 | 0.15 | 0.45 | | |
| 1999 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.27 | 0.63 | 2.18 | 3.17 | 11.11 | | |
| 2000 | 0.48 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.37 | 2.79 | 3.69 | 3.24 | 0.72 | 2.56 | | |
| 2001 | 12.74 | 2.86 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.19 | 0.41 | 2.54 | 4.41 | 4.13 | 3.15 | 4.15 | | |
| 2002 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.59 | 1.24 | 7.29 | 7.09 | 8.95 | 22.03 | | |
| 2003 | 8.78 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.19 | 0.11 | 0.81 | 0.88 | 1.68 | | |
| 2004 | 89.97 | 1.19 | 2.50 | 16.22 | 5.39 | 4.60 | 1.71 | 1.31 | 0.65 | 0.29 | 0.80 | 0.62 | | |
| 2005 | 3520.44 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.35 | 0.41 | 0.26 | 0.25 | 0.52 | 0.48 | 0.14 | 1.27 | | |
| 2006 | 28.40 | 0.10 | 0.03 | 0.11 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.16 | 0.86 | | |
| 2007 | 1.39 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.09 | 0.21 | 0.96 | 1.26 | 1.63 | 0.76 | 0.62 | 1.41 | | |
| 2008 | 17.98 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.23 | 0.37 | 0.37 | 0.26 | 0.55 | | |
| 2009 | 84.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.16 | 2.05 | | |

| _ | to be consider | be considered in the benefiniar Russessmetht. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|----------------|---|----------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------|--------|---------|--|--|
| Year \ Age | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11+ | | |
| 1992 | 444.8938 | 483.0445 | 154.9175 | 54.0115 | 24.0658 | 9.3609 | 6.3124 | 6.6203 | 3.5286 | 2.9878 | 4.5177 | 3.0543 | | |
| 1993 | 1769.4367 | 236.4712 | 237.9695 | 146.5023 | 34.6388 | 4.5446 | 2.8179 | 2.4252 | 1.7995 | 2.3868 | 1.4472 | 4.8927 | | |
| 1994 | 3.4794 | 8.6793 | 60.0732 | 54.8807 | 22.8431 | 5.6464 | 2.5495 | 1.7694 | 0.9392 | 0.6828 | 0.6878 | 5.6647 | | |
| 1995 | 19.4762 | 76.9250 | 110.1890 | 66.7737 | 29.7363 | 5.6401 | 1.1633 | 1.3647 | 0.4731 | 0.2310 | 0.3169 | 4.3485 | | |
| 1996 | 1376.3174 | 9.6407 | 15.6887 | 25.3500 | 25.4761 | 4.8287 | 1.9887 | 0.8264 | 0.4145 | 0.5596 | 0.1370 | 1.7850 | | |
| 1997 | 1110.9231 | 78.3356 | 129.3604 | 38.5934 | 62.7792 | 36.3454 | 7.3919 | 5.9908 | 2.5064 | 2.8973 | 1.0789 | 1.1886 | | |
| 1998 | 98.5381 | 41.5721 | 116.8285 | 16.9143 | 6.2774 | 3.4850 | 1.8901 | 2.0008 | 0.3709 | 0.0928 | 0.0480 | 0.1133 | | |
| 1999 | 125.1403 | 26.6149 | 50.0287 | 58.9588 | 4.9498 | 1.7038 | 0.9189 | 0.2562 | 0.1486 | 0.4094 | 0.4983 | 1.6795 | | |
| 2000 | 3.0553 | 19.2991 | 25.9608 | 29.1760 | 14.1954 | 7.9219 | 4.1482 | 1.6672 | 1.1872 | 0.5725 | 0.1546 | 0.4901 | | |
| 2001 | 619.6935 | 1.4103 | 4.0019 | 3.1460 | 4.3457 | 6.2028 | 7.5380 | 12.2483 | 7.1260 | 2.7183 | 1.6376 | 1.3434 | | |
| 2002 | 35.3682 | 2.2394 | 7.5254 | 12.3842 | 9.8533 | 5.0706 | 1.6865 | 1.2577 | 1.8266 | 1.5040 | 2.2054 | 3.9871 | | |
| 2003 | 71.4071 | 8.9031 | 8.9303 | 17.2859 | 15.3776 | 4.3957 | 2.3947 | 1.4928 | 0.9478 | 0.6578 | 0.3587 | 0.2756 | | |
| 2004 | 67.1124 | 33.6125 | 119.9384 | 49.3723 | 10.6439 | 4.9269 | 2.2583 | 5.2155 | 6.6528 | 1.0785 | 0.3317 | 0.1145 | | |
| 2005 | 854.0490 | 1253.9260 | 201.6251 | 68.8932 | 33.9085 | 14.7102 | 17.2702 | 17.5450 | 13.4881 | 7.0387 | 4.2704 | 12.1606 | | |
| 2006 | 83.4063 | 80.9588 | 215.3947 | 53.6823 | 3.2027 | 10.3017 | 7.4395 | 6.1180 | 2.4926 | 1.3747 | 0.6421 | 0.3003 | | |
| 2007 | 34.8800 | 0.7395 | 23.9575 | 38.8097 | 29.1448 | 7.3248 | 2.5941 | 1.6353 | 0.3887 | 0.5998 | 1.4725 | 3.0909 | | |
| 2008 | 46.6420 | 22.6525 | 34.9100 | 20.1149 | 25.8405 | 17.9097 | 2.4942 | 0.8668 | 1.2715 | 1.7643 | 1.2041 | 4.4256 | | |
| 2009 | 1479.0450 | 69.3005 | 102.9826 | 37.7825 | 30.6000 | 8.4745 | 2.3035 | 1.2920 | 0.9809 | 0.5866 | 0.5430 | 4.3028 | | |

 Table 6.3.2.1.2. Time series of CPUE at age from Portuguese and Spanish combined bottom trawl (85% PT + 15% SP). It is showed with the period and the age plus to be considered in the benchmark assessment.

| Year \ Age | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15+ |
|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 1992 | 0.032 | 0.034 | 0.044 | 0.067 | 0.104 | 0.131 | 0.148 | 0.172 | 0.187 | 0.200 | 0.232 | 0.258 | 0.280 | 0.324 | 0.331 | 0.416 |
| 1993 | 0.023 | 0.029 | 0.038 | 0.066 | 0.089 | 0.130 | 0.166 | 0.208 | 0.243 | 0.243 | 0.253 | 0.269 | 0.319 | 0.341 | 0.369 | 0.413 |
| 1994 | 0.040 | 0.036 | 0.063 | 0.069 | 0.091 | 0.131 | 0.157 | 0.193 | 0.225 | 0.248 | 0.272 | 0.286 | 0.343 | 0.336 | 0.325 | 0.380 |
| 1995 | 0.036 | 0.035 | 0.060 | 0.083 | 0.097 | 0.124 | 0.164 | 0.168 | 0.200 | 0.222 | 0.230 | 0.255 | 0.284 | 0.292 | 0.331 | 0.391 |
| 1996 | 0.022 | 0.049 | 0.070 | 0.087 | 0.112 | 0.140 | 0.172 | 0.186 | 0.216 | 0.239 | 0.258 | 0.264 | 0.293 | 0.275 | 0.362 | 0.380 |
| 1997 | 0.028 | 0.031 | 0.051 | 0.073 | 0.112 | 0.138 | 0.166 | 0.200 | 0.236 | 0.264 | 0.255 | 0.288 | 0.324 | 0.332 | 0.348 | 0.443 |
| 1998 | 0.028 | 0.031 | 0.039 | 0.067 | 0.102 | 0.127 | 0.169 | 0.212 | 0.170 | 0.245 | 0.251 | 0.270 | 0.290 | 0.315 | 0.364 | 0.447 |
| 1999 | 0.022 | 0.040 | 0.060 | 0.084 | 0.108 | 0.140 | 0.163 | 0.191 | 0.217 | 0.249 | 0.271 | 0.284 | 0.300 | 0.321 | 0.397 | 0.474 |
| 2000 | 0.024 | 0.035 | 0.053 | 0.087 | 0.111 | 0.134 | 0.160 | 0.188 | 0.220 | 0.235 | 0.252 | 0.275 | 0.283 | 0.321 | 0.324 | 0.339 |
| 2001 | 0.024 | 0.029 | 0.067 | 0.083 | 0.087 | 0.131 | 0.157 | 0.183 | 0.199 | 0.232 | 0.241 | 0.281 | 0.279 | 0.306 | 0.330 | 0.428 |
| 2002 | 0.027 | 0.030 | 0.044 | 0.069 | 0.097 | 0.124 | 0.147 | 0.168 | 0.196 | 0.226 | 0.246 | 0.270 | 0.311 | 0.322 | 0.341 | 0.409 |
| 2003 | 0.022 | 0.033 | 0.045 | 0.063 | 0.088 | 0.124 | 0.146 | 0.179 | 0.204 | 0.235 | 0.254 | 0.280 | 0.299 | 0.318 | 0.440 | 0.344 |
| 2004 | 0.039 | 0.028 | 0.047 | 0.084 | 0.120 | 0.159 | 0.184 | 0.209 | 0.228 | 0.254 | 0.266 | 0.268 | 0.284 | 0.274 | 0.370 | 0.361 |
| 2005 | 0.019 | 0.026 | 0.043 | 0.072 | 0.115 | 0.148 | 0.167 | 0.183 | 0.220 | 0.241 | 0.253 | 0.281 | 0.284 | 0.309 | 0.286 | 0.412 |
| 2006 | 0.029 | 0.029 | 0.045 | 0.063 | 0.093 | 0.125 | 0.140 | 0.167 | 0.194 | 0.225 | 0.249 | 0.290 | 0.309 | 0.363 | 0.386 | 0.399 |
| 2007 | 0.028 | 0.048 | 0.057 | 0.070 | 0.093 | 0.113 | 0.162 | 0.193 | 0.232 | 0.223 | 0.237 | 0.260 | 0.294 | 0.266 | 0.323 | 0.363 |
| 2008 | 0.019 | 0.047 | 0.062 | 0.082 | 0.104 | 0.133 | 0.152 | 0.172 | 0.195 | 0.215 | 0.234 | 0.247 | 0.264 | 0.306 | 0.353 | 0.407 |
| 2009 | 0.025 | 0.031 | 0.060 | 0.092 | 0.111 | 0.128 | 0.148 | 0.172 | 0.184 | 0.212 | 0.243 | 0.275 | 0.285 | 0.353 | 0.376 | 0.442 |

Table 6.3.4.1. Southern horse mackerel. Mean weight at age in the catch.

| Year \ Age | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15+ |
|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 1992 | 14.931 | 15.594 | 17.471 | 19.843 | 23.180 | 25.785 | 27.384 | 28.648 | 29.601 | 31.152 | 31.534 | 32.642 | 33.284 | 33.929 | 34.699 | 36.815 |
| 1993 | 13.957 | 15.538 | 17.405 | 18.891 | 21.284 | 28.235 | 29.558 | 31.086 | 31.701 | 31.662 | 32.051 | 32.451 | 34.081 | 34.723 | 35.814 | 37.178 |
| 1994 | 13.368 | 14.584 | 18.114 | 21.084 | 22.665 | 24.757 | 27.012 | 29.532 | 31.151 | 31.713 | 32.383 | 32.190 | 33.267 | 34.173 | 34.372 | 36.462 |
| 1995 | 16.038 | 15.444 | 19.883 | 21.769 | 23.115 | 24.487 | 28.645 | 26.538 | 30.141 | 30.901 | 31.610 | 32.614 | 33.945 | 33.995 | 35.233 | 36.943 |
| 1996 | 13.293 | 18.989 | 19.683 | 21.820 | 24.676 | 26.323 | 28.016 | 28.561 | 30.336 | 30.740 | 31.473 | 31.951 | 33.421 | 32.542 | 36.151 | 37.004 |
| 1997 | 13.359 | 15.813 | 18.894 | 20.718 | 24.274 | 26.303 | 27.625 | 29.455 | 31.151 | 32.399 | 31.881 | 33.051 | 34.638 | 34.824 | 35.448 | 38.542 |
| 1998 | 14.493 | 13.916 | 15.924 | 20.449 | 23.513 | 25.517 | 28.313 | 30.306 | 26.860 | 31.690 | 31.982 | 32.734 | 33.439 | 34.537 | 36.446 | 39.077 |
| 1999 | 13.410 | 16.394 | 18.968 | 22.274 | 24.476 | 26.201 | 27.515 | 28.983 | 30.291 | 31.703 | 32.691 | 33.264 | 33.876 | 34.738 | 37.315 | 39.585 |
| 2000 | 13.610 | 16.373 | 18.434 | 21.682 | 24.757 | 25.996 | 27.229 | 28.573 | 30.219 | 30.796 | 31.524 | 32.280 | 32.656 | 34.228 | 34.494 | 34.992 |
| 2001 | 14.111 | 15.618 | 20.240 | 21.851 | 22.462 | 25.444 | 27.364 | 28.731 | 29.592 | 30.854 | 31.180 | 32.985 | 32.843 | 33.989 | 34.732 | 38.228 |
| 2002 | 15.049 | 15.691 | 17.509 | 20.337 | 23.062 | 25.383 | 26.600 | 28.010 | 29.581 | 30.863 | 31.760 | 32.601 | 34.202 | 34.681 | 35.433 | 36.876 |
| 2003 | 12.996 | 15.723 | 18.750 | 20.699 | 23.143 | 26.076 | 26.728 | 29.192 | 29.999 | 31.213 | 31.956 | 32.897 | 33.554 | 33.927 | 38.856 | 35.310 |
| 2004 | 16.172 | 14.426 | 17.228 | 21.174 | 24.045 | 26.666 | 28.076 | 29.398 | 30.473 | 31.616 | 32.291 | 32.228 | 33.047 | 32.249 | 36.367 | 35.881 |
| 2005 | 12.497 | 13.928 | 16.624 | 20.082 | 23.536 | 25.924 | 27.119 | 28.094 | 30.021 | 31.137 | 31.636 | 32.785 | 32.578 | 33.548 | 32.586 | 37.223 |
| 2006 | 14.615 | 14.659 | 17.043 | 19.209 | 22.207 | 24.622 | 25.631 | 27.208 | 28.720 | 30.329 | 31.476 | 33.220 | 34.002 | 35.863 | 36.705 | 36.999 |
| 2007 | 14.601 | 17.486 | 18.534 | 20.015 | 22.086 | 23.639 | 26.897 | 28.724 | 30.635 | 30.325 | 30.921 | 31.831 | 33.424 | 32.164 | 34.486 | 35.742 |
| 2008 | 12.962 | 17.262 | 20.483 | 22.252 | 23.970 | 25.422 | 26.539 | 27.660 | 28.778 | 29.640 | 30.481 | 31.276 | 32.231 | 33.527 | 35.584 | 37.227 |
| 2009 | 12.962 | 17.262 | 20.483 | 22.252 | 23.970 | 25.422 | 26.539 | 27.660 | 28.778 | 29.640 | 30.481 | 31.276 | 32.231 | 33.527 | 35.584 | 37.227 |

 Table 6.3.4.2.
 Southern horse mackerel.
 Mean length at age in the catch.

| Year \ Age | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11+ |
|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|---------|----------|----------|
| 1992 | 11684.24 | 95185.57 | 145732.07 | 40736.29 | 12170.81 | 9102.01 | 5017.53 | 6864.39 | 5154.79 | 4761.40 | 13972.98 | 14353.80 |
| 1993 | 6480.06 | 66211.26 | 137089.49 | 100515.08 | 35417.75 | 13367.17 | 12938.11 | 10494.65 | 6596.95 | 5551.62 | 4496.98 | 14441.57 |
| 1994 | 12713.15 | 63230.39 | 86717.50 | 96253.08 | 28761.10 | 7627.58 | 4398.41 | 3433.14 | 5208.61 | 4834.44 | 6047.03 | 12264.05 |
| 1995 | 7229.62 | 55379.99 | 31265.08 | 52029.83 | 28198.80 | 11009.52 | 4003.36 | 3139.46 | 2719.92 | 3352.42 | 2529.55 | 31343.17 |
| 1996 | 69650.71 | 13797.72 | 14021.05 | 28125.41 | 33936.54 | 9860.73 | 6610.50 | 4500.78 | 4164.37 | 5503.63 | 3306.32 | 14242.50 |
| 1997 | 5056.44 | 295328.97 | 112210.32 | 26235.69 | 17168.28 | 12886.19 | 7780.26 | 7169.46 | 3937.53 | 3866.88 | 2424.85 | 8846.71 |
| 1998 | 22916.81 | 95949.89 | 320720.59 | 68437.68 | 18769.57 | 11317.34 | 9712.04 | 20627.38 | 12759.90 | 6685.77 | 6211.66 | 11323.09 |
| 1999 | 51659.09 | 29794.90 | 26230.63 | 66703.76 | 42959.83 | 15700.49 | 13840.18 | 7554.82 | 4175.40 | 4790.48 | 2474.75 | 7416.62 |
| 2000 | 12246.35 | 72936.38 | 23546.62 | 41617.74 | 35967.57 | 18643.03 | 17253.50 | 12118.45 | 7915.04 | 5227.03 | 3123.67 | 3556.61 |
| 2001 | 105759.25 | 77363.81 | 31260.71 | 24103.94 | 23721.48 | 16794.25 | 15391.49 | 14963.98 | 9795.06 | 3309.64 | 2022.74 | 3988.87 |
| 2002 | 18444.15 | 94401.72 | 84378.75 | 26482.09 | 13161.27 | 11396.22 | 10262.62 | 12500.64 | 10156.43 | 7524.70 | 3607.40 | 4433.43 |
| 2003 | 40032.60 | 6829.50 | 36753.61 | 28558.84 | 21930.75 | 12789.88 | 14750.66 | 13581.86 | 10630.91 | 6492.09 | 3530.78 | 2332.73 |
| 2004 | 7101.35 | 126796.54 | 58054.25 | 18242.52 | 8327.52 | 13585.80 | 11835.86 | 14878.06 | 10542.00 | 3876.11 | 5257.60 | 5318.47 |
| 2005 | 21015.07 | 108070.25 | 49196.71 | 24288.80 | 17877.43 | 11334.03 | 11178.72 | 7927.13 | 9124.35 | 7444.63 | 5502.22 | 11419.69 |
| 2006 | 3329.06 | 92562.88 | 92895.82 | 22665.24 | 6738.02 | 13176.14 | 11891.95 | 6028.63 | 7302.85 | 8070.42 | 8947.28 | 15321.83 |
| 2007 | 2885.02 | 16419.45 | 27667.44 | 44357.24 | 20534.04 | 8187.28 | 4459.25 | 3563.18 | 5975.22 | 4748.47 | 4943.43 | 30000.93 |
| 2008 | 48379.96 | 54167.44 | 31951.01 | 28057.84 | 16616.44 | 7193.99 | 4781.65 | 3660.10 | 4579.32 | 3974.94 | 4536.51 | 24989.61 |
| 2009 | 22617.94 | 85414.54 | 32415.59 | 8482.06 | 9773.68 | 7161.72 | 3289.29 | 2860.46 | 2790.94 | 3579.46 | 4235.60 | 39095.64 |

Table 6.3.6.1. Southern horse mackerel. Time series of catch at age data in number (thousands).



Figure 6.3.1.1. Southern horse mackerel. Historical series of the stock landings including the landings by country.



Figure 6.3.1.2. Southern horse mackerel. Historical series of catches by gear and country (Pt = Portugal; Sp = Spain). Dashed line corresponds to the total landings.



Figure 6.3.2.1.1. Southern horse mackerel. Evolution of several year classes in the survey combined dataset.



Figure 6.3.4.1. Southern horse mackerel. Time series of mean weight at age in the catch (from age 1 to 11).



Figure 6.5.1. Catch at age and survey data residuals from the two ICA runs (left hand plot corresponds to trial and right one to the second one).

Ane



Figure 6.5.2. Relative importance of the abundance indices (catch in numbers at age per hour) of the Portuguese and Spanish bottom-trawl surveys, and of the combined data set. Circles area is proportional to abundance. Circles are comparable only within each panel.



Figure 6.5.3. Fitting of the total catch given by the exploratory assessment performed with ASAP, assuming separability in the survey data.



Figure 6.5.4. Fitting of the index of abundance given by the exploratory assessment performed with ASAP, assuming separability in the survey data.



Figure 6.5.5. SSB and F estimated in the exploratory assessment performed with ASAP, assuming separability in the survey data.


Figure 6.7.1. SSB estimates from the bottom-trawl survey.

7 Norwegian spring spawning herring

7.1 ICES advice in 2009

In 2009 ICES stated that "Based on the most recent estimates of SSB (in 2009) ICES classifies the stock as having full reproductive capacity. Based on the most recent estimate of fishing mortality (in 2008) ICES classifies the stock as being harvested sustainably. SSB in 2009 is well above Bpa and is estimated as one of the highest in the time-series. The stock contains a number of good year classes. In the last 10 years, four large year classes have been produced (1998, 1999, 2002 and 2004). However, the available information indicates that year classes after 2004 have been of low abundance".

A long term management plan, agreed by the Coastal States is operational. The management plan implies maximum catches of 1 483 000 t in 2010, which is expected to leave a spawning stock of 10.8 million tonnes in 2010. ICES considers that the current long-term management plan is consistent with the precautionary approach.

7.2 Management in 2009 and 2010

EU, Faroe Islands, Iceland, Norway, and Russia agreed in 1996 to implement a longterm management plan for Norwegian spring-spawning herring. The management plan was part of the international agreement on total quota setting and sharing of the quota during the years 1997–2002. In the years 2003–2006 there was also no agreement between the Coastal States regarding the allocation of the quota. In this period quotas were set unilaterally and in some countries quota were raised during the year. In the years 2007-2009 the Coastal States have agreed to set a TAC in accordance with the Management Plan. The management plan in use contains the following elements:

- 1) Every effort shall be made to maintain a level of Spawning Stock Biomass (SSB) greater than the critical level (Blim) of 2 500 000 t.
- 2) For the year 2001 and subsequent years, the Parties agreed to restrict their fishing on the basis of a TAC consistent with a fishing mortality rate of less than 0.125 for appropriate age groups as defined by ICES, unless future scientific advice requires modification of this fishing mortality rate.
- 3) Should the SSB fall below a reference point of 5 000 000 t (Bpa), the fishing mortality rate, referred under Paragraph 2, shall be adapted in the light of scientific estimates of the conditions to ensure a safe and rapid recovery of the SSB to a level in excess of 5 000 000 t. The basis for such an adaptation should be at least a linear reduction in the fishing mortality rate from 0.125 at Bpa (5 000 000 t) to 0.05 at Blim (2 500 000 t).
- 4) The Parties shall, as appropriate, review and revise these management measures and strategies on the basis of any new advice provided by ICES.

The agreed TAC for 2009¹ was 1 643 000 tonnes. The agreed shares of the Parties are 106 959 tonnes for the European Community, 84 779 tonnes for Faroe Islands, 238 399

¹ Agreed record of conclusions of fisheries consultations on the management of the Norwegian spring-spawning (Atlanto-scandian) herring stock in the north-east Atlantic for 2009 (London, 13 November 2008)

tonnes for Iceland, 1 002 230 tonnes for Norway and 210 633 tonnes for the Russian Federation.

The agreed TAC for 2010² was 1 483 000 tonnes. The agreed shares of the Parties are 96 543 tonnes for the European Community, 76 523 tonnes for Faroe Islands, 215 183 tonnes for Iceland, 904 630 tonnes for Norway and 190 121 tonnes for the Russian Federation.

Each Party may transfer unutilised quantities of up to 10% of the quota allocated to the Party to the following year. Such transfer shall be an addition to the quota allocated to the Party in that year. Also each Party may authorise fishing by its vessels of up to 10% beyond the quota allocated. All quantities fished beyond the allocated quota shall be deducted from the Party's allocation in the following year. Further arrangements, including arrangements for access and other conditions for fishing in the respective zones of fisheries jurisdiction of the Parties, are regulated by bilateral arrangements.

7.3 The fishery in 2009

7.3.1 Description and development of the fisheries

Traditionally in earlier years the fishing pattern followed the clockwise migration pattern of the herring, now also including the catches in the Jan Mayen area in the Norwegian Sea. As last 2 years, the westerly trend in the southwest area continued with high catches taken in the Icelandic-Faroe zone during the summer fishery targeting the largest and oldest fish.

The distribution of the fisheries of Norwegian springspawning herring by all countries in 2009 by ICES rectangles is shown in Figure 7.3.1.1 (total whole year) and in Figure 7.3.1.2 (by quarter). In 2009 the data provided as catch by rectangle represented more than 99 % of the total WG catch.

In 2009 there were not limitations for countries to enter the EEZs of other countries and the fleets given free access to any zone according with bilateral negotiations. As last year was the prolonged fishery in the Icelandic and Faroese zones during summer, where the oldest age groups were present (second and especially third quarter). The herring's fishery was stop in the end of year on a wintering area.

The migration pattern, together with environmental factors, was mapped in 2009 during the ICES WGNAPES (Working Group on Northeast Atlantic Pelagic Ecosystem Surveys) investigations (ICES 2010/RMC:).

7.3.1.1 Denmark

The Danish fishery of Norwegian spring spawning herring in 2009 carried out by purse seiners and trawlers was 32 321 t. The fishery took place in the first quarter (17 503 t) and fourth quarter (14 818 t). 90% of the landings were landed in Denmark.

² Agreed record of conclusions of fisheries consultations on the management of the Norwegian spring-spawning (Atlanto-scandian) herring stock in the north-east Atlantic for 2010 (London, 22 October 2009)

7.3.1.2 Germany

The vessels targeting Norwegian spring spawning herring are belonging to the pelagic freezer trawler fleet owned by a Dutch company and operating under the German flag. Depending on season and the economic situation these vessels are targeting other pelagic species in European and international waters. This fleet consist of four large pelagic freezer-trawlers of lengths between 90 m and 140 m with power ratings between 4 200 and 12 000 hp. The crew consists of about 35 to 40 men. The vessels are purpose built for pelagic fisheries. The catch is pumped into large storage tanks filled with cool water to keep the catch fresh until it is processed.

7.3.1.3 Greenland

No information.

7.3.1.4 Faroe Islands

Contrary to the recent years the summer fishery in the Faroese, Icelandic, and Jan Mayen zones (Divisions Vb, Va and IIa) lasted for a much shorter time period in 2009 (July to August) as compared to 2008 (May to August). The amount caught in this fishery was significantly less in 2009. The Faroese catches mostly consisted of large herring. The fishery started in January along the Norwegian coast in the Lofoten area down to Møre in February. In June some catches were taken in the Faroese area moving gradually into the Icelandic area and Jan Mayen by end of August. In September the fishery concentrated in the northern area (Division IIa in International, Svalbard, and Norwegian zones). The fishery continued in this area, mostly in the Norwegian zone until December.

7.3.1.5 Iceland

The Icelandic catch quota for Norwegian springspawning herring in 2009 was set at 238 000 tonnes. The Icelandic fishery started in May in the Icelandic zone and lasted there through September. The fishery gradually moved then to the international zone and also to the Norwegian EEZ and ceased in early November. The total catch in the Icelandic EEZ came to 198 000 t, which is the highest annual catch there since the 1960s. About 5 000 t were taken in Faroese waters, 18 000 t in the International zone, 4 000 t in the Jan Mayen zone and about 40 000 t in the Norwegian zone. The total catch of the Icelandic fleet in 2009 was 265 480 tonnes.

In 2009, as well as in 2007 and 2008, the entire fishery of the Icelandic summer-spawning herring was west off Iceland and therefore Norwegian spring-spawning herring was not caught in that fishery, different from the east coast fishery during 2004-2005.

7.3.1.6 Ireland

The Irish fishery for Norwegian spring spawning herring took place in February off the Norwegian coast. A total of 8 vessels participated in the fishery and recorded landings in the region of 10 000 tonnes. The fleet is comprised of 8 pelagic licensed trawlers with RSW tanks. Norwegian spring spawning herring from the Irish fleet is landed primarily for reduction to fishmeal and processed for human consumption. Landings were made mainly into Norwegian ports.

7.3.1.7 Netherlands

The fishery for Norwegian spring spawning herring by the Netherlands in 2009 was conducted by 5 freezer trawlers using large pelagic trawls. The fishery took place in the 3rd and 4th quarter in ICES Division IIa and IIb but mostly in IIa. A total catch was 26 770 tonnes was reported in 2009 from 8 fishing trips. In 2008 (3 trips) and 2009 (1 trip) were attended by a scientific observer. Discards of herring in these trips (in weight) were estimated to be very low and estimated to be 2.% in 2008 and 1% in 2009. There are also records of small amounts of mackerel present in the catches in the 3rd quarter from this fishery.

7.3.1.8 Norway

The Norwegian quota is shared with 50% to the large oceanic purse seiners, 10% to trawlers and 40% to smaller coastal purse seiners. Due to the reduced availability of herring for the coastal fleet in the wintering area in recent years, the fishery on the spawning migration and in the spawning areas during first quarter increased between 2006 and 2008. The total catch during the first quarter in 2009 was 440 689 tonnes, which is at the same level as 2008. The Norwegian fleet hardly fish herring in the oceanic feeding area during the second quarter. There are some catches reported from the coastal areas during this period, amounting to 9 869 tonnes in 2009. This herring consists of a mix of NSSH and local fjordic herring stocks, which have so far been allocated to the Norwegian spring spawning herring quota for practical reasons. The Norwegian fisheries after the feeding period in Quarter 3 started in the areas west of Lofoten, about 100 - 200 nautical miles from land, and then moved towards the oceanic wintering area north of Vesterålen. A total of 76 701 tonnes were caught in this quarter. The Norwegian catch in quarter 4 was 489 416 tonnes in 2009.

7.3.1.9 Russia

The Russian fishery started within the wintering area of the Norwegian spring spawning herring (approximately $12 - 15^{\circ}E$) in the Vesteralen (Norwegian EEZ) at the middle of January, then progressed in the southestern direction along the Norwegian coast in February and finished in the area of Budgrunnen Bank (approximately $62^{\circ}N$) at the end of March. In January-March the total catch was 32 461 t.

In the II quarter, the several commercial vessels conducted fishing in the southern and western parts of the international area in the Norwegian Sea, northern part of Faroes Islands and landed 2 551 t.

In July, the vessels caught herring in the northern part of the international water. In August, the fishery expanded into the Norwegian EEZ and areas of Spitsbergen and Jan-Mayen. In September, the main fishery focused in the Norwegian EEZ to the north from Lofoten. 105 202 t of the herring was taken in the III quarter.

In IV quarter, the fishery was continued in the northern part of Norwegian EEZ and was finished in the beginning of December. 69 891 t was taken in that period.

The Russian fishery is carried out by different types of trawl vessels. Total Russian catch of Norwegian spring spawning herring was 210 105 t. The entire Russian catch was utilized for human consumption.

7.3.2 UK (Scotland)

Fourteen Scottish vessels took part in the IIa NSSH fishery in 2009. Gear was predominantly single trawl pelagic, although two vessels used pair trawl pelagic gear. Approximately 5.5 thousand tonnes were landed into Scotland. Nearly 20,000 tonnes were landed abroad, in either Norway or Denmark. The majority of the catch (approx 19.5 thousand tonnes) was taken in February.

7.3.3 Information on by-catch

In recent years the Faroes has reported on problems with mackerel caught as by-catch in the directed herring fishery north of the Faroes. However, in 2010 the fishery was directed towards herring and mackerel in the Faroese zone, and was thus a result of legal activity.

In 2010 there seem to have been a change in horizontal species segregation between herring and mackerel, with the herring distributed more in the cooler waters in the western part of the distribution area in 2010, resulting in less mixing between the species (see section 7.17). Thus creating less by-catch problems in the Icelandic and Faroese fishery for Norwegian spring-spawning herring in summer 2010 than previous years.

7.4 Stock Description and management units

7.4.1 Stock description

7.4.2 Changes in migration

A characteristic feature of this herring stock is a very flexible and varying migration pattern. A detailed description of the migration pattern is given in the stock annex.

During the last several years, a temperature reduction has been observed in the western part while a temperature increase has been observed in the eastern part of the Norwegian Sea. The hydrographic situation in the Norwegian Sea in the 2010 was broadly much the same as observed in 2009 with some cooling in the surface layer that can at least partly be explained with the low air temperatures during the strong winter of 2009/10. Recent years decrease in zooplankton biomass is dramatic in the sense that biomass in the cold water has decreased by 80% since 2003, while in the warmer water biomass has decreased by 55% since 2002. This could explain the slight north-eastward displacement of the centre of gravity (Figure 7.4.2.1) of the herring distribution observed in May 2010, beside the fact that the feeding migration is still ongoing during the survey period.

7.5 Data available

7.5.1 Catch data

Data-delivery sheets from Denmark, Faroe Islands, Germany, Greenland, Iceland, Ireland, The Netherlands, Norway, Russia and Scotland were available with data from 2009. They contain total catch in tons by quarter of the year and ICES area. Catch in tonnes by ICES rectangles and quarters are also reported. The French, the Swedish and the Polish fleet did not catch this stock in 2009.

The total working group catch in 2009 was 1 687 373 t (Table 7.5.1.1). For 2009 ICES had recommended a catch of 1 643 000 t. The catches were taken in 7 ICES areas: I, IIa, IIb, IVa, Va, Vb and XIVa. The majority of the catches were taken in area IIa (87%). Area Va was next in the rank with 6% and the rest in the remaining areas.

Samples were provided by Denmark, Faroe Islands, Iceland, Ireland, Norway, The Netherlands and Russia (text table in section 1.3.1). Length samples were provided from Scotland, but they were not used. Sampled catches accounted for 94% of the total catches. The sampling levels of the catch in 2009 by country is shown in Table 7.5.1.2. The positions, mean weights and mean lengths from the sampled catches were plotted (WD, Gudmundsdottir). On the basis of them allocations were done. The program SALLOC (ICES 1998/ACFM:18) was used to provide catches in numbers (Table 7.5.1.2).

7.5.2 Discards

In 2008, the Working Group noted that in this fishery an unaccounted mortality caused by fishing operations and underreporting probably exists. Now it was not possible to assess the magnitude of these extra removals from the stock, and taking into account the large catches taken in recent years, the relative importance of such additional mortality is probably low. Therefore, no extra amount to account for these factors has been added in 1994 and later years. In previous years, when the stock and the quotas were much smaller, an estimated amount of fish was added to the catches.

The Working Group has no comprehensive data to estimate discards of the herring. Although discarding may occur on this stock, it is considered to be very low and a minor problem to the assessment. This is confirmed by recent estimates from sampling programmes carried out by some EU countries in the DCR framework. Estimates on discarding in 2008 and 2009 of about 2% in weight were provided by the Netherlands only.

During the Norwegian fishery in first quarter the stock is migrating fast southward in dense aggregations. This is a challenge to the fleet by increasing the risk of slipping of the catch or breaking of the net during fishing operations due to extremely large catches. There are no data to estimate the amount of slipping. However, a report from the Norwegian coast guard this year concludes that the fishery during this period was conducted in what they consider a satisfactory way. The coast guard followed the fishery with several vessels and a plane. Few observations of slipping were made and no observations net breaking.

7.5.3 Length and age composition of the catch

The year classes from 2002-2004 account for about 70% of the catches both in numbers and in weight. The big year class from 1999 is fading out. Last year it was assumed that more would be caught of the 2002 year class in 2009 and less of almost all other year classes, especially the 2003 and 2004 year classes.

This was the second year in a row that unexpected high catches in numbers of age 2 were observed. They were taken in area IIa and quarters III and IV. So high catches have not been observed since the 1983 year class was fished at age 2 in 1985.

The catch at age data are given in Table 2.5.1 4. Lengths at age data are not used in the assessment.

7.5.4 Weight at age in catch and in the stock

The weight-at-age in the catches in 2009 was taken from the total international weight-at-age (Table 7.5.4.1), which were produced using the computer programme SALLOC, standard ICES software. Trends in weight-at-age in the catch are presented in Figure 7.5.4.1. The mean weights at age for age groups 5 and older are at similar

levels as the years before, excluding the year 2007. The mean weight at age for age 3 has risen from last year, but is at similar level as in mid 2000s.

A similar pattern is observed in weight-at-age in the stock which is presented in Figure 7.5.4.2. These data have been taken from the survey in the wintering area until the year 2008. The mean weight at age in the stock for age groups 4-11 in the year 2009 was derived from samples taken in the fishery in the same area and at the same time as the wintering surveys were conducted in. In 2010 the same procedure was used as in 2009, except for age groups 4-12, with increased sampling intensity. The general pattern here is a slight increase since 1996 for all age groups with a slight decrease for the younger ages during 2006-2008. The mean weights for ages 6-12 are lower in 2010 than in 2009. The weight at age in the stock are given in Table 7.5.4.2.

It is noted that the year classes 1998-2002 have not gained much weight in the last year. This fits with the observation made from the Icelandic fishery in 2010 that the fat content is much lower than in recent years (section 7.15, Figure 7.15.1). This is likely a cause of lower plankton biomass in the Norwegian Sea in summer and that the herring has to migrate over longer distance to feed.

7.5.5 Maturity at age

In 2010 a Workshop (WKHERMAT)³ was held to evaluate existing maturity at age data. The Workshop was held because data on maturation were not available and considered in the benchmark assessment in 2008. The work of the Workshop therefore concludes the benchmark process. Three sources of maturity information were considered. The three different data sources were: a) maturity ogive used in assessment, b) survey data on maturity staging collected during surveys 4 and 5 and c) back-calculated maturity ogive using Gulland's method. In addition, data on maturity cycle in Norwegian spring spawning herring were presented and guidelines for sampling of maturity data were discussed in accordance with PGCCDBS.

The maturity matrix used in the ICES assessment goes back to 1907. Documentation on the source of information and the justification of changes is almost absent and the lack of documentation is a general problem in this data set. The data cannot be reproduced because the sources are unknown and most changes which have been made in the past cannot be explained.

The May surveys may potentially provide data to construct updated maturity ogives for the most recent years. The surveys indicate that most (but not all) herring in the Norwegian Sea are mature and most (but not all) herring in the Barents Sea are immature. However, the time series is short and there are some problems. For the age groups which occur both in the Norwegian Sea and Barents Sea, quantitative information on annual abundance is required for a the calculated weighted average maturity representative for the stock in both areas combined. The available information on the distribution of these age groups in not very reliable because there appear to be differences in the catchability in the survey between the Norwegian Sea and the Barents Sea. This needs to be addressed further before data from the survey can be used for maturity ogive estimations.

³ Report of the Workshop on estimation of maturity ogive in Norwegian spring spawning herring (WKHERMAT). 1-3 March 2010 Bergen, Norway. ICES CM 2010/ACOM:51 REF. PGCCDBS

The back calculation data set indicates that maturation of ages 3, 4 and 5 has varied considerable over time and that maturation of large year classes is slower than for others. This applies to a lesser extend to the 2002 year class. However, the estimates for this year class are suggesting that at least a correction needs to be considered in the maturation assumed for this year class in previous assessments by ICES. WKHERMAT considered the data set derived by back calculation as a suitable potential candidate for use in the assessment because it is conceived in a consistent way over the whole time period and can meet standards required in a quality controlled process. However, the back calculation estimates cannot be used for recent years. Since the surveys do not provide suitable data at the moment, assumptions have to be made for recent year classes.

WGWIDE considered the results of WKHERMAT and adopted the maturity o-gives derived from back calculation of scales for the historical time period (years 1950-2007) in the assessment. WGWIDE recommends that this data set remains updated in future years. For the years after 2007 for which no data are available from this method (including the years considered in the forecast) the following default maturity o-gives will be assumed. For 'normal' classes (average, median and weak year classes), an average maturity at age will be assumed from the periods 1983-2007 from the back calculation data set excluding the strong year classes 1983, 1991, 1992, 1998, 1999, 2002. For year classes which are considered strong, preliminary estimates will be assumed to be the average of the recent strong year classes 1983, 1991, 1992, 1998, 1999, 2002 in the data set.

The default maturity o-gives used for 'normal' and strong year classes are given in the text table below.

| AGE | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | |
|--------------|---|---|---|---|-----|-----|-----|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|--|
| normal yc | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| strong vc | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |

A comparison of the old and new time series in given in the WKHERMAT report. The maturity ogives used in the present assessment are presented in Table 7.5.5.1 and Figure 7.5.5.1. The maturity ogives used in previous assessments are given in Table B.2.4.1 in the stock annex.

Except for those periods where strong year classes enter the stock, the revision of the maturity at age matrix affects has little effect on the estimates of SSB in the historical time series. Because strong year classes show slower maturation, the SSB estimates in periods where strong year classes recruit in the stock have been revised downwards compared to previous ICES assessments. The effect of the revision on the SSB time series is shown in Figure 7.5.5.2. Further, the revised SSB affects the SSB/recruitment plot and S/R models derived from it.

7.5.6 Natural mortality

In this year's (2010) assessment, the natural mortality M=0.15 was used for ages 3 and older and M=0.9 was used for ages 0–2. These levels of M are in accordance to previous years and their justification is provided in the stock annex. Information about deviations from these levels in the time series, e.g. due to diseases, are also provided in the stock annex.

7.5.7 Survey data

7.5.7.1 Survey 1 Norwegian acoustic survey on spawning grounds in February/March

No new information but the years 1994-2005 are used in the tuning (see stock annex 4)

7.5.7.2 Survey 2 Norwegian acoustic survey in November/December

No new information but the years 1992-2001 are used in the tuning (see stock annex 4)

7.5.7.3 Survey 3 Norwegian acoustic survey in January

No new information but the years 1991-1999 are used in the tuning (see stock annex 4)

7.5.7.4 Survey 4 and 5 International ecosystem survey in the Nordic Seas and Barents Sea

The international ecosystem survey in the Nordic Seas and the Barents Sea is aimed at observing the pelagic ecosystem, focusing herring, blue whiting, zooplankton and hydrography. The planned area has been completely covered in 2010.

From the area west of 20°E the age groups 4 and older are used for the assessment, whereas the Barents Sea area east of 20°E supplies the recruitment age groups 1 and 2 for the assessment. The part of the survey covering the Barents Sea has been used in the final assessment from 2005 onwards.

During the ecosystem survey in the Norwegian Sea and Barents Sea in May 2010, the coverage of Norwegian spring spawning herring was considered adequate and in line with previous years.

Herring was recorded throughout the survey area, except for the north-eastern part and the Jan Mayen zone (Figure 7.5.7.4.1), which is the main difference from the survey in 2009. The highest values were recorded in the central Norwegian Sea and the at the eastern edge of the cold waters of the East Icelandic Current. Compare to 2009, there were less herring in the western most area presumably causing a slight eastward displacement of the centre of gravity of the acoustic recordings in 2010 as compared to 2009 (Figure 7.4.2.1), which has been calculated since 1996. As in previous years, the smallest and youngest fish were found in the north-eastern area and both size and age increased south-westward. According to the survey, the herring stock is now dominated by 6 year old herring (2004 year class) in number but 8, 7 year old herring (2002 and 2003 year classes) are also numerous (Figure 7.5.7.4.2). No strong year classes were found in the Barents Sea, indicating weak recruitment since 2004. The time-series of abundance (both in numbers and biomass) of Norwegian springspawning herring in May is shown in Table B.3.4.2 in the stock annex. The total biomass of Norwegian spring-spawning herring was estimated to 6.0 million tons which is only around 2/3 of the estimate from 2009 (10.7 million tons) and 2008 (10 million tons).

The age-disaggregated time-series of abundance for the Barents (Table 7.5.7.4.1) and Norwegian Sea is presented in Table 7.5.7.4.2.

7.5.7.5 Survey 6 and 7 Joined Russian-Norwegian ecosystem autumn survey in the Barents Sea

The age groups 1 and 2 are used in the assessment. The log index of 0–group herring has been used in the assessment up to 2004 and then replaced by a new abundance index, which was included in the assessment since 2006.

The results from these surveys on 0–group herring are given in Table 7.5.7.5.1; those of the 1 to 3 age groups are given in Table 7.5.7.5.2. The youngest age groups (0+ to 3+) of the Norwegian spring spawning herring stock are found in the Barents Sea at irregular intervals. It is difficult to access the stock size during autumn, due to various reasons. The age groups 1 to 3 are found mixed with 0–group herring and are difficult to catch in the sampling trawl used in this survey. The stock size estimates of herring are therefore considered less reliable than those for capelin and polar cod. The distribution of young herring is shown in Figure 7.5.7.5.1. Distribution of 0–group herring is presented in Figure 7.5.7.5.2.

7.5.7.6 Survey 8 Norwegian herring larvae survey on the Norwegian shelf

A description of this survey is given in stock annex 4. Two indices are available from this survey (Table 7.5.7.6.1). The "Index 1" is used in the assessment as representative for the size of the spawning stock for the exception 2003 and 2009.

In 2010 the survey was carried out from 6-22 April. The number of herring larvae was estimated to be 42.7*10¹², resulting in a Larvae Production Index (LPI) of 140.2. This is the second lowest number of larvae and larvae production recorded since 2003 when the survey was severely hampered by bad weather (Table 7.5.7.6.1). The weighted mean size of the larvae was 10.6 mm which is the lowest mean size recorded in the time series.

Herring larvae were observed throughout the sampling area (Figure 7.5.7.6.1) and zero values were not found either on the northernmost or the southernmost section, although low concentrations (less than 50 larvae m⁻²) were found on the southernmost survey transect. The offshore extent of the larval distributions were, however, found on all transects. Similar to 2009, in 2010 there was spawning activity (information from the fishery) on the traditional spawning grounds close to Karmøy in the southern part of Norway (around 59°N). This area could, however, not be covered due to time limitation. The highest abundance of herring larvae were found on the Møre spawning grounds.

Acoustic registrations were recorded during the survey and the data was scrutinized using the IMR post-processing acoustic survey package, Large Scale Survey System (LSSS) to major groups (demersal fish, pelagic fish and plankton). However, in the northern part, registrations clearly identified as herring school were observed and in these cases herring was recorded separately. Since no trawling was performed to obtain species and size composition of the registrations, the data cannot be used to make an abundance estimate. The acoustic data was therefore used only to produce distribution maps of pelagic fish and herring in the survey area in order to study the overlap between these groups and the larval distribution.

7.5.7.7 Survey 9 International ecosystem survey in the Norwegian Sea in July-August

The survey (formerly called "Norwegian ecosystem survey and SALSEA salmon project in the Norwegian Sea in July-August") has been carried out on the Norwegian shelf since 2004 for the exception 2007 but was extended to the whole Norwegian Sea, Icelandic waters, and Faroese waters in 2009. The objectives of the survey are to obtain estimates of abundance, spatiotemporal distribution, aggregation and feeding ecology of Northeast Atlantic mackerel, Norwegian spring-spawning herring, blue whiting and Atlantic salmon in relation to oceanographic conditions, prey communities and marine mammals.

The survey has not been used in the assessment due to non-standard covering areas but the herring results of the 2010 were presented to the WG. Four vessels participated in the survey in 2010, two Norwegians, one Faroese and one Icelandic. The survey was carried out during 9 July to 20 August 2010. The acoustic estimate of NSSH biomass within the area covered in the survey (Figure 7.5.7.7.1) came to 10.7 million tons and consisted of 35.6 billion individuals. The distribution of the herring is given in Figure 7.5.7.7.2. The average weight of herring was 300.7 g and mean length was 32.6 cm.

7.6 Methods

7.6.1 TASAC stock assessment

This year's assessment was classified as an update assessment and was run according to the benchmark in 2008 using the VPA population model in the TASACS toolbox with the same model options as the benchmark (see stock annex 4). The information used in the assessment is catch data and survey data from eight surveys. The analysis was restricted to the years 1988 – 2010, which is regarded as the period representative of the present production and exploitation regimes, and is presumed to be of main interest for the management.

There were no data to support the estimate of the terminal stock numbers for some small year classes in the VPA (before 1982, 1984 – 1988, 1995 and 2000 – 2001). For those of these year classes that had reached oldest true age, terminal fishing mortalities were derived from the terminal F the year before and fishing mortalities at younger ages, with the standard procedure in TASACS. For the year classes that still are younger than the oldest true age, survivor numbers were fixed at arbitrarily selected small values during last year's benchmark. Since these year-classes are now one year older, the survivor numbers for these year-classes this year were reduced to allow the modelled values one year back to fit with the values fixed last year.

The model was run with catch data 1988 – 2009, and projected forwards through 2010 assuming Fs in 2010 equal to those in 2009, to include survey data from 2010.

7.6.2 Short-term forecast

A detailed description of the short term forecast procedure is given in the stock annex. Since the standard software cannot cope with Management Option Tables based on average fishing mortality weighted over stock numbers, calculations are carried out using a spread sheet.

7.7 Data Exploration

7.7.1 catch curve analyses

Two years ago an extensive catch curve analyses was done (Report of the working group on widely distributed stocks (WGWIDE), ICES CM 2008/ACOM:13).

Catch curve analyses on commercial catches

Figures 7.7.1.1 and 7.7.1.2 show the catch in weight and in numbers by age in the years 1986-2009. Each year only few year classes dominate the catches. The 3 year classes from 2002-2004 account for about 70% of the catches in 2009 both in numbers and in weight. The big year class from 1999 is fading out. Last year it was assumed that more would be caught of the 2002 year class and less of almost all other year classes, especially the 2003 and 2004 year classes.

Figures 7.7.1.3 and 7.7.1.4 show the disaggregated catch in numbers plotted on a log scale. On Figure 7.7.1.3 age is on the x-axis, but in Figure 7.7.1.4 year is on the x-axis. For comparison lines corresponding to Z=0.3 are drawn in the background. It is tempting to draw the conclusion that the catch curves shows the exploitation of the big year classes in the periods of relatively constant effort, but the poor year classes exhibit just noise. For the most recent year classes these curves provide hardly any information. Nothing strikingly is noticed in these two figures.

Catch curve analyses on survey catches

Survey 4 (juveniles in Barents Sea, May/June)

There are only two age groups used from this survey, 1 and 2 year old, Figure 7.7.1.5. It looks like that when a year class is big at age 1 then the survey picks it up and it is also big at age 2. This can be seen for the big year classes seen in the other surveys, the 1990, 1991, 1992, 1998, 1999 and the 2004 year class. The value for the 1999 year class as 1 year old is considered unrealistic. The values in 2010 are low.

Survey 5 (feeding area, May)

The age distribution in this survey is shown in Figure 7.7.1.6. Few year classes are prominent at a time. Since 2005 the 2002 year class has been prominent in the survey, together with the year classes from 2003 and 2004 since 2008. In 2010 the number of all age groups decreased unexpectedly. It is seen as a drop in the catch curves in Figures 7.7.1.7 and 7.7.1.8.

Further exploration of catch at age data

The NSSH changed wintering areas from fjordic to oceanic during the years 2002-2006. The new wintering pattern caused a large change in fishing pattern as more catches were taken during the spawning migration and spawning instead of during the wintering period. The changes apply mostly to the Norwegian fleet and are discussed in section 7.3.1.8.

It is noted that the 2002 year class has been numerous in the catches since it reached age 4 and it was at its maximum, so far at least, in number in the catches at age 6_in 2008_while the adjacent year classes (1998-2001) were at maximum at age 7-9 (Figure 7.17.1). It could mean that the fishing effort in the 2002 year class has been relatively high compared to other year classes at the same time. Moreover, this apparent high fishing pattern of the 2002 year class is supported by the sharp decrease in the survey estimates of the year class in 2010 (see section 7.5.7), which indicate that it is lasting in the fishery for a shorter period than adjacent year classes. Thus, if this high fishing effort of the 2002 year class is real, the assessment models have been systematically overestimating the strength of the year class in recent years because it was considered to follow the average fishing pattern. At this point, it is however not considered pos-

sible or feasible to verify with enough confidence if and how big this overestimation was.

7.7.2 data exploration with TISVPA

The TASACS assessment framework was developed aiming to provide an agreed assessment model for Norwegian spring spawning herring. TASACS was implemented by WGWIDE in 2008 when a "bench mark" assessment was carried out for this stock. A VPA-like procedure in TASACS was chosen as the basic one for the "bench-mark" assessment, and the same was used this year in the "update" assessment, Despite the fact that an ISVPA-like assessment procedure is implemented in the TASACS framework, this procedure does not include some essential features of the TISVPA model. TASACS does not take account for cohort effects what may be important for some generations of herring. That is why, this year additional exploratory runs using the "original" TISVPA model were also done.

WGWIDE 2010 carried out some exploratory assessments with the TISVPA model, using the same version which was used by the Working Group in 2006 and later years. The model can represent fishing mortality coefficients (more precisely – exploitation rates) as a product of three parameters: f(year)*s(age)*g(cohort). The purpose is to better reflect in the selection pattern possible systematic effects of higher or lower availability to fishery of different year classes (generations). Such an effect can originate from changes in spatial distribution of very abundant or poor generations, from higher attitude to fish more abundant schools composed of species from more abundant generations, or caused by any other reasons, like errors in aging, etc.

In the model the generation dependent g-factors are not applied to all age groups, but to a to be defined age "window". This helps (1) to be closer to real situations (when it is known that only some range of age groups have peculiarities in their distribution) and (2) to diminish the influence of age groups having data of lower quality (usually - youngest and oldest ages). The age range for estimation (and application) of g-factors was stated as from 4 to 12.

The main model settings were used the same as before: the catch-controlled version, attributing the residuals in cohort model to violations of stability of selection pattern, with constraint of unbiased model approximation of logarithmic catch-at-age.

The surveys data are taken the same as in the TASACS model run: the survey on spawning grounds along the Norwegian coast (survey 1); in wintering area in Vest-fjorden in November-December (survey 2); in wintering area in Vestfjorden in January (survey 3); of young herring in the Barents Sea in May (survey 4); in feeding areas in the Norwegian Sea in May (survey 5); joint IMR-PINRO ecosystem survey in August-September (survey 6); Indices for 0 group (survey 7); and larvae index of SSB (survey 8). In contrast to the benchmark assessment, no data points were downweighted. Also the new maturity ogives were applied.

Profiles of the components of the TISVPA loss function with respect to SSB in 2010 are shown on Figure 7.7.2.1. As it can be seen, catch-at-age data and surveys 2,4 and 5 indicate the SSB value in 2010 to about or somewhat lower than 10 million tonnes, while surveys 1,3,7, and 8 indicate a higher SSB. Survey 6 gives no distinct minimum. The contradictions between the above mentioned two groups of signals makes the solution to be rather intrinsically uncertain, while the overall model objective function, the weighted sum of respective components, has single minimum near 10 million tonnes (Figure 7.7.2.1).

Figure 7.7.2.2 presents the estimates of the TISVPA-derived selection matrix. For some generations it reveals apparent peculiarities.

Retrospective runs (Figure 7.7.2.3) may indicate some tendency of stock underestimation with such TISVPA settings.

Figure 7.7.2.4 represents the estimates of the uncertainty in the results (conditional parametric bootstrap with respect to catch-at-age, surveys were noised with log-normal noise with std=0.3) which is rather high in terminal years because of contradiction in signals between some surveys.

The results of NSS herring stock assessment by means of TISVPA are given in Table 7.7.2.1.

7.7.3 TASACS assessment following benchmark

7.7.3.1.1 data exploration with TASACS

During this year's assessment, the maturity ogive was updated using back-calculated values (see chapter 7.5.5). This will affect the estimates of SSB. However, since the larval survey is used to tune the SSB, a change in maturity ogive may potentially also affect the N-values from the output of the assessment. In order to explore the effect of on the N-values from the assessment, two runs were made; one using the old maturity ogive. Figure 7.7.3.1.1.1 shows the total stock size for the two runs and there are minor differences between them for the whole time series presently used in the assessment, indicating that the change in maturity ogive made in 2010 have very little impact on the N-values.

7.7.3.1.2 benchmark assessment

This year's assessment was classified as an update assessment and was run according to the benchmark in 2008 using the VPA population model in the TASACS toolbox with the same model options as the benchmark (see stock annex 4). The input data and the performance of the assessment were scrutinized to check for potential problems.

During the benchmark in 2008, exploration of the survey data was carried out in order to investigate whether the survey contributes information to the assessment or whether there is no or little information in the survey data. Within TASACS, the development of the individual cohorts (year classes) was explored for each survey separately. This was done cohort by cohort by translating each survey index into population numbers. This allows comparing what each survey indicates that the population numbers should be, and thus identify conflicting signals between surveys and outliers in the survey data. This was done year class by year class. Included in this analysis was also catch data at age, translated into N-values assuming a separ able model for the fishing mortalities. Such comparisons allow identification of outliers in the surveys, contradicting signals, or may indicate that the survey provides mostly noise.

This year, new information was available for surveys 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8. It was noted that there was a conflict between the assessment and survey 5 (feeding survey in the Norwegian Sea in May) for the year classes 1997, 1998, 1999, 2002 (Figure 7.7.3.1). Theses year class seems to have a more pronounced downward trend in the survey than in the assessment. This is discussed further in chapter 7.11.

The data finally used in further exploration with TASACS are shown in Figure 7.7.3.2. Data not used still remain on the input files. Exclusion of data is done by giving them zero weight in the analysis.

Figure 7.7.3.3 shows the residual SSQ for the surveys separately from both the assessments made in 2008, 2009 and 2010. In 2008 survey 5 contributed most to the SSQ. The survey 5 is on the feeding area and contributes most of the survey data to the assessment. In 2009, however, both survey 5 and survey 7 contribute almost equal to the SSQ and the contribution from survey 6 has also increased a lot. In 2010, survey 5 again contributed most to the SSQ while the contribution of survey 7 and survey 6 is reduced. The surveys 6 and 7 are on the juvenile herring and 0-group and are considered noisier. In Figure 7.7.3.4 weighted residuals for the surveys are shown. In survey 5 there are some large negative residuals for the year-classes from 2002 and older in the 2010 survey indicating a year-affect on older fish in this survey.

The final results of the assessment are presented in Tables 7.7.3.1 (stock in numbers) and 7.7.3.2 (fishing mortality) and Figure 7.7.3.5. Table 7.7.3.4 is the summary table of the assessment.

The assessment indicates that the fishing mortality (F5 – 14weighted weighted by stock numbers) in recent years has fluctuated between 0.10 and 0.16 and is estimated in 2009 at 0.154. The SSB in 2010 is estimated to 8.9 million tonnes, which is a substantial reduction from last year's prediction for 2010 (12.2 million tonnes). This reduction is mostly due to the low indices from survey 5 in 2010.

7.7.4 bootstrap

The uncertainty of the assessments was examined by bootstrap (1000 replicas). For the data where residuals are generated by the modelling, the bootstrap was made by adding randomly drawn residuals from the same source of data to the modelled observations. For catches at age in the VPA, **-log** mall y distributed random noise with a CV of 0.1 was added to the observations. The results are shown in Figure 7.7.4.1.

7.7.5 retrospective analyses

The retrospective analyses are shown in Figure 7.7.5.1. They generally show weak retrospective pattern in the most recent years but the 2010 assessment is gives a lower SSB and a higher F compared to the three previous years for the period 2003 to present. A run for 2010 without including the May survey was done and the results from that run show that it is the low estimate from this survey that contributes to the deviation of the 2010 assessment compared to the retrospective runs.

7.8 NSSH reference points

7.8.1 PA reference points

The PA reference points for the stock originate from an analysis carried out in 1998, as detailed in the stock annex. According to it, ICES considers the precautionary reference points Blim=2.5 million t and proposes that Bpa=5.0 million t. and Fpa=0.150.

7.8.2 MSY reference points

Following the advice from WKFRAME (ICES 2010, WKRAME) three approaches to define MSY reference points for Norwegian spring spawning herring have been used:

a stochastic simulation model HCS (version HCS10_2, available at http://www.ices.dk/datacentre/software.asp, see also WD, Skagen) parameterized for NSSH, an equilibrium analysis (PlotMSY; ICES 2010/WKFRAME) and a yield-per-recruit analysis.

In the equilibrium analysis, the structure of the stock and recruitment pairs as estimated from the most recent assessment does not lead to any clear definition of an optimum yield equilibrium fishing mortality level. Given this uncertainty it is more appropriate to select an Fmsy proxy tested by a stochastic simulation model that takes into account the long term trends in the stock biomass. The simulation model results presented in this report and in the stock annex provide a more appropriate method for the determining a viable long term target, and the values from this analysis could be put forward as potential Fmsy targets. However, it should be noted that it is clear that the estimation of MSY reference points is very sensitive to the choice of stock-recruitment function and the approach chosen to estimate the reference points. This is in accordance with previous analyses by Skagen (WD 2010) and by WKFRAME (ICES 2010, WKFRAME).

The stochastic model uses unweighted F values, which have historically been found to be slightly lower than the unweighted values (Figure 7.8.2.fvalues in the annex). Therefore, a weighted Fmsy of 0.15 corresponding to the unweighted 0.16 Fmsy proxy from the simulation analyses is proposed for this stock. This is in agreement with the current simulation-tested management plan Fpa level and should ensure high long term yield with a low risk to the stock. A precautionary reference biomass B_{pa} for this stock is defined as 5 million tonnes (ICES 1998, ICES 1999). In the ICES MSY framework B_{pa} is proposed as the default trigger biomass $B_{trigger}$.

7.8.3 Management reference points

In the long term management plan the Coastal States have then agreed a target reference point defined at F_{target} =0.125 when the stock is above Bpa. If the SSB is below Bpa, a linear reduction in the fishing mortality rate will be applied from 0.125 at Bpa to 0.05 at Blim.

7.9 State of the stock

The stock is considered to be within safe biological limits and well above B_{pa} . In the past decade, the productivity of the stock has been high. The stock contains a number of good year classes. In the last 12 years, four large year classes have been produced (1998, 1999, 2002 and 2004). However, the available information indicates that year classes born after 2004 have been small. Fishing mortality in 2008 and 2009 is estimated to slightly above F_{pa} (2%) and is higher than the target F defined in the management plan.

7.10 NSSH Catch predictions for 2010

7.10.1 Input data for the forecast

Input data for the forecast

Input stock numbers in 2011 at age 4 and older are taken from the final assessment. Stock numbers at age 0 to 3 were estimated separately. In the absence of external information on the year classes 2009 and later, the Working Group decided to use geometric mean over the years 1988–2006 for these year classes at age 0. This choice does

not affect the estimates of catch, spawning biomass and fishing mortality in the short term prediction. To derive estimates for ages 2 and 3 in 2009 (year classes 2008 and 2007) the RCT3 program was used. Input data for the RCT3 program (Table 7.10.1.1) were VPA values at age 2 and available survey indices. Results from the RCT3 are shown in Table 7.10.1.2. The year classes estimates used in the prediction are indicated (underlined) in the text table below:

| year class | age | VPA | RCT | GM |
|------------|-----|-------|-------|---------------|
| | | | | 88-06 |
| 2007 | 3 | 1 041 | 2 281 | 5 300 |
| 2008 | 2 | 5 005 | 7 000 | 14 000 |
| 2009 | 1 | - | | <u>36 200</u> |
| 2010 | 0 | - | | <u>97 200</u> |
| 2011 | 0 | - | | <u>97 200</u> |
| 2012 | 0 | | | |

The Working Group adopted the VPA values for age 2 and 3 to be used in the forecast because the VPA year classes already include the survey information which is used in RCT3. Both year classes 2007 and 2008 are weak and the estimation of these have little effect on the predicted Yield and SSB in the prognoses The Working Group adopted the GM estimate at age 1.

The catch weight-at-age, used in the forecast, is the average of the observed catch weights over the last 3 years (2007–2009). For the weight-at-age in the stock, the values for 2010 were obtained from the commercial fisheries in the wintering areas (Table 7.5.3.1). For the other years the average of the last 3 years (2008–2010) was used.

Standard values for natural mortality were used. Maturity at age was based on the new information presented in section 7. For all year classes born after 2004 the default maturity ogive for normal year classes were used

Because the exploitation pattern estimated in the assessment deviates from the preceding years, the exploitation pattern used in the forecast was taken as the average of the last 5 years (2005–2009). In previous years it was based on the average over the last 3 years. The average fishing mortality defined as the average over the ages 5 to 14 and is weighted over the population numbers in the relevant year.

$$\overline{F}_{y} = \sum_{a=5}^{a=14} F_{y,a} N_{y,a} / \sum_{a=5}^{a=14} N_{y,a}$$

Where $F_{y,a}$ and $N_{y,a}$ are fishing mortalities and numbers by year and age .This procedure is the same as applied in previous years for this stock.

Input data for the short term forecast are given in Table 7.10.1.3.

7.10.2 Results of the forecast

The Management Options Table with the results of the forecast is presented in Table 7.10.2.1. Detailed output of the forecast, corresponding to the management plan is given in Table 7.10.2.2. Assuming that the TAC of 1 483 000 tonnes is taken in 2010, it is expected that the SSB will decline from 9 million tonnes in 2010 to 8 million tonnes in 2011. The TAC in 2010, corresponding with the fishing mortality of 0.125 in the agreed Management Plan ($F_{management plan} = F_{(5-14)weighted} = 0.125$), is 1 million tonnes. The expected remaining SSB in 2011 is about 10.0 million tonnes.

7.11 Uncertainties in assessment and forecast

7.11.1 Uncertainty in the assessment

The present assessment differs considerable from the ones presented in previous years. The main sources of the change are the introduction of a revised maturity at age matrix for the historical time series (see section 7.5.5) and the 2010 results of major survey used in the assessment.

The introduced changes in maturity at age data only affect the proportion of the stock which is mature in each year. The effect of introducing the revised data on the SSB appears to be small in most years except in years where large year classes are recruiting to the stock. Because the new maturity information indicates that large year classes mature more slowly than the other smaller year classes, SSB those years is estimated lower than in previous year. The revision and inclusion of biological information to the maturity data matrix are considered to have improved the maturity estimates and thereby the SSB values estimated by the assessment. The revision of the maturity at age matrix does not affect the estimates of the total stock size, recruitment and fishing mortality and the prognoses of yield in the forecast. The new maturity ogive does not change the SSB estimate in the last year of the assessment.

The main survey (survey 5) used in the assessment, estimates the stock at 5.8 million tonnes in 2010 compared to 10.4 million tonnes in 2009. The abundance indices in 2010 of all year classes before 2005 decreased with about 40-70%. Such large reductions in the stock have not been observed in previous years in this survey. The effect of the low indices on assessment is lower SSB estimates in recent years compared to previous assessments in the order of 10-20%.

There is no clear explanation for the sharp decrease in the stock as indicated by the survey. The survey in 2010 has been carried without any problems and covered all areas planned in the Norwegian Sea and Barents Sea. Several hypotheses for the discrepancy were discussed at the meeting of WGNAPES (ICES, WGNAPES 2010) and WGWIDE including: (1) that the distribution area was not fully covered; (2) a mass mortality had taken place since last year's survey; (3) that the herring have different behaviour. There is only little information to support or reject any of the hypotheses considered.

The catch in 2009 was 1.6 million tonnes and there are no indications that higher catches have been taken that can explain the much larger reduction in the stock as suggested by the survey.

Increased natural mortality as explanation for a reduction of the stock can also be excluded as an explanation. There is no indication that natural mortality has been higher than in other years. Natural mortally may increase, for instance because of infection caused by Ichtyophonus as recently observed in Icelandic summer spawning herring or increased predation by large predators. However, during the surveys there were no indications of high prevalence of Ichtyophonus and it is unlikely that whales and other predators consumed more than 3 million tonnes more than in other years.

In the past the herring stock has shown changes in the migration. In the last decade older herring migrates to more western feeding grounds in summer. In principle it is possible that part of the stock had migrated outside the area covered by the May survey even if the survey coverage was comparable to recent years and there were zero values on all the peripheries of the area. In July/August 2010, another survey (survey 9) was carried out in the Norwegian Sea and adjoining waters. The survey was carried out for the 2nd time in 2010 and does not provide a time series yet. The survey area in 2010 was extended in order to cover all areas where herring may occur and might have been missed by the May survey. Most of the herring observed was on the expected grounds and the total acoustic herring biomass was estimated at 10.7 million tonnes. This compared to 13.6 million tonnes observed in 2009 obtained in a smaller area. The age distribution of the survey catches in 2010 was similar in both surveys, although slightly more 2002- and older year classes were found in July/August survey compared to the May survey. Thus, the observed decline in the July/August survey is in agreement with the observations found in the May survey. Also a larvae survey, carried out in spawning time, produced a lower index, suggesting a lower spawning stock.

It would be possible that the stock has been overestimated in previous surveys. However, this is considered unlikely because the survey estimates have been very consistent in successive years.

The lower abundance index in 2010 could possibly be explained by a reduced catchability to the acoustic survey gear caused by changes in the behaviour of herring. It was reported that during both surveys most of the herring was dispersed in the top layer in the water column and that little schools were observed. This behaviour may be related to the feeding conditions and the temperature. Estimation of dispersed herring high in the water column by acoustic methods is more problematic than when they appear in schools at greater depths. In the absence of detailed acoustic recordings, the Working Group could not compare the distribution with other years. Since this is the only possible hypothesis remaining for the moment, this should be further investigated with priority.

The downward revision of SSB by the 2010 assessment in recent years compared to previous assessments are mainly caused by the inclusion of the 2010 results of the May survey. In the past this survey has provided a consistent time series and the 2010 values were obtained in the same way as in previous years. There is no explanation for the reduction in the stock estimate from the survey so there are no arguments to exclude the 2010 survey results from the assessment.

The retrospective analyses show that the present assessment model provides very consistent estimates of the SSB and F in recent assessment. However, including the 2010 survey data changes this picture and estimates reduced SSBs and higher Fs in the most recent years. An exploratory assessment has been made excluding the 2010 survey and compared with retrospective assessments. The SSB results are shown in Figure 7.11.1.1. The exploratory assessment without the 2010 survey is now consistent with the retrospective assessment. This indicates that the retrospective pattern is highly influenced by the 2010 survey data (survey 5).

7.11.2 Uncertainty in the forecast

The spawning stock in recent years increased due to a number good year classes and a moderate exploitation. It reached a peak in 2009 but will according to the forecast decline in the near future. This can be expected since the last strong year class stems from 2004 and thereafter all year classes are much lower.

Recruitment estimates from surveys of the most recent year classes indicate that they are weak. However the estimates are uncertain. The assumptions made for these year classes have little impact on the short term prediction of landings and SSB in the projected years.

7.12 Comparison with previous assessment and forecast

The assessment in 2008 was a benchmark assessment. The final assessment then was made with a VPA type of model carried out in the TASACS framework. A comparison between the assessments 2006-2010 is shown in Figure 7.12.1. In principle, the same data sources have been used in all these assessments, but the weight of some data points given in the assessment in 2008, 2009 and 2010 was changed in some cases, following an evaluation in the benchmark (section 9.5 in the working group report, ICES CM 2008/ACOM:13). The assessments for Norwegian spring spawning herring in 2006-2007 were carried out with a different model than presently used. This model (Seastar) is also a VPA type model. However, following the recommendation from WKHERMAT, a new maturity ogive was used in the 2010 assessment, which changed the perception of the SSB back in time (see chapter 7.5.5).

The results from this year's assessment deviate from the results from previous years. This is partly because of the change in maturity ogive, but the reduction in SSB and increase in F for the most recent years is caused by low values from the main survey (survey 5) in 2010.

The SSB in 2009 was estimated at 9.8 million tonnes in the present assessment compared to 13.3 million tonnes last year. Weighted F 5-14 in 2008 is estimated at 0.153 compared to 0.125 last year.

7.13 Management plans and evaluations

The present management plan dates from 1996 and is described in section 7.2. A brief history of it is in the stock annex. The management plan aims for exploitation at a target fishing mortality below F_{pa} and is considered by ICES in accordance with the precautionary approach. In general, management has achieved to manage to stock in compliance with the management plan. The Working Group did not consider new evaluation of the existing management plan and there were also no requests to do so.

7.14 Management considerations

Historically, the size of the stock has shown large variations and dependency on the irregular occurrence of very strong year classes. Between 1998 and 2004 the stock has produced a number strong year classes which lead to an increase in SSB. The SSB for the year 2009 was estimated at its highest level in the last 20 years. In recent years catches have also increased and are regulated through an agreed Management Plan. The Management Plan is considered precautionary.

In the absence of strong year classes after 2004, the stock has declined in 2010 and is expected to decline in the near future even when fishing according to the management plan. This is a normal behaviour of stocks which show spasmodic recruitment dynamics. The decline of the stock will also affect the projected catches. The short term prognoses indicate a decline of the stock from 9 million tonnes in 2010 to 7.9 million tonnes in 2011 assuming exploitation in 2010 is according the Management Plan.

Catches, taken from the stock in recent years, have been taken with a low fishing mortality but these were higher than the agreed target fishing mortality in the Management Plan due to changed level of the fishing mortality estimated in this year's assessment. If exploitation will follow the management plan, then the decline in the catches will be gradual.

In recent years the distribution area of mackerel has expanded to the north and west and overlaps the distribution area of the herring in summer. As consequence mackerel catches have been taken in that area as bycatch and in new directed fisheries.

In the past decade, the migration behaviour of the stock has changed significantly, particularly in geographical locations of the wintering and feeding areas. These, in turn, have affected the distribution of the fisheries.

7.15 Ecosystem considerations

The Norwegian spring spawning herring is characterized by large dynamics with regard to migration pattern. This applies to the wintering, spawning and feeding area. Juvenile and adults of this stock form an important part of the ecosystems in the Barents Sea, the Norwegian Sea, and the Norwegian coast. Herring has an important role as food resource to higher trophic levels (e.g. cod, saithe, seabirds, and marine mammals). Recent changes in the herring migration have led to an increased proportion of the population feeding in Faroese and Icelandic waters in early summer. The growth of these herring is faster than those feeding further east and north. An increased spatial overlap between herring and mackerel was evident in several areas of the Norwegian Sea in July-August 2009 and 2010. The following discussion will in particular concentrate on the situation in the feeding areas (ICES PGNAPES 2009, ICES WGWIDE 2010).

Herring were recorded throughout the survey area, except for the north-eastern part and the Jan Mayen zone in May 2010, which is the main difference from the survey in 2009. Compared to 2009, there were less herring in the western most area, presumably causing a slight eastward displacement of the centre of gravity of the acoustic recordings in 2010 as compared to in 2009 (Figure 7.4.2.1). As in previous years, the smallest and youngest fish were found in the north-eastern area and both size and age increased south-westward.

In both July 2009 and 2010, the Norwegian spring spawning herring had moved out of the central part of the Norwegian Sea and was observed feeding in a wide area around the fringes of the survey area. Highest values in 2010 were found in the northern and western region, while there was practically an empty hole in the central area. This is a typical distribution, which has been observed at this time of the year during the last few years, although not as pronounced as documented in summer 2010. Similarly to May, the biggest and oldest fish were found in the western, northern and south-western parts of the survey area. The herring was predominantly distributed in small school and aggregations in the upper 40-50 m of the water column above the pronounced thermocline. The low number of marine mammals sighted in summer 2009 and 2010 could be due to low and unfavourable densities and school size of herring providing less cost efficient feeding opportunities for marine mammals such as humpback whale, fin whale and minke whale.

The average biomass of zooplankton in the total area in May has been on a decreasing trend since 2002. In May 2010 zooplankton biomass distribution was shifted eastward compared to 2009. Zooplankton biomass was lower in most areas and particularly so in the cold water of the East Icelandic current. The highest zooplankton biomasses were observed in the eastern Norwegian Sea in May 2010, close to the coast of Northern Norway, while the biomass in the Barents Sea was low. The July survey 2010 agreed with the May survey, indicating low biomass of zooplankton. The highest concentrations were found in the southernmost region of the Norwegian Sea, whereas the remaining regions showed very low plankton concentration. Thus, from

a situation with relatively good feeding conditions throughout the Norwegian Sea, the area can now be considered to have poor feeding conditions. This apparent low concentration of zooplankton in the Norwegian Sea has seemingly consequences on the Norwegian spring spawning herring because its total fat content is much lower in 2010 than in previous summers (Figure 7.15.1).

The strong and persistent decrease in available plankton resources for all the pelagic fish stocks in the Norwegian Sea must be regarded a major ecological factor at present and should be followed very closely in the coming years.

7.16 Regulations and their effects

The NSSH has been fished moderately for the last six years with a mean F of 0.125. This is in accordance with the international management plan and below Fpa. Thus the stock is moderately harvested as compared to most other stocks. The moderate harvest combined with a number of large year classes in the period 1998-2004 has been the main contributors to the high stock levels observed in 2008 and 2009. These stock levels are not significantly different from those estimated before the 1960's stock collapse and the rebuilding of this stock has come to its conclusion.

7.17 Changes in fishing patterns

The summer survey in the Nordic Seas in July-August 2010 (see section 2.1.1, Figure 2.5.2.1.7) suggested a stronger horizontal species segregation between herring and mackerel in 2010 than previous years, with the herring distributed more in the cooler waters influenced by the East-Icelandic Current in the western part of the distribution area in 2010. This has resulted in less mixing between the species in 2010 than in previous years.

The apparent change in horizontal distributions was also seen in the distribution of the commercial catches of mackerel in the Faroese and Icelandic zones 2010 with no or only very small proportions of herring in the catches for mackerel in the area as com-pared to last year.

7.18 Changes in the environment

In the Norwegian Sea where the herring stock is grazing the two main features of the circulation are the Norwegian Atlantic Current (NWAC) and the East Atlantic Current (EIC). The NWAC with its offshoots forms the northern limb of the North Atlantic current system and carries relatively warm and salty water from the North Atlantic into the Nordic Seas. The EIC, on the other hand, carries Arctic waters.

The Arctic front is a central feeding area for Norwegian spring-spawning herring. During periods when the Arctic front is shifted westwards it is likely that the part of the stock feeding in the western Norwegian Sea will also be shifted westward. The position of the Arctic front is correlated with large-scale environmental events which are detected by the winter index of the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO).

After two years with strong westerlies (high NAO index) during 2007-2008, with an increased influence of Arctic water in the southern Norwegian Sea, the strength of the westerlies was in winter 2009 and 2010 about normal. However, the increased Arctic influence in the western areas of the Norwegian Sea was still observed both in 2009 and 2010.

The temperature in the western and northern Norwegian Sea in 2010 is close to and in some areas less than the 1995-2010 average. In the central and eastern parts of the

Norwegian Sea the temperature is still warmer than the 20 year average, but colder than in 2009.

In the south-western part, east off Iceland, the sea temperature in the spring 2010 was close to the long term average while it was above average south and north off Iceland. Later, in middle of July, the temperature of the surface waters in the south western was however observed to be far above (1-3°C) the 20 year average. That anomalously high sea surface temperature, as in the north-western Icelandic waters in the summer 2009, is mainly reflecting the weather condition prior to the measurements and thus consequence of strong atmospheric warming of the surface layers.

Annex: References

- ICES 1998. Report of the study group on the precautionary approach to fisheries management, February 1998. ICES CM 1998/ACFM:10. 36pp.
- ICES 1999. Report of the ICES Advisory Committee on Fishery Management 1998 ICES Coop. Res.Rep.No.229.
- ICES. 2010. Report of the Workshop on Implementing the ICES Fmsy framework (WKFRAME), 22 26 March 2010, Copenhagen, Denmark. ICES CM 2010/ACOM:54. 83 pp.
- ICES 2010. Report of the Workshop on estimation of maturity ogive in Norwegian spring spawning herring (WKHERMAT). 1-3 March 2010 Bergen, Norway. ICES CM 2010/ACOM:51 REF. PGCCDBS
- Skagen, D.W. 2010. Some simulations with NSS Herring stock and recruitment. Working document to WGWIDE available at Share-Point/WGWIDE 2010/Working Documents
- Engelhard, G. H. and Heino, M., 2004. Maturity changes in Norwegian spring-spawning herring before, during, and after a major population collapse. Fisheries Research, 66: 299 310.

Annex: MSY reference points for Norwegian spring spawning herring

HCS Simulation model analysis

HCS is a stochastic simulation model for studying different management scenarios. The parameterization of HCS for NSSH is described in a working document sent for WGWIDE in 2010 (WD, Skagen; the values for weights, natural mortality and initial N-values can be found in ICES 2009, WGWIDE Table 7.10.1.3, input to short term prediction; see also Skagen 2010, WD WKFRAME). Two stock-recruitment relationships, Beverton-Holt and hockey stick, are explored:

Beverton-Holt: R = a*SSB/(SSB+b)

Hockey stick: S > b: R = a

S < b: R = a*SSB/b

The stock-recruitment parameters are shown in Table 7.8.2. params, and a plot of these together with the data is shown in Figure 7.8.2.srstoch. A plot of the data together with model output for Beverton-Holt function is show in Figure 7.8.2. srmodeldata, and the cumulative distribution of recruitment in data and model output is shown in Figure 7.8.2.cumdist. The long term sustained yields with Beverton-Holt recruitment function are shown in Figure 7.8.2.catch. A similar figure for hockey stick recruitment function can be found in Skagen 2010 (WD, Skagen).

In WKHERMAT in 2010 a new maturity ogive matrix for NSSH based on a back calculation methods was estimated (ICES 2010, WKHERMAT). This is used in the assessment in 2010. There appears to be a difference in the maturation ogive between strong and weak year classes such that strong year classes tend to mature at later age compared to weak year classes (Engelhart & Heino 2004, ICES 2010, WKFRAME). However, the model used here currently allows only static maturity ogive, and in order to take into account the effect of variation in maturation of strong and weak year classes for MSY and FMSY we have run the analysis using the standard maturity ogive used in assessment the latest years, an ogive estimated for weak year classes and an ogive estimated for strong year classes (Table 7.8.2.modelparams). Furthermore, in year 2009 the selection pattern is different to the historical period, appearing more dome-shaped than the historical sigmoidal selection pattern (Table 7.8.2.modelparams). We have not been able to identify any reason why the selection pattern would have changed, as there have been no changes in gear or fishery in general. Nevertheless, we also studied the effect of possible change in selection pattern by using alternatively the historical (old) or the selection curve from 2009 (Table 7.8.2.modelparams).

The results of the simulation analysis suggest that the MSY, for all the scenarios and with both stock-recruitment functions, is within the same range: between 1 and 1.2 million tonnes (Figure 7.8.2.msyBH, 7.8.2.msyHS, and Table 7.8.2.results). Even though the different scenarios result in MSY within the same range, the F_{MSY} has more variation (Figure 7.8.2.fmsy and Table 7.8.2.results). When Beverton-Holt recruitment function is used, the risk of stock going below B_{lim} (2.5 million t.) and B_{trigger} (4 million t.) at F_{MSY} are both very low, whereas with the Hockey stick recruitment function the risk of the stock falling below B_{trigger} at F_{MSY} is relatively high (Table 7.8.2.results). Hockey stick recruitment function appears not to be very useful in modelling popula-

tion dynamics, as the spawning stock size where MSY is reached is the same point where stock reproductive capacity starts decreasing (see also the discussion in the equilibrium analysis below). When Beverton-Holt recruitment function is used, unweighted F_{MSY} using the historical fishery selection pattern is 0.16 (for all maturity ogive scenarios), and adopting the 2009 selection pattern suggests of F_{MSY} 0.12 (for all maturity ogive scenarios). In NSSH management weighted F values are used, and the weighted values tend to be somewhat lower than unweighted values (Figure 7.8.2.fvalues). As we have no reason to believe that the selection pattern has really changed, we consider unweighted F_{MSY} to be 0.16. This unweighted F value is in close agreement with the reference values originating from an analysis carried out in 1998 (ICES 2008/ACOM 13), where a weighted F_{Pa} is defined as 0.150.

Equilibrium and YPR analyses

Deterministic and stochastic equilibrium analyses were carried out using the 'plot-MSY' software (ICES 2010, WKFRAME) to determine candidate F_{MSY} values for the Norwegian spring spawning herring stock. Stock-recruitment pairs from the period 1988-2009, as outputted from the most recent assessment of the stock, were used together with 5-year averages of selectivity, weight and maturity at age (backcalculated ogive). Two stock recruit relationships were examined, Beverton and Holt and the ('smooth hockey stick' (segmented regression), and yield-per-recruit (YPR) analyses were also done. For the stochastic analyses, uncertainty (CVs) in the biological and fishery parameters at age were used to create alternative fits to two stockrecruit relationships (*N*=1000).

While the Beverton and Holt fit is reasonable under using the old maturity ogive to estimate SSB (results not shown), the majority of stochastic stock-recruit model fits fell out of the range of the deterministic fit to the data, and thus it can be concluded that the stock-recruit form is unclear and not suitable for the data and the level of uncertainty associated with the parameters. Using the new back-calculated maturity ogive, as has been decided by the working group for the assessment of this stock, results in an very poor Beverton and Holt fit (Figure 7.8.2. sr), with an extremely steep slope at the origin and an asymptote at the geometric mean recruitment level. Given the lack of any clear patterns in the stock-recruit data, a hockey stick model fit, while uncertain around the origin, probably provides the most cautious fit to the data. For the hockey stick, the slope at the origin is the descending limb of the stock-recruit curve, which for this stock is relatively shallow, hence F_{crash} is low. The value for B_{msy} is at the breakpoint in the hockey stick, hence F_{msy} is estimated to be the same as F_{crash} (Table 7.8.2. msy). The uncertainty with regards to the slope at the origin makes this stock-recruitment function unsuitable as a basis for advice on F_{msy} . In such cases the slope is more useful as an indication of F_{pa} or F_{lim}.

Given the poor fits to stock recruitment functions, a yield-per-recruit analysis was conducted (Figure 7.8.2. ypr). The stochastic analysis shows a high degree of uncertainty and a very poorly defined F_{max} . That both the hockey stick and per-recruit analysis suggests a high degree of uncertainty with regards to F_{max} could be down to the assumptions made about the uncertainties input into the analyses, though these assumptions are believed to be realistic given the information on the stock. This would preclude the use of F_{max} as an F_{msy} proxy, although F0.1 may remain a viable, safer alternative. The YPR curve shows that F values in the range 0.125-0.15 are likely to result in high long term yields.

Conclusions

In the equilibrium analysis, the structure of the stock and recruitment pairs as estimated from the most recent assessment does not lead to any clear definition of an optimum yield equilibrium fishing mortality level. Given this uncertainty it is more appropriate to select an F_{msy} proxy tested by a stochastic simulation model that takes into account the long term trends in the stock biomass. The simulation model results presented in this report and in the stock annex provide a more appropriate method for the determining a viable long term target, and the values from this analysis could be put forward as potential F_{msy} targets. However, it should be noted that it is clear that the estimation of MSY reference points is very sensitive to the choice of stock-recruitment function and the approach chosen to estimate the reference points. This is in accordance with previous analyses by Skagen (WD 2010) and by WKFRAME (ICES 2010, WKFRAME).

The stochastic model uses unweighted F values, which have historically been found to be slightly lower than the unweighted values (Figure 7.8.2.fvalues). Therefore, a weighted F_{msy} of 0.15 corresponding to the unweighted 0.16 F_{msy} proxy from the simulation analyses is proposed for this stock. This is in agreement with the current simulation-tested management plan F_{pa} level and should ensure high long term yield with a low risk to the stock.

References:

- Engelhard, G.H., Heino, M. (2004) Maturity changes in Norwegian spring-spawning herring before, during, and after a major population collapse. Fisheries Research 66, 299-310.
- ICES. 2008. Report of the ICES Advisory Committee, 2008. ICES Advice, 2008. Book 9. 345 pp.
- ICES. 2010. Report of the Workshop on estimation of maturity ogive in Norwegian spring spawning herring (WKHERMAT), 1-3 March 2010, Bergen, Norway. ICES CM 2010/ACOM:51.47 pp.

| YEAR | Norway | USSR/ | Denmark | FAROES | ICELAND | IRELAND | NETHERLANDS | GREENLAND | UK (Scotland) | GERMANY | FRANCE | POLAND | Sweden | TOTAL |
|-------|--------|--------|---------|--------|---------|---------|-------------|-----------|---------------|---------|--------|--------|--------|---------|
| | | Russia | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1972 | 13161 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 13161 |
| 1973 | 7017 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7017 |
| 1974 | 7619 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7619 |
| 1975 | 13713 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 13713 |
| 1976 | 10436 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 10436 |
| 1977 | 22706 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 22706 |
| 1978 | 19824 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 19824 |
| 1979 | 12864 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 12864 |
| 1980 | 18577 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 18577 |
| 1981 | 13736 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 13736 |
| 1982 | 16655 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 16655 |
| 1983 | 23054 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 23054 |
| 1984 | 53532 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 53532 |
| 1985 | 167272 | 2600 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 169872 |
| 1986 | 199256 | 26000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 225256 |
| 1987 | 108417 | 18889 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 127306 |
| 1988 | 115076 | 20225 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 135301 |
| 1989 | 88707 | 15123 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 103830 |
| 1990 | 74604 | 11807 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 86411 |
| 1991 | 73683 | 11000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 84683 |
| 1992 | 91111 | 13337 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 104448 |
| 1993 | 199771 | 32645 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 232457 |
| 1994 | 380771 | 74400 | - | 2911 | 21146 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 479228 |
| 1995 | 529838 | 101987 | 30577 | 57084 | 174109 | - | 7969 | 2500 | 881 | 556 | - | - | - | 905501 |
| 1996 | 699161 | 119290 | 60681 | 52788 | 164957 | 19541 | 19664 | - | 46131 | 11978 | - | - | 22424 | 1220283 |
| 1997 | 860963 | 168900 | 44292 | 59987 | 220154 | 11179 | 8694 | - | 25149 | 6190 | 1500 | - | 19499 | 1426507 |
| 1998 | 743925 | 124049 | 35519 | 68136 | 197789 | 2437 | 12827 | - | 15971 | 7003 | 605 | - | 14863 | 1223131 |
| 1999 | 740640 | 157328 | 37010 | 55527 | 203381 | 2412 | 5871 | - | 19207 | - | - | - | 14057 | 1235433 |
| 2000 | 713500 | 163261 | 34968 | 68625 | 186035 | 8939 | - | - | 14096 | 3298 | - | | 14749 | 1207201 |
| 2001 | 495036 | 109054 | 24038 | 34170 | 77693 | 6070 | 6439 | - | 12230 | 1588 | - | - | 9818 | 766136 |
| 2002 | 487233 | 113763 | 18998 | 32302 | 127197 | 1699 | 9392 | - | 3482 | 3017 | - | 1226 | 9486 | 807795 |
| 2003* | 477573 | 122846 | 14144 | 27943 | 117910 | 1400 | 8678 | - | 9214 | 3371 | - | - | 6431 | 789510 |

Table 7.5.1.1 Total catch of Norwegian spring-spawning herring (tons) since 1972. Data provided by Working Group members.

*In 2003 the Norwegian catches were raised of 39433 to account for changes in percentages of water content.

| Year | Norway | USSR/ | Denmark | Faroes | Iceland | Ireland | Netherlands | Greenland | UK | Germany | France | Poland | Sweden | Total |
|---------|---------|--------|---------|--------|---------|---------|-------------|-----------|------------|---------|--------|--------|--------|---------|
| | | Russia | | | | | | | (Scotland) | | | | | |
| 2004 | 477076 | 115876 | 23111 | 42771 | 102787 | 11 | 17369 | - | 1869 | 4810 | 400 | - | 7986 | 794066 |
| 2005** | 580804 | 132099 | 28368 | 65071 | 156467 | - | 21517 | - | - | 17676 | 0 | 561 | 680 | 1003243 |
| 2006*** | 567237 | 120836 | 18449 | 63137 | 157474 | 4693 | 11625 | - | 12523 | 9958 | 80 | - | 2946 | 968958 |
| 2007 | 779089 | 162434 | 22911 | 64251 | 173621 | 6411 | 29764 | 4897 | 13244 | 6038 | 0 | 4333 | 0 | 1266993 |
| 2008 | 961603 | 193119 | 31128 | 74261 | 217602 | 7903 | 28155 | 3810 | 19737 | 8338 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1545656 |
| 2009 | 1016675 | 210105 | 32320 | 85098 | 265479 | 10014 | 24021 | 3730 | 25477 | 14452 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1687371 |

Table 7.5.1.1, cont. Total catch of Norwegian spring-spawning herring (tons) since 1972. Data provided by Working Group members.

**Preliminary, as provided by Working Group members.

***Scotland and Northern Irland combined.

Table 7.5.1.2. Norwegian spring spawning herring. Output from SALLOC for 2009 data.

Summary of Sampling by Country

AREA : 1

| | Country | Sampled Catch | Official Catch | No. of samples | No. measured | No. aged | SOP % |
|------|---------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------|----------|
| Norw | ay | 873.00 | 873.00 | 12 | 1150 | 360 100 | .16 |
| Tota | .1 1 | 873.00 | 873.00 | 12 | 1150 | 360 100 | .16 |
| | Sum of Offical Cato | hes : | 873.00 | | | | |
| | Unallocated Catch : | | 0.00 | | | | |
| | Discards : | | 0.00 | | | | |
| | Working Group Catch | 1: | 873.00 | | | | |
| AREA | : IIa | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Country | Sampled | Official | No. of | No. | No. | SOP |

| | Catch | Catch | samples | measured | aged | 8 |
|-----------------|-------------|------------|---------|----------|------|--------|
| Denmark | 32320.00 | 32320.00 | 13 | 1576 | 338 | 100.16 |
| Faroe Islands | 61920.00 | 74145.00 | 13 | 737 | 172 | 99.99 |
| Germany | 1996.00 | 6739.00 | 4 | 1470 | 355 | 95.92 |
| Greenland | 0.00 | 3730.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Iceland | 154908.00 | 154908.00 | 74 | 3158 | 1958 | 100.03 |
| Ireland | 10014.00 | 10014.00 | 2 | 180 | 158 | 99.96 |
| Norway | 971239.00 | 971239.00 | 279 | 14147 | 3299 | 100.00 |
| Russia | 141845.00 | 174307.00 | 55 | 11840 | 844 | 100.00 |
| Scotland | 0.00 | 25477.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| The Netherlands | 2744.00 | 18386.00 | 35 | 3936 | 875 | 100.11 |
| Total IIa | 1376986.00 | 1471265.00 | 475 | 37044 | 7999 | 100.00 |
| Sum of Offica | l Catches : | 1471265.00 | | | | |
| Unallocated Ca | atch : | 1064.00 | | | | |
| Discards | : | 0.00 | | | | |
| Working Group | Catch : | 1472329.00 | | | | |

AREA : IIb

| Country | Sampled | Official | No. of | No. | No. | SOP |
|-----------------|-----------|----------|---------|----------|------|--------|
| | Catch | Catch | samples | measured | aged | 8 |
| Faroe Islands | 6421.00 | 6421.00 | 3 | 266 | 44 | 100.03 |
| Germany | 7714.00 | 7714.00 | 18 | 7235 | 1003 | 98.32 |
| Russia | 35798.00 | 35798.00 | 56 | 14076 | 520 | 100.08 |
| The Netherlands | 4571.00 | 5190.00 | 10 | 1104 | 250 | 100.03 |
| Total IIb | 54504.00 | 55123.00 | 87 | 22681 | 1817 | 99.77 |
| Sum of Offical | Catches : | 55123.00 | | | | |
| Unallocated Cat | ch : | -619.00 | | | | |
| Discards | : | 0.00 | | | | |
| Working Group C | latch : | 54504.00 | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

AREA : IVa

| Country | Sampled Catch | Official Catch | No. of samples | No. measured | No. aged | SOP % |
|------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------|----------|
| Norway | 44563.00 | 44563.00 | 21 | 1622 | 574 | 100.07 |
| Total IVa | 44563.00 | 44563.00 | 21 | 1622 | 574 | 100.07 |
| Sum of Offical (| Catches : | 44563.00 | | | | |
| Unallocated Cate | ch : | 0.00 | | | | |
| Discards | : | 0.00 | | | | |
| Working Group Ca | atch : | 44563.00 | | | | |

SOP %

SOP %

SOP %

SOP %

SOP %

| AREA : Va | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|---|---|---|
| Country Faroe Islands Iceland Total Va | Sampled Catch 0.00 96356.00 96356.00 | Official Catch 2332.00 96356.00 98688.00 | No. of samples 0 55 55 | No. measured 0 2420 2420 | No. aged 0 1075 1075 | 0.00 99.94 99.94 |
| Sum of Offical C Unallocated Catc Discards Working Group Ca | atches : h : : tch : | 98688.00 0.00 0.00 98688.00 | | | | |
| AREA : Vb | | | | | | |
| Country Iceland Total Vb | Sampled Catch 240.00 240.00 | Official Catch 240.00 240.00 | No. of samples 2 2 | No. measured 100 100 | No. aged 96 96 | 99.96 99.96 |
| Sum of Offical C Unallocated Catc Discards Working Group Ca | atches : h : : tch : | 240.00 0.00 0.00 240.00 | | | | |
| AREA : XIVa | | | | | | |
| Country Faroe Islands Iceland Total XIVa | Sampled Catch 0.00 13975.00 13975.00 | Official Catch 2201.00 13975.00 16176.00 | No. of samples 0 11 11 | No. measured 0 519 519 | No. aged 0 344 344 | 0.00 100.00 100.00 |
| Sum of Offical C Unallocated Catc Discards Working Group Ca | atches : h : : tch : | 16176.00 0.00 0.00 16176.00 | | | | |
| PERIOD : 1 | | | | | | |
| Country Denmark Faroe Islands Iceland Ireland Norway Russia Scotland Period Total | Sampled Catch 17503.00 0.00 4111.00 10014.00 440689.00 0.00 0.00 472317.00 | Official Catch 17503.00 13219.00 4111.00 10014.00 440689.00 32461.00 20356.00 538353.00 | No. of samples 3 0 2 2 121 0 0 0 128 | No. measured 378 0 100 180 4084 0 0 4742 | No. aged 78 0 98 158 1039 0 0 1373 | 100.11 0.00 100.01 99.96 100.00 0.00 100.00 |
| Sum of Offical C Unallocated Catc Discards Working Group Ca | atches : h : : tch : | 538353.00 0.00 0.00 538353.00 | | | | |
| PERIOD : 2 | | | | | | |
| Country Faroe Islands | Sampled Catch 1411.00 | Official Catch 1419.00 | No. of samples | No. measured 149 | No. aged 54 | 99.96 |
| Iceland Norway Russia Period Total | 58853.00 9869.00 2551.00 72684.00 | 58853.00 9869.00 2551.00 72692.00 | 45 18 7 74 | 1837 1530 822 4338 | 1148 624 217 2043 | 99.95 100.01 99.78 99.96 |
| Sum of Offical C Unallocated Catc Discards Working Group Ca | atches : h : : tch : | 72692.00 0.00 0.00 72692.00 | | | | |

ICES WGWIDE REPORT 2010

```
PERIOD: 3
```

| Country | Sampled | Official | No. of | No. | No. | SOP |
|-------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|----------|------|--------|
| | Catch | Catch | samples | measured | aged | 8 |
| Faroe Islands | 28534.00 | 32065.00 | 9 | 588 | 118 | 99.93 |
| Germany | 9710.00 | 9710.00 | 22 | 8705 | 1358 | 97.83 |
| Iceland | 158609.00 | 158609.00 | 90 | 3995 | 2054 | 100.00 |
| Norway | 76701.00 | 76701.00 | 36 | 2706 | 979 | 100.09 |
| Russia | 105201.00 | 105202.00 | 66 | 15860 | 738 | 100.00 |
| The Netherlands | 7315.00 | 8305.00 | 45 | 5040 | 1125 | 100.06 |
| Period Total | 386070.00 | 390592.00 | 268 | 36894 | 6372 | 99.96 |
| Sum of Offical Ca | atches : | 390592.00 | | | | |
| Unallocated Catc | h : | -990.00 | | | | |
| Discards | : | 0.00 | | | | |
| Working Group Ca | tch : | 389602.00 | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

PERIOD: 4

| Country | Sampled | Official | No. of | No. | No. | 5 | SOP |
|-------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|----------|------|--------|-----|
| | Catch | Catch | samples | measured | aged | | % |
| Denmark | 14817.00 | 14817.00 | 10 | 1198 | 260 | 100.21 | |
| Faroe Islands | 38396.00 | 38396.00 | 3 | 266 | 44 | 100.04 | |
| Germany | 0.00 | 4743.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | |
| Greenland | 0.00 | 3730.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | |
| Iceland | 43906.00 | 43906.00 | 5 | 265 | 173 | 100.03 | |
| Norway | 489416.00 | 489416.00 | 137 | 8599 | 1591 | 100.01 | |
| Russia | 69891.00 | 69891.00 | 38 | 9234 | 409 | 100.01 | |
| Scotland | 0.00 | 5121.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | |
| The Netherlands | 0.00 | 15271.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | |
| Period Total | 656426.00 | 685291.00 | 193 | 19562 | 2477 | 100.01 | |
| Sum of Offical Ca | atches : | 685291.00 | | | | | |
| Unallocated Catch | ı : | 1435.00 | | | | | |
| Discards | : | 0.00 | | | | | |
| Working Group Cat | cch : | 686726.00 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Total over all Areas and Periods

| Country | Sampled | Official | No. of | No. | No. | SOP |
|------------------|------------|------------|---------|----------|-------|--------|
| | Catch | Catch | samples | measured | aged | 00 |
| Denmark | 32320.00 | 32320.00 | 13 | 1576 | 338 | 100.16 |
| Faroe Islands | 68341.00 | 85099.00 | 16 | 1003 | 216 | 99.99 |
| Germany | 9710.00 | 14453.00 | 22 | 8705 | 1358 | 97.83 |
| Greenland | 0.00 | 3730.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Iceland | 265479.00 | 265479.00 | 142 | 6197 | 3473 | 100.07 |
| Ireland | 10014.00 | 10014.00 | 2 | 180 | 158 | 99.96 |
| Norway | 1016675.00 | 1016675.00 | 312 | 16919 | 4233 | 100.01 |
| Russia | 177643.00 | 210105.00 | 111 | 25916 | 1364 | 100.00 |
| Scotland | 0.00 | 25477.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| The Netherlands | 7315.00 | 23576.00 | 45 | 5040 | 1125 | 100.06 |
| Total for Stock | 1587497.00 | 1686928.00 | 663 | 65536 | 12265 | 99.99 |
| Sum of Offical C | atches : | 1686928.00 | | | | |
| Unallocated Cate | h : | 445.00 | | | | |
| Discards | : | 0.00 | | | | |
| Working Group Ca | tch : | 1687373.00 | | | | |

DETAILS OF DATA FILLING-IN

| | Filling-in Using Only >> (3) | for record : (10) Norway | Russia 1 IIa | 1 IIa |
|---|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|--------|
| | Filling-in Using Only >> (27) | for record : (32) Ireland | Scotland 1 IIa | l IIa |
| | Filling-in Using Only | for record : (37) | Faroe Islands | 1 IIa |
| | Filling-in Using Only | for record : (44) | Faroe Islands | 1 Va |
| | >> (22) Filling-in | Iceland for record : (42) | 2 Va Faroe Islands | 2 XIVa |
| U | Using Only >> (25) | Iceland | 2 XIVa | |

| >> (26) Iceland 3 XIVa | |
|--|-------|
| Filling-in for record : (45) Faroe Islands Using Only | 3 Va |
| >> (23) Iceland 3 Va | |
| Filling-in for record : (29)The NetherlandsMean Weighted by Number of Samples of:>> (13)Russia4IIa>> (17)Denmark4IIa>> (21)Iceland4IIa | 4 IIa |
| Filling-in for record : (33) Scotland Using Only >> (6) Norway 4 IIa | 4 IIa |
| Filling-in for record : (35)GermanyUnweighted Mean of :>> (13)Russia4IIa>> (17)Denmark4JIa>> (21)Iceland4 | 4 IIa |
| Filling-in for record : (31) Greenland Using Only >> (21) Iceland 4 IIa | 4 IIa |

Catch Numbers at Age by Area

| For Periods | 1 to 4 | | | | | | | |
|-------------|---|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| 1 | IIa | IIb | IVa | Va | Vb | XIVa | Total | |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0.00 | 3467.91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3467.91 | |
| 0.00 | 113171.45 | 69.00 | 0.00 | 183.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 113423.63 | |
| 67.00 | 187490.09 | 3801.00 | 0.00 | 1282.48 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 192640.58 | |
| 147.00 | 142090.09 | 4097.00 | 1600.00 | 671.36 | 8.00 | 461.25 | 149074.70 | |
| 1135.00 | 1100839.75 | 65472.00 | 17978.00 | 7635.74 | 17.00 | 702.93 | 1193780.50 | |
| 446.00 | 783191.81 | 55083.00 | 22784.00 | 43485.92 | 133.00 | 9624.03 | 914747.75 | |
| 864.00 | 1679019.25 | 44372.00 | 91134.00 | 106001.81 | 382.00 | 7858.08 | 1929631.13 | |
| 55.00 | 113161.80 | 3636.00 | 4971.00 | 17347.19 | 50.00 | 3709.86 | 142930.84 | |
| 45.00 | 202519.14 | 2455.00 | 8296.00 | 37872.53 | 83.00 | 10766.06 | 262036.73 | |
| 101.00 | 349641.63 | 3233.00 | 11643.00 | 47476.20 | 66.00 | 11810.87 | 423971.72 | |
| 70.00 | 204991.27 | 156.00 | 11845.00 | 18393.13 | 50.00 | 2668.51 | 238173.92 | |
| 13.00 | 41063.67 | 143.00 | 517.00 | 2933.73 | 0.00 | 848.38 | 45518.78 | |
| 0.00 | 6979.36 | 0.00 | 1034.00 | 976.41 | 8.00 | 339.16 | 9336.92 | |
| 0.00 | 8251.45 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1222.24 | 0.00 | 679.57 | 10153.26 | |
| 0.00 | 63731.90 | 0.00 | 2216.29 | 3910.14 | 0.00 | 679.57 | 70537.89 | |
| | For Periods 1 0.00 0.00 67.00 147.00 1435.00 446.00 864.00 55.00 45.00 101.00 70.00 13.00 0.000 0.00 | For Periods 1 to 4 1 IIa 0.00 0.00 0.00 3467.91 0.00 113171.45 67.00 187490.09 147.00 142090.09 1135.00 1100839.75 446.00 783191.81 864.00 1679019.25 55.00 113161.80 45.00 202519.14 101.00 349641.63 70.00 204991.27 13.00 41063.67 0.00 6979.36 0.00 8251.45 0.00 63731.90 | For Periods 1 to 4 1 IIa IIb 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 3467.91 0.00 0.00 113171.45 69.00 67.00 187490.09 3801.00 147.00 142090.09 4097.00 1135.00 1100839.75 65472.00 446.00 783191.81 55083.00 864.00 1679019.25 44372.00 55.00 113161.80 3636.00 45.00 202519.14 2455.00 101.00 349641.63 3233.00 70.00 204991.27 156.00 13.00 41063.67 143.00 0.00 6979.36 0.00 0.00 8251.45 0.00 0.00 63731.90 0.00 | For Periods 1 to 4 1 IIa IIb IVa 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 3467.91 0.00 0.00 0.00 113171.45 69.00 0.00 67.00 187490.09 3801.00 0.00 147.00 142090.09 4097.00 1600.00 1135.00 1100839.75 65472.00 17978.00 446.00 783191.81 55083.00 22784.00 864.00 1679019.25 44372.00 91134.00 55.00 113161.80 3636.00 4971.00 45.00 202519.14 2455.00 8296.00 101.00 349641.63 3233.00 11643.00 70.00 204991.27 156.00 11845.00 13.00 41063.67 143.00 517.00 0.00 6979.36 0.00 1034.00 0.00 8251.45 0.00 0.00 0.00 63731.90 0.00 2216.29 | $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | For Periods 1 to 4 1 IIa IIb IVa Va Vb 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 3467.91 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 113171.45 69.00 0.00 183.17 0.00 67.00 187490.09 3801.00 0.00 1282.48 0.00 147.00 142090.09 4097.00 1600.00 671.36 8.00 1135.00 1100839.75 65472.00 17978.00 7635.74 17.00 446.00 783191.81 55083.00 22784.00 43485.92 133.00 864.00 1679019.25 44372.00 91134.00 106001.81 382.00 55.00 113161.80 3636.00 4971.00 17347.19 50.00 45.00 202519.14 2455.00 8296.00 37872.53 83.00 101.00 349641.63 3233.00 11643.00 47476.20 66.00 70.00 | For Periods 1 to 4 1 IIa IIb IVa Va Vb XIVa 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 3467.91 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 113171.45 69.00 0.00 183.17 0.00 0.00 67.00 187490.09 3801.00 0.00 1282.48 0.00 0.00 147.00 142090.09 4097.00 1600.00 671.36 8.00 461.25 1135.00 1100839.75 65472.00 17978.00 7635.74 17.00 702.93 446.00 783191.81 55083.00 22784.00 43485.92 133.00 9624.03 864.00 1679019.25 44372.00 91134.00 106001.81 382.00 7858.08 55.00 113161.80 3636.00 4971.00 17347.19 50.00 3709.86 45.00 202519.14 2455.00 8296.00 37872.53 | |

Mean Weight at Age by Area (Kg)

For Periods 1 to 4

| Ages | 1 | IIa | IIb | IVa | Va | Vb | XIVa | Total |
|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 0 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1 | 0.0000 | 0.0400 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0400 |
| 2 | 0.0000 | 0.1559 | 0.1574 | 0.0000 | 0.2300 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.1561 |
| 3 | 0.2160 | 0.1825 | 0.2018 | 0.0000 | 0.2667 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.1835 |
| 4 | 0.2320 | 0.2194 | 0.2350 | 0.1790 | 0.2926 | 0.3300 | 0.2982 | 0.2200 |
| 5 | 0.2680 | 0.2505 | 0.2701 | 0.2043 | 0.2900 | 0.2850 | 0.2825 | 0.2512 |
| 6 | 0.3010 | 0.2906 | 0.3066 | 0.2374 | 0.3058 | 0.2990 | 0.2761 | 0.2908 |
| 7 | 0.3300 | 0.3128 | 0.3281 | 0.2563 | 0.3295 | 0.2880 | 0.3052 | 0.3114 |
| 8 | 0.3280 | 0.3393 | 0.3612 | 0.2576 | 0.3505 | 0.3150 | 0.3231 | 0.3380 |
| 9 | 0.3500 | 0.3497 | 0.3586 | 0.2736 | 0.3524 | 0.3170 | 0.3298 | 0.3470 |
| 10 | 0.3500 | 0.3656 | 0.3670 | 0.2942 | 0.3652 | 0.3240 | 0.3454 | 0.3631 |
| 11 | 0.3990 | 0.3778 | 0.4016 | 0.3021 | 0.3911 | 0.3320 | 0.3950 | 0.3753 |
| 12 | 0.3990 | 0.3819 | 0.4260 | 0.2870 | 0.4062 | 0.0000 | 0.3480 | 0.3819 |
| 13 | 0.0000 | 0.3791 | 0.0000 | 0.3170 | 0.4062 | 0.3240 | 0.3664 | 0.3746 |
| 14 | 0.0000 | 0.3740 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.3990 | 0.0000 | 0.3361 | 0.3745 |
| 15 | 0.0000 | 0.3859 | 0.0000 | 0.3792 | 0.4023 | 0.0000 | 0.3737 | 0.3865 |
| | | | | | | | | |

Mean Length at Age by Area (cm) $% \left({{\mathbb{C}}} \right)$

| For | Periods | 1 | to | 4 |
|-----|---------|---|----|---|

| Ages | 1 | IIa | IIb | IVa | Va | Vb | XIVa | Total |
|------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 0 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1 | 0.0000 | 17.8000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 17.8000 |
| 2 | 0.0000 | 25.2938 | 25.1652 | 0.0000 | 27.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 25.2965 |
| 3 | 28.5000 | 27.3186 | 28.5086 | 0.0000 | 30.5006 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 27.3637 |
| 4 | 28.8000 | 28.9151 | 29.4190 | 29.0000 | 31.7279 | 32.0000 | 32.0534 | 28.9523 |
| 5 | 30.2000 | 30.1860 | 31.1476 | 29.9405 | 31.0395 | 32.0000 | 32.3788 | 30.2418 |
| 6 | 31.2000 | 31.6854 | 32.3252 | 31.3501 | 32.4160 | 32.7000 | 32.5252 | 31.7590 |
| 7 | 32.2000 | 32.4043 | 33.0647 | 32.2000 | 32.8457 | 32.4000 | 32.9999 | 32.4364 |
| 8 | 32.7000 | 33.5517 | 33.8639 | 32.6800 | 33.8000 | 33.5000 | 33.8942 | 33.5680 |
| 9 | 33.6000 | 33.8987 | 34.2913 | 33.3000 | 34.2276 | 34.2000 | 34.4575 | 33.9540 |
| 10 | 33.3000 | 34.2634 | 34.6986 | 34.1822 | 34.4850 | 33.9000 | 34.5000 | 34.2956 |
| 11 | 34.1000 | 34.5393 | 36.1346 | 34.4077 | 34.5761 | 34.2000 | 35.0000 | 34.5416 |
| 12 | 34.0000 | 35.2142 | 36.7000 | 35.0000 | 35.5939 | 0.0000 | 35.0000 | 35.2365 |
| 13 | 0.0000 | 35.6581 | 0.0000 | 35.0000 | 36.1259 | 35.0000 | 35.3563 | 35.6226 |
| 14 | 0.0000 | 35.1512 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 36.3000 | 0.0000 | 36.2150 | 35.3607 |
| 15 | 0.0000 | 36.3654 | 0.0000 | 36.4578 | 36.2701 | 0.0000 | 36.1787 | 36.3613 |
| | | | | | | | | |

| Cat | ch Numbers | at Age by Area | | | | | | |
|-----------|-------------|------------------------|----------|--------------------|---------|--------|--------------|-----------|
| For Peric | od 1 | | | | | | | |
| Agog | 1 | TTO | тть | TVo | 14o | Th | VIVO | Total |
| Ages | 0 00 | 11a 0 00 | 0.00 | 1Va | 0 00 | av | AIVa 0 00 | 10Lai |
| 1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1 | 0.00 | 1611 22 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4654 50 |
| 2 | 0.00 | 25109 59 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 20.20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 25210 07 |
| 3 | 0.00 | 35190.30 | 0.00 | 1600.00 | 20.29 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10202 62 |
| 4 E | 0.00 | 200251 16 | 0.00 | 16640.00 | 101 56 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 214000 72 |
| 5 | 0.00 | 298351.10 | 0.00 | 10020 00 | 101.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 314000.72 |
| 07 | 0.00 | 250017.50 005260.21 | 0.00 | 19928.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2/0646.22 |
| / | 0.00 | 805360.31 | 0.00 | 84440.00 | 1000.22 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 890866.50 |
| 8 | 0.00 | 41588.48 | 0.00 | 4639.00 | 233.53 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 46461.01 |
| 9 | 0.00 | 64508.30 | 0.00 | 7778.00 | 507.70 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 72794.00 |
| 10 | 0.00 | 143698.20 | 0.00 | 10608.00 | 396.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 154702.23 |
| 11 | 0.00 | 93600.18 | 0.00 | 10937.00 | 121.85 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 104659.03 |
| 12 | 0.00 | 23742.20 | 0.00 | 517.00 | 10.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 24269.37 |
| 13 | 0.00 | 2925.23 | 0.00 | 1034.00 | 20.29 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3979.52 |
| 14 | 0.00 | 2399.44 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2399.44 |
| 15 | 0.00 | 33169.61 | 0.00 | 2154.00 | 30.46 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 35354.08 |
| Mea | n Weight at | Age by Area (H | (g) | | | | | |
| Fo | r Period 1 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Ages | 1 | IIa | IIb | IVa | Va | Vb | XIVa | Total |
| 0 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 2 | 0.0000 | 0.0660 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.2300 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0664 |
| 3 | 0.0000 | 0.1374 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.2860 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.1375 |
| 4 | 0.0000 | 0.1641 | 0.0000 | 0.1790 | 0.2880 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.1667 |
| 5 | 0.0000 | 0.2017 | 0.0000 | 0.2080 | 0.2740 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.2020 |
| 6 | 0.0000 | 0.2495 | 0.0000 | 0.2410 | 0.2930 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.2490 |
| 7 | 0.0000 | 0.2838 | 0.0000 | 0.2590 | 0.3000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.2815 |
| 8 | 0.0000 | 0.3207 | 0.0000 | 0.2600 | 0.3210 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.3147 |
| 9 | 0.0000 | 0.3220 | 0.0000 | 0.2750 | 0.3160 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.3169 |
| 10 | 0.0000 | 0.3403 | 0.0000 | 0.2970 | 0.3270 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.3373 |
| 11 | 0.0000 | 0.3513 | 0.0000 | 0.3040 | 0.3400 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.3464 |
| 12 | 0.0000 | 0.3701 | 0.0000 | 0.2870 | 0.3040 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.3683 |
| 13 | 0.0000 | 0.3350 | 0.0000 | 0.3170 | 0.3380 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.3303 |
| 14 | 0 0000 | 0 3510 | 0 0000 | 0 0000 | 0 0000 | 0 0000 | 0 0000 | 0 3510 |
| 15 | 0.0000 | 0.3576 | 0.0000 | 0.3810 | 0.3490 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.3590 |
| Mea | n Length at | Age by Area (d | cm) | | | | | |
| Fo | r Period 1 | | | | | | | |
| Ages | 1 | TTa | TTb | TVa | Va | Vb | XTVa | Total |
| 0 | 0 0000 | 0 0000 | 0 0000 | 0 0000 | 0 0000 | 0 0000 | 0 0000 | 0 0000 |
| 1 | 0 0000 | 0 0000 | 0 0000 | 0 0000 | 0 0000 | 0 0000 | 0 0000 | 0 0000 |
| - 2 | 0 0000 | 20 6000 | 0 0000 | 0 0000 | 27 0000 | 0 0000 | 0 0000 | 20 6140 |
| 2 | 0.0000 | 25.0000 | 0 0000 | 0 0000 | 27.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 20.0140 |
| 2 | 0.0000 | 2J./UIJ 27 /112 | 0.0000 | 20 0000 | 21 E000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 23.1038 |
| 4 | 0.0000 | 2/.4112 | 0.0000 | 29.0000 | 31.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 27.0000 |
| 5 | 0.0000 | 29.05// 20.0005 | 0.0000 | 29.9000 21.2000 | 31.8000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 29.1003 |
| 0 | 0.0000 | 30.9235 | 0.0000 | 31.3000 | 32./000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 30.9558 |
| 7 | 0.0000 | 31.9758 | 0.0000 | 32.2000 | 32.6000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 31.9978 |
| 8 | 0.0000 | 33.2081 | 0.0000 | 32.7000 | 33.8000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 33.1603 |
| 9 | 0.0000 | 33.4641 | 0.0000 | 33.3000 | 34.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 33.4503 |
| 10 | 0.0000 | 33.8708 | 0.0000 | 34.2000 | 34.4000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 33.8947 |
| 11 | 0.0000 | 34.3051 | 0.0000 | 34.4000 | 34.4000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 34.3151 |
| 12 | 0.0000 | 35.1822 | 0.0000 | 35.0000 | 34.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 35.1778 |
| 13 | 0.0000 | 34.4912 | 0.0000 | 35.0000 | 35.5000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 34.6286 |
| 14 | 0.0000 | 34.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 34.0000 |
| 15 | 0.0000 | 36.4000 | 0.0000 | 36.5000 | 36.7000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 36.4063 |
| Catch | Numbers | at Age | by Area |
|-------|---------|--------|---------|
| | | | |

| F | or Period 2 | | | | | | | |
|------|-------------|----------|------|---------|----------|--------|---------|----------|
| Ages | 1 | IIa | IIb | IVa | Va | Vb | XIVa | Total |
| 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2 | 0.00 | 12192.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 173.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 12365.00 |
| 3 | 20.00 | 7827.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 345.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8192.00 |
| 4 | 45.00 | 3004.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 345.00 | 8.00 | 218.32 | 3620.32 |
| 5 | 346.00 | 11077.00 | 0.00 | 2418.00 | 1727.00 | 17.00 | 218.32 | 15803.32 |
| б | 136.00 | 39476.00 | 0.00 | 2842.00 | 11914.00 | 133.00 | 7198.46 | 61699.46 |
| 7 | 263.00 | 22378.00 | 0.00 | 6661.00 | 18130.00 | 382.00 | 1308.90 | 49122.90 |
| 8 | 17.00 | 8900.00 | 0.00 | 330.00 | 3971.00 | 50.00 | 1527.22 | 14795.22 |
| 9 | 14.00 | 27256.00 | 0.00 | 515.00 | 8633.00 | 83.00 | 4580.65 | 41081.66 |
| 10 | 31.00 | 18874.00 | 0.00 | 1030.00 | 6734.00 | 66.00 | 2836.12 | 29571.12 |
| 11 | 21.00 | 5526.00 | 0.00 | 904.00 | 2072.00 | 50.00 | 0.00 | 8573.00 |
| 12 | 4.00 | 1522.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 173.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1699.00 |
| 13 | 0.00 | 1077.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 345.00 | 8.00 | 218.32 | 1648.32 |
| 14 | 0.00 | 952.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 436.63 | 1388.63 |
| 15 | 0.00 | 3151.00 | 0.00 | 62.00 | 518.00 | 0.00 | 436.63 | 4167.63 |

Mean Weight at Age by Area (Kg)

```
For Period 2
```

| Ages | 1 | IIa | IIb | IVa | Va | Vb | XIVa | Total |
|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 0 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 2 | 0.0000 | 0.2014 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.2300 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.2018 |
| 3 | 0.2160 | 0.2496 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.2860 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.2510 |
| 4 | 0.2320 | 0.2147 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.2880 | 0.3300 | 0.2440 | 0.2239 |
| 5 | 0.2680 | 0.2333 | 0.0000 | 0.1809 | 0.2740 | 0.2850 | 0.2170 | 0.2303 |
| б | 0.3010 | 0.2757 | 0.0000 | 0.2124 | 0.2930 | 0.2990 | 0.2670 | 0.2752 |
| 7 | 0.3300 | 0.2874 | 0.0000 | 0.2217 | 0.3000 | 0.2880 | 0.2960 | 0.2836 |
| 8 | 0.3280 | 0.2960 | 0.0000 | 0.2236 | 0.3210 | 0.3150 | 0.2890 | 0.3004 |
| 9 | 0.3500 | 0.3180 | 0.0000 | 0.2522 | 0.3160 | 0.3170 | 0.3080 | 0.3156 |
| 10 | 0.3500 | 0.3220 | 0.0000 | 0.2658 | 0.3270 | 0.3240 | 0.3120 | 0.3202 |
| 11 | 0.3990 | 0.3273 | 0.0000 | 0.2791 | 0.3400 | 0.3320 | 0.0000 | 0.3255 |
| 12 | 0.3990 | 0.3485 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.3040 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.3441 |
| 13 | 0.0000 | 0.3490 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.3380 | 0.3240 | 0.3290 | 0.3439 |
| 14 | 0.0000 | 0.3370 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.3200 | 0.3317 |
| 15 | 0.0000 | 0.3527 | 0.0000 | 0.3170 | 0.3490 | 0.0000 | 0.3380 | 0.3502 |
| | | | | | | | | |

Mean Length at Age by Area (cm)

| Fo | or Period 2 | | | | | | | |
|------|-------------|---------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Ages | 1 | IIa | IIb | IVa | Va | Vb | XIVa | Total |
| 0 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 2 | 0.0000 | 26.7949 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 27.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 26.7978 |
| 3 | 28.5000 | 28.7466 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 30.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 28.7988 |
| 4 | 28.8000 | 28.7915 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 31.5000 | 32.0000 | 31.0000 | 29.1900 |
| 5 | 30.2000 | 30.0080 | 0.0000 | 30.2000 | 31.8000 | 32.0000 | 31.0000 | 30.2533 |
| б | 31.2000 | 32.4206 | 0.0000 | 31.7000 | 32.7000 | 32.7000 | 32.5000 | 32.4485 |
| 7 | 32.2000 | 32.6464 | 0.0000 | 32.2000 | 32.6000 | 32.4000 | 33.5000 | 32.5872 |
| 8 | 32.7000 | 33.5493 | 0.0000 | 32.4000 | 33.8000 | 33.5000 | 33.6000 | 33.5951 |
| 9 | 33.6000 | 34.1005 | 0.0000 | 33.3000 | 34.0000 | 34.2000 | 34.4000 | 34.1028 |
| 10 | 33.3000 | 34.4057 | 0.0000 | 34.0000 | 34.4000 | 33.9000 | 34.5000 | 34.3970 |
| 11 | 34.1000 | 34.3606 | 0.0000 | 34.5000 | 34.4000 | 34.2000 | 0.0000 | 34.3832 |
| 12 | 34.0000 | 35.4074 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 34.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 35.2607 |
| 13 | 0.0000 | 36.2000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 35.5000 | 35.0000 | 35.0000 | 35.8887 |
| 14 | 0.0000 | 36.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 35.5000 | 35.8428 |
| 15 | 0.0000 | 36.0143 | 0.0000 | 35.0000 | 36.7000 | 0.0000 | 36.0000 | 36.0829 |
| | | | | | | | | |

| Fo | r Period 3 | | | | | | | |
|------|------------|-----------|----------|-------|----------|------|---------|-----------|
| Ages | 1 | IIa | IIb | IVa | Va | Vb | XIVa | Total |
| 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2 | 0.00 | 31394.05 | 67.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 31461.05 |
| 3 | 47.00 | 44938.34 | 3670.00 | 0.00 | 917.19 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 49572.53 |
| 4 | 102.00 | 30261.20 | 4020.00 | 0.00 | 306.07 | 0.00 | 242.93 | 34932.20 |
| 5 | 789.00 | 219278.23 | 63955.00 | 12.00 | 5807.17 | 0.00 | 484.61 | 290326.06 |
| 6 | 310.00 | 151032.61 | 53758.00 | 14.00 | 30871.26 | 0.00 | 2425.57 | 238411.45 |
| 7 | 601.00 | 214429.73 | 43060.00 | 33.00 | 86805.59 | 0.00 | 6549.17 | 351478.50 |
| 8 | 38.00 | 18922.08 | 3600.00 | 2.00 | 13142.66 | 0.00 | 2182.64 | 37887.38 |
| 9 | 31.00 | 37865.14 | 2399.00 | 3.00 | 28731.83 | 0.00 | 6185.40 | 75215.37 |
| 10 | 70.00 | 31611.04 | 3127.00 | 5.00 | 40346.18 | 0.00 | 8974.75 | 84133.96 |
| 11 | 49.00 | 16684.04 | 151.00 | 4.00 | 16199.28 | 0.00 | 2668.51 | 35755.82 |
| 12 | 9.00 | 1461.00 | 138.00 | 0.00 | 2750.55 | 0.00 | 848.38 | 5206.94 |
| 13 | 0.00 | 1227.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 611.12 | 0.00 | 120.84 | 1958.97 |
| 14 | 0.00 | 2190.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1222.24 | 0.00 | 242.93 | 3655.18 |
| 15 | 0.00 | 1954.00 | 0.00 | 0.29 | 3361.67 | 0.00 | 242.93 | 5558.90 |

Catch Numbers at Age by Area

Mean Weight at Age by Area (Kg)

```
For Period 3
```

| Ages | 1 | IIa | IIb | IVa | Va | Vb | XIVa | Total |
|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 0 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 2 | 0.0000 | 0.1938 | 0.1574 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.1937 |
| 3 | 0.2160 | 0.2126 | 0.2019 | 0.0000 | 0.2590 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.2127 |
| 4 | 0.2320 | 0.2281 | 0.2350 | 0.0000 | 0.2980 | 0.0000 | 0.3470 | 0.2303 |
| 5 | 0.2680 | 0.2751 | 0.2701 | 0.1809 | 0.2950 | 0.0000 | 0.3120 | 0.2744 |
| б | 0.3010 | 0.3141 | 0.3066 | 0.2124 | 0.3110 | 0.0000 | 0.3030 | 0.3119 |
| 7 | 0.3300 | 0.3420 | 0.3281 | 0.2217 | 0.3360 | 0.0000 | 0.3070 | 0.3382 |
| 8 | 0.3280 | 0.3498 | 0.3610 | 0.2236 | 0.3600 | 0.0000 | 0.3470 | 0.3542 |
| 9 | 0.3500 | 0.3588 | 0.3586 | 0.2522 | 0.3640 | 0.0000 | 0.3460 | 0.3597 |
| 10 | 0.3500 | 0.3676 | 0.3671 | 0.2658 | 0.3720 | 0.0000 | 0.3560 | 0.3684 |
| 11 | 0.3990 | 0.3748 | 0.4019 | 0.2791 | 0.3980 | 0.0000 | 0.3950 | 0.3870 |
| 12 | 0.3990 | 0.4114 | 0.4260 | 0.0000 | 0.4130 | 0.0000 | 0.3480 | 0.4023 |
| 13 | 0.0000 | 0.4369 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.4470 | 0.0000 | 0.4340 | 0.4399 |
| 14 | 0.0000 | 0.3675 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.3990 | 0.0000 | 0.3650 | 0.3779 |
| 15 | 0.0000 | 0.3846 | 0.0000 | 0.3170 | 0.4110 | 0.0000 | 0.4380 | 0.4029 |
| | | | | | | | | |

Mean Length at Age by Area (cm)

For Period 3

| Ages | 1 | IIa | IIb | IVa | Va | Vb | XIVa | Total |
|------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------|---------|---------|
| 0 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 2 | 0.0000 | 26.5922 | 25.1672 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 26.5892 |
| 3 | 28.5000 | 28.1573 | 28.5125 | 0.0000 | 30.7000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 28.2310 |
| 4 | 28.8000 | 29.0519 | 29.4136 | 0.0000 | 32.0000 | 0.0000 | 33.0000 | 29.1460 |
| 5 | 30.2000 | 30.7837 | 31.1463 | 30.2000 | 30.8000 | 0.0000 | 33.0000 | 30.8660 |
| 6 | 31.2000 | 32.1358 | 32.3233 | 31.7000 | 32.3000 | 0.0000 | 32.6000 | 32.2028 |
| 7 | 32.2000 | 32.9548 | 33.0636 | 32.2000 | 32.9000 | 0.0000 | 32.9000 | 32.9522 |
| 8 | 32.7000 | 33.5688 | 33.8585 | 32.4000 | 33.8000 | 0.0000 | 34.1000 | 33.7062 |
| 9 | 33.6000 | 34.0909 | 34.2888 | 33.3000 | 34.3000 | 0.0000 | 34.5000 | 34.2105 |
| 10 | 33.3000 | 34.2503 | 34.6985 | 34.0000 | 34.5000 | 0.0000 | 34.5000 | 34.4125 |
| 11 | 34.1000 | 34.3710 | 36.1391 | 34.5000 | 34.6000 | 0.0000 | 35.0000 | 34.5288 |
| 12 | 34.0000 | 35.6721 | 36.7000 | 0.0000 | 35.7000 | 0.0000 | 35.0000 | 35.6017 |
| 13 | 0.0000 | 36.0505 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 36.5000 | 0.0000 | 36.0000 | 36.1876 |
| 14 | 0.0000 | 34.9932 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 36.3000 | 0.0000 | 37.5000 | 35.5968 |
| 15 | 0.0000 | 35.8811 | 0.0000 | 35.0000 | 36.2000 | 0.0000 | 36.5000 | 36.1009 |
| | | | | | | | | |

| Catch | Numbers | at Age | by Area |
|-------|---------|--------|---------|
| | | | |

| For | Period 4 | | | | | | | |
|------|----------|-----------|---------|------|------|------|------|-----------|
| Ages | 1 | IIa | IIb | IVa | Va | Vb | XIVa | Total |
| 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1 | 0.00 | 3467.91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3467.91 |
| 2 | 0.00 | 64941.08 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 64943.08 |
| 3 | 0.00 | 99526.15 | 131.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 99657.15 |
| 4 | 0.00 | 100142.55 | 77.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100219.55 |
| 5 | 0.00 | 572133.38 | 1517.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 573650.38 |
| б | 0.00 | 342665.66 | 1325.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 343990.66 |
| 7 | 0.00 | 636851.25 | 1312.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 638163.25 |
| 8 | 0.00 | 43751.25 | 36.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 43787.25 |
| 9 | 0.00 | 72889.72 | 56.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 72945.72 |
| 10 | 0.00 | 155458.38 | 106.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 155564.38 |
| 11 | 0.00 | 89181.05 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 89186.05 |
| 12 | 0.00 | 14338.48 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 14343.48 |
| 13 | 0.00 | 1750.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1750.12 |
| 14 | 0.00 | 2710.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2710.01 |
| 15 | 0.00 | 25457.29 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 25457.29 |

Mean Weight at Age by Area (Kg)

```
For Period 4
```

| Ages | 1 | IIa | IIb | IVa | Va | Vb | XIVa | Total |
|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 0 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1 | 0.0000 | 0.0400 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0400 |
| 2 | 0.0000 | 0.1355 | 0.1570 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.1355 |
| 3 | 0.0000 | 0.1796 | 0.1990 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.1796 |
| 4 | 0.0000 | 0.2217 | 0.2340 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.2217 |
| 5 | 0.0000 | 0.2669 | 0.2690 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.2669 |
| 6 | 0.0000 | 0.3119 | 0.3080 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.3119 |
| 7 | 0.0000 | 0.3406 | 0.3290 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.3406 |
| 8 | 0.0000 | 0.3613 | 0.3770 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.3613 |
| 9 | 0.0000 | 0.3814 | 0.3570 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.3814 |
| 10 | 0.0000 | 0.3939 | 0.3650 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.3939 |
| 11 | 0.0000 | 0.4093 | 0.3940 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.4093 |
| 12 | 0.0000 | 0.4020 | 0.4260 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.4020 |
| 13 | 0.0000 | 0.4310 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.4310 |
| 14 | 0.0000 | 0.4126 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.4126 |
| 15 | 0.0000 | 0.4269 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.4269 |
| | | | | | | | | |

Mean Length at Age by Area (cm)

For Period 4

| Ages | 1 | IIa | IIb | IVa | Va | Vb | XIVa | Total |
|------|--------|---------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|
| 0 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1 | 0.0000 | 17.8000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 17.8000 |
| 2 | 0.0000 | 24.7199 | 25.1000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 24.7199 |
| 3 | 0.0000 | 27.3995 | 28.4000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 27.4008 |
| 4 | 0.0000 | 29.0079 | 29.7000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 29.0084 |
| 5 | 0.0000 | 30.5487 | 31.2000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 30.5504 |
| 6 | 0.0000 | 31.9581 | 32.4000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 31.9598 |
| 7 | 0.0000 | 32.7524 | 33.1000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 32.7531 |
| 8 | 0.0000 | 33.8714 | 34.4000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 33.8718 |
| 9 | 0.0000 | 34.1080 | 34.4000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 34.1083 |
| 10 | 0.0000 | 34.6117 | 34.7000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 34.6117 |
| 11 | 0.0000 | 34.8277 | 36.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 34.8277 |
| 12 | 0.0000 | 35.2000 | 36.7000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 35.2005 |
| 13 | 0.0000 | 37.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 37.0000 |
| 14 | 0.0000 | 36.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 36.0000 |
| 15 | 0.0000 | 36.4011 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 36.4011 |
| | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | AGE | | | | | | | | |
|------|----------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| YEAR | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15+ |
| 1950 | 5112600 | 2000000 | 600000 | 276200 | 184800 | 185500 | 547000 | 628600 | 79500 | 88600 | 109500 | 86900 | 194500 | 368300 | 66400 | 344300 |
| 1951 | 1635500 | 7607700 | 400000 | 6600 | 383800 | 172400 | 164400 | 515600 | 602000 | 77100 | 82700 | 103100 | 107600 | 253500 | 348000 | 352500 |
| 1952 | 13721600 | 9149700 | 1232900 | 39300 | 60500 | 602300 | 136300 | 204500 | 380200 | 377900 | 79200 | 85700 | 107700 | 106800 | 186500 | 564400 |
| 1953 | 5697200 | 5055000 | 581300 | 740100 | 46600 | 100900 | 355600 | 81900 | 110900 | 314100 | 394900 | 61700 | 91200 | 94100 | 98800 | 730400 |
| 1954 | 10675990 | 7071090 | 855400 | 266300 | 1435500 | 142900 | 236000 | 490300 | 128100 | 199800 | 440400 | 460700 | 88400 | 100600 | 133000 | 803200 |
| 1955 | 5175600 | 2871100 | 510100 | 93000 | 276400 | 2045100 | 114300 | 189600 | 274700 | 85300 | 193400 | 295600 | 203200 | 58700 | 84600 | 580600 |
| 1956 | 5363900 | 2023700 | 627100 | 116500 | 251600 | 314200 | 2555100 | 110000 | 203900 | 264200 | 130700 | 198300 | 272800 | 163300 | 63000 | 565100 |
| 1957 | 5001900 | 3290800 | 219500 | 23300 | 373300 | 153800 | 228500 | 1985300 | 72000 | 127300 | 182500 | 88400 | 121200 | 149300 | 131600 | 281400 |
| 1958 | 9666990 | 2798100 | 666400 | 17500 | 17900 | 110900 | 89300 | 194400 | 973500 | 70700 | 123000 | 200900 | 98700 | 77400 | 70900 | 255600 |
| 1959 | 17896280 | 198530 | 325500 | 15100 | 26800 | 25900 | 146600 | 114800 | 240700 | 1103800 | 88600 | 124300 | 198000 | 88500 | 77400 | 235900 |
| 1960 | 12884310 | 13580790 | 392500 | 121700 | 18200 | 28100 | 24400 | 96200 | 73300 | 203900 | 1163000 | 85200 | 129700 | 153500 | 56700 | 168900 |
| 1961 | 6207500 | 16075600 | 2884800 | 31200 | 8100 | 4100 | 15000 | 19400 | 61600 | 49200 | 136100 | 728100 | 49700 | 45000 | 63000 | 60100 |
| 1962 | 3693200 | 4081100 | 1041300 | 1843800 | 8000 | 3100 | 7200 | 20200 | 11900 | 59100 | 52600 | 117000 | 813500 | 44200 | 54700 | 152300 |
| 1963 | 4807000 | 2119200 | 2045300 | 760400 | 835800 | 5300 | 1800 | 3600 | 18300 | 9300 | 107700 | 92500 | 174100 | 923700 | 79600 | 185300 |
| 1964 | 3613000 | 2728300 | 220300 | 114600 | 399000 | 2045800 | 13700 | 1500 | 3000 | 24900 | 29300 | 95600 | 82400 | 153000 | 772800 | 336800 |
| 1965 | 2303000 | 3780900 | 2853600 | 89900 | 256200 | 571100 | 2199700 | 19500 | 14900 | 7400 | 19100 | 40000 | 100500 | 107800 | 138700 | 883100 |
| 1966 | 3926500 | 662800 | 1678000 | 2048700 | 26900 | 466600 | 1306000 | 2884500 | 37900 | 14300 | 17400 | 26200 | 11000 | 69100 | 72100 | 556700 |
| 1967 | 426800 | 9877100 | 70400 | 1392300 | 3254000 | 26600 | 421300 | 1132000 | 1720800 | 8900 | 5700 | 3500 | 8500 | 8900 | 17500 | 104400 |
| 1968 | 1783600 | 437000 | 388300 | 99100 | 1880500 | 1387400 | 14220 | 94000 | 134100 | 345100 | 2000 | 1100 | 830 | 2500 | 2600 | 17000 |
| 1969 | 561200 | 507100 | 141900 | 188200 | 800 | 8800 | 4700 | 700 | 11700 | 33600 | 36000 | 300 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 2400 |
| 1970 | 119300 | 529400 | 33200 | 6300 | 18600 | 600 | 3300 | 3300 | 1000 | 13400 | 26200 | 28100 | 300 | 100 | 200 | 2000 |
| 1971 | 30500 | 42900 | 85100 | 1820 | 1020 | 1240 | 360 | 1110 | 1130 | 360 | 4410 | 6910 | 5450 | 0 | 20 | 120 |
| 1972 | 347100 | 41000 | 20400 | 35376 | 3476 | 3583 | 2481 | 694 | 1486 | 198 | 0 | 494 | 593 | 593 | 0 | 0 |
| 1973 | 29300 | 3500 | 1700 | 2389 | 25200 | 651 | 1506 | 278 | 178 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 180 | 0 |
| 1974 | 65900 | 7800 | 3900 | 100 | 241 | 24505 | 257 | 196 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1975 | 30600 | 3600 | 1800 | 3268 | 132 | 910 | 30667 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1976 | 20100 | 2400 | 1200 | 23248 | 5436 | 0 | 0 | 13086 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1977 | 43000 | 6200 | 3100 | 22103 | 23595 | 336 | 0 | 419 | 10766 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1978 | 20100 | 2400 | 1200 | 3019 | 12164 | 20315 | 870 | 0 | 620 | 5027 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1979 | 32600 | 3800 | 1900 | 6352 | 1866 | 6865 | 11216 | 326 | 0 | 0 | 2534 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1980 | 6900 | 800 | 400 | 6407 | 5814 | 2278 | 8165 | 15838 | 441 | 8 | 0 | 2688 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1981 | 8300 | 1100 | 11900 | 4166 | 4591 | 8596 | 2200 | 4512 | 8280 | 345 | 103 | 114 | 964 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1982 | 22600 | 1100 | 200 | 13817 | 7892 | 4507 | 6258 | 1960 | 5075 | 6047 | 121 | 37 | 37 | 121 | 0 | 0 |

 Table 7.5.1.4. Norwegian spring spawning herring. Catch in numbers (thousands).

| | Age | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|--------|-------|--------|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Year | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15+ |
| 1983 | 127000 | 4680 | 1670 | 3183 | 21191 | 9521 | 6181 | 6823 | 1293 | 4598 | 7329 | 143 | 40 | 143 | 860 | 0 |
| 1984 | 33860 | 1700 | 2490 | 4483 | 5388 | 61543 | 18202 | 12638 | 15608 | 7215 | 16338 | 6478 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1650 |
| 1985 | 28570 | 13150 | 207220 | 21500 | 15500 | 16500 | 130000 | 59000 | 55000 | 63000 | 10000 | 31000 | 50000 | 0 | 0 | 2640 |
| 1986 | 13810 | 1380 | 3090 | 539785 | 17594 | 14500 | 15500 | 105000 | 75000 | 42000 | 77000 | 19469 | 66000 | 80000 | 0 | 2470 |
| 1987 | 13850 | 6330 | 35770 | 19776 | 501393 | 18672 | 3502 | 7058 | 28000 | 12000 | 9500 | 4500 | 7834 | 6500 | 7000 | 450 |
| 1988 | 15490 | 2790 | 9110 | 62923 | 25059 | 550367 | 9452 | 3679 | 5964 | 14583 | 8872 | 2818 | 3356 | 2682 | 1560 | 540 |
| 1989 | 7120 | 1930 | 25200 | 2890 | 3623 | 5650 | 324290 | 3469 | 800 | 679 | 3297 | 1375 | 679 | 321 | 260 | 0 |
| 1990 | 1020 | 400 | 15540 | 18633 | 2658 | 11875 | 10854 | 226280 | 1289 | 1519 | 2036 | 2415 | 646 | 179 | 590 | 480 |
| 1991 | 100 | 3370 | 3330 | 8438 | 2780 | 1410 | 14698 | 8867 | 218851 | 2499 | 461 | 87 | 690 | 103 | 260 | 540 |
| 1992 | 1630 | 150 | 1340 | 12586 | 33100 | 4980 | 1193 | 11981 | 5748 | 225677 | 2483 | 639 | 247 | 1236 | 0 | 0 |
| 1993 | 6570 | 130 | 7240 | 28408 | 106866 | 87269 | 8625 | 3648 | 29603 | 18631 | 410110 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1994 | 430 | 20 | 8100 | 32500 | 110090 | 363920 | 164800 | 15580 | 8140 | 37330 | 35660 | 645410 | 2830 | 460 | 100 | 2070 |
| 1995 | 0 | 0 | 1130 | 57590 | 346460 | 622810 | 637840 | 231090 | 15510 | 15850 | 69750 | 83740 | 911880 | 4070 | 250 | 450 |
| 1996 | 0 | 0 | 30140 | 34360 | 713620 | 1571000 | 940580 | 406280 | 103410 | 5680 | 7370 | 66090 | 17570 | 836550 | 0 | 0 |
| 1997 | 0 | 0 | 21820 | 130450 | 270950 | 1795780 | 1993620 | 761210 | 326490 | 60870 | 20020 | 32400 | 90520 | 19120 | 370330 | 300 |
| 1998 | 0 | 0 | 82891 | 70323 | 242365 | 368310 | 1760319 | 1263750 | 381482 | 129971 | 42502 | 25343 | 3478 | 112604 | 5633 | 108514 |
| 1999 | 0 | 0 | 5029 | 137626 | 35820 | 134813 | 429433 | 1604959 | 1164263 | 291394 | 106005 | 14524 | 40040 | 7202 | 88598 | 63983 |
| 2000 | 0 | 0 | 14395 | 84016 | 560379 | 34933 | 110719 | 404460 | 1299253 | 1045001 | 216980 | 71589 | 16260 | 22701 | 23321 | 71811 |
| 2001 | 0 | 0 | 2076 | 102293 | 160678 | 426822 | 38749 | 95991 | 296460 | 839136 | 507106 | 73673 | 23722 | 3505 | 3356 | 22164 |
| 2002 | 0 | 0 | 62031 | 198360 | 643161 | 255516 | 326495 | 29843 | 93530 | 264675 | 663059 | 339326 | 52922 | 12437 | 7000 | 10087 |
| 2003 | 0 | 3461 | 4524 | 75243 | 323958 | 730468 | 175878 | 167776 | 22866 | 74494 | 217108 | 567253 | 219097 | 38555 | 8111 | 6192 |
| 2004 | 125 | 1846 | 43800 | 24299 | 92300 | 429510 | 714433 | 111022 | 137940 | 26656 | 52467 | 169196 | 401564 | 210547 | 28028 | 11883 |
| 2005 | 0 | 442 | 20411 | 447788 | 94206 | 170547 | 643600 | 930309 | 121856 | 123291 | 37967 | 65289 | 139331 | 344822 | 126879 | 15697 |
| 2006 | 0 | 1968 | 45438 | 75824 | 729898 | 82107 | 171370 | 726041 | 772217 | 88701 | 77115 | 30339 | 57882 | 133665 | 142240 | 49128 |
| 2007 | 0 | 4475 | 8450 | 224636 | 366983 | 1804495 | 152916 | 242923 | 728836 | 511664 | 47215 | 25384 | 15316 | 24488 | 64755 | 58465 |
| 2008 | 0 | 39898 | 123949 | 36630 | 550274 | 670681 | 2295912 | 199592 | 256132 | 586583 | 369620 | 29633 | 36025 | 23775 | 25195 | 63176 |
| 2009 | 0 | 3468 | 113424 | 192641 | 149075 | 1193781 | 914748 | 1929631 | 142931 | 262037 | 423972 | 238174 | 45519 | 9337 | 10153 | 70538 |

Table 7.5.1.4. cont. Norwegian spring spawning herring. Catch in numbers (thousands).

| | AGE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| YEAR | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15+ |
| 1950 | 0.007 | 0.025 | 0.058 | 0.110 | 0.188 | 0.211 | 0.234 | 0.253 | 0.266 | 0.280 | 0.294 | 0.303 | 0.312 | 0.32 | 0.323 | 0.334 |
| 1951 | 0.009 | 0.029 | 0.068 | 0.130 | 0.222 | 0.249 | 0.276 | 0.298 | 0.314 | 0.330 | 0.346 | 0.357 | 0.368 | 0.377 | 0.381 | 0.394 |
| 1952 | 0.008 | 0.026 | 0.061 | 0.115 | 0.197 | 0.221 | 0.245 | 0.265 | 0.279 | 0.293 | 0.308 | 0.317 | 0.327 | 0.335 | 0.339 | 0.349 |
| 1953 | 0.008 | 0.027 | 0.063 | 0.120 | 0.205 | 0.230 | 0.255 | 0.275 | 0.290 | 0.305 | 0.320 | 0.330 | 0.34 | 0.347 | 0.351 | 0.363 |
| 1954 | 0.008 | 0.026 | 0.062 | 0.117 | 0.201 | 0.225 | 0.250 | 0.269 | 0.284 | 0.299 | 0.313 | 0.323 | 0.333 | 0.341 | 0.345 | 0.356 |
| 1955 | 0.008 | 0.027 | 0.063 | 0.119 | 0.204 | 0.229 | 0.254 | 0.274 | 0.289 | 0.304 | 0.318 | 0.328 | 0.338 | 0.346 | 0.350 | 0.362 |
| 1956 | 0.008 | 0.028 | 0.066 | 0.126 | 0.215 | 0.241 | 0.268 | 0.289 | 0.304 | 0.320 | 0.336 | 0.346 | 0.357 | 0.365 | 0.369 | 0.382 |
| 1957 | 0.008 | 0.028 | 0.066 | 0.127 | 0.216 | 0.243 | 0.269 | 0.290 | 0.306 | 0.322 | 0.338 | 0.348 | 0.359 | 0.367 | 0.371 | 0.384 |
| 1958 | 0.009 | 0.030 | 0.070 | 0.133 | 0.227 | 0.255 | 0.283 | 0.305 | 0.321 | 0.338 | 0.355 | 0.366 | 0.377 | 0.386 | 0.390 | 0.403 |
| 1959 | 0.009 | 0.030 | 0.071 | 0.135 | 0.231 | 0.259 | 0.287 | 0.310 | 0.327 | 0.344 | 0.360 | 0.372 | 0.383 | 0.392 | 0.397 | 0.409 |
| 1960 | 0.006 | 0.011 | 0.074 | 0.119 | 0.188 | 0.277 | 0.337 | 0.318 | 0.363 | 0.379 | 0.360 | 0.420 | 0.411 | 0.439 | 0.450 | 0.447 |
| 1961 | 0.006 | 0.010 | 0.045 | 0.087 | 0.159 | 0.276 | 0.322 | 0.372 | 0.363 | 0.393 | 0.407 | 0.397 | 0.422 | 0.447 | 0.465 | 0.452 |
| 1962 | 0.009 | 0.023 | 0.055 | 0.085 | 0.148 | 0.288 | 0.333 | 0.360 | 0.352 | 0.350 | 0.374 | 0.384 | 0.374 | 0.394 | 0.399 | 0.414 |
| 1963 | 0.008 | 0.026 | 0.047 | 0.098 | 0.171 | 0.275 | 0.268 | 0.323 | 0.329 | 0.336 | 0.341 | 0.358 | 0.385 | 0.353 | 0.381 | 0.386 |
| 1964 | 0.009 | 0.024 | 0.059 | 0.139 | 0.219 | 0.239 | 0.298 | 0.295 | 0.339 | 0.350 | 0.358 | 0.351 | 0.367 | 0.375 | 0.372 | 0.433 |
| 1965 | 0.009 | 0.016 | 0.048 | 0.089 | 0.217 | 0.234 | 0.262 | 0.331 | 0.360 | 0.367 | 0.386 | 0.395 | 0.393 | 0.404 | 0.401 | 0.431 |
| 1966 | 0.008 | 0.017 | 0.040 | 0.063 | 0.246 | 0.260 | 0.265 | 0.301 | 0.410 | 0.425 | 0.456 | 0.460 | 0.467 | 0.446 | 0.459 | 0.472 |
| 1967 | 0.009 | 0.015 | 0.036 | 0.066 | 0.093 | 0.305 | 0.305 | 0.310 | 0.333 | 0.359 | 0.413 | 0.446 | 0.401 | 0.408 | 0.439 | 0.430 |
| 1968 | 0.010 | 0.027 | 0.049 | 0.075 | 0.108 | 0.158 | 0.375 | 0.383 | 0.364 | 0.382 | 0.441 | 0.410 | | 0.517 | 0.491 | 0.485 |
| 1969 | 0.009 | 0.021 | 0.047 | 0.072 | | 0.152 | 0.296 | | 0.329 | 0.329 | 0.341 | | | | | 0.429 |
| 1970 | 0.008 | 0.058 | 0.085 | 0.105 | 0.171 | | 0.216 | 0.277 | 0.298 | 0.304 | 0.305 | 0.309 | | | | 0.376 |
| 1971 | 0.011 | 0.053 | 0.121 | 0.177 | 0.216 | 0.250 | | 0.305 | 0.333 | | 0.366 | 0.377 | 0.388 | | | |
| 1972 | 0.011 | 0.029 | 0.062 | 0.103 | 0.154 | 0.215 | 0.258 | | 0.322 | | | | | | | |
| 1973 | 0.006 | 0.053 | 0.106 | 0.161 | 0.213 | | 0.255 | | | | | | | | | |
| 1974 | 0.006 | 0.055 | 0.117 | | | 0.249 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1975 | 0.009 | 0.079 | 0.169 | 0.241 | | | 0.381 | | | | | | | | | |
| 1976 | 0.007 | 0.062 | 0.132 | 0.189 | 0.250 | | | 0.323 | | | | | | | | |
| 1977 | 0.011 | 0.091 | 0.193 | 0.316 | 0.350 | | | | 0.511 | | | | | | | |
| 1978 | 0.012 | 0.100 | 0.210 | 0.274 | 0.424 | 0.454 | | | | 0.613 | | | | | | |
| 1979 | 0.010 | 0.088 | 0.181 | 0.293 | 0.359 | 0.416 | 0.436 | | | | 0.553 | | | | | |
| 1980 | 0.012 | | | 0.266 | 0.399 | 0.449 | 0.460 | 0.485 | | | | 0.608 | | | | |
| 1981 | 0.010 | 0.082 | 0.163 | 0.196 | 0.291 | 0.341 | 0.368 | 0.380 | 0.397 | | | | | | | |
| 1982 | 0.010 | 0.087 | 0.159 | 0.256 | 0.312 | 0.378 | 0.415 | 0.435 | 0.449 | 0.448 | | | | | | |

Table 7.5.4.1. Norwegian spring spawning herring. Weight at age in the catch (kg).

| | age | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Year | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15+ |
| 1983 | 0.011 | 0.090 | 0.165 | 0.217 | 0.265 | 0.337 | 0.378 | 0.410 | 0.426 | 0.435 | 0.444 | | | | | |
| 1984 | 0.009 | 0.047 | 0.145 | 0.218 | 0.262 | 0.325 | 0.346 | 0.381 | 0.400 | 0.413 | 0.405 | 0.426 | | | | 0.415 |
| 1985 | 0.009 | 0.022 | 0.022 | 0.214 | 0.277 | 0.295 | 0.338 | 0.360 | 0.381 | 0.397 | 0.409 | 0.417 | 0.435 | | | 0.435 |
| 1986 | 0.007 | 0.077 | 0.097 | 0.055 | 0.249 | 0.294 | 0.312 | 0.352 | 0.374 | 0.398 | 0.402 | 0.401 | 0.410 | 0.410 | | 0.410 |
| 1987 | 0.010 | 0.075 | 0.091 | 0.124 | 0.173 | 0.253 | 0.232 | 0.312 | 0.328 | 0.349 | 0.353 | 0.370 | 0.385 | 0.385 | 0.385 | |
| 1988 | 0.008 | 0.062 | 0.075 | 0.124 | 0.154 | 0.194 | 0.241 | 0.265 | 0.304 | 0.305 | 0.317 | 0.308 | 0.334 | 0.334 | 0.334 | |
| 1989 | 0.010 | 0.060 | 0.204 | 0.188 | 0.264 | 0.260 | 0.282 | 0.306 | | | 0.422 | 0.364 | | | | |
| 1990 | 0.007 | | 0.102 | 0.230 | 0.239 | 0.266 | 0.305 | 0.308 | 0.376 | 0.407 | 0.412 | 0.424 | | | | |
| 1991 | | 0.015 | 0.104 | 0.208 | 0.250 | 0.288 | 0.312 | 0.316 | 0.330 | 0.344 | | | | | | |
| 1992 | 0.007 | | 0.103 | 0.191 | 0.233 | 0.304 | 0.337 | 0.365 | 0.361 | 0.371 | 0.403 | | | 0.404 | | |
| 1993 | 0.007 | | 0.106 | 0.153 | 0.243 | 0.282 | 0.320 | 0.330 | 0.365 | 0.373 | 0.379 | | | | | |
| 1994 | | | 0.102 | 0.194 | 0.239 | 0.280 | 0.317 | 0.328 | 0.356 | 0.372 | 0.390 | 0.379 | 0.399 | 0.403 | | |
| 1995 | | | 0.102 | 0.153 | 0.192 | 0.234 | 0.283 | 0.328 | 0.349 | 0.356 | 0.374 | 0.366 | 0.393 | 0.387 | | |
| 1996 | | | 0.136 | 0.136 | 0.168 | 0.206 | 0.262 | 0.309 | 0.337 | 0.366 | 0.360 | 0.361 | 0.367 | 0.379 | | |
| 1997 | | | 0.089 | 0.167 | 0.184 | 0.207 | 0.232 | 0.277 | 0.305 | 0.331 | 0.328 | 0.344 | 0.343 | 0.397 | 0.357 | |
| 1998 | | | 0.111 | 0.150 | 0.216 | 0.221 | 0.249 | 0.277 | 0.316 | 0.338 | 0.374 | 0.372 | 0.366 | 0.396 | 0.377 | 0.406 |
| 1999 | | | 0.096 | 0.173 | 0.228 | 0.262 | 0.274 | 0.292 | 0.307 | 0.335 | 0.362 | 0.371 | 0.399 | 0.396 | 0.400 | 0.404 |
| 2000 | | | 0.124 | 0.175 | 0.222 | 0.242 | 0.289 | 0.303 | 0.310 | 0.328 | 0.349 | 0.383 | 0.411 | 0.410 | 0.419 | 0.409 |
| 2001 | | | 0.105 | 0.166 | 0.214 | 0.252 | 0.268 | 0.305 | 0.308 | 0.322 | 0.337 | 0.363 | 0.353 | 0.378 | 0.400 | 0.427 |
| 2002 | | | 0.056 | 0.128 | 0.198 | 0.255 | 0.281 | 0.303 | 0.322 | 0.323 | 0.334 | 0.345 | 0.369 | 0.407 | 0.410 | 0.435 |
| 2003 | | 0.062 | 0.068 | 0.169 | 0.218 | 0.257 | 0.288 | 0.316 | 0.323 | 0.348 | 0.354 | 0.351 | 0.363 | 0.372 | 0.376 | 0.429 |
| 2004 | 0.022 | 0.066 | 0.143 | 0.18 | 0.227 | 0.26 | 0.29 | 0.323 | 0.355 | 0.375 | 0.383 | 0.399 | 0.395 | 0.405 | 0.429 | 0.439 |
| 2005 | | 0.092 | 0.106 | 0.181 | 0.235 | 0.266 | 0.290 | 0.315 | 0.344 | 0.367 | 0.384 | 0.372 | 0.384 | 0.398 | 0.402 | 0.413 |
| 2006 | | 0.055 | 0.102 | 0.171 | 0.238 | 0.268 | 0.292 | 0.311 | 0.330 | 0.365 | 0.374 | 0.376 | 0.388 | 0.396 | 0.398 | 0.407 |
| 2007 | 0.000 | 0.074 | 0.137 | 0.162 | 0.228 | 0.271 | 0.316 | 0.332 | 0.342 | 0.358 | 0.361 | 0.381 | 0.390 | 0.400 | 0.405 | 0.399 |
| 2008 | 0.000 | 0.026 | 0.106 | 0.145 | 0.209 | 0.254 | 0.296 | 0.318 | 0.341 | 0.353 | 0.363 | 0.367 | 0.395 | 0.396 | 0.386 | 0.413 |
| 2009 | 0 | 0.04 | 0.156 | 0.184 | 0.22 | 0.251 | 0.291 | 0.311 | 0.338 | 0.347 | 0.363 | 0.375 | 0.382 | 0.375 | 0.375 | 0.387 |

Table 7.5.4.1. cont. Norwegian spring spawning herring. Weight at age in the catch (kg).

| | | | | | | | | А | GE | | | | | | | |
|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| YEAR | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15+ |
| 1950 | 0.001 | 0.008 | 0.047 | 0.100 | 0.204 | 0.230 | 0.255 | 0.275 | 0.290 | 0.305 | 0.315 | 0.325 | 0.330 | 0.340 | 0.345 | 0.364 |
| 1951 | 0.001 | 0.008 | 0.047 | 0.100 | 0.204 | 0.230 | 0.255 | 0.275 | 0.290 | 0.305 | 0.315 | 0.325 | 0.330 | 0.340 | 0.345 | 0.364 |
| 1952 | 0.001 | 0.008 | 0.047 | 0.100 | 0.204 | 0.230 | 0.255 | 0.275 | 0.290 | 0.305 | 0.315 | 0.325 | 0.330 | 0.340 | 0.345 | 0.364 |
| 1953 | 0.001 | 0.008 | 0.047 | 0.100 | 0.204 | 0.230 | 0.255 | 0.275 | 0.290 | 0.305 | 0.315 | 0.325 | 0.330 | 0.340 | 0.345 | 0.364 |
| 1954 | 0.001 | 0.008 | 0.047 | 0.100 | 0.204 | 0.230 | 0.255 | 0.275 | 0.290 | 0.305 | 0.315 | 0.325 | 0.330 | 0.340 | 0.345 | 0.364 |
| 1955 | 0.001 | 0.008 | 0.047 | 0.100 | 0.195 | 0.213 | 0.260 | 0.275 | 0.290 | 0.305 | 0.315 | 0.325 | 0.330 | 0.340 | 0.345 | 0.364 |
| 1956 | 0.001 | 0.008 | 0.047 | 0.100 | 0.205 | 0.230 | 0.249 | 0.275 | 0.290 | 0.305 | 0.315 | 0.325 | 0.330 | 0.340 | 0.345 | 0.364 |
| 1957 | 0.001 | 0.008 | 0.047 | 0.100 | 0.136 | 0.228 | 0.255 | 0.262 | 0.290 | 0.305 | 0.315 | 0.325 | 0.330 | 0.340 | 0.345 | 0.364 |
| 1958 | 0.001 | 0.008 | 0.047 | 0.100 | 0.204 | 0.242 | 0.292 | 0.295 | 0.293 | 0.305 | 0.315 | 0.330 | 0.340 | 0.345 | 0.352 | 0.363 |
| 1959 | 0.001 | 0.008 | 0.047 | 0.100 | 0.204 | 0.252 | 0.260 | 0.290 | 0.300 | 0.305 | 0.315 | 0.325 | 0.330 | 0.340 | 0.345 | 0.358 |
| 1960 | 0.001 | 0.008 | 0.047 | 0.100 | 0.204 | 0.270 | 0.291 | 0.293 | 0.321 | 0.318 | 0.320 | 0.344 | 0.349 | 0.370 | 0.379 | 0.378 |
| 1961 | 0.001 | 0.008 | 0.047 | 0.100 | 0.232 | 0.250 | 0.292 | 0.302 | 0.304 | 0.323 | 0.322 | 0.321 | 0.344 | 0.357 | 0.363 | 0.368 |
| 1962 | 0.001 | 0.008 | 0.047 | 0.100 | 0.219 | 0.291 | 0.300 | 0.316 | 0.324 | 0.326 | 0.335 | 0.338 | 0.334 | 0.347 | 0.354 | 0.358 |
| 1963 | 0.001 | 0.008 | 0.047 | 0.100 | 0.185 | 0.253 | 0.294 | 0.312 | 0.329 | 0.327 | 0.334 | 0.341 | 0.349 | 0.341 | 0.358 | 0.375 |
| 1964 | 0.001 | 0.008 | 0.047 | 0.100 | 0.194 | 0.213 | 0.264 | 0.317 | 0.363 | 0.353 | 0.349 | 0.354 | 0.357 | 0.359 | 0.365 | 0.402 |
| 1965 | 0.001 | 0.008 | 0.047 | 0.100 | 0.186 | 0.199 | 0.236 | 0.260 | 0.363 | 0.350 | 0.370 | 0.360 | 0.378 | 0.387 | 0.390 | 0.394 |
| 1966 | 0.001 | 0.008 | 0.047 | 0.100 | 0.185 | 0.219 | 0.222 | 0.249 | 0.306 | 0.354 | 0.377 | 0.391 | 0.379 | 0.378 | 0.361 | 0.383 |
| 1967 | 0.001 | 0.008 | 0.047 | 0.100 | 0.180 | 0.228 | 0.269 | 0.270 | 0.294 | 0.324 | 0.420 | 0.430 | 0.366 | 0.368 | 0.433 | 0.414 |
| 1968 | 0.001 | 0.008 | 0.047 | 0.100 | 0.115 | 0.206 | 0.266 | 0.275 | 0.274 | 0.285 | 0.350 | 0.325 | 0.363 | 0.408 | 0.388 | 0.378 |
| 1969 | 0.001 | 0.008 | 0.047 | 0.100 | 0.115 | 0.145 | 0.270 | 0.300 | 0.306 | 0.308 | 0.318 | 0.340 | 0.368 | 0.360 | 0.393 | 0.397 |
| 1970 | 0.001 | 0.008 | 0.047 | 0.100 | 0.209 | 0.272 | 0.230 | 0.295 | 0.317 | 0.323 | 0.325 | 0.329 | 0.380 | 0.370 | 0.380 | 0.391 |
| 1971 | 0.001 | 0.015 | 0.080 | 0.100 | 0.190 | 0.225 | 0.250 | 0.275 | 0.290 | 0.310 | 0.325 | 0.335 | 0.345 | 0.355 | 0.365 | 0.390 |
| 1972 | 0.001 | 0.010 | 0.070 | 0.150 | 0.150 | 0.140 | 0.210 | 0.240 | 0.270 | 0.300 | 0.325 | 0.335 | 0.345 | 0.355 | 0.365 | 0.390 |
| 1973 | 0.001 | 0.010 | 0.085 | 0.170 | 0.259 | 0.342 | 0.384 | 0.409 | 0.404 | 0.461 | 0.520 | 0.534 | 0.500 | 0.500 | 0.500 | 0.500 |
| 1974 | 0.001 | 0.010 | 0.085 | 0.170 | 0.259 | 0.342 | 0.384 | 0.409 | 0.444 | 0.461 | 0.520 | 0.543 | 0.482 | 0.482 | 0.482 | 0.482 |
| 1975 | 0.001 | 0.010 | 0.085 | 0.181 | 0.259 | 0.342 | 0.384 | 0.409 | 0.444 | 0.461 | 0.520 | 0.543 | 0.482 | 0.482 | 0.482 | 0.482 |
| 1976 | 0.001 | 0.010 | 0.085 | 0.181 | 0.259 | 0.342 | 0.384 | 0.409 | 0.444 | 0.461 | 0.520 | 0.543 | 0.482 | 0.482 | 0.482 | 0.482 |
| 1977 | 0.001 | 0.010 | 0.085 | 0.181 | 0.259 | 0.343 | 0.384 | 0.409 | 0.444 | 0.461 | 0.520 | 0.543 | 0.482 | 0.482 | 0.482 | 0.482 |
| 1978 | 0.001 | 0.010 | 0.085 | 0.180 | 0.294 | 0.326 | 0.371 | 0.409 | 0.461 | 0.476 | 0.520 | 0.543 | 0.500 | 0.500 | 0.500 | 0.500 |
| 1979 | 0.001 | 0.010 | 0.085 | 0.178 | 0.232 | 0.359 | 0.385 | 0.420 | 0.444 | 0.505 | 0.520 | 0.551 | 0.500 | 0.500 | 0.500 | 0.500 |
| 1980 | 0.001 | 0.010 | 0.085 | 0.175 | 0.283 | 0.347 | 0.402 | 0.421 | 0.465 | 0.465 | 0.520 | 0.534 | 0.500 | 0.500 | 0.500 | 0.500 |
| 1981 | 0.001 | 0.010 | 0.085 | 0.170 | 0.224 | 0.336 | 0.378 | 0.387 | 0.408 | 0.397 | 0.520 | 0.543 | 0.512 | 0.512 | 0.512 | 0.512 |
| 1982 | 0.001 | 0.010 | 0.085 | 0.170 | 0.204 | 0.303 | 0.355 | 0.383 | 0.395 | 0.413 | 0.453 | 0.468 | 0.506 | 0.506 | 0.506 | 0.506 |

Table 7.5.4.2. Norwegian spring spawning herring. Weight at age in the stock (kg).

| | age | | | | - | | | | 1 | 1 | | | | | 1 | |
|----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Year | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15+ |
| 1983 | 0.001 | 0.010 | 0.085 | 0.155 | 0.249 | 0.304 | 0.368 | 0.404 | 0.424 | 0.437 | 0.436 | 0.493 | 0.495 | 0.495 | 0.495 | 0.495 |
| 1984 | 0.001 | 0.010 | 0.085 | 0.140 | 0.204 | 0.295 | 0.338 | 0.376 | 0.395 | 0.407 | 0.413 | 0.422 | 0.437 | 0.437 | 0.437 | 0.437 |
| 1985 | 0.001 | 0.010 | 0.085 | 0.148 | 0.234 | 0.265 | 0.312 | 0.346 | 0.370 | 0.395 | 0.397 | 0.428 | 0.428 | 0.428 | 0.428 | 0.428 |
| 1986 | 0.001 | 0.010 | 0.085 | 0.054 | 0.206 | 0.265 | 0.289 | 0.339 | 0.368 | 0.391 | 0.382 | 0.388 | 0.395 | 0.395 | 0.395 | 0.395 |
| 1987 | 0.001 | 0.010 | 0.055 | 0.090 | 0.143 | 0.241 | 0.279 | 0.299 | 0.316 | 0.342 | 0.343 | 0.362 | 0.376 | 0.376 | 0.376 | 0.376 |
| 1988 | 0.001 | 0.015 | 0.050 | 0.098 | 0.135 | 0.197 | 0.277 | 0.315 | 0.339 | 0.343 | 0.359 | 0.365 | 0.376 | 0.376 | 0.376 | 0.376 |
| 1989 | 0.001 | 0.015 | 0.100 | 0.154 | 0.175 | 0.209 | 0.252 | 0.305 | 0.367 | 0.377 | 0.359 | 0.395 | 0.396 | 0.396 | 0.396 | 0.396 |
| 1990 | 0.001 | 0.008 | 0.048 | 0.219 | 0.198 | 0.258 | 0.288 | 0.309 | 0.428 | 0.370 | 0.403 | 0.387 | 0.440 | 0.440 | 0.440 | 0.44 |
| 1991 | 0.001 | 0.011 | 0.037 | 0.147 | 0.210 | 0.244 | 0.300 | 0.324 | 0.336 | 0.343 | 0.382 | 0.366 | 0.425 | 0.425 | 0.425 | 0.425 |
| 1992 | 0.001 | 0.007 | 0.030 | 0.128 | 0.224 | 0.296 | 0.327 | 0.355 | 0.345 | 0.367 | 0.341 | 0.361 | 0.430 | 0.470 | 0.470 | 0.46 |
| 1993 | 0.001 | 0.008 | 0.025 | 0.081 | 0.201 | 0.265 | 0.323 | 0.354 | 0.358 | 0.381 | 0.369 | 0.396 | 0.393 | 0.374 | 0.403 | 0.4 |
| 1994 | 0.001 | 0.010 | 0.025 | 0.075 | 0.151 | 0.254 | 0.318 | 0.371 | 0.347 | 0.412 | 0.382 | 0.407 | 0.410 | 0.410 | 0.410 | 0.41 |
| 1995 | 0.001 | 0.018 | 0.025 | 0.066 | 0.138 | 0.230 | 0.296 | 0.346 | 0.388 | 0.363 | 0.409 | 0.414 | 0.422 | 0.410 | 0.410 | 0.426 |
| 1996 | 0.001 | 0.018 | 0.025 | 0.076 | 0.118 | 0.188 | 0.261 | 0.316 | 0.346 | 0.374 | 0.390 | 0.390 | 0.384 | 0.398 | 0.398 | 0.398 |
| 1997 | 0.001 | 0.018 | 0.025 | 0.096 | 0.118 | 0.174 | 0.229 | 0.286 | 0.323 | 0.370 | 0.378 | 0.386 | 0.360 | 0.393 | 0.391 | 0.391 |
| 1998 | 0.001 | 0.018 | 0.025 | 0.074 | 0.147 | 0.174 | 0.217 | 0.242 | 0.278 | 0.304 | 0.310 | 0.359 | 0.340 | 0.344 | 0.385 | 0.369 |
| 1999 | 0.001 | 0.018 | 0.025 | 0.102 | 0.150 | 0.223 | 0.240 | 0.264 | 0.283 | 0.315 | 0.345 | 0.386 | 0.386 | 0.386 | 0.382 | 0.395 |
| 2000* | 0.001 | 0.018 | 0.025 | 0.119 | 0.178 | 0.225 | 0.271 | 0.285 | 0.298 | 0.311 | 0.339 | 0.390 | 0.398 | 0.406 | 0.414 | 0.427 |
| 2001 | 0.001 | 0.018 | 0.025 | 0.075 | 0.178 | 0.238 | 0.247 | 0.296 | 0.307 | 0.314 | 0.328 | 0.351 | 0.376 | 0.406 | 0.414 | 0.425 |
| 2002 | 0.001 | 0.010 | 0.023 | 0.057 | 0.177 | 0.241 | 0.275 | 0.302 | 0.311 | 0.314 | 0.328 | 0.341 | 0.372 | 0.405 | 0.415 | 0.438 |
| 2003 | 0.001 | 0.010 | 0.055 | 0.098 | 0.159 | 0.211 | 0.272 | 0.305 | 0.292 | 0.331 | 0.337 | 0.347 | 0.356 | 0.381 | 0.414 | 0.433 |
| 2004 | 0.001 | 0.010 | 0.055 | 0.106 | 0.149 | 0.212 | 0.241 | 0.279 | 0.302 | 0.337 | 0.354 | 0.355 | 0.360 | 0.371 | 0.400 | 0.429 |
| 2005 | 0.001 | 0.010 | 0.046 | 0.112 | 0.156 | 0.234 | 0.267 | 0.295 | 0.330 | 0.363 | 0.377 | 0.414 | 0.406 | 0.308 | 0.420 | 0.452 |
| 2006 | 0.001 | 0.010 | 0.042 | 0.107 | 0.179 | 0.232 | 0.272 | 0.297 | 0.318 | 0.371 | 0.365 | 0.393 | 0.395 | 0.399 | 0.415 | 0.428 |
| 2007 | 0.001 | 0.010 | 0.036 | 0.086 | 0.155 | 0.226 | 0.265 | 0.312 | 0.310 | 0.364 | 0.384 | 0.352 | 0.386 | 0.304 | 0.420 | 0.412 |
| 2008** | 0.001 | 0.010 | 0.044 | 0.077 | 0.146 | 0.212 | 0.269 | 0.289 | 0.327 | 0.351 | 0.358 | 0.372 | 0.411 | 0.353 | 0.389 | 0.393 |
| 2009*** | 0.001 | 0.010 | 0.044 | 0.077 | 0.141 | 0.215 | 0.270 | 0.306 | 0.336 | 0.346 | 0.364 | 0.369 | 0.411 | 0.353 | 0.389 | 0.393 |
| 2010**** | 0.001 | 0.01 | 0.044 | 0.077 | 0.188 | 0.22 | 0.251 | 0.286 | 0.308 | 0.333 | 0.344 | 0.354 | 0.373 | 0.353 | 0.389 | 0.393 |

Table 7.5.4.2. cont. Norwegian spring spawning herring. Weight at age in the stock (kg).

*values in 2000 changed to values in the report from 2000.

** mean weight at ages 11 and 13 are mean of 5 previous years at the same age. These age groups were not existent in the wintering survey from which the stock weight are derived.

*** derived from catch data from the wintering area north of 69°N during December 2008 – January 2009 for age groups 4-11.

****derived from catch data from the wintering area north of 69°N during January 2010 for age groups 4-12

| | SURVEY | 4 | AGE | | |
|-------------------|--------|-------|-------|------|------|
| YEAR | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1991 | 24.3 | 5.2 | | | |
| 1992 | 32.6 | 14 | 5.7 | | |
| 1993 | 102.7 | 25.8 | 1.5 | | |
| 1994 | 6.6 | 59.2 | 18 | 1.7 | |
| 1995 | 0.5 | 7.7 | 8 | 1.1 | |
| 1996 ¹ | 0.1 | 0.25 | 1.8 | 0.6 | 0.03 |
| 1997 ² | 2.6 | 0.04 | 0.4 | 0.35 | 0.05 |
| 1998 | 9.5 | 4.7 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0 |
| 1999 | 49.5 | 4.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2000 | 105.4 | 27.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2001 | 0.3 | 7.6 | 8.8 | 0 | 0 |
| 2002 | 0.5 | 3.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2003 ³ | | | | | |
| 2004 ³ | | | | | |
| 2005 | 23.3 | 4.5 | 2.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| 2006 | 3.7 | 35.0 | 5.3 | 0.87 | 0 |
| 2007 | 2.1 | 3.7 | 12.5 | 1.9 | 0 |
| 20084 | 0.043 | 0.38 | 0.2 | 0.28 | 0 |
| 2009 | 0.19 | 0.47 | 0.67 | 0.39 | 0.41 |
| 2010 | 7.724 | 1.966 | 0.091 | 0 | 0 |

Table 7.5.7.4.1. Norwegian spring-spawning herring. Acoustic estimates (billion individuals) of immature herring in the Barents Sea in May/June. No survey in 2003, 1990-2002. See footnotes. Data in black box used. *Survey 4*.

¹ Average of Norwegian and Russian estimates

² Combination of Norwegian and Russian estimates as described in 1998 WG report, since then only Russian estimates

³ No surveys

⁴ Not a full survey

Table 7.5.7.4.2. Norwegian spring spawning herring. Estimates from the international acoustic surveys on the feeding areas in the Norwegian Sea in May. Numbers in millions. Biomass in thousands. Biomass in thousands. Data in black box are used in assessment. There have been corrections due to age readings. *Survey* 5.

| | survey | 5 | | | | | | | | | Age | | | | | | Total |
|------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|---------|
| Year | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15+ | Total | Biomass |
| 1996 | 0 | 0 | 4114 | 22461 | 13244 | 4916 | 2045 | 424 | 14 | 7 | 155 | 0 | 3134 | | | 50514 | 8532 |
| 1997 | 0 | 0 | 1169 | 3599 | 18867 | 13546 | 2473 | 1771 | 178 | 77 | 288 | 190 | 60 | 2697 | | 44915 | 9435 |
| 1998 | 24 | 1404 | 367 | 1099 | 4410 | 16378 | 10160 | 2059 | 804 | 183 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 492 | 37415 | 8004 |
| 1999 | 0 | 215 | 2191 | 322 | 965 | 3067 | 11763 | 6077 | 853 | 258 | 5 | 14 | 0 | 158 | 128 | 26016 | 6299 |
| 2000 | 0 | 157 | 1353 | 2783 | 92 | 384 | 1302 | 7194 | 5344 | 1689 | 271 | 0 | 114 | 0 | 75 | 20758 | 6001 |
| 2001 | 0 | 1540 | 8312 | 1430 | 1463 | 179 | 204 | 3215 | 5433 | 1220 | 94 | 178 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 23274 | 3937 |
| 2002 | 0 | 677 | 6343 | 9619 | 1418 | 779 | 375 | 847 | 1941 | 2500 | 1423 | 61 | 78 | 28 | 0 | 26089 | 4628 |
| 2003 | 32073 | 8115 | 6561 | 9985 | 9961 | 1499 | 732 | 146 | 228 | 1865 | 2359 | 1769 | | 287 | 0 | 75580 | 6653 |
| 2004 | 0 | 13735 | 1543 | 5227 | 12571 | 10710 | 1075 | 580 | 76 | 313 | 362 | 1294 | 1120 | 10 | 88 | 48704 | 7687 |
| 2005 | 0 | 1293 | 19679 | 1353 | 1765 | 6205 | 5371 | 651 | 388 | 139 | 262 | 526 | 1003 | 364 | 115 | 39114 | 5109 |
| 2006 | 0 | 19 | 306 | 14560 | 1396 | 2011 | 6521 | 6978 | 679 | 713 | 173 | 407 | 921 | 618 | 243 | 35545 | 9100 |
| 2007 | 0 | 411 | 2889 | 5877 | 20292 | 1260 | 1992 | 6780 | 5582 | 647 | 488 | 372 | 403 | 1048 | 1010 | 49051 | 12161 |
| 2008 | 0 | 1193 | 587 | 8332 | 8270 | 16345 | 1381 | 1920 | 3958 | 2500 | 416 | 242 | 159 | 217 | 408 | 45928 | 9996 |
| 2009 | 0 | 410 | 2316 | 2314 | 13545 | 8937 | 12025 | 1335 | 1334 | 2696 | 1488 | 208 | 175 | 65 | 232 | 47080 | 10406 |
| 2010 | 81 | 364 | 1195 | 3329 | 2156 | 8282 | 4146 | 4519 | 390 | 513 | 804 | 331 | 45 | 17 | 25 | 26857 | 5777 |

| | SURV | EY 6 | |
|------|------|------|------|
| | | Age | |
| Year | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 2000 | 14.7 | 11.5 | 0 |
| 2001 | 0.5 | 10.5 | 1.7 |
| 2002 | 1.3 | 0 | 0 |
| 2003 | 99.9 | 4.3 | 2.5 |
| 2004 | 14.3 | 36.5 | 0.9 |
| 2005 | 46.4 | 16.1 | 7.0 |
| 2006 | 1.6 | 5.5 | 1.3 |
| 2007 | 3.9 | 2.6 | 6.3 |
| 2008 | 0.03 | 1.62 | 3.99 |
| 2009 | 1.5 | 0.4 | |

Table 7.5.7.5.1. Norwegian spring-spawning herring. Acoustic estimates (billion individuals) of immature herring in the Barents Sea in August-October. Data in black boxes used in the assessment. *Survey 6*.

| | SURVEY 7 |
|------|-----------------|
| Year | ABUNDANCE INDEX |
| 1980 | 4 |
| 1981 | 3 |
| 1982 | 202 |
| 1983 | 40557 |
| 1984 | 6313 |
| 1985 | 7237 |
| 1986 | 7 |
| 1987 | 2 |
| 1988 | 8686 |
| 1989 | 4196 |
| 1990 | 9508 |
| 1991 | 81175 |
| 1992 | 37183 |
| 1993 | 61508 |
| 1994 | 14884 |
| 1995 | 1308 |
| 1996 | 57169 |
| 1997 | 45808 |
| 1998 | 79492 |
| 1999 | 15931 |
| 2000 | 49614 |
| 2001 | 844 |
| 2002 | 23354 |
| 2003 | 28579 |
| 2004 | 133350 |
| 2005 | 26332 |
| 2006 | 66819 |
| 2007 | 22481 |
| 2008 | 15727 |
| 2009 | 18916 |

Table 7.5.7.5.2. Norwegian spring-spawning herring. Abundance indices for 0-group herring since 1980 in the Bar-ents Sea, August-October. This index has been recalculated since 2006, these are the new values. Survey 7.

| | SURVEY 8 | |
|--------|----------|---------|
| Year | INDEX1 | INDEX 2 |
| 1981 | 0.3 | |
| 1982 | 0.7 | |
| 1983 | 2.5 | |
| 1984 | 1.4 | |
| 1985 | 2.3 | |
| 1986 | 1 | |
| 1987 | 1.3 | 4 |
| 1988 | 9.2 | 25.5 |
| 1989 | 13.4 | 28.7 |
| 1990 | 18.3 | 29.2 |
| 1991 | 8.6 | 23.5 |
| 1992 | 6.3 | 27.8 |
| 1993 | 24.7 | 78 |
| 1994 | 19.5 | 48.6 |
| 1995 | 18.2 | 36.3 |
| 1996 | 27.7 | 81.7 |
| 1997 | 66.6 | 147.5 |
| 1998 | 42.4 | 138.6 |
| 1999 | 19.9 | 73 |
| 2000 | 19.8 | 89.4 |
| 2001 | 40.7 | 135.9 |
| 2002 | 27.1 | 138.6 |
| 2003* | 3.7 | 18.8 |
| 2004 | 56.4 | 215.1 |
| 2005 | 73.91 | 196.7 |
| 2006 | 98.9 | 389.0 |
| 2007** | 90.6 | |
| 2008 | 107.9 | 393.3 |
| 2009 | 8.4 | 53.8 |
| 2010 | 42.7 | 140.2 |

Table 7.5.7.6.1. Norwegian Spring-spawning herring. The indices for herring larvae on the Norwegian shelf for the period 1981-2007 (N*10⁻¹²). Data in black box are used in the assessment. *Survey 8*.

Index 1. The total number of herring larvae found during the cruise.

Index 2. Back-calculated number of newly hatched larvae with 10% daily moratlity. The larval age is estimated from the duration of the yolksac stages and the size of the larvae.

* Poor weather conditions and survey was late in April

** only representative for the area 62-66°N

| | | | | | | | | AGE | | | | | | | | |
|------|---|---|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| Year | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| 1950 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1951 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1952 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1953 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1954 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1955 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1956 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1957 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1958 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1959 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 1 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1960 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1961 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 1 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1962 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1963 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1964 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1965 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1966 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1967 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1968 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1969 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1970 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1971 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1972 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1973 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1974 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1975 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1976 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1977 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1978 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1979 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1980 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1981 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1982 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1983 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1984 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1985 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1986 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1987 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1988 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1989 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1990 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1991 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1992 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1993 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1994 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1995 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1996 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1997 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1998 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1999 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Table 7.7.5.1. Norwegian Spring-spawning herring. Revised proportion mature at age.

| | | | | | | | | А | GE | | | | | | | |
|------|---|---|---|---|-----|-----|-----|---|----|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| Year | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| 2000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2001 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2002 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2003 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2004 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2005 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2006 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2007 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2008 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2009 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2010 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

| | | | | F 5-14 |
|------|----------|----------|---------|-------------|
| | RECRUITS | TOTAL | SSB | WEIGHTED BY |
| YEAR | at age 0 | BIOMASS) | (jan.1) | ABUNDANCE |
| 1986 | 12753 | 1900.7 | 336.1 | 1.015534 |
| 1987 | 10497 | 3288.8 | 377.3 | 0.28442 |
| 1988 | 25740 | 3613.0 | 2094.7 | 0.044597 |
| 1989 | 68011 | 4311.8 | 3399.5 | 0.028616 |
| 1990 | 126064 | 4886.9 | 4066.5 | 0.020226 |
| 1991 | 338119 | 5593.1 | 3995.1 | 0.021858 |
| 1992 | 386234 | 6697.4 | 4073.0 | 0.025444 |
| 1993 | 120352 | 7809.4 | 3955.4 | 0.059798 |
| 1994 | 41243 | 8986.6 | 4085.4 | 0.12482 |
| 1995 | 12401 | 9905.8 | 4120.7 | 0.20944 |
| 1996 | 53611 | 10005.8 | 4772.6 | 0.174144 |
| 1997 | 37716 | 9954.8 | 6189.5 | 0.165864 |
| 1998 | 179220 | 8698.5 | 6919.9 | 0.139601 |
| 1999 | 169179 | 9370.8 | 7155.0 | 0.167696 |
| 2000 | 72324 | 8866.3 | 6071.9 | 0.193273 |
| 2001 | 39001 | 7455.7 | 4964.9 | 0.166573 |
| 2002 | 447677 | 7485.6 | 4280.5 | 0.178057 |
| 2003 | 196362 | 9288.3 | 4699.4 | 0.141784 |
| 2004 | 284261 | 11682.2 | 5656.7 | 0.121397 |
| 2005 | 55853 | 12121.5 | 5725.8 | 0.161071 |
| 2006 | 181292 | 13188.1 | 6118.6 | 0.171727 |
| 2007 | 520693 | 13362.3 | 7075.9 | 0.128023 |
| 2008 | 140386 | 15296.4 | 8056.7 | 0.154852 |
| 2009 | | 16260.6 | 9336.1 | 0.154903 |
| 2010 | | | 9035.7 | |

Table 7.7.2.1. Norwegian spring-spawning herring. The stock summary of the exploratory TISVPA run.

| | age | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|---------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Year | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15+ |
| 1988 | 28.985 | 4.673 | 2.988 | 5.945 | 0.904 | 14.826 | 0.046 | 0.017 | 0.014 | 0.027 | 0.012 | 0.012 | 0.007 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.002 |
| 1989 | 73.561 | 11.774 | 1.898 | 1.209 | 5.058 | 0.755 | 12.250 | 0.030 | 0.011 | 0.007 | 0.010 | 0.002 | 0.007 | 0.003 | 0.002 | 0.003 |
| 1990 | 109.168 | 29.903 | 4.786 | 0.756 | 1.038 | 4.350 | 0.645 | 10.243 | 0.023 | 0.009 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.001 | 0.006 | 0.003 | 0.004 |
| 1991 | 320.794 | 44.384 | 12.157 | 1.936 | 0.633 | 0.891 | 3.733 | 0.545 | 8.606 | 0.019 | 0.006 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.000 | 0.005 | 0.004 |
| 1992 | 384.383 | 130.425 | 18.043 | 4.941 | 1.658 | 0.542 | 0.766 | 3.200 | 0.461 | 7.205 | 0.014 | 0.005 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.000 | 0.007 |
| 1993 | 121.504 | 156.277 | 53.027 | 7.335 | 4.241 | 1.397 | 0.462 | 0.658 | 2.743 | 0.391 | 5.992 | 0.010 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 0.000 | 0.006 |
| 1994 | 41.672 | 49.396 | 63.538 | 21.555 | 6.287 | 3.551 | 1.121 | 0.390 | 0.563 | 2.333 | 0.319 | 4.777 | 0.008 | 0.003 | 0.001 | 0.005 |
| 1995 | 19.595 | 16.943 | 20.083 | 25.827 | 18.522 | 5.309 | 2.719 | 0.812 | 0.321 | 0.477 | 1.974 | 0.242 | 3.513 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 0.005 |
| 1996 | 58.549 | 7.967 | 6.888 | 8.164 | 22.176 | 15.621 | 3.992 | 1.748 | 0.485 | 0.262 | 0.396 | 1.634 | 0.131 | 2.177 | 0.000 | 0.005 |
| 1997 | 42.855 | 23.804 | 3.239 | 2.781 | 6.995 | 18.425 | 11.987 | 2.563 | 1.128 | 0.321 | 0.220 | 0.334 | 1.345 | 0.096 | 1.098 | 0.004 |
| 1998 | 231.808 | 17.423 | 9.678 | 1.303 | 2.273 | 5.770 | 14.193 | 8.468 | 1.500 | 0.668 | 0.220 | 0.171 | 0.257 | 1.074 | 0.065 | 0.604 |
| 1999 | 202.951 | 94.246 | 7.084 | 3.882 | 1.056 | 1.732 | 4.624 | 10.583 | 6.116 | 0.937 | 0.454 | 0.150 | 0.124 | 0.218 | 0.820 | 0.522 |
| 2000 | 64.439 | 82.514 | 38.318 | 2.877 | 3.214 | 0.876 | 1.365 | 3.582 | 7.620 | 4.184 | 0.536 | 0.293 | 0.116 | 0.069 | 0.181 | 1.020 |
| 2001 | 40.457 | 26.199 | 33.548 | 15.570 | 2.398 | 2.246 | 0.722 | 1.072 | 2.708 | 5.353 | 2.632 | 0.260 | 0.185 | 0.084 | 0.039 | 0.891 |
| 2002 | 450.764 | 16.449 | 10.652 | 13.638 | 13.306 | 1.915 | 1.537 | 0.585 | 0.834 | 2.055 | 3.829 | 1.795 | 0.156 | 0.138 | 0.069 | 0.725 |
| 2003 | 173.095 | 183.267 | 6.688 | 4.291 | 11.554 | 10.856 | 1.411 | 1.020 | 0.476 | 0.631 | 1.524 | 2.680 | 1.230 | 0.085 | 0.107 | 0.610 |
| 2004 | 297.365 | 70.375 | 74.509 | 2.716 | 3.624 | 9.644 | 8.666 | 1.052 | 0.722 | 0.388 | 0.474 | 1.110 | 1.781 | 0.855 | 0.037 | 0.566 |
| 2005 | 48.295 | 120.899 | 28.611 | 30.265 | 2.315 | 3.033 | 7.903 | 6.796 | 0.802 | 0.494 | 0.310 | 0.359 | 0.798 | 1.160 | 0.541 | 0.098 |
| 2006 | 59.917 | 19.635 | 49.154 | 11.619 | 25.634 | 1.905 | 2.453 | 6.205 | 4.986 | 0.577 | 0.311 | 0.231 | 0.249 | 0.558 | 0.679 | 0.411 |
| 2007 | 16.716 | 24.360 | 7.982 | 19.955 | 9.931 | 21.386 | 1.564 | 1.952 | 4.667 | 3.575 | 0.415 | 0.196 | 0.171 | 0.160 | 0.356 | 0.726 |
| 2008 | 30.296 | 6.796 | 9.901 | 3.240 | 16.967 | 8.207 | 16.733 | 1.204 | 1.455 | 3.341 | 2.603 | 0.313 | 0.145 | 0.133 | 0.115 | 0.749 |
| 2009 | 68.790 | 12.317 | 2.738 | 3.947 | 2.755 | 14.094 | 6.442 | 12.272 | 0.851 | 1.014 | 2.331 | 1.897 | 0.242 | 0.091 | 0.092 | 0.568 |
| 2010 | 1.000 | 27.968 | 5.006 | 1.041 | 3.218 | 2.233 | 11.023 | 4.696 | 8.773 | 0.600 | 0.630 | 1.613 | 1.412 | 0.166 | 0.070 | 0.501 |

Table 7.7.3.1. Norwegian spring spawning herring. Stock in numbers (billions).

| | age | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|
| Year | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15+ |
| 1988 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.005 | 0.012 | 0.030 | 0.041 | 0.253 | 0.273 | 0.612 | 0.875 | 1.475 | 0.308 | 0.672 | 0.862 | 0.453 | 0.453 |
| 1989 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.021 | 0.003 | 0.001 | 0.008 | 0.029 | 0.131 | 0.083 | 0.118 | 0.458 | 0.934 | 0.106 | 0.113 | 0.167 | 0.167 |
| 1990 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.005 | 0.027 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.018 | 0.024 | 0.062 | 0.211 | 0.575 | 0.682 | 1.856 | 0.035 | 0.292 | 0.292 |
| 1991 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.002 | 0.004 | 0.018 | 0.028 | 0.157 | 0.086 | 0.039 | 0.392 | -1.000 | 0.062 | 0.062 |
| 1992 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.022 | 0.010 | 0.002 | 0.004 | 0.014 | 0.034 | 0.218 | 0.157 | 0.142 | -1.000 | 0.049 | 0.049 |
| 1993 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.004 | 0.028 | 0.070 | 0.020 | 0.006 | 0.012 | 0.053 | 0.077 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.042 | 0.042 |
| 1994 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.019 | 0.117 | 0.173 | 0.044 | 0.016 | 0.017 | 0.128 | 0.157 | 0.469 | 0.181 | 0.087 | 0.087 |
| 1995 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.020 | 0.135 | 0.292 | 0.366 | 0.054 | 0.037 | 0.039 | 0.467 | 0.328 | -1.000 | 0.133 | 0.133 |
| 1996 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.007 | 0.005 | 0.035 | 0.115 | 0.293 | 0.288 | 0.261 | 0.024 | 0.020 | 0.045 | 0.157 | 0.535 | 0.093 | 0.093 |
| 1997 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.011 | 0.052 | 0.043 | 0.111 | 0.198 | 0.386 | 0.374 | 0.229 | 0.103 | 0.111 | 0.075 | 0.242 | 0.452 | 0.452 |
| 1998 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.014 | 0.060 | 0.122 | 0.071 | 0.144 | 0.175 | 0.320 | 0.235 | 0.234 | 0.174 | 0.015 | 0.120 | 0.098 | 0.098 |
| 1999 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.039 | 0.037 | 0.088 | 0.106 | 0.179 | 0.230 | 0.408 | 0.290 | 0.110 | 0.429 | 0.036 | 0.124 | 0.124 |
| 2000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.032 | 0.208 | 0.044 | 0.092 | 0.130 | 0.203 | 0.314 | 0.573 | 0.306 | 0.165 | 0.436 | 0.149 | 0.149 |
| 2001 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.007 | 0.075 | 0.229 | 0.060 | 0.102 | 0.126 | 0.185 | 0.233 | 0.364 | 0.148 | 0.046 | 0.098 | 0.098 |
| 2002 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.009 | 0.016 | 0.054 | 0.155 | 0.260 | 0.057 | 0.129 | 0.149 | 0.207 | 0.228 | 0.457 | 0.103 | 0.115 | 0.115 |
| 2003 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.019 | 0.031 | 0.075 | 0.144 | 0.195 | 0.053 | 0.136 | 0.167 | 0.259 | 0.213 | 0.674 | 0.085 | 0.085 |
| 2004 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.010 | 0.028 | 0.049 | 0.093 | 0.121 | 0.230 | 0.077 | 0.127 | 0.180 | 0.279 | 0.308 | 1.670 | 1.670 |
| 2005 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.016 | 0.045 | 0.063 | 0.092 | 0.160 | 0.179 | 0.314 | 0.142 | 0.218 | 0.208 | 0.386 | 0.292 | 0.292 |
| 2006 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.007 | 0.031 | 0.048 | 0.078 | 0.135 | 0.183 | 0.181 | 0.311 | 0.153 | 0.289 | 0.299 | 0.256 | 0.256 |
| 2007 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.012 | 0.041 | 0.095 | 0.111 | 0.144 | 0.184 | 0.168 | 0.131 | 0.151 | 0.102 | 0.180 | 0.218 | 0.218 |
| 2008 | 0.000 | 0.009 | 0.020 | 0.012 | 0.036 | 0.092 | 0.160 | 0.197 | 0.211 | 0.210 | 0.166 | 0.108 | 0.312 | 0.214 | 0.269 | 0.269 |
| 2009 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.067 | 0.054 | 0.060 | 0.096 | 0.166 | 0.186 | 0.200 | 0.326 | 0.218 | 0.145 | 0.227 | 0.117 | 0.126 | 0.126 |

 Table 7.7.3.2.
 Norwegian spring spawning herring. Fishing mortality.

Negative fishing mortality -1 means that the fishing mortality was not defined, see TASACS manual

| | recruitment age 0 in year | total biomass | spawning stock biomass | landings | unweighted f | weighted F |
|-------|------------------------------|------------------|------------------------------|-------------|--------------|------------|
| | | million | | | 5-14 | |
| year | billions | tons | million tons | thous. tons | | 5-14 |
| 1988 | 28.985 | 3.921 | 2.115 | 135 | 0.582 | 0.046 |
| 1989 | 73.561 | 4.783 | 3.594 | 104 | 0.215 | 0.029 |
| 1990 | 109.168 | 5.445 | 4.519 | 86 | 0.376 | 0.019 |
| 1991 | 320.794 | 6.096 | 4.518 | 85 | 0.088 | 0.020 |
| 1992 | 384.383 | 7.203 | 4.657 | 104 | 0.07 | 0.023 |
| 1993 | 121.504 | 8.246 | 4.528 | 232 | 0.028 | 0.053 |
| 1994 | 41.672 | 9.323 | 4.638 | 479 | 0.139 | 0.113 |
| 1995 | 19.595 | 10.084 | 4.509 | 906 | 0.206 | 0.207 |
| 1996 | 58.549 | 10.118 | 4.934 | 1220 | 0.183 | 0.184 |
| 1997 | 42.855 | 9.977 | 6.161 | 1427 | 0.228 | 0.175 |
| 1998 | 231.808 | 8.805 | 6.877 | 1223 | 0.159 | 0.148 |
| 1999 | 202.951 | 9.812 | 7.079 | 1235 | 0.2 | 0.180 |
| 2000 | 64.439 | 9.462 | 6.115 | 1207 | 0.241 | 0.210 |
| 2001 | 40.457 | 7.941 | 5.052 | 766 | 0.159 | 0.179 |
| 2002 | 450.764 | 8.286 | 4.483 | 808 | 0.186 | 0.189 |
| 2003 | 173.095 | 10.186 | 5.235 | 790 | 0.2 | 0.130 |
| 2004 | 297.365 | 12.480 | 6.511 | 794 | 0.313 | 0.109 |
| 2005 | 48.295 | 12.811 | 6.524 | 1003 | 0.205 | 0.144 |
| 2006 | 59.917 | 13.887 | 7.022 | 969 | 0.193 | 0.148 |
| 2007 | 16.716 | 13.199 | 8.059 | 1267 | 0.148 | 0.121 |
| 2008 | 30.296 | 12.992 | 8.833 | 1546 | 0.194 | 0.153 |
| 2009* | 68.790 | 12.106 | 9.871 | 1687 | 0.181 | 0.154 |
| 2010* | | 10.286 | 8.967 | | | |

Table 7.7.3.4 Norwegian spring spawning herring. Final stock summary table.

* Recruitment value has been replaced by GM mean 1988-2006

88803

Beverton-Holt

Hockey stick

| | | | . 0 |
|---------------|-------------|-------------|-------|
| | a-parameter | b-parameter | SSQ |
| Beverton-Holt | 180805 | 6986 | 81.85 |

3957

Table 7.8.2.params. Norwegian spring spawning herring. Stock recruitment parameters used in the simulation model and their fit to the data (Skagen 2010).

81.85 81.47

| Table 7.8.2.modelparams. Norwegian spring spawning herring. Age-specific maturation pro |)b- |
|---|-----|
| abilities, exploitation patterns and weight at age in stock and in catches used in the different st | to- |
| chastic simulation scenarios. | |

| | Maturity | ogive | | Exploitation pattern Old 2009 0.00 0.00 0.05 0.00 0.04 0.87 0.05 0.26 0.18 0.29 0.41 0.47 0.67 0.84 1.03 0.93 1.10 1.01 | | ۱ Weight at a | | |
|-----|----------|-----------------|-------------------|---|------|---------------|-------|--|
| Age | historic | weak year class | Strong year class | Old | 2009 | stock | catch | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.001 | 0 | |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.052 | |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.04 | 0.87 | 0.033 | 0.115 | |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.05 | 0.26 | 0.077 | 0.159 | |
| 4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.18 | 0.29 | 0.141 | 0.225 | |
| 5 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.41 | 0.47 | 0.215 | 0.264 | |
| 6 | 1 | 1 | 0.9 | 0.67 | 0.84 | 0.27 | 0.301 | |
| 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.03 | 0.93 | 0.306 | 0.32 | |
| 8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.10 | 1.01 | 0.336 | 0.338 | |
| 9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0.81 | 1.65 | 0.346 | 0.359 | |
| 10 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.03 | 1.10 | 0.364 | 0.366 | |
| 11 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0.77 | 0.73 | 0.369 | 0.375 | |
| 12 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.42 | 1.14 | 0.411 | 0.391 | |
| 13 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.36 | 0.59 | 0.353 | 0.397 | |
| 14 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.39 | 0.56 | 0.389 | 0.396 | |
| 15 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.39 | 0.56 | 0.393 | 0.406 | |

| | | Bever | ton-Holt | | | Hock | ey stick | | |
|----------------------|----------------------|-------|----------|--------------|------------------|------|----------|--------------|------------------|
| Ogive | selection pattern | Fmsy | MSY | Risk Blim | Risk Btrigger | FMSY | MSY | Risk Blim | Risk Btrigger |
| Historical | old | 0.16 | 1120.1 | 0 | 0.026 | 0.32 | 1180.1 | 0.067 | 0.354 |
| | 2009 | 0.12 | 1071.5 | 0.006 | 0.064 | 0.2 | 1135.7 | 0.088 | 0.431 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Weak year class | old | 0.16 | 1132.8 | 0 | 0.022 | 0.32 | 1193.4 | 0.058 | 0.321 |
| | 2009 | 0.12 | 1083.4 | 0.006 | 0.051 | 0.2 | 1149.4 | 0.075 | 0.401 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Strong year class | old | 0.16 | 1093.3 | 0.002 | 0.045 | 0.26 | 1157.9 | 0.04 | 0.232 |
| | 2009 | 0.12 | 1046.4 | 0.007 | 0.086 | 0.16 | 1117.9 | 0.017 | 0.203 |

Table 7.8.2.results. Norwegian spring spawning herring. MSY and FMSY values provided by HCS model for different scenario combinations. Risk B_{lim} refers to the probability that SSB < B_{lim} in the last year (2.5 million tonnes), and Risk B_{trigger} refers to the probability that SSB < B_{trigger} (B_{trigger} = 5 million tonnes, risk calculated as risk B_{lim}).

Table 7.8.2. msy. Deterministic and stochastic estimates of *F* and biomass reference points form two stock recruit relationships and yield-per-recruit analysis for the Norwegian spring spawning herring stock (*=poorly defined).

| | Beverton-H | olt | | |
|---------------|-------------|------|------|------|
| | Fcrash | Fmsy | Bmsy | MSY |
| Deterministic | * | * | 0.25 | 1.06 |
| 50%ile | 0.52 | 0.15 | 3.11 | 0.61 |
| CV | 1.09 | 0.60 | 0.72 | 0.61 |
| | Hockey Stic | k | | |
| | Fcrash | Fmsy | Bmsy | MSY |
| Deterministic | 0.18 | 0.18 | 4.25 | 0.70 |
| 50%ile | 0.20 | 0.20 | 3.88 | 0.90 |
| CV | 0.71 | 0.69 | 0.39 | 0.49 |
| | Per recruit | | | |
| | F01 | Fmax | | |
| Deterministic | 0.23 | * | | |
| 50%ile | 0.19 | 0.77 | | |
| CV | 0.39 | 0.58 | | |

| NSSH: | VPA and acou | stic survey o | data | | | |
|----------|--------------|---------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 5 | 24 | 2 | | | | |
| 'Yearcl' | 'VPAage2' | 'Sur70' | 'Sur41' | 'Sur42' | 'sur61' | 'sur62' |
| 1986 | 2.988 | 7 | -11 | -11 | -11 | -11 |
| 1987 | 1.898 | 2 | -11 | -11 | -11 | -11 |
| 1988 | 4.786 | 8686 | -11 | -11 | -11 | -11 |
| 1989 | 12.157 | 4196 | -11 | 5.2 | -11 | -11 |
| 1990 | 18.043 | 9508 | 24.3 | 14 | -11 | -11 |
| 1991 | 53.027 | 81175 | 32.6 | 25.8 | -11 | -11 |
| 1992 | 63.538 | 37183 | 102.7 | 59.2 | -11 | -11 |
| 1993 | 20.083 | 61508 | 6.6 | 7.7 | -11 | -11 |
| 1994 | 6.888 | 14884 | 0.5 | 0.25 | -11 | -11 |
| 1995 | 3.239 | 1308 | 0.1 | 0.04 | -11 | -11 |
| 1996 | 9.678 | 57169 | 2.6 | 4.7 | -11 | -11 |
| 1997 | 7.084 | 45808 | 9.5 | 4.9 | -11 | -11 |
| 1998 | 38.318 | 79492 | 49.5 | 27.9 | -11 | 11.5 |
| 1999 | 33.548 | 15931 | -11 | 7.6 | 14.7 | 10.5 |
| 2000 | 10.652 | 49614 | 0.3 | 3.9 | 0.5 | -11 |
| 2001 | 6.688 | 844 | 0.5 | -11 | -11 | 4.3 |
| 2002 | 74.509 | 23354 | -11 | -11 | 99.9 | 36.5 |
| 2003 | 28.611 | 28579 | -11 | 4.5 | 14.3 | 16.1 |
| 2004 | 49.154 | 133350 | 23.3 | 35 | 46.4 | 5.5 |
| 2005 | 7.982 | 26332 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 1.6 | 2.6 |
| 2006 | 9.901 | 66819 | 2.1 | -11 | 3.9 | 1.62 |
| 2007 | 2.738 | 22481 | -11 | 0.47 | 0.03 | 0.4 |
| 2008 | -11 | 15727 | 0.19 | 2 | 1.5 | -11 |
| 2009 | -11 | 18916 | 7.7 | -11 | -11 | -11 |

Table 7.10.1.1. Norwegian spring spawning herring. Input file for RCT3.

Table 7.10.1.2. Norwegian spring-spawning herring. Output from RCT3

Analysis by RCT3 ver3.1 of data from file :

nsshrct3.csv

NSSH:, VPA, and, acoustic, survey, data,

Data for 5 surveys over 24 years : 1986 - 2009

Regression type = C Tapered time weighting not applied Survey weighting not applied

Final estimates shrunk towards mean Minimum S.E. for any survey taken as .20 Minimum of 3 points used for regression

Forecast/Hindcast variance correction used.

Yearclass = 2006

I-----Prediction-----I I-----Prediction------

- - T Survey/ Slope Inter- Std Rsquare No. Index Predicted Std WAP cept Error Pts Value Value Error Weights Series Sur70 .50 -1.84 1.09 .469 20 11.11 3.73 1.187 .040 .66 1.45 .50 .779 13 1.13 2.19 .566 Sur41 .175 Sur42 .21 .951 6 1.59 .75 .611 7 .96 .686 .286 1.139 .286 sur61 .52 1.97 2.80 sur62 1.40 .06 1.40 .043

VPA Mean = 2.72 .994 .057

Yearclass = 2007

I-----Prediction-----I

| Survey/ Series | Slope | Inter- cept | Std Error | Rsquare | No. Pts | Index Value | Predicted Value | Std Error | WAP Weights |
|-------------------|-------|----------------|--------------|---------|------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------|----------------|
| Sur70 | .51 | -2.01 | 1.12 | .440 | 21 | 10.02 | 3.13 | 1.212 | .052 |
| Sur41 | | | | | | | | | |
| Sur42 | .81 | 1.21 | .43 | .800 | 15 | .39 | 1.52 | .513 | .290 |
| sur61 | .57 | 1.80 | .26 | .923 | 7 | .03 | 1.82 | .389 | .504 |
| sur62 | 1.21 | .58 | .67 | .658 | 8 | .34 | .98 | 1.020 | .073 |
| | | | | | VPA | Mean = | 2.71 | .971 | .081 |

Yearclass = 2008

| | I | Re | gressi | on | I | I | Pred | iction- | I |
|---------|-------|--------|--------|---------|-----|--------|-----------|---------|---------|
| Survey/ | Slope | Inter- | Std | Rsquare | No. | Index | Predicted | Std | WAP |
| Series | | cept | Error | | Pts | Value | Value | Error | Weights |
| Sur70 | .58 | -2.71 | 1.31 | .376 | 22 | 9.66 | 2.90 | 1.407 | .033 |
| Sur41 | .65 | 1.47 | .47 | .782 | 14 | .17 | 1.58 | .557 | .211 |
| Sur42 | .83 | 1.15 | .43 | .825 | 16 | 1.10 | 2.07 | .480 | .284 |
| sur61 | .64 | 1.59 | .32 | .922 | 8 | .92 | 2.17 | .402 | .405 |
| sur62 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | VPA | Mean = | 2.64 | .993 | .066 |

Yearclass = 2009

| | I | I | Regressi | on | IIredictionI | | | | |
|---------|--------|-------|----------|---------|--------------|---------|----------|-------|---------|
| Survey/ | Slope | Inter | - Std | Rsquare | No. | Index P | redicted | Std | WAP |
| Series | | cept | Error | | Pts | Value | Value | Error | Weights |
| Sur70 | .58 | -2.71 | 1.31 | .376 | 22 | 9.85 | 3.00 | 1.407 | .099 |
| Sur41 | .65 | 1.47 | .47 | .782 | 14 | 2.16 | 2.88 | .530 | .701 |
| Sur42 | | | | | | | | | |
| sur61 | | | | | | | | | |
| sur62 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | VPA | Mean = | 2.64 | .993 | .199 |
| Year | Weight | ed | Log | Int | Ext | Var | VPA | Log | |
| Class | Avera | ige | WAP | Std | Std | Ratio | | VPA | |
| | Predic | tion | | Error | Error | | | | |
| 2006 | 1 | .4 | 2.66 | .24 | .20 | .74 | 10 | 2.39 | |
| 2007 | | б | 1.81 | .28 | .24 | .75 | 3 | 1.32 | |
| 2008 | | 7 | 2.07 | .26 | .16 | .38 | | | |
| 2009 | 1 | .7 | 2.84 | .44 | .08 | .03 | | | |

Table 7.10.1.3 Norwegian Spring-spawning herring. Input to short-term prediction.

| 2010 | | | | | | | | |
|------|-------|-----------|----------|-----------|------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Age | Stock | Natural | Maturity | Prop.of F | Prop. of M | Weight | Exploit. | Weight |
| | | | | bef. | bef. | | | |
| | size | mortality | ogive | spawn. | spawn. | in stock | pattern | in catch |
| 0 | 97200 | 0.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.001 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 1 | 36200 | 0.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.010 | 0.003 | 0.047 |
| 2 | 5005 | 0.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.044 | 0.030 | 0.133 |
| 3 | 1040 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.077 | 0.026 | 0.164 |
| 4 | 3218 | 0.15 | 0.40 | 0 | 0 | 0.188 | 0.045 | 0.219 |
| 5 | 2232 | 0.15 | 0.80 | 0 | 0 | 0.220 | 0.094 | 0.259 |
| 6 | 11022 | 0.15 | 0.90 | 0 | 0 | 0.251 | 0.146 | 0.301 |
| 7 | 4695 | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.286 | 0.176 | 0.320 |
| 8 | 8772 | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.308 | 0.198 | 0.340 |
| 9 | 600 | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.333 | 0.235 | 0.353 |
| 10 | 630 | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.344 | 0.172 | 0.362 |
| 11 | 1613 | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.354 | 0.135 | 0.374 |
| 12 | 1412 | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.373 | 0.213 | 0.389 |
| 13 | 166 | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.353 | 0.170 | 0.390 |
| 14 | 70 | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.389 | 0.204 | 0.389 |
| 15 | 501 | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.393 | 0.204 | 0.400 |

2011 and 2012

| Age | Stock | Natural | Maturity | Prop.of F | Prop. of M | Weight | Exploit. | Weight |
|-----|-------|-----------|----------|-----------|------------|----------|----------|----------|
| | | | | bef. | bef. | | | |
| | size | mortality | ogive | spawn. | spawn. | in stock | pattern | in catch |
| 0 | 97200 | 0.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.001 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 1 | | 0.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.010 | 0.003 | 0.047 |
| 2 | | 0.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.044 | 0.030 | 0.133 |
| 3 | | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.077 | 0.026 | 0.164 |
| 4 | | 0.15 | 0.40 | 0 | 0 | 0.158 | 0.045 | 0.219 |
| 5 | | 0.15 | 0.80 | 0 | 0 | 0.216 | 0.094 | 0.259 |
| 6 | | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.263 | 0.146 | 0.301 |
| 7 | | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.294 | 0.176 | 0.320 |
| 8 | | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.324 | 0.198 | 0.340 |
| 9 | | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.343 | 0.235 | 0.353 |
| 10 | | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.355 | 0.172 | 0.362 |
| 11 | | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.365 | 0.135 | 0.374 |
| 12 | | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.398 | 0.213 | 0.389 |
| 13 | | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.353 | 0.170 | 0.390 |
| 14 | | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.389 | 0.204 | 0.389 |
| 15 | | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.393 | 0.204 | 0.400 |

Table 7.10.2.1. Norwegian spring spawning herring. Short term prediction.

Basis: Landings (2010) = 1483 (=TAC); $\mathbf{F}_{w}(2010)^{11} = 0.159$; SSB(2010) = 9 million t.; SSB(2011)= 8 million t.

The fishing mortality applied according to the agreed management plan (F(management plan)) is 0.125.

| Rationale | Landings (2011) | Fmult | mult Basis | | SSB(2012) |
|----------------------|--------------------|-------|-------------------------|-------|-----------|
| Zero catch | 0 | 0 | F=0 | 0.000 | 7.7 |
| Status quo | 1199 | 1.04 | F(2009) | 0.154 | 6.6 |
| | 105 | 0.08 | F(management plan)*0.1 | 0.013 | 7.6 |
| | 260 | 0.20 | F(management plan)*0.25 | 0.031 | 7.4 |
| | 517 | 0.41 | F(management plan)*0.50 | 0.063 | 7.2 |
| Agreed management | 756 | 0.61 | F(management plan)*0.75 | 0.094 | 7.0 |
| plan | 900 | 0.73 | F(management plan)*0.90 | 0.113 | 6.8 |
| | 988 | 0.81 | F(management plan) | 0.125 | 6.8 |
| | 1088 | 0.90 | F(management plan)*1.1 | 0.138 | 6.7 |
| | 1218 | 1.01 | F(management plan)*1.25 | 0.156 | 6.6 |
| Precautionary limits | 1173 | 0.97 | F _{pa} | 0.150 | 6.6 |

Landings weights in thousand tonnes, stock biomass weights in million tonnes.

 $^{1)}$ F_w = Fishing mortality weighted by population numbers (age groups 5–14).

Shaded scenarios are not considered consistent with the precautionary approach.

Table 7.10.2. 2 Norwegian spring-spawning herring. Detailed short term prediction

TAC in 2010, F is management plan (0.125) in 2011 and 2012

| | | | | 2010 | | | | | |
|-----|------------------|-------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--------------|------------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Age | stockno 1-jan | stockno at spawntime | Biomass 1-jan | Biomass at spawntime | ssb 1-jan | ssb at spawntime | F | catch in number | catch in weight |
| 0 | 97200 | 97200 | 97 | 97 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 |
| 1 | 36200 | 36200 | 362 | 362 | 0 | 0 | 0.002 | 49.329 | 2 |
| 2 | 5005 | 5005 | 220 | 220 | 0 | 0 | 0.019 | 61.218 | 8 |
| 3 | 1040 | 1040 | 80 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 0.021 | 19.912 | 3 |
| 4 | 3218 | 3218 | 605 | 605 | 242 | 242 | 0.043 | 127.271 | 28 |
| 5 | 2232 | 2232 | 491 | 491 | 393 | 393 | 0.081 | 160.603 | 42 |
| 6 | 11022 | 11022 | 2767 | 2767 | 2490 | 2490 | 0.124 | 1199.622 | 361 |
| 7 | 4695 | 4695 | 1343 | 1343 | 1343 | 1343 | 0.168 | 676.093 | 217 |
| 8 | 8772 | 8772 | 2702 | 2702 | 2702 | 2702 | 0.196 | 1451.843 | 494 |
| 9 | 600 | 600 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 0.245 | 121.612 | 43 |
| 10 | 630 | 630 | 217 | 217 | 217 | 217 | 0.198 | 105.517 | 38 |
| 11 | 1613 | 1613 | 571 | 571 | 571 | 571 | 0.159 | 220.005 | 82 |
| 12 | 1412 | 1412 | 527 | 527 | 527 | 527 | 0.233 | 273.216 | 106 |
| 13 | 166 | 166 | 59 | 59 | 59 | 59 | 0.245 | 33.589 | 13 |
| 14 | 70 | 70 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 0.238 | 13.792 | 5 |
| 15 | 501 | 501 | 197 | 197 | 197 | 197 | 0.238 | 98.714 | 39 |
| | 174376 | 174376 | 10464 | 10464 | 8966 | 8966 | 0.159 | 4612.3 | 1483 |
| | (millions) | (millions) | (thousands) | (thousands) | (thousands) | (thousands) | (WF 5-14) | (millions) | (thousands) |

| Tabl | le 7.10.2.2 | (cont'd) |
|------|-------------|----------|
|------|-------------|----------|

| | | | | 2011 | | | | | |
|-----|------------------|-------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|--------------|---------------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| Age | stockno 1-jan | stockno at spawntime | Biomass 1-jan | Biomass at spawntime | ssb 1-jan | ssb at spawntime | F | catch in number | catch in weight |
| 0 | 97200 | 97200 | 97 | 97 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 39519 | 39519 | 395 | 395 | 0 | 0 | 0.001 | 36 | 2 |
| 2 | 14687 | 14687 | 646 | 646 | 0 | 0 | 0.013 | 121 | 16 |
| 3 | 1997 | 1997 | 154 | 154 | 0 | 0 | 0.014 | 26 | 4 |
| 4 | 877 | 877 | 139 | 139 | 56 | 56 | 0.029 | 24 | 5 |
| 5 | 2652 | 2652 | 572 | 572 | 458 | 458 | 0.054 | 130 | 34 |
| 6 | 1772 | 1772 | 467 | 467 | 467 | 467 | 0.084 | 133 | 40 |
| 7 | 8377 | 8377 | 2460 | 2460 | 2460 | 2460 | 0.113 | 834 | 267 |
| 8 | 3416 | 3416 | 1106 | 1106 | 1106 | 1106 | 0.132 | 393 | 134 |
| 9 | 6208 | 6208 | 2131 | 2131 | 2131 | 2131 | 0.165 | 880 | 310 |
| 10 | 404 | 404 | 144 | 144 | 144 | 144 | 0.134 | 47 | 17 |
| 11 | 445 | 445 | 162 | 162 | 162 | 162 | 0.107 | 42 | 16 |
| 12 | 1185 | 1185 | 472 | 472 | 472 | 472 | 0.157 | 160 | 62 |
| 13 | 963 | 963 | 340 | 340 | 340 | 340 | 0.165 | 136 | 53 |
| 14 | 112 | 112 | 44 | 44 | 44 | 44 | 0.160 | 15 | 6 |
| 15 | 387 | 387 | 152 | 152 | 152 | 152 | 0.160 | 53 | 21 |
| | 180200 | 180200 | 9480 | 9480 | 7990 | 7990 | 0.125 | 3031 | 988 |
| | (millions) | (millions) | (thousands) | (thousands) | (thousands) | (thousands) | (WF 5- 14) | (millions) | (thousan ds) |

Table 7.10.2.2 (Cont'd)

2012

| Age | stockno 1-jan | stockno at spawntime | Biomass 1-jan | Biomass at spawntime | ssb 1-jan | ssb at spawntime | F | catch in number | catch in weight |
|-----|------------------|-------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|--------------|---------------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| 0 | 97200 | 97200 | 97 | 97 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 39519 | 39519 | 395 | 395 | 0 | 0 | 0.001 | 35 | 2 |
| 2 | 16045 | 16045 | 706 | 706 | 0 | 0 | 0.012 | 128 | 17 |
| 3 | 5897 | 5897 | 454 | 454 | 0 | 0 | 0.014 | 74 | 12 |
| 4 | 1695 | 1695 | 268 | 268 | 107 | 107 | 0.028 | 44 | 10 |
| 5 | 733 | 733 | 158 | 158 | 126 | 126 | 0.052 | 35 | 9 |
| 6 | 2162 | 2162 | 569 | 569 | 569 | 569 | 0.081 | 156 | 47 |
| 7 | 1403 | 1403 | 412 | 412 | 412 | 412 | 0.109 | 135 | 43 |
| 8 | 6438 | 6438 | 2084 | 2084 | 2084 | 2084 | 0.127 | 715 | 243 |
| 9 | 2577 | 2577 | 885 | 885 | 885 | 885 | 0.159 | 353 | 125 |
| 10 | 4529 | 4529 | 1609 | 1609 | 1609 | 1609 | 0.129 | 509 | 184 |
| 11 | 304 | 304 | 111 | 111 | 111 | 111 | 0.103 | 28 | 10 |
| 12 | 344 | 344 | 137 | 137 | 137 | 137 | 0.151 | 45 | 17 |
| 13 | 872 | 872 | 308 | 308 | 308 | 308 | 0.159 | 119 | 47 |
| 14 | 703 | 703 | 273 | 273 | 273 | 273 | 0.154 | 94 | 36 |
| 15 | 366 | 366 | 144 | 144 | 144 | 144 | 0.154 | 49 | 19 |
| | 180784 | 180784 | 8611 | 8611 | 6765 | 6765 | 0.125 | 2517 | 822 |
| | (millions) | (millions) | (thousands) | (thousands) | (thousands) | (thousands) | (WF 5- 14) | (millions) | (thousan ds) |



Figure 7.3.1.1. Total reported catches of Norwegian spring-spawning herring in 2009 by ICES rectangle. Grading of the symbols: black dots less than 300 t, open squares 300–3000 t, and black squares > 3000 t.







Quarter 1

Quarter 2



Quarter 3

Quarter 4

Figure 7.3.1.2. Total reported catches of Norwegian spring-spawning herring in 2009 by quarter and ICES rectangle. Grading of the symbols: black dots less than 300 t, open squares 300–3000 t, and black squares > 3000 t.



Figure 7.4.2.1 Centre of gravity of herring during the period 1996-2010 derived from acoustic. Acoustic data from area II and III only, i.e. west of 20°E.



Figure 7.5.4.1. Norwegian spring spawning herring. Mean weight at age by age groups 3-14 in the years 1980-2009 in the catch (weight at age for zero catch numbers were omitted).



Figure 7.5.4.2. Norwegian spring-spawning herring. Mean weight at age in the stock 1981-2010.





Figure 7.5.5.1 Norwegian spring spawning herring. Comparison of the maturity ogives used in the assessment prior to 2010 (top) and the new maturity ogive used in the 2010 assessment (bottom) based on WKHERMAT (2010)



Figure 7.5.5.2 Norwegian spring spawning herring. Comparison of estimated SSB in the 2010 assessment using the old and the new (back-calculated) maturity ogive.



2008





2010

Figure 7.5.7.4.1. Norwegian Spring-Spawning herring. Schematic map of herring acoustic density (sA, m²/nm²) found during the survey in May 2008, 2009 and 2010. Note the incomplete coverage of the Barents Sea in 2008.


Figure 7.5.7.4.2. Length and age distribution of Norwegian spring spawning herring in the area in the Norwegian Sea in May 2010 (upper panel) and in 2009 (lower panel).



Figure 7.5.7.5.1. Norwegian Spring-Spawning herring. Estimated total density of herring (tonnes/nautical mile²) in August-September 2009 (left panel) and 2008 (right panel) in Barents Sea. *Survey 6.*



Figure 7.5.7.5.2. Norwegian Spring-Spawning herring. O–group surveys in August/September in the Barents Sea in 2009 (upper panel) and 2008 (lower panel). *Survey 7*.



Figure 7.5.7.6.1. Norwegian Spring-Spawning herring. Distribution of herring larvae on the Norwegian shelf in 2010 (left panel) and 2009 (right panel). The 200 m depth line is also shown. *Survey 8.*



Figure 7.5.7.7.1. Norwegian spring-spawning herring. Survey lines along the cruise tracks with pre-defined CTD stations (0-500 m) and WP2 samples (0-200 m) for M/V"Libas", M/V"Brennholm", M/V"Finni Fridur" and R/V"Arni Fridriksson", 9 July – 20 August 2010. This large ocean area included the following Economical Exclusive Zones (EEZ): Norwegian EEZ, United Kingdom EEZ, Faeroe Island EEZ, Iceland EEZ, Jan Mayen fishery protection zone, Spitzbergen protected area and International waters. *Survey 9*.



Figure 7.5.7.7.2. Norwegian spring-spawning herring. Sa or Nautical Area Scattering Coefficient (NASC) values of herring along the cruise track. *Survey 9.*



Figure 7.7.1.1. Norwegian spring spawning herring. Catch in weight (million tonnes) by age and years.



Figure 7.7.1.2. Norwegian spring spawning herring. Catch in numbers (billions) by age and years.



Figure 7.7.1.3. Norwegian spring spawning herring. Age disaggregated catch in numbers plotted on a log scale. Age is on x-axis. The labels above each figure indicate year classes. They grey lines correspond to Z=0.3.



Figure 7.7.1.4. Norwegian spring spawning herring. Age disaggregated catch in numbers plotted on a log scale. Year is on the x-axis. The labels above each figure indicate year classes. They grey lines correspond to Z=0.3.



Figure 7.7.1.5. Norwegian spring spawning herring. Age disaggregated abundance indices from the acoustic surveys in the Barents Sea in May/June. Survey 4.



Figure 7.7.1.6. Norwegian spring spawning herring. Age disaggregated abundance indices (billions) from the acoustic survey on the feeding area in the Norwegian Sea in May (survey 5) in the years 1996-2010.



Figure 7.7.1.7. Norwegian spring spawning herring. Age disaggregated abundance indices (billions) from the acoustic survey on the feeding area in the Norwegian Sea in May (survey 5) plotted on a log scale. The labels above each figure indicate year classes. The grey lines correspond to Z=0.3.



Figure 7.7.1.8. Norwegian spring spawning herring. Age disaggregated abundance indices (billions) from the acoustic survey on the feeding area in the Norwegian Sea in May (survey 5) plotted on a log scale. The labels above each figure indicate year classes. The grey lines correspond to Z=0.3.



Figure 7.7.2.1. Norwegian spring-spawning herring. Profiles of components of the TISVPA loss function for "the best choice" of exploratory runs: 0 - signal from catch-at-age alone; 1-7 - signals from "surveys" from 1 to 8 respectively (see explanation for numbering of the "surveys" in the text). Survey 8 excluded in the final run.



Figure 7.7.2.2. Norwegian spring-spawning herring. TISVPA selection matrix.



Figure 7.7.2.3. Norwegian spring-spawning herring. Comparison of the exploratory TISVPA results to the previous assessment made by this model.



Figure 7.7.2.4. Norwegian spring-spawning herring. Comparison of the exploratory TISVPA results to the previous assessment made by this model.





Figure 7.7.3.1 Norwegian spring spawning herring. Year class Ns, excluding values with zero weight.



Figure 7.7.3.1.1 Norwegian spring spawning herring. Total stock biomass from 2010 assessment using old and new maturity ogive.



Figure 7.7.3.2. Norwegian spring-spawning herring. Colours description: pink=data is outside age and year range, dark red=zero catches in surveys, white=little information about year classes, mostly noise, green=data used.



Figure 7.7.3.3. Norwegian spring-spawning herring. Residual sum of squares in the surveys separately from TASACS in 2008 and 2009.





Figure 7.7.3.4 Norwegian spring-spawning herring. VPA weighted residuals for the different surveys.



Figure 7.7.3.5. Norwegian spring-spawning herring. Standard plots from final assessment (VPA) in 2010.



Figure 7.7.4.1. Norwegian spring-spawning herring. Percentiles for spawning stock biomass (top left), mean F 5-10 (top right), SSQ (bottom left) and "Banana" -plot (bottom right) from boot-strap results for final assessment.





Figure 7.7.5.1 Norwegian spring spawning herring. Comparison of the maturity ogives used in the assessment prior to 2010 (top) and the new maturity ogive used in the 2010 assessment (bottom) based on WKHERMAT (2010)





Figure 7.11.1.1. Norwegian spring-spawning herring. Retrospective run for VPA, SSB with including (upper) and excluding (lower panel) the May survey (survey 5).







Figure 7.12.1. Norwegian spring spawning herring. Comparisons of spawning stock, weighted fishing mortality F5-14 and recruitment at age 0 with previous assessments.



Figure 7.15.1. Norwegian spring spawning herring. The mean±2SE of whole body fat content (%) during 2005-2009 (•) and in 2010 (+) as measured from herring taken to processing plants in Iceland.



Figure 7.17.1. Norwegian spring-spawning herring. Number at age (log-transformed) in the catch for the year classes 1996-2002.



Figure 7.8.2. srstoch. Stock recruitment relationship used in the simulation model. Red dots show the recruitment from data, green stars the fitted Beverton-Holt function and yellow stars the fitted hockey stick function. Figure show also in Skagen 2010 (WD, Skagen).



Figure 7.8.2.srmodeldata. Norwegian spring spawning herring. Stock-recruitment of NSSH from data (big red diamonds) and produced by the model (blue small diamonds) using Beverton-Holt recruitment function.



Figure 7.8.2.cumdist. Norwegian spring spawning herring. Cumulative probability of recruitment values of NSSH from the data (red dots) and produced by the model (small blue diamonds) using Beverton-Holt recruitment function.



Figure 7.8.2.catch. Norwegian spring spawning herring. Yield (catch) and the probability of the stock being below Blim (2.5. million tonnes) after 50 years at target F for NSSH using Beverton-Holt recruitment function. C10, C50 and C90 show the 10, 50 and 90 percentiles of catch. Risklim shows the probability of stock falling below Blim as a percentage of the model runs. For similar figure for hockey stick recruitment function see WD Skagen 2010.



Figure 7.8.2.msyBH. Norwegian spring spawning herring. The MSY for three different maturity ogives and two different fishery selection patterns with 10 and 90 percentiles using Beverton-Holt recruitment function. See text for further details.



Figure 7.8.2.msyHS. Norwegian spring spawning herring. The MSY for three different maturity ogives and two different fishery selection patterns with 10 and 90 percentiles using hockey stick recruitment function. See text for further details.



Figure 7.8.2.fmsy. Norwegian spring spawning herring. F_{MSY} for three different maturity ogives and two different fishery selection patterns with Beverton-Holt and hockey stick recruitment function. See text for further details.



Figure 7.8.2.fvalues. Norwegian spring spawning herring. Unweighted (red squares) and weighted (green triangles) average F values from the current assessment.



Figure 7.8.2. sr. Deterministic and stochastic (taking into account uncertainty in weights, selectivity and maturity at age) stock recruit relationship fits for the Norwegian spring spawning herring stock. Stock-recruit pairs are from the period 1988-2009.



Figure. 7.8.2. ypr. The yield-per-recruit (YPR) curve for the Norwegian spring spawning herring stock (left) and resulting stochastic estimates of *F* reference points (right).

8 Blue Whiting

Blue whiting (*Micromesistius poutassou*) is a small pelagic gadoid that is widely distributed in the eastern part of the North Atlantic. The highest concentrations are found along the edge of the continental shelf in areas west of the British Isles and on the Rockall Bank plateau where it occurs in large schools at depths ranging between 300 and 600 meters but is also present in almost all other management areas between the Barents Sea and the Strait of Gibraltar and west to the Irminger Sea. Adults reach maturation at 2–7 years old and undertake long annual migrations from the feeding grounds to the spawning grounds. Most of the spawning takes place between March and April, along the shelf edge and banks west of the British Isles. Juveniles are abundant in many areas, with the main nursery area believed to be the Norwegian Sea. See the stock Annex for further details on stock biology.

8.1 ICES advice in 2009

Based on the most recent estimates of SSB (in 2009) and, fishing mortality (in 2008), ICES classifies the stock as having full reproductive capacity and being harvested sustainably (F=0.29). Year classes 2005-2008 are among the lowest observed. Due to recent low recruitment, SSB has declined from its historical peak in 2003-2004 of more than 7 million tonnes to 3.6 million tonnes at the beginning of 2009, and the decline is expected to continue in the short-term.

In July 2008 a new draft management plan was proposed by the Coastal States. ICES has evaluated the draft management plan and considers it precautionary if fishing mortality in the first year should immediately be reduced to the fishing mortality that is implied by the Harvest Control Rule (see the Stock Annex for details).

8.2 The fishery in 2009

This main fisheries on blue whiting took place in the Faroese region, west of Scotland and around the Porcupine Bank (Figure 8.2.1). The multi-national fleet currently targeting blue whiting consists of several types of vessels but the bulk of the catch is caught with large pelagic trawlers. Twelve countries reported blue whiting landings in 2009. Specific details from some of these fisheries are provided below. Even though the majority of the blue whiting quotas for most national fleets is landed in the first half of the year, detailed information on the timing and location of catches in the current year are not always available by the time of the WGWIDE meeting in September.

8.2.1 Denmark

Danish landings of blue whiting in 2009 were less than 250 tonnes as the main part of the Danish quota was swapped with other species.

8.2.2 Germany

The vessels targeting blue whiting belongs to a pelagic freezer trawler fleet owned by a Dutch company and operating under the German flag. This fleet consists of four large pelagic freezer-trawlers purpose built for pelagic fisheries.

8.2.3 Faroe Islands

The Faroese pelagic fleet was reduced in 2008 and especially in 2009 as a result of poorer fishing opportunities due to a reduction in the Faroese quota of blue whiting. In 2007 there were 11 larger purse-seiners/trawlers plus three smaller vessels, but by end of 2009 only five larger vessels were left and only one smaller vessel has been operating. The fishing pattern in 2009 did not resemble the pattern of the previous years, as the fishery was greatly reduced in the summer and autumn period of the year, especially in the northern areas (i.e. northern part of the Faroe zone, Icelandic and international waters). In January the Faroese vessels follow the pre-spawning blue whiting on their migration southwards in the south-eastern part of the Faroese zone (Vb1) and north in the EU zone (VIa). The fishery then continues in the spawning area west of the Hebrides (VIa) and to a lesser extent on the Porcupine Bank (VIIc). In March some catches were taken south-west of the Hatton-Rockall Plateau in International waters (VIIc). The Faroese quota in EU is usually finished in April and the fleet then operates outside EU waters until the post-spawning blue whiting starts to enter the southern part of the Faroese area (Division Vb) in late April or early May. The fishery in the Faroese area lasted for a relatively short time period and was finished by end of May when the quota was nearly finished. No fishery on blue whiting was in operation until the end of the year when some small catches were taken of pre-spawning fish in the south-eastern part of the Faroe zone (Vb1) in December. All catches are taken with pelagic trawl.

8.2.4 Iceland

The Icelandic directed fishery started in January in the Faroese EEZ and in International waters west of the British Isles. It continued there through May with a gradual movement towards the Faroese waters. Iceland and Faroese have a bilateral agreement of mutual fishing rights for blue whiting in each others EEZs. In contrast to the years prior to 2006, almost all of the catch was taken outside of Icelandic EEZ: 71 000 tonnes in the Faroese EEZ, 49 000 tonnes in the International zone and less than 1 000 tonnes in the Icelandic EEZ.

8.2.5 Ireland

The Irish fishery for blue whiting began in February 2009 with the majority of landings taken in quarter 1 and quarter 2. A total of 8 boats took part in this fishery and reported landings of 8 775 t. This is a decline from 2008 when the Irish landings were 22 852 t. Irish landings of blue whiting have been declining since 2005. In 2009 fishing took place to the west and northwest of Ireland on spawning and post spawning aggregations. The main landings are reported from ICES area VIIc with lesser amounts reported from areas VIa and VIIb. Fishing was concentrated along the shelf-edge and in deeper waters between 300 m and 600 m.

8.2.6 Netherlands

The Dutch fleet targeting blue whiting in European waters consisted of 15 freezer trawlers in 2009 (2 pair midwater trawlers and 13 single midwater trawlers), up five from 2008. However, total catches almost halved from 2008 to 2009 (78 447t to 35 686t). In both years all the directed catches were landed almost exclusively in the first two quarters, with almost two thirds landed in the first quarter. The majority of the catches in 2009 originated from ICES Divisions VIIc (mainly first quarter) and VIa (mainly second quarter).
8.2.7 Norway

After the coastal states agreement in 2008 and quota transfers in other international agreements, the Norwegian TAC for 2009 was set to 231 973 t (up to 160 114 t could be taken in the EU zone and up to 76 514 t in the Faroese EEZ). The majority of the Norwegian catches were taken in a directed pelagic trawl fishery west of the British Isles and south of the Faroe Islands during the first half of the year. The remaining catches were mainly taken by the industrial trawl fleet (which uses both pelagic and demersal trawls) in the Norwegian deeps and Tampen area (east of $4^{\circ}W$).

8.2.8 Russia

Ten Russian trawlers started fishing for blue whiting in the southern part of the Faroese zone at the beginning of January 2009 and finished in this area in the middle of February. The fishery in the Porcupine area began in the middle of February. The majority of the trawling positions were located to the south of 54°N. This number of vessels taking part in this fishery was considerably less than in 2008 and the amount of time spent fishing in the spawning grounds also decreased. At the beginning of April the vessels returned to the Faroese area. At the end of May the majority of the trawlers moved to the international waters of the Norwegian Sea. In the north of the Faroese area the fishery began again in the middle of December. The total catch of blue whiting in 2009 was 149 650 t.

8.2.9 Spain

The Spanish blue whiting fishery is carried out mainly by bottom pair trawlers in a directed fishery (approx. one third of the fleet) and by single bottom otter trawlers in a bycatch fishery (approx. two thirds of the fleet). The fleet operates throughout the year. Small quantities are also caught by longliners. These coastal fisheries have trip durations of 1 or 2 days and catches are for human consumption. Thus, coastal landings are driven mainly by market forces, and are rather stable. The fleet operates only in Spanish waters year round and does not follow any blue whiting migration. The Spanish fleet has decreased from 279 vessels in the early 1990s to 135 vessels in 2008. Spanish landings increased slightly in 2009 having a total landing of 20 600 tonnes.

8.2.10 Portugal

Blue whiting is commonly caught as a by-catch by the Portuguese bottom-trawl fleets targeting finfish and crustaceans, which comprises around 100 vessels under 30 meters long. Some vessels of the artisanal fishing fleet also catch blue whiting as by-catch, although this is mostly discarded because it is rarely used for human consumption in Portugal and there is no market demand for industrial transformation. Recently, some vessels started targeting blue whiting for export to Spain, and landings have been fluctuating following the demand from that new market.

8.3 Data available

8.3.1 Catch data

Total catches in 2009 were provided by members of the WG. The data provided as catch by rectangle represented approximately 99% of the total WG catch in 2009. The total catch by country for the period 1988 to 2009 is presented in Table 8.3.1.1.

For the fourth consecutive year, total catch has declined, with the total catch almost halving from 2008 to 2009 (Figure 8.3.1.1 A). Total catch for 2009 was estimated to be about 0.635 million tones, the majority of this coming from the spawning area (Figure 8.3.1.1 B). The spatial and temporal allocation in catch for the period 2000–2009 are shown in Figures 8.3.1.2 and 8.3.1.3, respectively. Since 2003 there has been a shift in the location and timing of the catch. The majority of the catch is now caught further south (shifting from sub-area II towards sub-areas VI and VII) and earlier in the year (first two quarters). Catches by nations and area for 2009 are given in Table 8.3.1.2 and catches by quarter and area are presented in Table 8.3.1.3. In the first two quarters catches are taken over a broad area while later in the year catches are mainly taken further north in sub-area IIa and in the North Sea (Division IVa) and Division V. The proportion of landings originating from the Norwegian Sea has been decreasing steadily over the recent period to less than 10% of the total catch (Figure 8.3.1.1B and Table 8.3.1.4). This is accredited to the lack of juvenile fish in recent years (year classes of very poor recruitment).

8.3.1.1 Discards

Discards of blue whiting are thought to be small. Most of the blue whiting is caught in directed fisheries for reduction purposes. There are no new data on discards or bycatch in the blue whiting fishery this year. See the Stock Annex for further details.

8.3.1.2 Sampling intensity

Detailed information on the number of samples, number of fish measured, and number of fish aged by country and quarter is given in Table 8.3.1.2.1 and are presented and described by year, country and area in section 1.3.1 (Sampling Data from Commercial Fishery). In total 704 samples were collected from the fisheries in 2009. 79 400 fish were measured and 18 092 were aged. Sampled fish were not evenly distributed throughout the fisheries (Table 8.3.1.2.2). Considering the proportion of samples per catch, the most intensive sampling took place in the southern fishery of Spain and Portugal. Here one sample was taken for every 109 tonnes, followed by the mixed fishery with one sample for every 422 tonnes, and lastly the directed fishery where there was one sample for every 1 311 tonnes caught. This is an almost two-fold increase in samples per ton by the directed fishery compared to 2008. In this context it should be noted that implementation of the EU Collection of Fisheries Data, Fisheries Regulation 1639/2001, requires EU Member States to take a minimum of one sample for every 1000 t landed in their country. As can be seen, no sampling data were submitted by Denmark, Germany, France and the UK/Scotland, all with relatively small landings. Sampling intensity for age and weight of herring and blue whiting are made in proportion to landings according to CR 1639/2001 and apply to EU member states. For other countries there are no guidelines. Current precision levels of the sampling intensity are unknown and the group recommends reviewing the sampling frequency and intensity on a scientific basis and provide guidelines for sampling intensity.

8.3.1.3 Length and age compositions

Data on the combined length composition of the 2009 commercial catch by quarter of the year from the directed fisheries in the Norwegian Sea and from the stock's main spawning area were provided by the Faroes, Iceland, Ireland, the Netherlands, Norway, and Russia (Table 8.3.1.3.1). Length composition of blue whiting varied from 16 to 48 cm, with 95% of fish ranging from 20–34 cm in length. This range represents a

slight shift to shorter fish compared to the previous year. The mean length in the fishery was 28.5 cm, which is 4 mm larger than the mean length last year, and 12 mm larger than the mean length the year before. This increase in length appears to be due to a decrease in recruitment in the most recent years lowering the proportion of young fish in the population.

Length compositions of the blue whiting catch and bycatch from "mixed fisheries" in the Norwegian Sea and the North Sea and Skagerrak were presented by Norway (Table 8.3.1.3.2). Like the directed fishery, this fishery also shows an increase in the size of fish landed, but this is less marked,. The catches of blue whiting from the mixed industrial fisheries consisted of fish with lengths of 13–48 cm with 95% of fish ranging from 23-39cm. The mean length was 29.2 cm, up 27mm from last year. The Norwegian mixed fishery shows less variation in the distribution of fish length over the quarters of the year compared to the directed fishery, which shows an increase in the lower bounds in the last two quarters.

The Spanish and Portuguese data used for length distribution of catches showed a length range from 12–38 cm with 95% of fish ranging from 15-30cm (Table 8.3.1.3.3). This distribution is slightly narrower than last year. The mean length was 23.5cm, 4mm longer than the previous year. This fishery tends to catch shorter fish than the other two fisheries, with the upper bound to its length frequency lower than that of the other two fisheries.

The combined age composition for the directed fisheries in the Northern area, i.e. the spawning area and the Norwegian Sea, as well as for the bycatch of blue whiting in "other fisheries" and for landings in the Southern area, were assumed to represent the overall age composition of the total landings for the blue whiting stock. The InterCatch program was used to calculate the total international catch-at-age, and to document how it was done. The catch numbers-at-age used in the stock assessment and the mean age of the stock are given in Table 8.3.1.3.4. The calculation of mean age assigns an age of 10 to all fish in the plus group. Therefore in years of high plus group abundance the mean age could be significantly underestimated. However, the mean age of the stock has been increasing since 2001 despite an increase in plus group abundance over the same period.

Catch proportions at age plotted in Figure 8.3.1.3.1. Strong year classes can be clearly seen in the early 1980s, 1990 and the late 1990s. Poor recruitment over the recent period is clearly seen in the decreasing proportion of younger fish. Catch curves made on the basis of the international catch-at-age (Figure 8.3.1.3.2) indicate a consistent stock-decline and thereby reasonably good quality catch-at-age data, especially for year classes since 1995.

8.3.2 Information from the fishing industry

No comprehensive information has been received from the fishing industry this year.

8.3.3 Weight at age

Table 8.3.3.1 and Figure 8.3.3.1 show the mean weight-at-age for the total catch during 1983–2008 used in the stock assessment. Compared to the 2007 mean weights, the values from 2009 are higher for all ages, which indicate that the decreasing trend in mean weight for the last 10-15 years has ended. See the Stock Annex for an analysis of the change in mean weights. The weight-at-age for the stock was assumed to be the same as the weight-at-age for the catch.

8.3.4 Maturity and natural mortality

Blue whiting natural mortality and proportion of maturation-at-age is shown in Table 8.3.4.1. See the Stock Annex for further details.

8.3.5 Fisheries independent data

8.3.5.1 International Blue Whiting spawning stock survey

Background and status

The International Blue Whiting Spawning Stock Survey (IBWSSS) is carried out on the spawning grounds west of the British Isles in March-April. The survey started in 2004 and is carried out by Norway, Russia, the Faroe Islands and the EU. This international survey, allowed for broad spatial coverage of the stock as well as a relatively dense amount of trawl and hydrographical stations. The survey is coordinated by WGNAPES (ICES CM 2010/ SSGESST:20).

The International survey directly incorporates both the Norwegian and Russian spawning stock surveys that started in the early 1990s; details of these surveys can be found in previous working group documents (e.g. ICES CM 2006/ACFM:34). The integrity of the Norwegian time-series has been maintained from 1991–2006, and it was used as the major source of survey information in previous assessments. However, in 2007 the Norwegian contribution to the international survey changed, resulting in coverage of a non-standard area, and therefore a break in the time-series. The index from the Norwegian spawning stock survey time-series could therefore not be used from this year onwards.

Use of this survey in stock assessment

Indices of age 3-8 from the IBWSSS survey have been used in the assessment since 2007.

Quality of the survey

During the 2010 survey, a mismatch in temporal alignment from the pre-agreed survey plan (ICES CM2009/RMC:06, section 5.1) led to a 15 day time lag between the Russian and other participant vessels. This time lag was deemed too large to produce a single synoptic survey estimate as in previous years. As a result the survey estimate was based an estimate made up of data from the Faroes, the Netherlands, Norway and Ireland. However this led to a gap in coverage in north Porcupine and south Hebrides areas attributed to poor weather and the temporal mismatch between vessels. Information from the commercial fleet showed that they were fishing in this area at that time.

A review of the survey abundance estimate was carried out during the WGNAPES meeting and it was decided to accept the estimate as a valid extension of the survey time series. It was agreed within WGNAPES that the gap in area coverage occurred in an area of concentrated fishing effort and thus contained a high but un-quantified biomass. Mean acoustic density for the un-surveyed rectangles within the core spawning area was determined by means of interpolation from surrounding surveyed rectangles following established methods.

Uncertainties in spawning stock estimates based on bootstrapping of available data have been assessed again in 2010. At present, only one source of uncertainty is considered namely the spatio-temporal variability in acoustic recordings. In 2010 mean acoustic density the lowest observed since 2004 (Figure 8.3.5.1.1A). Relating these data to the stock estimate results show that the observed decline in biomass between 2006–2010 is more than could be expected from uncertainty arising from spatial heterogeneity alone. In other words, within the considered domain of uncertainty, the decline is statistically significant.

The International spawning stock survey shows moderately good internal consistency for certain age groups (Figure 8.3.5.1.1B). The international time-series clearly lacks sufficient data points to make a firm conclusion regarding internal consistency. The youngest ages show low consistency probably caused by very low incidence of recruits in this survey in the last years, thus making the indices of these age groups less reliable.

Results

The spawning stock biomass appears to be maintained largely by growth of individuals in the spawning stock and only to a small extent from recruitment to the spawning stock.

The distribution of acoustic backscattering densities for blue whiting for the last 4 years is shown in Figure 8.3.5.1.2. The highest concentrations of blue whiting were recorded in the Hebrides core area which remains consistent with the results from previous surveys. The blue whiting spawning stock estimates based on the international survey are given in Table 8.3.5.1.1.

The estimated total abundance of blue whiting for the 2010 international survey on the spawning grounds was 3.01 million tonnes, representing an abundance of 19.2x10⁹ individuals. The spawning stock was estimated at 2.9 million tonnes and 18.6x10⁹ individuals. In comparison to the results in 2009, there is a significant decrease (about 50%) in the observed stock biomass.

The stock in the survey area is dominated by age 6 and 7, the 2003 and 2004 year classes respectively, contributing above 50% of the spawning stock biomass.

Age and length distributions from the five last years (Figure 8.3.5.1.3) show an increase in mean age and size through the period as a result of the steep decrease in recruitment.

8.3.5.2 International ecosystem survey in the Nordic Seas

Background and status

The international ecosystem survey in the Nordic Seas is aimed at observing the pelagic ecosystem with particular focus on Norwegian spring-spawning herring and blue whiting (mainly immature fish) in the Norwegian Sea. Estimates in 2000–2010 are available both for the total survey area and for a "standardized" survey area (Figure 8.3.5.2.1). The latter is more meaningful as the survey coverage has been rather variable in the non-standard areas.

The survey is carried out in May since 1995 by the Faroes, Iceland, Norway, and Russia, and since 1997 (except 2002 and 2003) the EU. The high effort in this survey with such a broad international participation allowed for broad spatial coverage as well as a relatively dense net of trawl and hydrographic stations.

Since 2005 this survey has extended into the Barents Sea where the main focus of investigations has been young herring. Low numbers of blue whiting found in the Norwegian bottom trawl survey in this area suggest that this gap would not significantly change the estimate for blue whiting. The survey is coordinated by WGNAPES (ICES CM 2010/ SSGESST:20).

Use of this survey in stock assessment

Indices of age 1 and 2 (from the standard area) are used as a tuning time series in the assessment. Moreover, the age 1 indices are also used in the recruitment prediction.

Quality of the survey

Internal consistency within the survey's age composition shows good correlation for the early age groups 1 to 4 year olds (Figure 8.3.5.2.2).

Results for blue whiting

The total biomass of blue whiting reported during the May 2010 survey was 0.26 million tonnes, which is very low. The stock estimate in number for 2010 is 1.7 billion.

An estimate was also made from a subset of the data; namely the "standard survey area" between 8°W-20°E and north of 63°N (Figure 8.3.5.2.1). This area has been used as an indicator of the abundance of blue whiting in the Norwegian Sea because the spatial coverage in this area provides a coherent time series with adequate spatial coverage – this estimate is used as an abundance index in the assessment. However this year's estimate gave no 1 and 2 group blue whiting in this area. The age-disaggregated total stock estimate in the "standard area" is presented in Table 8.3.5.2.1, showing that the part of the stock in this index area is dominated by 6 year old blue whiting.

The observed distribution of blue whiting has decreased as compared to earlier years, in parallel with the decrease in blue whiting abundance (Figure 8.3.5.2.3). It should be noted that the spatial survey design was not intended to cover the whole blue whiting stock during this period.

The blue whiting stock estimates based on the international survey in both the standard and total survey area are given in Table 8.3.5.2.1. Age and length distributions from the last five years are shown in Figure 8.3.5.2.4.

8.3.5.3 Norwegian bottom trawl survey in the Barents Sea

Background and status

Norway has conducted bottom trawl surveys targeting cod and other demersal fish in the Barents Sea since late 1970s. From 1981 onwards there have been systematically designed surveys carried out during the winter months (usually late January-early March) by at least two Norwegian vessels. In some years the survey has been conducted in co-operation with Russia. Blue whiting are regularly caught as a bycatch species in these surveys, and have in some years been among the numerically dominant species (Heino et al., 2003). This survey has in earlier years given the first reliable indication of year class strength of blue whiting.

Most of the blue whiting catches (or samples thereof) have been measured for body length, but very few age readings are available (from 2004 onwards otoliths are systematically collected). The existing age readings suggest that virtually all blue whiting less than 19 cm in length belong to 1–group and that while some 1–group blue whiting are larger, the resulting underestimation is not significant. An abundance

index of all blue whiting and putative 1–group blue whiting from 1981 onwards is given in Table 8.3.5.3.1 and follows methods described in Heino *et al.* (2003).

1-group index for 2010 is very low (0.10), as in 2009 which were the lowest observed.

Use of this survey in blue whiting assessment

The survey provides recruitment estimates for predictions.

8.3.5.4 Other surveys

The stock Annex provides information and time series from surveys covering just a small fraction of the stock area. Data from these surveys are not used directly in the assessment.

The International Survey in Nordic Seas and adjacent waters in July-August is an expansion of the Norwegian Sea summer survey (Stock Annex), however the coverage and main focus has changed. Blue whiting is not main target, but the survey gives useful information of the stock in this period. This survey started in 2009 and was conduced for a second time in 2010.

8.4 Stock assessment

In previous years, the NPBWWG and WGWIDE used an array of models for the assessment and made a comprehensive presentation and comparison of the various model output. Based on this evaluation, the SMS assessment has been chosen as the final assessment for the last five years. This year we have done the same exercise, but with a fewer models tested, and made a less comprehensive presentation of the model results. Specification of individual models and their settings are presented in the Stock Annex.

ICES has classified the assessment this year as an update assessment, and no new methods were applied this year, but additional model options were analysed. The survey index values used in the blue whiting assessment are presented in Table 8.4.1.

8.4.1 Data exploration in SMS

The data exploration using the Stochastic Multi-Species (SMS) model (Lewy and Vinther, 2004) focussed on the uncertainties in the fishery independent data.

8.4.1.1 Sensitivity analysis, IBWSSS

The 2010 estimate from The International Blue Whiting Spawning Stock Survey (IBWSSS) is likely to be an underestimate of the SSB (see section 8.3.5.1), however this is the only survey available covering the spawning stock, and the 2010 observations are important for the assessment.

The IBWSSS provides data for the stock at the beginning of 2010, where the final year in the assessment is 2009. To use the 20010 IBWSSS data, it is assumed that the survey takes place on the 1st. January, before the stock has been subjected to any mortality.

To investigate the effect of the 2010 data the assessment was first carried out without the 2010 IBWSSS, using the time series 2004-2009. The 2009 data provide information from the stock before most of the fishery has taken place and the 2009 data is sufficient to make an assessment. In Figure 8.4.1.1 show the result from this run compared with a run using the 2010 IBWSSS (default setting). Compared to the final 2009 assessment, the 2010 run without the 2010 IBWSS estimates of SSB in 2008 are slightly lower and F is slightly higher, however the addition of the 2009 catch data does not

change much. When the 2010 IBWSSS data are used, F is estimated considerably higher in 2006-2009 and SSB considerably lower since 2003.

The residuals (Figure 8.4.1.2, upper panel) for the run without the 2010 IBWSSS show the same pattern with a clear year effect as observed last year. The survey has clearly underestimated the stock in 2008 and overestimated it in 2009. Residuals are small in general and SMS estimate a CV at 19% for all ages. When the 2010 IBWSSS data are used (Figure 8.4.1.2 lower panel) the year effect for 2008 becomes less clear, but the 2009 overestimation becomes even more pronounced. The 2010 residuals show a clear year effect, with an underestimation of the stock. Residuals are in general larger and the CV is now estimated to be 31%. Catch at age residuals from the two runs are quite similar (not shown). The SMS model without the 2010 IBWSS data has the best fit. The average negative log- likelihood contribution per type of observation is shown in the table below. Survey data obtain the best fit when the 2010 IBWSSS data are not used, however using this data gives a better fit for catch at age data.

| Configuration | Catch | Survey |
|----------------|-------|--------|
| No 2010 IBWSSS | -0.69 | -0.08 |
| All data | -0.68 | -0.05 |

A final check for any side effects of the potential underestimation of the stock from the 2010 IBWSSS data was examined by varying the *a priori* weights applied to all survey information in the SMS model. If the information from the catch and the survey data are the same, the results from the model will be insensitive to the weighting of the various data sources. The default *a prior* weighting value is 1.0 for all sources. Figure 8.4.1.3 shows there is practically no effect on F and SSB in 2009 of *a prior* weighting, but it has a small (side) effect for F in 2006-2008, indicating that the signal is not the same for the two kinds of data sources.

The retrospective analysis (Figure 8.4.1.4) using all available data shows a highly variable estimate of F and SSB, but the short retrospective window (2007-2009) shows no bias.

To conclude: The IBWSSS data from 2010 is probably an underestimate. Including the IBWSSS data from the year after the last assessment year (as normally done in the Blue Whiting assessment) gives a slightly worse model fit, a relatively high F for 2009 and a large upward shift in F for both 2007 and 2008. The group decided to continue the default used of the IBWSSS data, as there is no alternative data available and no strong arguments to reject the conclusions made by ICES WGNAPES on the best use of survey data this year.

8.4.1.2 Sensitivity analysis, the International ecosystem survey in the Nordic Sea

The International ecosystem survey in the Nordic Sea has since 2007 provided estimates of age 1 and age 2 close to zero Table 8.3.5.2.1. This should be compared with indices mainly above 20 000 for the period before 2007. Recruitment has decreased considerably, but it seems as if the survey underestimates recruitment in a period with low recruitment. This might be due to a change in density dependent distribution of juveniles, where the density in the northern part of the distribution areas becomes disproportionally low in a low recruitment regieme.

Due to the large reduction in the indices, which is not fully supported by other sources, the survey obtains a very high variance for the catchability estimate, and is therefore automatically down weighted in SMS. The effect of removing the survey from the analysis is investigated (Figure 8.4.1.5). Some of the indices are zero and can

as such not be used in the model as it assumes a log-normal error distribution. To investigate the effect of these zero values, those were replaced by the lowest observed values in the time series This sensitivity analysis (Figure 8.4.1.5) shows that the survey has practically no effect on the assessment results, even though recruitment is estimated slightly higher when the survey is excluded. CV of the recruitment in 2008 and 2009 are almost the same for the two runs and very high (~28% and ~49% for the two years). Changing the zero observations to a very low value had no effect. As this is an "update assessment" it was as agreed to include the survey in the assessment with the values as observed.

Final configuration of SMS

The final SMS configuration (see the Stock annex for details) is the same as last year. The terminal period for constant age-selection in catches was extended from 1999–2008 to 1999–2009.

Examination of the catch residuals from the final SMS run (Figure 8.4.1.6) showed no appreciable patterns, even though clusters of positive or negative residuals occur. The residuals from the survey observations (Figure 8.4.1.7) showed significant year effects in the IBWSSS and Norwegian spawning stock survey, a well-known phenomenon with acoustic surveys. There is a pronounced year effect in IBWSSS, where the survey overestimated the stock in 2009 and underestimated it in 2010. The residuals from the International Ecosystem Survey in Nordic Seas are very large and biased as explained in the previous section.

Examination of the diagnostic output from the final SMS run (Table 8.4.1.1) does not show any major causes for concern, although there is an unusual effect in the values of the survey catchabilities at age. The catchability in the Norwegian Spawning Stock Survey increases with age, and reaches at maximum at age 4. This is an unusual result, and tends to contradict the trend seen in the IBWSSS, where the catchability increases with age, even though these two surveys are quite similar in setup. A similar phenomenon was observed Norwegian spawning stock survey in the final SMS run in the 2006–2008 working groups. There is no good explanation for the result, but could simply be due to a lower (trawl) catchability of the oldest fish on the Norwegian spawning stock survey.

Compared to last year the catchability of age 5+ for the IBWSSS has increased by 29%. This shows that the low 2010 survey indices, in addition to the low stock estimated for final assessment year, affects the historical stock size as well. The effect of an "out-lier" in the time series becomes large due the shortness of the time series.

Comparison of the observed and fitted catches from the SMS runs (Figure 8.4.1.7) in combination with the catch residual plot (Figure 8.4.1.6) did not provide strong evidence that the separability assumption has been violated.

Due to the short IBWSSS time series the retrospective analysis (Figures 8.4.1.4) should only be run for the last three years. It shows a highly variable estimate of F and SSB, but the short retrospective window (2007-2009) shows no bias. With the addition of the 2009 data a higher F is estimated for the most recent years.

The comparison of the final assessment results in 2009 and the final SMS this year is presented in Figure 8.4.1.1 and is discussed in section 8.4.1.2

The final SMS run (Figure 8.4.1.9) shows a decreasing trend in fishing mortality since 2004, however F in 2009 (0.39) is more than twice as high as the target F (0.18) in the management plan. Recruitment has decreased since 2000 associated with a strong

decreasing SSB since 2004. SSB in 2010 is estimated to be below B_{lim} . Year classes since 2005 are at historic low levels.

The overall level of uncertainty of SSB and mean F is at the same level as estimated last year (Figure 8.4.1.10), but the uncertainty in the final assessment year is actually slightly lower this year.

Stock summary results with added 95% confidence limits (Figure 8.4.1.11) show that the overall decrease in F since 2000 is not really that significant. The decreases in recruitment and SSB are however very significant.

8.4.2 Data exploration in TISVPA

As in the previous assessments (2006 -2009), the "triple-separable version of the ISV-PA model (TISVPA) was used for exploratory runs. This version takes into account possible cohort-dependent peculiarities in the selection pattern that could originate from interactions of different cohorts with the fishing fleet, or by possible aging errors within a cohort or some other unrevealed reasons.

The model settings chosen were those that gave the least contradicting signals from all available data (catch-at-age and 3 surveys: Norwegian spawning acoustic (survey 1); Norwegian Sea May acoustic (survey 2), and International blue whiting spawning stock survey (survey 3)) in order to retain the meaningful input into the solution from all sources. The following settings were used:

- the "mixed" version (residuals in catch-at-age are attributed both to violations of selection pattern stability and to errors in catch-at-age data) with the condition of unbiased separable representation of fishing mortalities (more correctly - of exploitation rates)
- window for estimation of cohort-factors from age 1 to age 8
- the measure of closeness of fit for catch-at-age was the absolute median deviations (AMD) in residuals in logarithmic catch-at-age. For survey 2 the AMDs in residuals between logarithmic abundance-at-age from the survey and their model-derived values were minimized, for surveys 1 and 3 the measure of closeness of fit was sum of squared residuals in abundance-atage. Catchability coefficients were estimated for all surveys. The overall objective function was the weighted sum of the above mentioned components.

The year of the change in selection pattern was the same as in the previous assessment - 1994 (first year of the second selection pattern in the model), as corresponding to the best fit to catch-at-age data.

Profiles of the components of the model objective function with respect to SSB in 2010 are presented in Figure 8.4.2.1. All sources of data gave minima in similar positions, except survey 2, which indicates lower stock in the terminal year.

The selection pattern estimated by the TISVPA model is shown on figure 8.4.2.2.

Figure 8.4.2.3 represents the model residuals by data source.

Retrospective runs (figure 8.4.2.4) show reasonable historical stability of the results.

Figure 8.4.2.5 represents the estimates of the uncertainty in the results (conditional parametric bootstrap with respect to catch-at-age and surveys with lognormal noise with SD=0.3).

Generally speaking, the TISVPA-derived results (see figure 8.4.2.5) show a rapid decrease in stock biomass towards the historical minimum level.

8.4.3 Data exploration in XSA

Two versions of XSA configurations were explored this year. The default version uses data up to 2009, and does not make use of the 2010 IBWSSS data. In a new XSA configuration data from the IBWSS survey was back-shifted one year to allow the use of the 2010 observations. Technically the survey was assumed to have taken place at the very end of the year, such that observations for e.g. age 3 in 2010 at spawning time, are assigned to age 2 the 31 December 2009. The other XSA settings were the same as applied last year.

The results from the two configurations are similar, however SSB in 2009 is estimated slightly lower and F higher in the XSA using back-shifted IBWSS data.

For the back-shifted XSA configuration, the residuals in the NSSS-survey seem to be without trend. A year effect is however clearly seen in the residuals from the IBWSSS with overestimations for 2008 (actually 2009 observations due to the back-shifting) and underestimations in 2009 (actually 2010 observations). This pattern is also found in the results found by other models.

The IESNS plays a relatively important role in the fitting of the young age groups, but the absolute values of residuals have increased compared to last year's assessment. XSA cannot make use of the zero observation for age 1 in 2009.

The retrospective analysis (Figure 8.4.3.2) shows that the inclusion of 2009 data gives a steep increase in F for 2006-2008 and a high F in 2009.

8.4.4 Comparison of results of different assessments

Figure 8.4.4.1 presents output from the three assessment models (SMS, TISVPA and XSA-back-shifted). For all the models there is a steep decrease in recruitment from the large 2000-2002 year classes to very low recruitments of the 2005-2008 year classes. All the models estimate a large reduction in SSB since 2006 with SSB below B_{lim} at the beginning of 2010. Estimates of mean *F* for the period since 2005 are more variable between models, where SMS in general estimates the lowest F. The annual variation in F is similar for XSA and TISVPA. F in 2009 is estimated to be between 0.42 (XSA) and 0.34 (TISVPA) with SMS in between (0.40).

The retrospective runs (Figures 8.4.1.4 for SMS, 8.4.2.4 for TISVPA and 8.4.3.2 for XSA) show a steep increase in F for 2007-2008 with the addition of the 2009 data. This clearly shows that the upward revision of F and downward revision of SSB observed this year is due to the additional data this year, where the low 2010 stock estimate from IBWSSS has the greatest effect.

WGWIDE decided to use the SMS assessment results for the forecast. ICES classifies the assessment this year as an "update assessment", and in addition the WG had no strong reasons to change the method. SMS has been used for the last five years.

8.5 Final assessment

Input data are catch numbers at age (Table 8.3.1.3.4), mean weight-at-age in the stock and in the catch (Table 8.3.3.1) and natural mortality and proportion mature in Section 8.3.4. Applied survey data are presented in Table 8.4.1.

The key settings and data for the final blue whiting assessment 2006-2009 can be found in the Stock annex. The only change this year is the second separable period has been extended with 2009, so it now includes 1999-2009.

The model was run until 2009. The SSB January 1st in 2010 is estimated from survivors without taking the contribution from recruits into account. 11% of age-group 1 is assumed mature, but with the very low recruitment this omission has practically no implications. The key results are presented in Tables 8.4.1.2–8.4.1.3 and summarized in Table 8.4.1.4 and Figure 8.4.1.9 Residuals of the model fit are shown in Figures 8.4.1.6 and 8.4.1.7 and discussed in Section 8.4.1. Uncertainties of mean *F* and SSB are shown in Figure 8.4.1.10. Stock summary results with added 95% confidence limits (Figure 8.4.1.11) show that the overall decrease in F since 2000 is not really that significant. The decreases in recruitment and SSB are however very significant.

8.5.1 State of the Stock

A combination of very low year classes since 2005 and an F (around 0.4) which is twice the target F for the management plan for the last decade have led to a steep decline in SSB from its historical peak in 2003-2004. This peak in SSB was 7 million tonnes and has been reduced to 1.34 million tonnes at the beginning of 2010, which is below B_{lim}.

It is confirmed from several time series that the year classes 2005-2008 are in the very low end of the historical recruitments. Information on the 2009 year class is sparse and uncertain; however there are no indications of a high incoming recruitment. The very low recruitment in the last 5-6 years means that there is no immediate recovery for the stock even without fishery.

| Reference point | Blim | Bpa | Flim | F _{pa} | F0.1 |
|--------------------|------------|--|-------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|
| Value | 1.5 mill t | 2.25 mill. t | 0.51 | 0.32 | 0.18 |
| Basis | Bloss | B_{lim}^* exp(1.645* σ), with σ= 0.25. | Floss | Fmed | Yield per recruit (WGWIDE, 2008) |

8.6 Biological reference points

The present precautionary reference points have been introduced in the advice of ACFM in 1998. The values and their technical basis are:

 F_{max} is poorly defined. See the Stock Annex on the discussion on the validity of the reference points.

8.6.1 MSY reference points

A lot of analyses have previously been made to identify the maximum sustainable yield of blue whiting in the formulation and evaluation of the current management plan for blue whiting. The results of the work are outlined below together with a new analysis based on the 'plotMSY' software (WKFRAME 2010) to explore candidate Fmsy values.

Based on those analyses WGWIDE proposes to use the results from the management plan evaluation which suggests $F_{0.1}$ at 0.18 as a proxy for F_{MSY} and to use B_{pa} (2.25 million tonnes) as value for MSY $B_{trigger}$

8.6.1.1 MSY and management plan evaluation

A stochastic equilibrium analysis made during the Working Group established by the Blue Whiting Coastal States on Blue Whiting management strategies (*Anon.*, 2008) indicates a high risk of stock collapse with an F from approximately 0.3 and upwards given the "low recruitment" regime as observed in 1981 – 1996. F_{max} is poorly defined and a very limited increase in yield is obtained for Fs in the range 0.18 to 0.30. F_{0.1} was estimated at 0.18. Sensitivity analysis of a change in exploitation pattern showed that these conclusions are robust with respect to the choice of exploitation pattern. The group concluded that F_{0.1} at 0.18 can be used as a proxy for F_{MSY}. The group did not recommend one specific harvest control rule but concluded that if an F-based rule is applied the target F should be less than 0.3 and the spawning biomass trigger value should be greater than 2.5 million tonnes. The target F relates to the size of the trigger biomass, such that a high target F will require a large trigger biomass, implying that the F according to the harvest rule often will be set well below the target.

The stochastic evaluation mentioned above resulted in an agreed management plan, which has been approved by ICES as being precautionary. The plan uses 0.18 as target F for SSB above B_{P^a} is in accordance with high yield and low risk for the stock.

8.6.1.2 "plotMSY" analysis

Deterministic and stochastic equilibrium analyses were carried out using the 'plot-MSY' software (WKFRAME 2010) to explore candidate Fmsy values for the blue whiting stock. Stock-recruit pairs from the period 1981-2009 (without considering "high" and "low" recruitment regimes), as outputted from the most recent SMS assessment of the stock, were used together with the selectivity pattern, proportion mature at age and 5-year averages of stock and catch weight at age. Three stock recruit relationships were examined, Ricker, Beverton-Holt and the segmented regression ('smooth hockey stick'), and yield-per-recruit (YPR) analyses were also done. For the stochastic analyses, uncertainty (CVs) in the biological and fishery parameters at age were used to create alternative fits to the stock-recruit relationships (*N*=1000).

The results (Figure 8.6.1) show a very poor Beverton and Holt fit to the deterministic data, with a steep slope at the origin and an asymptote at the geometric mean recruitment level. The majority of stochastic stock-recruit model fits fall out of the range of the deterministic fit to the data, and thus it can be concluded that the stock-recruit form is unclear and not suitable for the data and the level of uncertainty associated with the parameters. The Bmsy estimated by the segmented regression fit is near to the breakpoint. As a result this estimate is close to the Fcrash value associated with this curve (dependent on the slope of the fit) and hence has a high potential risk to the stock. The uncertainty with regards to the slope at the origin makes this stock-recruit relationship fits the data best, and the median of the stochastic fits is in close agreement with the deterministic fit. However, there is a very large amount of uncertainty around the fit to the data, as can be seen in the spread of potential stochastic fits. This results in a very high CV around the estimate of Fmsy (Table 8.6.1), again making this function unsuitable as the basis of advice on the selection of Fmsy.

Given the poor fits to stock recruitment functions, a yield-per-recruit analysis was conducted (Figure 8.6.2). The stochastic analysis shows a high degree of uncertainty and a very poorly defined Fmax. This would preclude the use of Fmax as an Fmsy proxy, although F0.1 may remain a viable, safer alternative.

The structure of the stock and recruitment pairs do not lead to any clear definition of an optimum yield equilibrium fishing mortality level. Given this uncertainty it is more appropriate to select an Fmsy proxy tested by a stochastic simulation model. The current simulation-tested management plan Fpa level was determined by this means and therefore provides a more reliable estimate of an F value resulting in high long term yield with a low risk to the stock.

8.7 Short term forecast

8.7.1 Recruitment estimates

A survey-based estimate of recruitment using the standard ICES software, RCT3 was carried out. The method uses the most recent available information from the International ecosystem survey standard area index (Tables 8.3.5.2.1) and the Barents Sea bottom trawl time series (Table 8.3.5.3.1). Both recruitment indices indicate that the incoming 2008 and 2009 year classes are very weak and are orders of magnitude lower than earlier in the series.

Input to the RCT3 model is given in Table 8.7.1.1, and output in Table 8.7.1.2. There is very little additional information available regarding the strength of incoming year classes and there are no signs of good incoming recruitment. The estimates produced by RCT3 and from SMS may be unrealistically low compared to the catch data. The working group therefore made the assumption that recruitment at age 1 in 2009 and 2010 is equal to the lowest observed value in the time series which is 1.759 billion.

The text table below shows alternative recruitment assumptions. Values used in the short term prediction are underlined.

| Year class | Age in 2010 | SMS | RCT3 | GM 81-96 | Lowest Obs (08) | GM 81- 09 |
|------------|----------------|-------|-------|----------|--------------------|--------------|
| 2008 | 2 | 0.694 | 1.156 | 8.809 | <u>1.759</u> | 11.36 |
| 2009 | 1 | | 0.838 | 8.809 | <u>1.759</u> | 11.36 |
| 2010-2011 | 0 | | | 8.809 | <u>1.759</u> | 11.36 |

8.7.2 Short term forecast

Short term forecasts were conducted with the ICES standard software MFDP (Multi Fleet Deterministic Projection) version 1a and also with SMS.

Input

Table 8.7.2.1 lists the input data for the short term predictions. Mean weight at age in the stock and mean weight in the catch are the same and are calculated as three year averages (2007–2009). Selection (exploitation pattern) is based on F in 2009 from the most recent assessment, which assumes a fixed selection in the period 1999-2009. Natural mortality is assumed to be 0.2 across all ages. The proportion mature for this stock is assumed constant over the years and values are copied from the assessment

input. The expected landings in 2009 are 548,000 t which corresponds to the expected outtake.

Output

A range of predicted catch and SSB options from the short term forecast are presented in Table 8.7.2.2.

The proposed management plan has a target F of 0.18 ($F_{0.1}$) which applies once SSB is above B_{pa} on the 1st January of the year in which the TAC is to be set. The short term forecast shows that the SSB in 2011 will be below B_{pa} and also below B_{lim} . In this case the management plan states that TAC should be fixed according to an F of 0.05. This will lead to a TAC in 2011 of 40,138 tonnes.

Following the ICES MSY framework implies fishing mortality be reduced to 0.06 (35% of FMSY because SSB in 2011 is 35% of MSY Btrigger), resulting in landing of 50,719 t in 2011. This is expected to lead to an SSB of 789,822 t in 2012.

Following the transition scheme towards the ICES MSY framework implies fishing mortality be reduced to 0.16 (lower than F_{MSY}), resulting in landings of 118,457 t in 2011. This is expected to lead to an SSB of 723,252 t in 2012.

8.8 Uncertainties in assessment and forecast

The assessments presented this year should be considered as uncertain with respect to the absolute estimates of stock metrics, and certain in the conclusion on the steep decline in both SSB and recruitment in the most recent years.

Assessment results for blue whiting are highly dependent on the quality of the only survey that covers the spawning stock (IBWSSS). The stock estimate from this survey is just 50% of what the survey estimated for 2009. This reduction is crucial for the assessment result this year. As shown in section 8.4.1.1, with the use of the IBWSSS 2004-2009 time series (without the 2010 observations data) the assessment halved the estimated fishing mortality in 2009 and doubled SSB for 2010.

The precision of the IBWSSS survey is in general believed to be low (PGNAPES, ICES CM 2009/RMC:06). Two main factors are assumed to be important to the uncertainty of this cruise, namely timing and coverage. Survey timing is fixed annually to coincide with peak spawning of the stock. However, peak spawning is not determined by time but other factors including water temperature. In some years the bulk of the stock can be located further north than the central spawning area, indicating an earlier migration northwards. This earlier migration of the stock northwards can affect the precision of the estimate depending on if the bulk of the stock is contained within the survey area or not.

The mismatch in temporal and spatial coverage in 2010 has increased the uncertainties for the IBWSSS estimate for 2010. Based on the observations from 4 vessels and data interpolation for areas not covered, the SSB was estimated to 2.9 million tonnes. This is more than a 50% reduction compared to the estimate for 2009. Data from the Russian vessel were not used in the survey estimate in 2010 as the vessel participation was 2 weeks later than planned, because of the risk of double counting the population. However, the estimate from the Russian vessel alone that covered the main parts of the total survey area was 3.65 million tonnes which is 25% higher than the results from the international coverage.

Results from other surveys are not conclusive. The International ecosystem survey in the Nordic Sea done in May 2010 showed a record low stock estimate of both juve-

niles and older fish, but this survey is normally just used for estimation of juveniles. The International Survey in Nordic Seas (July-August) in 2010 has a preliminary estimate of the total stock biomass of blue whiting at 3.46 million tonnes for the area north of 62°. This is higher than the survey estimate for 2009, but as the survey has only been conducted for two years, the estimate cannot be evaluated yet.

Recruitment is determined from surveys and catches. Both sources show that the abundance of 1 year old blue whiting has decreased to a very low level in the period 2006–2010. Extremely low age-2 abundance observed in survey the following year for the same year class confirms the very low abundance of juveniles in the survey area. It is not possible to estimate the exact level of recruitment in most recent years, but there is no doubt that recruitment has been very low since 2006.

The three assessment models applied this year give a consistent picture of the state of the stock. The downward revision of the stock made this year is due to the use of 2010 survey data and the choice of the final assessment model has a very limited influence on the historical stock size and forecast results.

8.8.1 Comparison with previous assessment and forecast

Comparison of the final assessment results in 2009 and the final SMS this year (Figure 8.4.1.1 and the table below) shows that this year's assessment estimate a much higher F and a much lower SSB in the most recent years.

Text table. Comparison of the 2009 and the 2010 assessments for Recruits (millions), Spawning stock biomass (1000 tonnes) and fishing mortality.

| 2009 assessment | | | | 2010 |) assessm | ent | Ratio | 2010:20 | 009 |
|-----------------|----------|------|-------|----------|-----------|-------|----------|---------|------|
| Year | Recruits | SSB | F | Recruits | SSB | F | Recruits | SSB | F |
| 2003 | 55104 | 7352 | 0.473 | 51438 | 6836 | 0.511 | 93% | 93% | 108% |
| 2004 | 49376 | 7445 | 0.539 | 40514 | 6772 | 0.566 | 82% | 91% | 105% |
| 2005 | 27925 | 7049 | 0.429 | 22607 | 6210 | 0.478 | 81% | 88% | 112% |
| 2006 | 8127 | 7129 | 0.331 | 5635 | 5932 | 0.411 | 69% | 83% | 124% |
| 2007 | 4862 | 5995 | 0.323 | 2431 | 4631 | 0.436 | 50% | 77% | 135% |
| 2008 | 6617 | 4749 | 0.288 | 1759 | 3255 | 0.476 | 27% | 69% | 165% |
| 2009 | | 3588 | | 1759 | 2096 | 0.399 | | 58% | |

In the 2009 assessments the residuals from IBWSSS in 2009 showed that the survey overestimated the stock size for all ages in 2008, a well-known phenomenon with acoustic surveys. It was decided to fully use the information from the survey in the assessment, even though the time series was relatively short (6 years). The result of accepting the use of the IBWSSS 2009 data was an upward revision of stock by around one million tonnes. In this year's assessment we have the opposite situation. The residuals from the 2010 IBWSSS show that the survey underestimates the stock size in 2009 and still overestimates the stock in 2008. To fit the full time series, the survey catchability is increased by 29% by the assessment model, which in addition to the high F in 2009 produces a much lower historical stock size.

The most recent recruitment has also been revised downwards this year. The absolute number is still very uncertain, but the estimate this year confirms a historical low recruitment.

In 2009 ICES advised on the basis of the agreed management plan (F=0.18) that catches in 2010 should be 540 000 tonnes. This advice has been followed quite closely (TAC 548 000 tonnes). The management plan will give a TAC at 40 000 tonnes in 2011 if the present assessment is used.

8.9 Management considerations

In 2008 ICES advised a TAC of 384 000 tonnes on the basis of the precautionary approach. The TAC agreed by managers was 606 000 tonnes.

The advice from ICES to reduce F to the target F (0.18) from the management plan was followed for setting the TAC for 2010.

The downward revision of SSB from the assessment this year shows that the absolute estimate of SSB is uncertain, and highly dependent on the result from one survey measuring the population on the spawning grounds. This survey shows that the population has been halved from 2009 to 2010. This has probably not been the case, and model results show that the 2009 stock estimate was likely to be an overestimate and the 2010 an underestimate. Right now it is however not possible to quantify the bias. All model results show a very steep decline in recruitment and SSB. All available information also shows that the recruitment (age 1 fish) has been at a very low level since 2006, so there is no immediate source for rebuilding the stock. The advice in accordance with the management plan will give a TAC in 2010 at 40 000t, given an F at 0.05. The SSB in 2011 is predicted to be well below B_{lim} and an F at 0.05 will just increase SSB by 1% in one year.

8.10 Ecosystem considerations

The main spawning areas of the blue whiting are located along the shelf edge and banks west of the British Isles. The eggs and larvae can drift both towards the south and towards the north, depending on the spawning location and oceanographic conditions. The northward drift spreads the major part of the juvenile blue whiting to all warmer parts of the Norwegian Sea and adjacent areas from Iceland to the Barents Sea. Adult blue whiting carry out active feeding and spawning migrations in the same area as herring and mackerel. Blue whiting has consequently played an important role in the pelagic ecosystems of the area, both by consuming zooplankton and small fish, and by providing a food resource for larger fish and marine mammals. (PGNAPES) ICES 2009 RMC:06)

The blue whiting stock has seen an almost threefold increase in spawning stock biomass since the mid 1990s. However, in recent years spawning stock biomass has declined and there are no signs of good incoming recruitment. The early life stages have a significant influence on the reproductive success of this stock. During the spawning stock survey on blue whiting in 2009, large amounts of mackerel were observed throughout the spawning grounds. The mackerel was distributed from 60-300 meters and fed heavily on pearlsides (*Maurolicus mülleri*) (PGNAPES, ICES CM/RMC:06, 2009). The overlapping distribution of feeding mackerel on the blue whiting spawning grounds suggests a possible ecologic interaction between the two stocks, and predation from mackerel on blue whiting recruitment. In order to investigate this further, all vessels participating in the triennial mackerel and horse mackerel egg survey sampled mackerel stomachs to be sent to The Faroe Marine Research Institute to be analysed.

8.10.1 Changes in the environment

Increases in temperature and salinity have been recorded over the blue whiting distribution area in recent years. An increase in sea surface temperature (SST) was shown at several of the monitoring stations in the NE Atlantic with temperatures up 3°C since the early 1980s (ICES CM 2008/ACOM:47). Salinity has shown some fluctuations throughout the time series. In the Rockall trough salinity reached a peak in 2003 and has declined slightly since then. The same trend can be seen in the Faroes Shetland Channel. In the Norwegian Sea increases in both temperature and salinity have occurred since the mid 1990s (ICES, 2008 – Cooperative research report No 291).

Changes have occurred in large-scale hydrographic systems in the north Atlantic (the subpolar gyre, SPG). Changes in the strength of the SPG have been shown to coincide with the recent large changes observed in the blue whiting recruitment (Hátún *et al.*, 2005). The strength of the SPG might affect the spawning distribution of the blue whiting as well as the main migration pattern into feeding areas in the north.

Recent work carried out by Hátún, *et al* 2009b found that changes in the distribution of blue whiting are caused by variable stock size and by shifts in the migration pattern, and that the subpolar gyre influences this process either by:

1. Directly regulating the currents and or hydrographic conditions that will influence the migration routes

or

2. Indirectly via trophodynamics.

This work also suggests that recent advances in simulating the dynamics of the SPG may provide a potential for predicting the distribution of the main faunal zones in the north-eastern Atlantic a few years into the future. This in turn would facilitate more rational management of commercially important fish species.

8.11 Regulations and their effects

Existing TAC are based on annual agreement between the "Coastal States" EU, Norway, Iceland and the Faroe Island. No minimum landing size is associated with blue whiting.

8.11.1 Management plans and evaluations

A meeting was held in 2008 (Anon, 2008) at which a number of potential management strategies for blue whiting were examined through simulations. Following this meeting a new management plan was proposed by the Coastal States. The full text of this plan is also presented in the stock annex. ICES was requested by the coastal states to evaluate this proposed management plan and this evaluation was carried out by WGWIDE in 2008. ICES considers that this plan is precautionary if fishing mortality in the first year is immediately reduced to the fishing mortality that is implied by the harvest control rule. The reduction to F=0.18 was followed by managers for setting the 2010 TAC. The full text of the management plan is presented in the stock annex.

8.12 Benchmark workshop

The present assessment has significantly changed the perception of the blue whiting stock compared with last year's assessment due to the extension of the time series. The time series from the Survey on the spawning grounds is still rather short and has

apparently a high uncertainty on the abundance of all age-group in a given year, which has caused significant revisions of the historical stock size for the last two years. The Nordic Sea ecosystem survey seems not to cover the present distribution area for juveniles and gives probably a biased (too low) estimate of recent recruitment. In addition, it has been shown that the assessment method is sensitive to changes in model structure. This happens in a period when there is an almost total collapse in recruitment to the stock and the spawning stock is reduced below safe biological limits. On this background the working group will propose that a benchmark assessment be done for this stock. See section 9.2 for details.

8.12.1 Stock identity

In 2009 ACOM advised that a benchmark for blue whiting should be postponed until the stock structure issues are clarified. A Study Group might be created to examine the information available regarding blue whiting stock identity and to propose a way forward if further analyses or/ and research were required.

WGWIDE has considered the management implications of considering blue whiting sub-stocks as an alternative to the current single stock unit. Since the late 70s biological studies of blue whiting have shown that the stock consists of many local populations. Ideally, the best practice would be to make independent assessments of each local population, to avoid the possibility of local depletion. Independent assessments may be unrealistic, because with the present knowledge it is impossible to define an unambiguous border between local populations or stock components. This is because fish in the same area may belong to different components, depending on the season or the year. Blue whiting is a highly migratory species, and the various components experience some mixing when they spawn west of the British Isles. Information on blue whiting stock structure including various genetic studies was collated in 2008 and full details of this are presented in the stock annex.

The first assessments of blue whiting in the 80's were based on two stocks separated into a Northern and Southern Stock with the border in the Porcupine Bank. The Southern area was excluded from the assessment for many years because of the lack of catch at age data for that area. Results from an assessment of the component in the southern area showed a stock about to collapse while the fishery data were showing that catches were sustainable. This component represents less than 1% of the total biomass and corresponds mainly to a juvenile area. For the other component the assessment would have been quite the same as in the present assessment although more noisy (ICES 1994). Reducing noise was the justification for merging stock components into the so called blue whiting combined stock.

Catch-at-Age data by quarter and ICES Division are available for the period 2000 – 2010 and could provide input data to assess the stock by component. But, as outlined above fish caught in the same quarter and division may belong to different components in different quarters and/or years. This would make the allocation of catches to sub-stocks very difficult . On this basis, WGWIDE recommends that the assessment of blue whiting continues to be carried out on the combined stock.

| Country | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 |
|-----------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| Denmark | 18 941 | 26 630 | 27 052 | 15 538 | 34 356 | 41 053 | 20 456 | 12 439 | 52 101 | 26 270 | 61 523 | 64 653 | 57 686 | 53 333 | 51 279 | 82 935 | 89 500 | 41 450 | 56 979 | 48 659 | 18 134 | 248 |
| Estonia | | | | | 6 156 | 1 033 | 4 342 | 7 754 | 10 982 | 5 678 | 6 320 | | | | | | ** | | | | | |
| Faroes | 79 831 | 75 083 | 48 686 | 10 563 | 13 436 | 16 506 | 24 342 | 26 009 | 24 671 | 28 546 | 71 218 | 105 006 | 147 991 | 259 761 | 205 421 | 329 895 | 322 322 | 266 799 | 321 013 | 317 859 | 225 003 | 58354 |
| France | | 2 191 | | | | 1 195 | | 720 | 6 442 | 12 446 | 7 984 | 6 662 | 13 481 | 13 480 | 14 688 | 14 149 | | 8 046 | 18 009 | 16 638 | 11 723 | 8831 |
| Germany | 5 546 | 5 417 | 1 699 | 349 | 1 332 | 100 | 2 | 6 313 | 6 876 | 4 724 | 17 969 | 3 170 | 12 655 | 19 060 | 17 050 | 22 803 | 15 293 | 22 823 | 36 437 | 34 404 | 25 259 | 5044 |
| Iceland | | 4 977 | | | | | | 369 | 302 | 10 464 | 68 681 | 160 430 | 260 857 | 365 101 | 287 336 | 501 493 | 379 643 | 265 516 | 309 508 | 236 538 | 159 307 | 120202 |
| Ireland | 4 646 | 2 014 | | | 781 | | 3 | 222 | 1 709 | 25 785 | 45 635 | 35 240 | 25 200 | 29 854 | 17 825 | 22 580 | 75 393 | 73 488 | 54 910 | 31 132 | 22 852 | 8776 |
| Japan | | | | | 918 | 1 742 | 2 574 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Latvia | | | | | 10 742 | 10 626 | 2 582 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lithuania | | | | | | 2 046 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 4 635 | 9 812 | 5 338 | |
| Netherlands | 800 | 2 078 | 7 750 | 17 369 | 11 036 | 18 482 | 21 076 | 26 775 | 17 669 | 24 469 | 27 957 | 35 843 | 46 128 | 73 595 | 37 529 | 45 832 | 95 311 | 147 783 | 102 711 | 79 875 | 78 684 | 35686 |
| Norway | 233 314 | 301 342 | 310 938 | 137 610 | 181 622 | 211 489 | 229 643 | 339 837 | 394 950 | 347 311 | 560 568 | 528 797 | 533 280 | 573 311 | 571 479 | 834 540 | 957 684 | 738 490 | 642 451 | 539 587 | 418 289 | 225995 |
| Poland | 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Portugal | 5 979 | 3 557 | 2 864 | 2 813 | 4 928 | 1 236 | 1 350 | 2 285 | 3 561 | 2 439 | 1 900 | 2 625 | 2 0 3 2 | 1 746 | 1 659 | 2 651 | 3 937 | 5 190 | 5 323 | 3 897 | 4 220 | 2043 |
| Spain | 24 847 | 30 108 | 29 490 | 29 180 | 23 794 | 31 020 | 28 118 | 25 379 | 21 538 | 27 683 | 27 490 | 23 777 | 22 622 | 23 218 | 17 506 | 13 825 | 15 612 | 17 643 | 15 173 | 13 557 | 14 342 | 20637 |
| Sweden *** | 1 229 | 3 062 | 1 503 | 1 000 | 2 058 | 2 867 | 3 675 | 13 000 | 4 000 | 4 568 | 9 299 | 12 993 | 3 319 | 2 086 | 18 549 | 65 532 | 19 083 | 2 960 | 101 | 464 | | |
| UK / Scotland | 5 183 | 8 056 | 6 019 | 3 876 | 6 867 | 2 284 | 4 470 | 10 583 | 14 326 | 33 398 | 92 383 | 98 853 | 42 478 | 50 147 | 26 403 | 27 382 | 57 028 | 104 539 | 72 106 | 43 540 | 38 150 | 173 |
| USSR / Russia * | 177 521 | 162 932 | 125 609 | 151 226 | 177 000 | 139 000 | 116 781 | 107 220 | 86 855 | 118 656 | 130 042 | 178 179 | 245 198 | 315 478 | 290 068 | 355 319 | 346 762 | 332 226 | 329 100 | 236 369 | 225 163 | 149650 |
| TOTAL | 557 847 | 627 447 | 561 610 | 369 524 | 475 026 | 480 679 | 459 414 | 578 905 | 645 982 | 672 437 | 1 128 969 | 1 256 228 | 1 412 927 | 1 780 170 | 1 556 792 | 2 318 935 | 2 377 568 | 2 026 953 | 1 968 456 | 1 612 330 | 1 246 465 | 635 639 |

Table 8.3.1.1. Blue whiting landings (tonnes) by country for the period 1988–2009, as estimated by the Working Group.

* From 1992 only Russia

** Reported to the EU but not to the ICES WGNPBW. (Landings of 19,467 tonnes)

*** Imprecise estimates for Sweden: reported catch of 34265 t in 1993 is replaced by the mean of 1992 and 1994, i.e. 2,867 t, and used in the assessment.

| | $\overline{\ }$ | Den Isla | R. | $\overline{\ }$ | \sum | $\overline{\ }$ | | Ver | $\overline{\ }$ | \sum | $\overline{\ }$ | $\overline{\ }$ | (A) | Gra |
|---------|-----------------|------------------------------|---------|-----------------|----------|-----------------|----------|---------|-----------------|---------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|---------|
| | | ADD ADD | 's troc | Fran | Germa | Icela | Irela | cherlan | Vorm | Porting | Rus | Siz | Scotta | and For |
| Area | <u> </u> | $\underline{\hspace{1.5cm}}$ | | YCe \ | the last | 1001 | - 'na \ | 13 | | 631 | 34 | - ain | <u> </u> | |
| IIa | | 20 | 1 411 | | | 498 | | 33 | 2 346 | | 41 589 | | 18 | 45 915 |
| IIb | | | | | | | <u> </u> | | | | 271 | | | 271 |
| IIIa | | 117 | | | | | | | 14 | | | | | 131 |
| IVa | | 106 | 221 | | | 312 | | | 16 334 | | 5 2 2 8 | | 33 | 22 234 |
| IVb | | 5 | | | | | | | 17 | | | | | 22 |
| Va | | | | | | 433 | | | | | | | | 433 |
| Vb | | | 16 427 | 2 296 | | 40 432 | | 101 | 1 623 | | 54 577 | | | 115 456 |
| VIa | | | 27 727 | | 2 5 5 7 | 33 679 | 3 836 | 12 149 | 123 351 | | 15 099 | | 116 | 218 514 |
| VIb | | | 1 924 | | | 34 166 | | 203 | 17 320 | | 20 509 | | | 74 122 |
| VIIb | | | | | | | 355 | | | | | | | 355 |
| VIIc | | | 3 937 | 4 670 | 2 4 56 | 7 065 | 4 585 | 23 195 | 58 904 | | 6 198 | | | 111 010 |
| VIIg | | | | | | | | | | | 1 692 | | | 1 692 |
| VIIIa | | | | 1 865 | | | | 3 | | | | | | 1 868 |
| VIIIc | | | | | | | | | | | | 20 637 | | 20 637 |
| VIIj | | | | | 31 | | | 2 | | | | | 6 | 39 |
| VIIk | | | | | | 562 | | | 5 786 | | | | | 6 348 |
| IXa* | | | | | | | | | | 2 043 | | | | 2 043 |
| XII | | | 6 707 | | | 3 045 | | | 300 | | 4 487 | | | 14 539 |
| XIVa | | | | | | 10 | | | | | | | | 10 |
| Grand 7 | Fotal | 248 | 58 354 | 8 831 | 5 044 | 120 202 | 8 776 | 35 686 | 225 995 | 2 043 | 149 650 | 20 637 | 173 | 635 639 |

Table 8.3.1.2. Blue whiting total landings by country and area for 2009 in tonnes, as estimated by the Working Group.

* Note: the value for IXa is summed across CN, CS and S subdivisions of this area.

| Area | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Grand Total |
|-------|---------|---------|--------|--------|-------------|
| IIa | 618 | 25 038 | 14 047 | 6 212 | 45 915 |
| IIb | | | 253 | 18 | 271 |
| IIIa | 9 | | 122 | | 131 |
| IVa | 3 984 | 12 979 | 2 725 | 2 546 | 22 234 |
| IVb | | 15 | 5 | 2 | 22 |
| Va | | | 308 | 125 | 433 |
| Vb | 25 617 | 82 397 | | 7 442 | 115 456 |
| VIa | 45 724 | 171 497 | | 1 293 | 218 514 |
| VIb | 67 700 | 6 420 | 2 | | 74 122 |
| VIIb | 355 | | | | 355 |
| VIIc | 109 807 | 1 203 | | | 111 010 |
| VIIg | 1 692 | | | | 1 692 |
| VIIIa | | | 2 | 1 866 | 1 868 |
| VIIIc | 4 151 | 7 479 | 6 312 | 2 695 | 20 637 |
| VIIj | 6 | 21 | 10 | 2 | 39 |
| VIIk | 6 348 | | | | 6 348 |
| IXa | 444 | 782 | 709 | 108 | 2 043 |
| XII | 14 539 | | | | 14 539 |
| XIVa | | | 10 | | 10 |
| Total | 280 994 | 307 831 | 24 505 | 22 309 | 635 639 |

Table 8.3.1.3. Blue whiting total landings of by quarter and area for 2009 in tonnes, as estimated by the Working Group.

 Table 8.3.1.2.1. Sampling intensity for blue whiting from the commercial catches by fishery in 2009.

| Quarter | Fisheries | Directed | Mixed* | Southern | Total |
|--------------------|-----------|----------|--------|----------|---------|
| | No. of | | | | |
| 1 | samples | 124 | 0 | 44 | 168 |
| | WG Catch | 275 864 | 535 | 4 595 | 280 459 |
| | No. of | | | | |
| 2 | samples | 280 | 41 | 84 | 364 |
| | WG Catch | 286 774 | 12796 | 8 261 | 295 035 |
| | No. of | | | | |
| 3 | samples | 42 | 0 | 48 | 90 |
| | WG Catch | 14 423 | 2753 | 7 021 | 21 444 |
| | No. of | | | | |
| 4 | samples | 8 | 0 | 33 | 41 |
| | WG Catch | 18 166 | 1215 | 2 803 | 20 969 |
| Total No. of sampl | es | 454 | 41 | 209 | 704 |
| Total WG Catch | | 595 227 | 17 299 | 22 680 | 635 206 |
| tonnes per sample | | 1 311 | 422 | 109 | 902 |

* Norwegian mixed fishery only.

~

| Country | Quarter | Lanungs (t) | No. Samples | 110. Fish ageu | 100. I Ish medsured |
|--------------------|------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Denmark | 1 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 3 | 175 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 4 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Total | 248 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Faroe Islands | 1 | 32 687 | 8 | 579 | 1 028 |
| | 2 | 17 867 | 7 | 292 | 626 |
| | 3 | 837 | 1 | 12 | 12 |
| | 4 | 6 963 | 2 | 100 | 206 |
| | Total | 58 354 | 18 | 983 | 1 872 |
| France | 1 | 4 670 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 2 | 2 296 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 4 | 1 865 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Total | 8 831 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Germany | 1 | 3 413 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 2 | 1 621 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 3 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <u> </u> | Total | 5 044 | 0 | 0 | 0.124 |
| Iceland | | 58 067 | 27 | 1 295 | 2 134 |
| | 2 | 61 194 | 45 | 1 448 | 2 604 |
| | 3 | 466 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 4 Total | 42 | 0 | 0 | 1 720 |
| Ingland | 101a1 | 119 709 | 12 | 2 743 | 4 7 3 8 |
| Ireland | 1 | 0 924 | 0 | 000 | 1 208 |
| | 2 | 1 852 | 1 | 100 | 108 |
| | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 4 Total | 0 8 776 | 0 | 706 | 1 / 36 |
| The Netherlands | 10111 | 23 207 | 63 | 1 625 | 13 030 |
| The Inculentations | 1 | 12 441 | 03 | 1 025 | 15 050 |
| | 3 | 12 441 | 5 | 15 | 004 |
| | 3 | 26 | 0 | 0 | |
| | Total | 35 686 | 66 | 1 700 | 13 684 |
| Norway | 1 | 106 480 | 10 | 229 | 996 |
| Norway | 2 | 114 658 | 165 | 673 | 7 596 |
| | 3 | 2 840 | 105 | 075 | , 570 |
| | 4 | 2 040 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Total | 225 995 | 175 | 902 | 8 592 |
| Portugal | 1 | 444 | 10 | 1 164 | 1 1 2 0 |
| 8 | 2 | 782 | 12 | 1 185 | 1 374 |
| | 3 | 709 | 8 | 2 031 | 664 |
| | 4 | 108 | 7 | 1 725 | 412 |
| | Total | 2 043 | 37 | 6 105 | 3 570 |
| Russia | 1 | 40 790 | 10 | 280 | 1 244 |
| | 2 | 87 641 | 100 | 1 665 | 20 866 |
| | 3 | 12 836 | 41 | 700 | 9 434 |
| | 4 | 8 383 | 6 | 407 | 50 |
| | Total | 149 650 | 157 | 3 052 | 31 594 |
| Spain | 1 | 4 151 | 34 | 266 | 3 210 |
| | 2 | 7 479 | 72 | 190 | 5 590 |
| | 3 | 6 312 | 40 | 603 | 3 174 |
| | 4 | 2 695 | 26 | 842 | 1 940 |
| | Total | 20 637 | 172 | 1 901 | 13 914 |
| UK/Scotland | 1 | 122 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 4 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ~ | Total | 173 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Grand Total | | 635 206 | 704 | 18 092 | 79 400 |

Table 8.3.1.2.2 Blue whiting. Total landings, No. of samples, No. of fish measured and No. of fish aged by country and quarter for 2009.

_

| Length (cm) | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | All year |
|---------------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|-----------|
| 5 | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | |
| 9 | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | |
| 11 | | | | | |
| 12 | | | | | |
| 13 | | | | | |
| 14 | | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | |
| 16 | 420 | | | | 420 |
| 17 | 11 402 | 242 | | | 11 644 |
| 18 | 42 041 | 196 | | | 42 237 |
| 19 | 57 482 | 2 214 | | | 59 696 |
| 20 | 40 492 | 2 562 | 286 | 43 | 43 383 |
| 21 | 18 767 | 3 310 | 882 | 43 | 23 002 |
| 22 | 22 466 | 4 005 | 733 | 51 | 27 254 |
| 23 | 23 203 | 5 951 | 316 | 24 | 29 494 |
| 24 | 32 436 | 8 169 | 54 | 44 | 40 703 |
| 25 | 40 082 | 23 284 | 160 | 202 | 63 728 |
| 26 | 74 834 | 93 794 | 426 | 708 | 169 762 |
| 27 | 230 772 | 267 249 | 2 397 | 2 729 | 503 147 |
| 28 | 343 987 | 429 307 | 10 962 | 4 937 | 789 193 |
| 29 | 292 106 | 449 553 | 20 335 | 8 201 | 770 195 |
| 30 | 207 243 | 373 678 | 22 620 | 12 478 | 616 020 |
| 31 | 129 236 | 200 791 | 12 855 | 10 781 | 353 663 |
| 32 | 70 915 | 108 474 | 7 710 | 8 872 | 195 970 |
| 33 | 35 038 | 48 799 | 2 023 | 4 620 | 90 480 |
| 34 | 31 316 | 26 498 | 554 | 2 321 | 60 689 |
| 35 | 12 773 | 11 653 | 225 | 1 378 | 26 029 |
| 36 | 10 549 | 6 162 | 81 | 647 | 17 439 |
| 37 | 10 007 | 1 758 | 27 | 147 | 11 939 |
| 38 | 1 653 | 2 687 | 1 | 96 | 4 437 |
| 39 | 399 | 812 | 17 | 47 | 1 275 |
| 40 | 598 | 244 | 1 | 98 | 940 |
| 41 | 56 | 487 | 1 | 10 | 554 |
| 42 | 1 | 45 | | 131 | 176 |
| 43 | | - | | 43 | 43 |
| 44 | 1 | 222 | | 88 | 311 |
| 45 | | | | | 011 |
| 46 | | | | | |
| 47 | | | | | |
| 48 | 174 | | | | 174 |
| 49 | | | | | |
| 50 | | | | | |
| TOTAL numbers | 1 740 451 | 2 072 145 | 82 666 | 58 738 | 3 954 000 |

Table 8.3.1.3.1. Blue whiting landings in numbers ('000) by length group (cm) and quarter for the directed fishery in 2009.

| Length (cm) | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | All year |
|-------------|-------|--------|-----------|-------|------------|
| 5 | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | |
| 9 | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | |
| 11 | | | | | |
| 12 | | | | | |
| 13 | 1 | 54 | 11 | 3 | 69 |
| 14 | | | | | |
| 15 | 1 | 54 | 11 | 3 | 69 |
| 16 | | | | | |
| 17 | 11 | 434 | 91 | 23 | 559 |
| 18 | 15 | 596 | 126 | 32 | 769 |
| 19 | 8 | 325 | 68 | 18 | 419 |
| 20 | 10 | 272 | 58 | 22 | 362 |
| 21 | 10 | 379 | 80 | 20 | 489 |
| 22 | 19 | 545 | 117 | 42 | 723 |
| 23 | 43 | 984 | 212 | 97 | 1 336 |
| 24 | 106 | 2 195 | 475 | 244 | 3 020 |
| 25 | 173 | 3 513 | 762 | 399 | 4 847 |
| 26 | 259 | 5 912 | 1 274 | 591 | 8 036 |
| 27 | 388 | 8 065 | 1 748 | 889 | 11 090 |
| 28 | 504 | 9 197 | 2 008 | 1 169 | 12 878 |
| 29 | 425 | 8 138 | 1 772 | 983 | 11 318 |
| 30 | 458 | 9 440 | 2 046 | 1 053 | 12 997 |
| 31 | 277 | 7 366 | 1 577 | 623 | 9 843 |
| 32 | 197 | 5 130 | 1 100 | 443 | 6870 |
| 33 | 110 | 2 891 | 619 | 246 | 3 866 |
| 34 | 81 | 1 753 | 379 | 184 | 2 397 |
| 35 | 60 | 1 796 | 383 | 133 | 2 372 |
| 30 | 56 | 1 901 | 403 | 122 | 2 482 |
| 37 | 23 | 708 | 151 | 51 | 933 |
| 30 | 21 | 290 | 140 | 40 | 003 404 |
| 39 | 15 | 506 | 00 126 | 23 | 494 |
| 40 | 8 | 218 | 120 | 10 | 202 |
| 41 | 1 | 54 | 47 | 3 | 69 |
| 42 | 3 | 108 | 23 | 5 | 140 |
| 43 | 1 | 54 | 11 | 3 | 69 |
| 45 | | 1 | | 3 | 5 |
| 46 | | 54 | 11 | 3 | 69 |
| 40 | ' | 04 | | 5 | 03 |
| 48 | 1 | 54 | 11 | 3 | 69 |
| <u>4</u> 0 | ' | U⁻T | | 0 | 00 |
| 50 | | | | | |
| | 3 208 | 73 821 | 15 031 | 7 522 | 100 583 |
| | 5230 | 10021 | 10 801 | 1 000 | 100 000 |

 Table 8.3.1.3.2. Blue whiting landings in numbers ('000) by length group (cm) and quarter for the mixed fishery in 2009.

| Length (cm) | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | All year |
|---------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|------------|----------------|
| 5 | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | |
| 9 | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | |
| 11 | | | | | |
| 12 | | | | 32 | 32 |
| 13 | 53 | 56 | 449 | 573 | 1 131 |
| 14 | 50 | 125 | 1 798 | 1 918 | 3 841 |
| 15 | 53 | 85 | 8 097 | 3 464 | 11 699 |
| 16 | 106 | 220 | 8 988 | 4 660 | 13 975 |
| 17 | 117 | 78 | 1 798 | 2 316 | 4 308 |
| 18 | 485 | 91 | 4 051 | 1 341 | 5 969 |
| 19 | 003 | 49 | 1 304 | 040 040 | 2 / 90 |
| 20 | 2 012 | 20U 1 9/7 | 242 | ∠13 190 | 3 U84 6 025 |
| 21 | 4 302 7 001 | 1 047 6 430 | 243 2.470 | 102 15 | 16 816 |
| 22 | 8 823 | 15 654 | 2 470 | 15 253 | 28.645 |
| 23 | 10 292 | 21 053 | 6 702 | 1 046 | 20 043 |
| 25 | 6.812 | 17 840 | 8 045 | 2 335 | 35 032 |
| 26 | 3 923 | 11 868 | 8 146 | 2 622 | 26 559 |
| 27 | 1 767 | 6 415 | 7 796 | 2 932 | 18 910 |
| 28 | 1 365 | 3 328 | 5 498 | 2 490 | 12 680 |
| 29 | 955 | 1 269 | 3 664 | 1 436 | 7 324 |
| 30 | 609 | 534 | 2 053 | 894 | 4 090 |
| 31 | 404 | 718 | 1 478 | 987 | 3 586 |
| 32 | 348 | 299 | 770 | 564 | 1 980 |
| 33 | 206 | 215 | 598 | 174 | 1 193 |
| 34 | 139 | 160 | 313 | 169 | 782 |
| 35 | 45 | 55 | 89 | 81 | 271 |
| 36 | 33 | 51 | 21 | 66 | 172 |
| 37 | 5 | 7 | | | 12 |
| 38 | | 6 | | | 6 |
| 39 | | | | | |
| 40 | | | | | |
| 41 | | | | | |
| 42 | | | | | |
| 43 | | | | | |
| 44 | | | | | |
| 45 | | | | | |
| 46 | | | | | |
| 47 | | | | | |
| 48 | | | | | |
| 49 | | | | | |
| 50 | | | | 04.55 | |
| TOTAL numbers | 52 477 | 88 714 | 78 336 | 31 293 | 250 821 |

Table 8.3.1.3.3. Blue whiting landings in numbers ('000) by length group (cm) and quarter for the southern fishery in 2009.

| Age 1 | Age 2 | Age 3 | Age 4 | Age 5 | Age 6 | Age 7 | Age 8 | Age 9 | Age 10 | Mean age |
|-------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|--|---|
| 258 | 348 | 681 | 334 | 548 | 559 | 466 | 634 | 578 | 1460 | 6.57 |
| 148 | 274 | 326 | 548 | 264 | 276 | 266 | 272 | 284 | 673 | 6.05 |
| 2283 | 567 | 270 | 286 | 299 | 304 | 287 | 286 | 225 | 334 | 3.57 |
| 2291 | 2331 | 455 | 260 | 285 | 445 | 262 | 193 | 154 | 255 | 3.00 |
| 1305 | 2044 | 1933 | 303 | 188 | 321 | 257 | 174 | 93 | 259 | 3.18 |
| 650 | 816 | 1862 | 1717 | 393 | 187 | 201 | 198 | 174 | 398 | 4.00 |
| 838 | 578 | 728 | 1897 | 726 | 137 | 105 | 123 | 103 | 195 | 3.83 |
| 425 | 721 | 614 | 683 | 1303 | 618 | 84 | 53 | 33 | 50 | 4.03 |
| 865 | 718 | 1340 | 791 | 837 | 708 | 139 | 50 | 25 | 38 | 3.61 |
| 1611 | 703 | 672 | 753 | 520 | 577 | 299 | 78 | 27 | 95 | 3.38 |
| 267 | 1024 | 514 | 302 | 363 | 258 | 159 | 49 | 5 | 10 | 3.42 |
| 408 | 654 | 1642 | 569 | 217 | 154 | 110 | 80 | 32 | 12 | 3.29 |
| 263 | 305 | 621 | 1571 | 411 | 191 | 107 | 65 | 38 | 17 | 3.90 |
| 307 | 108 | 368 | 389 | 1222 | 281 | 174 | 90 | 79 | 31 | 4.57 |
| 296 | 354 | 422 | 465 | 616 | 800 | 254 | 160 | 60 | 42 | 4.62 |
| 1893 | 534 | 632 | 537 | 323 | 497 | 663 | 232 | 98 | 83 | 3.61 |
| 2131 | 1519 | 904 | 578 | 296 | 252 | 282 | 407 | 104 | 169 | 3.17 |
| 1657 | 4181 | 3541 | 1045 | 384 | 323 | 303 | 264 | 212 | 86 | 2.97 |
| 788 | 1549 | 5821 | 3461 | 413 | 207 | 151 | 153 | 69 | 140 | 3.36 |
| 1815 | 1193 | 3466 | 5015 | 1550 | 514 | 213 | 151 | 58 | 140 | 3.55 |
| 4364 | 4486 | 2962 | 3807 | 2593 | 586 | 170 | 97 | 77 | 66 | 2.98 |
| 1821 | 3232 | 3292 | 2243 | 1824 | 1647 | 344 | 169 | 103 | 143 | 3.53 |
| 3743 | 4074 | 8379 | 4825 | 2035 | 1117 | 400 | 121 | 20 | 27 | 3.13 |
| 2156 | 4426 | 6724 | 6698 | 3045 | 1276 | 650 | 249 | 75 | 37 | 3.49 |
| 1427 | 1519 | 5084 | 5871 | 4450 | 1419 | 518 | 249 | 100 | 55 | 3.92 |
| 413 | 940 | 4206 | 6151 | 3834 | 1719 | 506 | 181 | 68 | 37 | 4.15 |
| 167 | 307 | 1795 | 4211 | 3867 | 2353 | 936 | 321 | 130 | 89 | 4.77 |
| 409 | 179 | 545 | 2917 | 3263 | 1919 | 736 | 316 | 113 | 127 | 4.93 |
| 61 | 156 | 232 | 595 | 1596 | 1157 | 592 | 252 | 89 | 49 | 5.40 |
| | Age 1 258 148 2283 2291 1305 650 838 425 865 1611 267 408 263 307 296 1893 2131 1657 788 1815 4364 1821 3743 2156 1427 413 167 409 61 | Age 1 Age 2 258 348 148 274 2283 567 2291 2331 1305 2044 650 816 838 578 425 721 865 718 1611 703 267 1024 408 654 263 305 307 108 296 354 1893 534 2131 1519 1657 4181 788 1549 1815 1193 4364 4486 1821 3232 3743 4074 2156 4426 1427 1519 413 940 167 307 403 940 167 307 413 940 167 307 <tr t=""> 409 179</tr> | Age 1Age 2Age 325834868114827432622835672702291233145513052044193365081618628385787284257216148657181340161170367226710245144086541642263305621307108368296354422189353463221311519904165741813541788154958211815119334664364448629621821323232923743407483792156442667241427151950844139404206167307179540917954561156232 | Age 1Age 2Age 3Age 42583486813341482743265482283567270286229123314552601305204419333036508161862171783857872818974257216146838657181340791161170367275326710245143024086541642569263305621157130710836838929635442246518935346325372131151990457816574181354110457881549582134611815119334665015436444862962380718213232329222433743407483794825215644266724669814271519508458714139404206615116730717954211409179545291761156232595 | Age 1Age 2Age 3Age 4Age 52583486813345481482743265482642283567270286299229123314552602851305204419333031886508161862171739383857872818977264257216146831303865718134079183716117036727535202671024514302363408654164256921726330562115714113071083683891222296354422465616189353463253732321311519904578296165741813541104538478815495821346141318151193346650151550436444862962380725931821323232922243182437434074837948252035142715195084587144504139404206615138341673071795421138674091795452917326361156232 <td>Age 1Age 2Age 3Age 4Age 5Age 625834868133454855914827432654826427622835672702862993042291233145526028544513052044193330318832165081618621717393187838578728189772613742572161468313036188657181340791837708161170367275352057726710245143023632584086541642569217154263305621157141119130710836838912222812963544224656168001893534632537323497213115199045782962521657418135411045384323788154958213461413207181511933466501515505144364448629623807259358618213232329222431824164737434074837948252035111721564426</td> <td>Age 1Age 2Age 3Age 4Age 5Age 6Age 7258348681334548559466148274326548264276266228356727028629930428722912331455260285445262130520441933303188321257650816186217173931872018385787281897726137105425721614683130361884865718134079183770813916117036727535205772992671024514302363258159408654164256921715410026330562115714111911073071083683891222281174296354422465616800254189353463253732349766321311519904578296252282165741813541104538432330378815495821346141320715118151193346650151550514213436444862962<td>Age 1Age 2Age 3Age 4Age 5Age 6Age 7Age 8258348681334548559466634148274326548264276266272228356727028629930428728622912331455260285445262193130520441933303188321257174650816186217173931872011988385787281897726137105123425721614683130361884538657181340791837708139501611703672753520577299782671024514302363258159494086541642569217154110802633056211571411191107653071083683891222281174902633544224656168002541601893534632537323497663232213115199045782962522824071657418135411045384323303264788<</td><td>Age 1Age 2Age 3Age 4Age 5Age 6Age 7Age 8Age 925834868133454855946663457814827432654826427626627228422835672702862993042872621931541305204419333031883212571749365081618621717393187201198174838578728189772613710512313342572161468313036188453338657181340791837708139502516117036727535205772997827267102451430236325815949534086541642569217154110803226330562115714111911076538307108368389122228117490792963544224656168002541606018935346325373234976632329821311519904578246551651421315158</td><td>Age 1 Age 2 Age 3 Age 4 Age 5 Age 6 Age 7 Age 8 Age 9 Age 1 258 348 681 334 548 559 466 634 578 1460 148 274 326 548 264 276 266 272 284 673 2283 567 270 280 285 445 262 193 145 255 1305 2044 1933 303 188 321 257 174 93 259 650 816 1862 1717 393 187 201 198 140 349 359 645 718 1340 791 837 708 139 50 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 361 361 360 121 161 100 30 225 38 101 360 226 263</td></td> | Age 1Age 2Age 3Age 4Age 5Age 625834868133454855914827432654826427622835672702862993042291233145526028544513052044193330318832165081618621717393187838578728189772613742572161468313036188657181340791837708161170367275352057726710245143023632584086541642569217154263305621157141119130710836838912222812963544224656168001893534632537323497213115199045782962521657418135411045384323788154958213461413207181511933466501515505144364448629623807259358618213232329222431824164737434074837948252035111721564426 | Age 1Age 2Age 3Age 4Age 5Age 6Age 7258348681334548559466148274326548264276266228356727028629930428722912331455260285445262130520441933303188321257650816186217173931872018385787281897726137105425721614683130361884865718134079183770813916117036727535205772992671024514302363258159408654164256921715410026330562115714111911073071083683891222281174296354422465616800254189353463253732349766321311519904578296252282165741813541104538432330378815495821346141320715118151193346650151550514213436444862962 <td>Age 1Age 2Age 3Age 4Age 5Age 6Age 7Age 8258348681334548559466634148274326548264276266272228356727028629930428728622912331455260285445262193130520441933303188321257174650816186217173931872011988385787281897726137105123425721614683130361884538657181340791837708139501611703672753520577299782671024514302363258159494086541642569217154110802633056211571411191107653071083683891222281174902633544224656168002541601893534632537323497663232213115199045782962522824071657418135411045384323303264788<</td> <td>Age 1Age 2Age 3Age 4Age 5Age 6Age 7Age 8Age 925834868133454855946663457814827432654826427626627228422835672702862993042872621931541305204419333031883212571749365081618621717393187201198174838578728189772613710512313342572161468313036188453338657181340791837708139502516117036727535205772997827267102451430236325815949534086541642569217154110803226330562115714111911076538307108368389122228117490792963544224656168002541606018935346325373234976632329821311519904578246551651421315158</td> <td>Age 1 Age 2 Age 3 Age 4 Age 5 Age 6 Age 7 Age 8 Age 9 Age 1 258 348 681 334 548 559 466 634 578 1460 148 274 326 548 264 276 266 272 284 673 2283 567 270 280 285 445 262 193 145 255 1305 2044 1933 303 188 321 257 174 93 259 650 816 1862 1717 393 187 201 198 140 349 359 645 718 1340 791 837 708 139 50 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 361 361 360 121 161 100 30 225 38 101 360 226 263</td> | Age 1Age 2Age 3Age 4Age 5Age 6Age 7Age 8258348681334548559466634148274326548264276266272228356727028629930428728622912331455260285445262193130520441933303188321257174650816186217173931872011988385787281897726137105123425721614683130361884538657181340791837708139501611703672753520577299782671024514302363258159494086541642569217154110802633056211571411191107653071083683891222281174902633544224656168002541601893534632537323497663232213115199045782962522824071657418135411045384323303264788< | Age 1Age 2Age 3Age 4Age 5Age 6Age 7Age 8Age 925834868133454855946663457814827432654826427626627228422835672702862993042872621931541305204419333031883212571749365081618621717393187201198174838578728189772613710512313342572161468313036188453338657181340791837708139502516117036727535205772997827267102451430236325815949534086541642569217154110803226330562115714111911076538307108368389122228117490792963544224656168002541606018935346325373234976632329821311519904578246551651421315158 | Age 1 Age 2 Age 3 Age 4 Age 5 Age 6 Age 7 Age 8 Age 9 Age 1 258 348 681 334 548 559 466 634 578 1460 148 274 326 548 264 276 266 272 284 673 2283 567 270 280 285 445 262 193 145 255 1305 2044 1933 303 188 321 257 174 93 259 650 816 1862 1717 393 187 201 198 140 349 359 645 718 1340 791 837 708 139 50 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 361 361 360 121 161 100 30 225 38 101 360 226 263 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 8.3.1.3.4. Blue whiting : Catch in numbers (millions) of the total stock and mean age in the catch

| Area | Norwegian Sea fishery (SAs 1+2; Divs. Va, XIVa-b) | Fishery in the spawning area (SA XII; Divs. Vb, VIa-b, VIIa-c) | Directed- and mixed fisheries in the North Sea (SA IV; Div. IIIa) | Total northern areas | Total southern areas (SAs VIII+IX; Divs. VIId-k) | Grand total |
|------|---|--|---|----------------------|--|-------------|
| 1000 | 55 920 | 126.027 | 45 1 42 | 527.000 | 20.929 | |
| 1988 | 55 829 | 426 037 | 45 145 | 527 009 | 30 838 | 557 847 |
| 1989 | 42 615 | 475 179 | 75 958 | 593 752 | 33 695 | 627 447 |
| 1990 | 2 106 | 463 495 | 63 192 | 528 793 | 32 817 | 561 610 |
| 1991 | 78 703 | 218 946 | 39 872 | 337 521 | 32 003 | 369 524 |
| 1992 | 62 312 | 318 081 | 65 974 | 446 367 | 28 722 | 475 089 |
| 1993 | 43 240 | 347 101 | 58 082 | 448 423 | 32 256 | 480 679 |
| 1994 | 22 674 | 378 704 | 28 563 | 429 941 | 29 473 | 459 414 |
| 1995 | 23 733 | 423 504 | 104 004 | 551 241 | 27 664 | 578 905 |
| 1996 | 23 447 | 478 077 | 119 359 | 620 883 | 25 099 | 645 982 |
| 1997 | 62 570 | 514 654 | 65 091 | 642 315 | 30 122 | 672 437 |
| 1998 | 177 494 | 827 194 | 94 881 | 1 099 569 | 29 400 | 1 128 969 |
| 1999 | 179 639 | 943 578 | 106 609 | 1 229 826 | 26 402 | 1 256 228 |
| 2000 | 284 666 | 989 131 | 114 477 | 1 388 274 | 24 654 | 1 412 928 |
| 2001 | 591 583 | 1 045 100 | 118 523 | 1 755 206 | 24 964 | 1 780 170 |
| 2002 | 541 467 | 846 602 | 145 652 | 1 533 721 | 23 071 | 1 556 792 |
| 2003 | 931 508 | 1 211 621 | 158 180 | 2 301 309 | 20 097 | 2 321 406 |
| 2004 | 921 349 | 1 232 534 | 138 593 | 2 292 476 | 85 093 | 2 377 569 |
| 2005 | 405 577 | 1 465 735 | 128 033 | 1 999 345 | 27 608 | 2 026 953 |
| 2006 | 404 362 | 1 428 208 | 105 239 | 1 937 809 | 28 331 | 1 966 140 |
| 2007 | 172 709 | 1 360 882 | 61 105 | 1 594 695 | 17 634 | 1 612 330 |
| 2008 | 68 352 | 1 111 292 | 36 061 | 1 215 704 | 30 761 | 1 246 465 |
| 2009 | 46 629 | 533 996 | 22 387 | 603 012 | 32 627 | 635 639 |

Table 8.3.1.4. Blue whiting landings (tonnes) from the main fisheries, 1988–2009, as estimated by the Working Group.

Table 8.3.3.1. Blue whiting : Individual mean weight (Kg) at age in the catch

| Year/Age | Age 1 | Age 2 | Age 3 | Age 4 | Age 5 | Age 6 | Age 7 | Age 8 | Age 9 | Age 10 | Weighted mean |
|-------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|---------------|
| 1981 | 0.052 | 0.065 | 0.103 | 0.125 | 0.141 | 0.155 | 0.170 | 0.178 | 0.187 | 0.213 | 0.128 |
| 1982 | 0.045 | 0.072 | 0.111 | 0.143 | 0.156 | 0.177 | 0.195 | 0.200 | 0.204 | 0.231 | 0.134 |
| 1983 | 0.046 | 0.074 | 0.118 | 0.140 | 0.153 | 0.176 | 0.195 | 0.200 | 0.204 | 0.228 | 0.097 |
| 1984 | 0.035 | 0.078 | 0.089 | 0.132 | 0.153 | 0.161 | 0.175 | 0.189 | 0.186 | 0.206 | 0.075 |
| 1985 | 0.038 | 0.074 | 0.097 | 0.114 | 0.157 | 0.177 | 0.199 | 0.208 | 0.218 | 0.237 | 0.083 |
| 1986 | 0.040 | 0.073 | 0.108 | 0.130 | 0.165 | 0.199 | 0.209 | 0.243 | 0.246 | 0.257 | 0.095 |
| 1987 | 0.048 | 0.086 | 0.106 | 0.124 | 0.147 | 0.177 | 0.208 | 0.221 | 0.222 | 0.254 | 0.096 |
| 1988 | 0.053 | 0.076 | 0.097 | 0.128 | 0.142 | 0.157 | 0.179 | 0.199 | 0.222 | 0.260 | 0.097 |
| 1989 | 0.059 | 0.079 | 0.103 | 0.126 | 0.148 | 0.158 | 0.171 | 0.203 | 0.224 | 0.253 | 0.097 |
| 1990 | 0.045 | 0.070 | 0.106 | 0.123 | 0.147 | 0.168 | 0.175 | 0.214 | 0.217 | 0.256 | 0.071 |
| 1991 | 0.055 | 0.091 | 0.107 | 0.136 | 0.174 | 0.190 | 0.206 | 0.230 | 0.232 | 0.266 | 0.096 |
| 1992 | 0.057 | 0.083 | 0.119 | 0.140 | 0.167 | 0.193 | 0.226 | 0.235 | 0.284 | 0.294 | 0.112 |
| 1993 | 0.066 | 0.082 | 0.109 | 0.137 | 0.163 | 0.177 | 0.200 | 0.217 | 0.225 | 0.281 | 0.118 |
| 1994 | 0.061 | 0.087 | 0.108 | 0.137 | 0.164 | 0.189 | 0.207 | 0.217 | 0.247 | 0.254 | 0.123 |
| 1995 | 0.064 | 0.091 | 0.118 | 0.143 | 0.154 | 0.167 | 0.203 | 0.206 | 0.236 | 0.256 | 0.117 |
| 1996 | 0.041 | 0.080 | 0.102 | 0.116 | 0.147 | 0.170 | 0.214 | 0.230 | 0.238 | 0.279 | 0.081 |
| 1997 | 0.047 | 0.072 | 0.102 | 0.121 | 0.140 | 0.166 | 0.177 | 0.183 | 0.203 | 0.232 | 0.067 |
| 1998 | 0.048 | 0.072 | 0.094 | 0.125 | 0.149 | 0.178 | 0.183 | 0.188 | 0.221 | 0.248 | 0.075 |
| 1999 | 0.063 | 0.078 | 0.088 | 0.109 | 0.142 | 0.170 | 0.199 | 0.193 | 0.192 | 0.245 | 0.084 |
| 2000 | 0.057 | 0.075 | 0.086 | 0.104 | 0.133 | 0.156 | 0.179 | 0.187 | 0.232 | 0.241 | 0.079 |
| 2001 | 0.050 | 0.078 | 0.094 | 0.108 | 0.129 | 0.163 | 0.186 | 0.193 | 0.231 | 0.243 | 0.074 |
| 2002 | 0.054 | 0.074 | 0.093 | 0.115 | 0.132 | 0.155 | 0.173 | 0.233 | 0.224 | 0.262 | 0.077 |
| 2003 | 0.049 | 0.075 | 0.098 | 0.108 | 0.131 | 0.148 | 0.168 | 0.193 | 0.232 | 0.258 | 0.079 |
| 2004 | 0.042 | 0.066 | 0.089 | 0.102 | 0.123 | 0.146 | 0.160 | 0.173 | 0.209 | 0.347 | 0.075 |
| 2005 | 0.039 | 0.068 | 0.084 | 0.099 | 0.113 | 0.137 | 0.156 | 0.166 | 0.195 | 0.217 | 0.079 |
| 2006 | 0.049 | 0.072 | 0.089 | 0.105 | 0.122 | 0.138 | 0.163 | 0.190 | 0.212 | 0.328 | 0.096 |
| 2007 | 0.050 | 0.064 | 0.091 | 0.103 | 0.115 | 0.130 | 0.146 | 0.169 | 0.182 | 0.249 | 0.103 |
| 2008 | 0.055 | 0.075 | 0.100 | 0.106 | 0.120 | 0.133 | 0.146 | 0.160 | 0.193 | 0.209 | 0.115 |
| 2009 | 0.056 | 0.085 | 0.105 | 0.119 | 0.124 | 0.138 | 0.149 | 0.179 | 0.214 | 0.251 | 0.130 |
| arith. mean | 0.050 | 0.076 | 0.100 | 0.121 | 0.143 | 0.164 | 0.183 | 0.200 | 0.218 | 0.254 | |

| AGE | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7-10+ |
|----------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|
| Proportion mature | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.40 | 0.82 | 0.86 | 0.91 | 0.94 | 1.00 |
| Natural mortality | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 |

Table 8.3.4.1. Blue whiting natural mortality and proportion of maturation-at-age

Table 8.3.5.1.1 Blue whiting stock composition (millions) from the IBSSS for 2004–2010.

| Year\Age | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10+ | Total |
|----------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|------|-----|--------|
| 2004 | 4886 | 17603 | 34350 | 44397 | 16775 | 5521 | 3111 | 1962 | 1131 | 127 | 129863 |
| 2005 | 3631 | 4320 | 18774 | 25579 | 26660 | 8298 | 2016 | 728 | 323 | 6 | 90335 |
| 2006 | 3162 | 5540 | 32201 | 38942 | 16608 | 7972 | 2459 | 791 | 293 | 7 | 107975 |
| 2007 | 1723 | 2654 | 16343 | 32851 | 24794 | 13952 | 7282 | 2509 | 951 | 665 | 103714 |
| 2008 | 956 | 1672 | 4443 | 17814 | 20144 | 11710 | 6418 | 3093 | 791 | 908 | 67948 |
| 2009 | 2747 | 3384 | 3147 | 6617 | 16067 | 15764 | 8970 | 4685 | 2891 | 514 | 46705 |
| 2010 | 622 | 1290 | 627 | 931 | 2425 | 5258 | 4836 | 2608 | 468 | 131 | 19196 |

Total stock biomass

| Year | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
|-------------|-------|------|-------|-------|------|------|------|
| TSB (1000t) | 11105 | 8004 | 10394 | 11193 | 7958 | 6070 | 3015 |

| Year\Age | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | Total |
|----------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|-----|-----|----|----|----|----|--------|
| 2000 | 48927 | 3133 | 3580 | 1668 | 201 | 5 | | | | | | 57514 |
| 2001 | 85772 | 25110 | 7533 | 3020 | 2066 | | | | | | | 123501 |
| 2002 | 15251 | 46656 | 14672 | 4357 | 513 | 445 | | 15 | | 6 | | 81915 |
| 2003 | 35688 | 21487 | 35372 | 4354 | 639 | 201 | 43 | 3 | | | | 97787 |
| 2004 | 49254 | 22086 | 13292 | 8290 | 1495 | 533 | 83 | 39 | | | | 95072 |
| 2005 | 54660 | 19904 | 13828 | 4714 | 1886 | 326 | 103 | 43 | 8 | 3 | 11 | 95486 |
| 2006 | 570 | 18300 | 15324 | 6550 | 1566 | 384 | 246 | 80 | 47 | 2 | 8 | 43077 |
| 2007 | 21 | 552 | 5846 | 3639 | 1674 | 531 | 178 | 49 | 19 | | | 12509 |
| 2008 | 29 | 75 | 534 | 2151 | 715 | 287 | 116 | 44 | | | | 3951 |
| 2009 | 0 | 14 | 56 | 617 | 963 | 621 | 296 | 84 | 13 | | | 2664 |
| 2010 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 107 | 165 | 68 | 98 | | | | | 448 |

Table 8.3.5.2.1. Estimated blue whiting stock numbers from the International Norwegian Sea ecosystem survey, 2000–2010. The estimates are for the standard area, north of 63°N and between 8°W–20°E.

| - | Catch Rate | | | | | | | |
|------|------------|--------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Veer | All | | | | | | | |
| rear | All | <19cm | | | | | | |
| 1981 | 0.13 | 0 | | | | | | |
| 1982 | 0.17 | 0.01 | | | | | | |
| 1983 | 4.46 | 0.46 | | | | | | |
| 1984 | 6.97 | 2.47 | | | | | | |
| 1985 | 32.51 | 0.77 | | | | | | |
| 1986 | 17.51 | 0.89 | | | | | | |
| 1987 | 8.32 | 0.02 | | | | | | |
| 1988 | 6.38 | 0.97 | | | | | | |
| 1989 | 1.65 | 0.18 | | | | | | |
| 1990 | 17.81 | 16.37 | | | | | | |
| 1991 | 48.87 | 2.11 | | | | | | |
| 1992 | 30.05 | 0.06 | | | | | | |
| 1993 | 5.8 | 0.01 | | | | | | |
| 1994 | 3.02 | 0 | | | | | | |
| 1995 | 1.65 | 0.10 | | | | | | |
| 1996 | 9.88 | 5.81 | | | | | | |
| 1997 | 187.24 | 175.26 | | | | | | |
| 1998 | 7.14 | 0.21 | | | | | | |
| 1999 | 5.98 | 0.71 | | | | | | |
| 2000 | 129.23 | 120.90 | | | | | | |
| 2001 | 329.04 | 233.76 | | | | | | |
| 2002 | 102.63 | 9.69 | | | | | | |
| 2003 | 75.25 | 15.15 | | | | | | |
| 2004 | 124.01 | 36.74 | | | | | | |
| 2005 | 206.18 | 90.23 | | | | | | |
| 2006 | 269.2 | 3.52 | | | | | | |
| 2007 | 80.38 | 0.16 | | | | | | |
| 2008 | 16.72 | 0.01 | | | | | | |
| 2009 | 3.74 | 0 | | | | | | |
| 2010 | 3.19 | 0.10 | | | | | | |

Table 8.3.5.3.1 1-group indices of blue whiting from the Norwegian winter survey (late January-early March) in the Barents Sea. (Blue whiting <19 cm in total body length which most likely belong to 1-group.)

Norwegian spawning acoustic 1991 2003

Table 8.4.1. Blue Whiting survey indices used in the assessment.

Fleet catch for CPUE data BLUE WHITING-COMBINED, 2010 WG, 3 fleets

| # effc | feffort and catch numbers age 3 - 8 | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|-------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Age 3 | Age 4 | Age 5 | Age 6 | Age 7 | Age 8 | | | | | | |
| 1 | 6340 | 8497 | 7407 | 4558 | 2019 | 545 | #1991 | | | | | |
| 1 | 26123 | 4719 | 1574 | 1386 | 810 | 616 | #1992 | | | | | |
| 1 | 3321 | 26771 | 2643 | 1270 | 557 | 426 | #1993 | | | | | |
| 1 | 2950 | 4476 | 11354 | 1742 | 1687 | 908 | #1994 | | | | | |
| 1 | 9874 | 7906 | 6861 | 9467 | 1795 | 1083 | #1995 | | | | | |
| 1 | 7433 | 8371 | 2399 | 4455 | 4111 | 1202 | #1996 | | | | | |
| 1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | #1997 | | | | | |
| 1 | 34991 | 4697 | 1674 | 279 | 407 | 381 | #1998 | | | | | |
| 1 | 60309 | 26103 | 1481 | 316 | 72 | 153 | #1999 | | | | | |
| 1 | 31011 | 41382 | 6843 | 898 | 427 | 228 | #2000 | | | | | |
| 1 | 12843 | 13805 | 8292 | 718 | 175 | 51 | #2001 | | | | | |
| 1 | 54740 | 12757 | 5266 | 8404 | 1450 | 305 | #2002 | | | | | |
| 1 | 70303 | 28756 | 5735 | 2430 | 1708 | 260 | #2003 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

International Norweigian Sea ecosystem survey 2000-2010

effort and catch numbers age 1-2

| | Age 1 | Age 2 | |
|---|-------|-------|-------|
| 1 | 48927 | 3133 | #2000 |
| 1 | 85772 | 25110 | #2001 |
| 1 | 15251 | 46656 | #2002 |
| 1 | 35688 | 21487 | #2003 |
| 1 | 49254 | 22086 | #2004 |
| 1 | 54660 | 19904 | #2005 |
| 1 | 570 | 18300 | #2006 |
| 1 | 21 | 552 | #2007 |
| 1 | 29 | 75 | #2008 |
| 1 | 0 | 14 | #2009 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | #2010 |

International BW spawning stock survey 2004-2010

Effort and catch numbers age 3-8

| | Age 3 | Age 4 | Age 5 | Age 6 | Age 7 | Age 8 | |
|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 1 | 34350 | 44397 | 16775 | 5521 | 3111 | 1962 | #2004 |
| 1 | 18774 | 25579 | 26660 | 8298 | 2016 | 728 | #2005 |
| 1 | 32201 | 38942 | 16608 | 7972 | 2459 | 791 | #2006 |
| 1 | 16343 | 32851 | 24794 | 13952 | 7282 | 2509 | #2007 |
| 1 | 4443 | 17814 | 20144 | 11710 | 6418 | 3093 | #2008 |
| 1 | 3147 | 6617 | 16067 | 15764 | 8970 | 4685 | #2009 |
| 1 | 624 | 931 | 2426 | 5258 | 4838 | 2608 | #2010 |

Table 8.4.1.1. Blue whiting SMS data exploration. SMS diagnostics output from the final run.

objective function (negative log likelihood): -203.059 Number of parameters: 97 Number of observations used in likelihood: 455 Maximum gradient: 3.10405e-005 Akaike information criterion (AIC): -212.119 Bayesian information criterion (BIC): 187.55 Number of observations used in the likelihood: CPUE S/R Stomach Catch Sum 136 29 0 455 290 objective function weight: Catch CPUE S/R 1.00 1.00 0.01 unweighted objective function contributions (total): Catch CPUE S/R Stom. Penalty Sum -6.3 16.4 -196.9 0.0 0.00e+000 -186.9 unweighted objective function contributions (per observation): Catch CPUE S/R Stomachs -0.68 -0.05 0.56 0.00 contribution by fleet: Norw. Spawning Stock Surv. total: -0.931 Intl. Surv. in Nord. Seas. total: 23.517 mean: -0.013 mean: 1.120 IBWSSS total: -28.918 mean: -0.689 F, Year effect: _____ 1981: 1.000 1982: 0.812 1983: 0.933 1984: 1.223 1985: 1.373 1.833 1986: 1987: 1.402 1988: 1.377 1989: 1.807 1990: 1.762 0.856 1991: 1992: 0.753 1993: 0.776 1994: 0.683 0.905 1995: 1996: 1.213 1997: 1.215 1998: 1.685 1999: 1.000 2000: 1.290 2001: 1.148 2002: 1.087 2003: 1.223 2004: 1.356 2005: 1.145 2006: 0.984 2007: 1.045 2008: 1.140 2009: 0.957 F, age effect: -----3 4 5 7 8 1 2 6 9 10 1981-1998: 0.068 0.099 0.172 0.223 0.262 0.330 0.390 0.413 0.413 0.413 1999-2009: 0.067 0.085 0.211 0.397 0.469 0.524 0.486 0.534 0.534 0.534

```
Exploitation pattern (scaled to mean F=1)

        1
        2
        3
        4
        5
        6
        7
        8-10

        1981-1998:
        0.246
        0.361
        0.625
        0.810
        0.951
        1.199
        1.415
        1.498

        1999-2009:
        0.160
        0.205
        0.506
        0.951
        1.124
        1.255
        1.164
        1.279

sqrt(catch variance) ~ CV:
 1 0.468
2 0.367
3-6 0.173
 7-10 0.470
Survey catchability:
------age 1age 26-8Norw. Spawning Stock Surv.1.260Intl. Surv. in Nord. Seas.0.232
                                                          _____ age 4 age 5 age
1.727 2.209 1.260
0.215
                                   age 1 age 2 age 3 age 4 age 5
                                                                  1.148 1.902 2.544
TRWSSS
2.544
sqrt(Survey variance) ~ CV:
-----
                                    age 1 age 2 age 3 age 4 age 5 age
6-8
Norw. Spawning Stock Surv.
                                                                   0.45
                                                                             0.45
                                                                                           0.67
0.67
 Intl. Surv. in Nord. Seas. 1.41 1.41
                                                                  0.30 0.30 0.30
 IBWSSS
0.30
Average F:
-----
1981:
          0.276
1982: 0.224
        0.257
0.337
1983:
1984:
1985: 0.378
        0.505
1986:
1987:
1988: 0.380
1989:
          0.498
1990: 0.486
1991: 0.236
1992: 0.207
1993: 0.214
1994:
          0.188
1995: 0.249
        0.334
0.335
1996:
1997:
1998: 0.464
1999:0.4172000:0.538
2001: 0.479
2002:
          0.454
2003: 0.511
       0.566
2004:
2005:
2006: 0.411
2007: 0.436
2008: 0.476
2009: 0.399
                                                        alfa beta recruit s2
Recruit-SSB
```

recruit s Bl. whiting Geometric mean: 16.291 1.184 1.088

| Year/Age | Age 1 | Age 2 | Age 3 | Age 4 | Age 5 | Age 6 | Age 7 | Age 8 | Age 9 | Age 10 | Avg. 3-7 |
|----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|----------|
| 1981 | 0.068 | 0.099 | 0.172 | 0.223 | 0.262 | 0.330 | 0.390 | 0.413 | 0.413 | 0.413 | 0.276 |
| 1982 | 0.055 | 0.081 | 0.140 | 0.181 | 0.213 | 0.268 | 0.317 | 0.335 | 0.335 | 0.335 | 0.224 |
| 1983 | 0.063 | 0.093 | 0.161 | 0.208 | 0.244 | 0.308 | 0.364 | 0.385 | 0.385 | 0.385 | 0.257 |
| 1984 | 0.083 | 0.122 | 0.210 | 0.273 | 0.320 | 0.404 | 0.477 | 0.505 | 0.505 | 0.505 | 0.337 |
| 1985 | 0.093 | 0.137 | 0.236 | 0.307 | 0.360 | 0.454 | 0.536 | 0.567 | 0.567 | 0.567 | 0.378 |
| 1986 | 0.124 | 0.182 | 0.316 | 0.409 | 0.480 | 0.606 | 0.715 | 0.757 | 0.757 | 0.757 | 0.505 |
| 1987 | 0.095 | 0.139 | 0.241 | 0.313 | 0.367 | 0.463 | 0.547 | 0.579 | 0.579 | 0.579 | 0.386 |
| 1988 | 0.093 | 0.137 | 0.237 | 0.308 | 0.361 | 0.455 | 0.537 | 0.569 | 0.569 | 0.569 | 0.380 |
| 1989 | 0.122 | 0.180 | 0.311 | 0.404 | 0.473 | 0.597 | 0.705 | 0.746 | 0.746 | 0.746 | 0.498 |
| 1990 | 0.119 | 0.175 | 0.303 | 0.394 | 0.462 | 0.582 | 0.687 | 0.728 | 0.728 | 0.728 | 0.486 |
| 1991 | 0.058 | 0.085 | 0.147 | 0.191 | 0.224 | 0.283 | 0.334 | 0.353 | 0.353 | 0.353 | 0.236 |
| 1992 | 0.051 | 0.075 | 0.130 | 0.168 | 0.197 | 0.249 | 0.294 | 0.311 | 0.311 | 0.311 | 0.207 |
| 1993 | 0.053 | 0.077 | 0.134 | 0.173 | 0.203 | 0.256 | 0.303 | 0.320 | 0.320 | 0.320 | 0.214 |
| 1994 | 0.046 | 0.068 | 0.118 | 0.152 | 0.179 | 0.226 | 0.266 | 0.282 | 0.282 | 0.282 | 0.188 |
| 1995 | 0.061 | 0.090 | 0.156 | 0.202 | 0.237 | 0.299 | 0.353 | 0.374 | 0.374 | 0.374 | 0.249 |
| 1996 | 0.082 | 0.121 | 0.209 | 0.271 | 0.318 | 0.401 | 0.473 | 0.501 | 0.501 | 0.501 | 0.334 |
| 1997 | 0.082 | 0.121 | 0.209 | 0.271 | 0.318 | 0.401 | 0.474 | 0.502 | 0.502 | 0.502 | 0.335 |
| 1998 | 0.114 | 0.168 | 0.290 | 0.376 | 0.441 | 0.557 | 0.657 | 0.696 | 0.696 | 0.696 | 0.464 |
| 1999 | 0.067 | 0.085 | 0.211 | 0.397 | 0.469 | 0.524 | 0.486 | 0.534 | 0.534 | 0.534 | 0.417 |
| 2000 | 0.086 | 0.110 | 0.273 | 0.512 | 0.605 | 0.675 | 0.627 | 0.688 | 0.688 | 0.688 | 0.538 |
| 2001 | 0.077 | 0.098 | 0.243 | 0.456 | 0.538 | 0.601 | 0.558 | 0.613 | 0.613 | 0.613 | 0.479 |
| 2002 | 0.073 | 0.093 | 0.230 | 0.431 | 0.510 | 0.569 | 0.528 | 0.580 | 0.580 | 0.580 | 0.454 |
| 2003 | 0.082 | 0.105 | 0.259 | 0.485 | 0.574 | 0.641 | 0.594 | 0.653 | 0.653 | 0.653 | 0.511 |
| 2004 | 0.091 | 0.116 | 0.287 | 0.538 | 0.636 | 0.710 | 0.659 | 0.724 | 0.724 | 0.724 | 0.566 |
| 2005 | 0.077 | 0.098 | 0.242 | 0.454 | 0.537 | 0.600 | 0.556 | 0.611 | 0.611 | 0.611 | 0.478 |
| 2006 | 0.066 | 0.084 | 0.208 | 0.391 | 0.462 | 0.516 | 0.478 | 0.525 | 0.525 | 0.525 | 0.411 |
| 2007 | 0.070 | 0.089 | 0.221 | 0.415 | 0.490 | 0.547 | 0.508 | 0.558 | 0.558 | 0.558 | 0.436 |
| 2008 | 0.076 | 0.097 | 0.241 | 0.452 | 0.534 | 0.597 | 0.554 | 0.608 | 0.608 | 0.608 | 0.476 |
| 2009 | 0.064 | 0.082 | 0.202 | 0.380 | 0.449 | 0.501 | 0.465 | 0.511 | 0.511 | 0.511 | 0.399 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 8.4.1.2 Blue whiting : Fishing mortality at age by final SMS run
| Age 1 | Age 2 | Age 3 | Age 4 | Age 5 | Age 6 | Age 7 | Age 8 | Age 9 | Age 10 | Mean age |
|-------|--|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 3266 | 3766 | 4532 | 2461 | 2340 | 2205 | 1841 | 1771 | 1504 | 3037 | 4.87 |
| 4093 | 2499 | 2791 | 3123 | 1612 | 1474 | 1297 | 1021 | 960 | 2460 | 4.56 |
| 14535 | 3172 | 1887 | 1987 | 2133 | 1067 | 923 | 774 | 598 | 2002 | 3.08 |
| 18127 | 11172 | 2367 | 1316 | 1321 | 1368 | 642 | 525 | 431 | 1448 | 2.45 |
| 10466 | 13663 | 8100 | 1570 | 820 | 785 | 748 | 326 | 260 | 929 | 2.57 |
| 8562 | 7808 | 9758 | 5236 | 946 | 468 | 408 | 358 | 151 | 552 | 2.76 |
| 8966 | 6192 | 5328 | 5828 | 2847 | 479 | 209 | 164 | 138 | 270 | 2.78 |
| 6676 | 6676 | 4410 | 3427 | 3488 | 1614 | 247 | 99 | 75 | 187 | 2.94 |
| 9372 | 4980 | 4767 | 2849 | 2063 | 1991 | 838 | 118 | 46 | 122 | 2.79 |
| 24739 | 6790 | 3407 | 2860 | 1558 | 1052 | 897 | 339 | 46 | 65 | 2.02 |
| 8691 | 17978 | 4666 | 2059 | 1579 | 804 | 481 | 369 | 134 | 44 | 2.38 |
| 5660 | 6715 | 13518 | 3296 | 1393 | 1033 | 496 | 282 | 212 | 102 | 2.89 |
| 5341 | 4404 | 5101 | 9722 | 2281 | 936 | 660 | 303 | 169 | 189 | 3.29 |
| 5757 | 4149 | 3338 | 3655 | 6693 | 1524 | 593 | 399 | 180 | 213 | 3.49 |
| 8345 | 4501 | 3174 | 2430 | 2569 | 4583 | 996 | 372 | 246 | 243 | 3.33 |
| 23781 | 6427 | 3368 | 2224 | 1625 | 1659 | 2782 | 573 | 210 | 276 | 2.39 |
| 45472 | 17936 | 4664 | 2238 | 1389 | 969 | 910 | 1419 | 284 | 241 | 1.85 |
| 28649 | 34290 | 13014 | 3098 | 1397 | 827 | 531 | 464 | 704 | 260 | 2.13 |
| 24102 | 20928 | 23745 | 7973 | 1741 | 736 | 388 | 225 | 189 | 394 | 2.39 |
| 38710 | 18455 | 15730 | 15737 | 4389 | 892 | 357 | 195 | 108 | 280 | 2.33 |
| 59250 | 29071 | 13532 | 9806 | 7723 | 1963 | 372 | 156 | 80 | 160 | 2.08 |
| 54901 | 44920 | 21576 | 8692 | 5090 | 3691 | 881 | 174 | 69 | 106 | 2.15 |
| 51438 | 41793 | 33513 | 14039 | 4623 | 2503 | 1710 | 425 | 80 | 81 | 2.31 |
| 40514 | 38802 | 30820 | 21187 | 7074 | 2133 | 1080 | 773 | 181 | 68 | 2.52 |
| 22607 | 30291 | 28291 | 18946 | 10128 | 3067 | 858 | 458 | 307 | 99 | 2.84 |
| 5635 | 17142 | 22487 | 18183 | 9846 | 4847 | 1378 | 403 | 203 | 180 | 3.42 |
| 2431 | 4319 | 12902 | 14953 | 10073 | 5081 | 2370 | 699 | 195 | 186 | 4.06 |
| 1759 | 1856 | 3234 | 8470 | 8086 | 5052 | 2407 | 1168 | 328 | 178 | 4.63 |
| 694* | 1334 | 1379 | 2081 | 4412 | 3880 | 2277 | 1133 | 520 | 226 | 5.19 |
| | 533** | 1007 | 922 | 1165 | 2306 | 1924 | 1171 | 556 | 366 | |
| | Age 1 3266 4093 14535 18127 10466 8562 8966 6676 9372 24739 8691 5660 5341 5757 8345 23781 45472 28649 24102 38710 59250 54901 51438 40514 22607 51438 40514 22607 | Age 1Age 2326637664093249914535317218127111721812711172104661366385627808896661926676667693724980247396790869117978566067155341440457574149834545012378164274547217936286493429024102209283871018455592502907154901449205143841793405143880222607302915635171422431431917591856694*1334 | Age 1Age 2Age 3326637664532409324992791145353172188718127111722367104661366381008562780897588966619253286676667644109372498047672473967903407869117978466656606715135185341440451015757414933388345450131742378164273368454721793646642864934290130142871018455157305925020071135325490144920215765493143192224875405171422248754351714222487543643191290256351714222487694*13341379 | Age 1Age 2Age 3Age 4326637664532246140932499279131231453531721887198718127111722367131610466136638100157085627808975852368966619253285828667666764410342793724980476728492473967903407284056606715135183296575741493338365583454501317424302378164273368222445472179364664223828649342901301430982410220928237459806549014492021576869254901308121403414034540313249335131403940514388023082021187540517142224871818366351714222487181836634133413792081 | Age 1Age 2Age 3Age 4Age 33266376645322461234040932499279131231612145353172188719872133181271117223671316132110466136638100157082085627808975852362847667666764410342734889372498047672849206324739679034072849157956606715135183296139353414404510197222281575741493338365566933834545013174230813972410220928237457973174138710184551573015731438959250290711353298067723549014492021576869250945431341793335131403946235405417142224871818398462405143880230820211877074540517142224871818398465433141941290214953100735434431912902149531007454451533**13245454545454551714222487181839846645413341379246454 | Age 1Age 2Age 3Age 4Age 5Age 6326637664532246123402205409324992791312316121474145353172188719872133106718127111722367131613211368104661366381001570820785856278089758523694646889666192532852822847479667666764410342734881614937249804767284920631991247396790340728601575804556067151351832961393103353414404510197222281936455754149333836556693152453746427336822241625165953816427336822241625165923781642733682238138998454901130341573438989254910449201573164334031537549104492015768692509036915491143922157164536472134549133494140314034623250454914348023051140346232504549153691 <td< td=""><td>Age 1Age 2Age 3Age 4Age 5Age 6Age 732663766453224612340220513144093249927913123161214741297145353172188719872133106792318127111722367131613211368642104661366381001570820788748856278089758523694640840889666192532858282847479209667666764410342734881614247937249804767284920631991838247396790340728601578103248975660671515183296153810334665757414933383655669315245915374440451019722228193691657574149336822241625165923758454501317424301389969917258493429013014309813973823575925029071135329806772319633175940144922157649314232503171054901449221576493142325031710549113531403<</td><td>Age 1Age 2Age 3Age 4Age 5Age 6Age 7Age 832663766453224412440249927913123161214741297101114535317218871987213310679237741453531722367131613211368642525104601366381001570820785788376856278089758523694646840836886606192532858282847479209164667664702849206319118381318247396790340728601558105289733955606715135183265166315314062039554144045101972222819366603303555741493338365566931524593304534144045101972221819462133464237816427336822241625155896931412454917344304510313744383265276113943655669315245153446424702209233767573141436821545278164273368222416251659157416452849<!--</td--><td>Age 1Age 2Age 3Age 4Age 5Age 6Age 7Age 8Age 932663766453224612340205518411711150440932499279131231612147412971021960145353172188719872133106792377458818127111722367131613211368642525431104661363810015708207857485264315862780897585236946468408538151586661925328528284747920916431866766676441034273488161424799759372498047672849206319918381184662473967903407286015581652897339161566067151351832661333103349628221253414404510197221819366603031695757414933383655669315245933991245344440451019722184156946423813896693131645454179336422381389165114192445041419244<</td><td>Age 1Age 2Age 3Age 4Age 5Age 6Age 7Age 8Age 9Age 1326637664532246123402205184117711504303740932499279131231612147412971021900202018127117223671316132113686425254311448104661363810015708207857483262609298562780897585236946468408358151552866661674410342734816142479975161666766676440326915528973394661629372940947672849163516258973391641612247396790340728691558165289733916416145660671515183266152493346626221216125757414933383655669315245733991642475757141933482551659152457321424624472173636825715343691611419255414593691521533641512142454135815341534153</td></td></td<> | Age 1Age 2Age 3Age 4Age 5Age 6Age 732663766453224612340220513144093249927913123161214741297145353172188719872133106792318127111722367131613211368642104661366381001570820788748856278089758523694640840889666192532858282847479209667666764410342734881614247937249804767284920631991838247396790340728601578103248975660671515183296153810334665757414933383655669315245915374440451019722228193691657574149336822241625165923758454501317424301389969917258493429013014309813973823575925029071135329806772319633175940144922157649314232503171054901449221576493142325031710549113531403< | Age 1Age 2Age 3Age 4Age 5Age 6Age 7Age 832663766453224412440249927913123161214741297101114535317218871987213310679237741453531722367131613211368642525104601366381001570820785788376856278089758523694646840836886606192532858282847479209164667664702849206319118381318247396790340728601558105289733955606715135183265166315314062039554144045101972222819366603303555741493338365566931524593304534144045101972221819462133464237816427336822241625155896931412454917344304510313744383265276113943655669315245153446424702209233767573141436821545278164273368222416251659157416452849 </td <td>Age 1Age 2Age 3Age 4Age 5Age 6Age 7Age 8Age 932663766453224612340205518411711150440932499279131231612147412971021960145353172188719872133106792377458818127111722367131613211368642525431104661363810015708207857485264315862780897585236946468408538151586661925328528284747920916431866766676441034273488161424799759372498047672849206319918381184662473967903407286015581652897339161566067151351832661333103349628221253414404510197221819366603031695757414933383655669315245933991245344440451019722184156946423813896693131645454179336422381389165114192445041419244<</td> <td>Age 1Age 2Age 3Age 4Age 5Age 6Age 7Age 8Age 9Age 1326637664532246123402205184117711504303740932499279131231612147412971021900202018127117223671316132113686425254311448104661363810015708207857483262609298562780897585236946468408358151552866661674410342734816142479975161666766676440326915528973394661629372940947672849163516258973391641612247396790340728691558165289733916416145660671515183266152493346626221216125757414933383655669315245733991642475757141933482551659152457321424624472173636825715343691611419255414593691521533641512142454135815341534153</td> | Age 1Age 2Age 3Age 4Age 5Age 6Age 7Age 8Age 932663766453224612340205518411711150440932499279131231612147412971021960145353172188719872133106792377458818127111722367131613211368642525431104661363810015708207857485264315862780897585236946468408538151586661925328528284747920916431866766676441034273488161424799759372498047672849206319918381184662473967903407286015581652897339161566067151351832661333103349628221253414404510197221819366603031695757414933383655669315245933991245344440451019722184156946423813896693131645454179336422381389165114192445041419244< | Age 1Age 2Age 3Age 4Age 5Age 6Age 7Age 8Age 9Age 1326637664532246123402205184117711504303740932499279131231612147412971021900202018127117223671316132113686425254311448104661363810015708207857483262609298562780897585236946468408358151552866661674410342734816142479975161666766676440326915528973394661629372940947672849163516258973391641612247396790340728691558165289733916416145660671515183266152493346626221216125757414933383655669315245733991642475757141933482551659152457321424624472173636825715343691611419255414593691521533641512142454135815341534153 |

Table 8.4.1.3 Blue whiting : Stock numbers (millions) and mean age in the stock as estimated by the final SMS run

*substituted by 1759 in forecast

** substituted by 1350 in forecast

| Year | Recruits | TSB | SSB | Yield- SOP | Mean F |
|-------------|-----------|----------|----------|------------|----------|
| | (million) | (tonnes) | (tonnes) | (tonnes) | ages 3-7 |
| 1981 | 3266 | 3416910 | 2941620 | 922980 | 0.276 |
| 1982 | 4093 | 2854080 | 2425600 | 550643 | 0.224 |
| 1983 | 14535 | 2831560 | 1975980 | 553344 | 0.257 |
| 1984 | 18127 | 2902640 | 1721480 | 615569 | 0.337 |
| 1985 | 10466 | 3134440 | 1987460 | 678214 | 0.378 |
| 1986 | 8562 | 3247810 | 2296380 | 847145 | 0.505 |
| 1987 | 8966 | 2932370 | 1984250 | 654718 | 0.386 |
| 1988 | 6676 | 2605620 | 1788070 | 552264 | 0.380 |
| 1989 | 9372 | 2624510 | 1711380 | 630316 | 0.498 |
| 1990 | 24739 | 2963370 | 1541930 | 558128 | 0.486 |
| 1991 | 8691 | 3547730 | 1977740 | 364008 | 0.236 |
| 1992 | 5660 | 3650940 | 2642320 | 474592 | 0.207 |
| 1993 | 5341 | 3427890 | 2567540 | 475198 | 0.214 |
| 1994 | 5757 | 3266990 | 2486790 | 457696 | 0.188 |
| 1995 | 8345 | 3225650 | 2306970 | 505176 | 0.249 |
| 1996 | 23781 | 3465440 | 2152840 | 621104 | 0.334 |
| 1997 | 45472 | 5064690 | 2237090 | 639681 | 0.335 |
| 1998 | 28649 | 6214410 | 3207220 | 1131950 | 0.464 |
| 1999 | 24102 | 6735100 | 3876780 | 1261030 | 0.417 |
| 2000 | 38710 | 7495860 | 4168130 | 1412450 | 0.538 |
| 2001 | 59250 | 9034050 | 4550790 | 1771810 | 0.479 |
| 2002 | 54901 | 10775100 | 5546270 | 1556950 | 0.454 |
| 2003 | 51438 | 11840200 | 6836070 | 2365320 | 0.511 |
| 2004 | 40514 | 10716100 | 6771820 | 2400790 | 0.566 |
| 2005 | 22607 | 9049390 | 6210260 | 2018340 | 0.478 |
| 2006 | 5635 | 7694430 | 5932350 | 1956240 | 0.411 |
| 2007 | 2431 | 5476240 | 4631470 | 1612270 | 0.436 |
| 2008 | 1759 | 3735480 | 3255380 | 1251850 | 0.476 |
| 2009 | 694* | 2340630 | 2095890 | 634978 | 0.399 |
| 2010 | | | 1339320 | | |
| arith. mean | 18708 | 5043780 | 3172240 | 1016371 | 0.383 |
| geo. mean | 11366 | | | | |

Table 8.4.1.4 Blue whiting: Estimated recruitment, total stock biomass (TBS), spawning stock biomass (SSB), landings weight (Yield) and average fishing mortality.

*Substituted by 1759 in prediction

| | SRR Fmsy | YPR | | | |
|-------------------|----------|---------------|-------------|------|-------|
| | Ricker | Beverton-Holt | Hockeystick | F01 | Fmax |
| Deterministic | 0.20 | 0.31 | 0.37 | 0.20 | 1.57* |
| Stochastic median | 0.22 | 0.16 | 0.26 | 0.20 | 1.29* |
| CV | 0.75 | 0.68 | 0.75 | 0.34 | 0.51 |

Table 8.6.1 Deterministic and stochastic estimates of F reference points for the Blue Whiting stock (*=poorly defined).

Table 8.7.1.1 Blue whiting 1 group RCT3 Input.

BLUE WHITING DATA 1 GROUP

| 2 3 | 30 | 2 | | |
|------|------|--------|---------------|-----------|
| 'YEA | AR ' | 'VPA' | 'Barents_idx' | 'IES_idx' |
| 1980 |) | 3266 - | -11 - | -11 |
| 1981 | Ĺ | 4093 | 0.010144928 | -11 |
| 1982 | 2 | 14535 | 0.456467662 | -11 |
| 1983 | 3 | 18127 | 2.473336705 | -11 |
| 1984 | 1 | 10466 | 0.772955488 | -11 |
| 1985 | 5 | 8562 | 0.893334361 | -11 |
| 1986 | 5 | 8966 | 0.020615577 | -11 |
| 1987 | 7 | 6676 | 0.96928982 | -11 |
| 1988 | 3 | 9372 | 0.175609756 | -11 |
| 1989 | 9 | 24739 | 16.37007012 | -11 |
| 1990 |) | 8691 | 2.105831953 | -11 |
| 1991 | L | 5660 | 0.056229538 | -11 |
| 1992 | 2 | 5341 | 0.005464481 | -11 |
| 1993 | 3 | 5757 | -11 | -11 |
| 1994 | 1 | 8345 | 0.100640739 | -11 |
| 1995 | 5 | 23781 | 5.812809481 | -11 |
| 1996 | 5 | 45472 | 175.2618555 | -11 |
| 1997 | 7 | 28649 | 0.209994558 | -11 |
| 1998 | 3 | 24102 | 0.70887144 | -11 |
| 1999 | 9 | 38710 | 120.9015612 | 48927 |
| 2000 |) | 59250 | 233.7569233 | 85772 |
| 2001 | L | 54901 | 9.6862936 | 15251 |
| 2002 | 2 | 51438 | 15.1463275 | 35688 |
| 2003 | 3 | 40514 | 36.73747791 | 49254 |
| 2004 | 1 | 22607 | 90.23164366 | 54660 |
| 2005 | 5 | 5635 | 3.524569802 | 570 |
| 2006 | 5 | 2431 | 0.160115526 | 21 |
| 2007 | 7 | 1759 | 0.013165266 | 29 |
| 2008 | 3 | 694 | 0 | 0 |
| 2009 | 9 | -11 | 0.1 | 0 |

Table 8.7.1.2. Blue whiting. RCT3 output. Year class abundance is number of age 1

BLUE WHITING DATA 1 GROUP Data for 2 surveys over 30 years : 1980 - 2009 Regression type = C Tapered time weighting applied power = 3 over 20 years Survey weighting not applied Final estimates shrunk towards mean Minimum S.E. for any survey taken as .20 Minimum of 3 points used for regression Forecast/Hindcast variance correction used. Yearclass = 2008

I-----Prediction------I

Survey/ Slope Inter- Std Rsquare No. Index Predicted Std WAP Series cept Error Pts Value Value Error Weights

 Barent
 .86
 7.74
 1.30
 .506
 26
 .00
 7.74
 1.564
 .135

 IES_id
 .44
 6.03
 .45
 .921
 9
 .00
 6.03
 .710
 .653

VPA Mean = 9.75 1.245 .213

Yearclass = 2009

I-----Prediction------I

Survey/ Slope Inter- Std Rsquare No. Index Predicted Std WAP Series cept Error Pts Value Value Error Weights

 Barent
 1.01
 7.29
 1.46
 .548
 27
 .10
 7.38
 1.742
 .086

 IES_id
 .41
 6.28
 .42
 .950
 10
 .00
 6.28
 .572
 .801

VPA Mean = 9.48 1.526 .112

| Year | Weighted | Log | Int | Ext | Var | VPA | Log |
|------------|----------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Class | Average | WAP | Std | Std | Ratio | | VPA |
| Prediction | | | | Error | Error | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 2000 | 34044 | 10.44 | 0.62 | 1.1 | 3.17 | 59251 | 10.99 |
| 2001 | 19654 | 9.89 | 0.57 | 0.16 | 0.08 | 54902 | 10.91 |
| 2002 | 24332 | 10.1 | 0.63 | 0.18 | 0.08 | 51439 | 10.85 |
| 2003 | 32981 | 10.4 | 0.65 | 0.32 | 0.24 | 40514 | 10.61 |
| 2004 | 41437 | 10.63 | 0.61 | 0.43 | 0.49 | 22608 | 10.03 |
| 2005 | 22695 | 10.03 | 0.63 | 0.77 | 1.5 | 5635 | 8.64 |
| 2006 | 7143 | 8.87 | 0.64 | 1.05 | 2.71 | 2431 | 7.8 |
| 2007 | 3889 | 8.27 | 0.55 | 0.73 | 1.76 | 1760 | 7.47 |
| 2008 | 1156 | 7.05 | 0.57 | 1.07 | 3.47 | 695 | 6.54 |
| 2009 | 838 | 6.73 | 0.51 | 0.73 | 2.01 | | |

| Age | Weights in the Stock | Weights in the catch | Proportion Mature | Exploitation Pattern | Stock Numbers 2010 |
|-----|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1 | 0.054 | 0.054 | 0.11 | 0.064 | 1759061 |
| 2 | 0.074 | 0.074 | 0.40 | 0.082 | 1350912 |
| 3 | 0.099 | 0.099 | 0.82 | 0.202 | 1006690 |
| 4 | 0.109 | 0.109 | 0.86 | 0.380 | 921984 |
| 5 | 0.120 | 0.120 | 0.91 | 0.449 | 1165490 |
| 6 | 0.134 | 0.134 | 0.94 | 0.501 | 2306190 |
| 7 | 0.147 | 0.147 | 1 | 0.465 | 1924460 |
| 8 | 0.169 | 0.169 | 1 | 0.511 | 1171240 |
| 9 | 0.196 | 0.196 | 1 | 0.511 | 556378 |
| 10 | 0.236 | 0.236 | 1 | 0.511 | 366418 |

Table 8.7.2.1. Blue Whiting input to short term projection.

| 2010 | | | | | | | |
|-----------|-------------|---------------------|---------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-------------|
| Biomass | SSB | FMult | FBar | Landings | | | |
| 1520700 | 1313230 | 1.27 | 0.51 | 548000 | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | Catch(2011) | Basis | F(2011) | SSB(2011) | SSB(2012) | %SSB change | %TAC change |
| | 0 | F=0 | 0 | 795660 | 839885 | 6 | -100 |
| | 32206 | F2009*0.1 | 0.04 | 795660 | 808075 | 2 | -94 |
| | 40138 | Management plan | 0.05 | 795660 | 800252 | 1 | -93 |
| | 50719 | MSY Framework | 0.06 | 795660 | 789822 | -1 | -91 |
| | 77955 | F2009*0.25 | 0.10 | 795660 | 763013 | -4 | -86 |
| | 118457 | ICES MSY transition | 0.16 | 795660 | 723252 | -9 | -78 |
| | 134804 | FMSY | 0.18 | 795660 | 707241 | -11 | -75 |
| | 147887 | F2009*0.50 | 0.20 | 795660 | 694444 | -13 | -73 |
| | 210710 | F2009*0.75 | 0.30 | 795660 | 633212 | -20 | -62 |
| | 222920 | F=Fpa | 0.32 | 795660 | 621356 | -22 | -59 |
| | 222920 | EC MSY transition | 0.32 | 795660 | 621356 | -22 | -59 |
| | 267121 | Fsq=F2009 | 0.40 | 795660 | 578574 | -27 | -51 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | Catch(2012) | Basis | F(2012) | SSB(2012) | SSB(2013) | %SSB change | |
| | 0 | F=0 | 0 | 839885 | 951846 | 13 | |
| | 32731 | F2009*0.1 | 0.04 | 808075 | 890283 | 10 | |
| | 40365 | Management plan | 0.05 | 800252 | 875564 | 9 | |
| | 50288 | MSY Framework | 0.06 | 789822 | 856198 | 8 | |
| | 74465 | F2009*0.25 | 0.10 | 763013 | 807770 | 6 | |
| | 106812 | ICES MSY transition | 0.16 | 723252 | 739493 | 2 | |
| | 118659 | FMSY | 0.18 | 707241 | 713190 | 1 | |
| | 127646 | F2009*0.50 | 0.20 | 694444 | 692652 | 0 | |
| | 164742 | F2009*0.75 | 0.30 | 633212 | 600323 | -5 | |
| | 170806 | F=Fpa | 0.32 | 621356 | 583570 | -6 | |
| | 189703 | Fsq=F2009 | 0.40 | 578574 | 526099 | -9 | |
| | 197498 | EC MSY transition | 0.44 | 556937 | 498791 | -10 | |

Table 8.7.2.2. Blue Whiting. Short term projection. Biomass and catch in tonnes



Figure 8.2.1. Blue whiting landings (tonnes) in 2009 presented by ICES area and country.



Figure 8.2.2. Total blue whiting catches (t) in 2008 by ICES rectangle. Catches below 10 t are not shown on the map.





Quarter 2



Quarter 3

Quarter 4

Figure 8.2.3. Blue whiting total catches (t) in 2008 by quarter and ICES rectangle. Grading of the symbols: small dots 10–100 t, white squares 100–1000 t, grey squares 1000–10 000 t, and black squares > 10 000 t. Catches below 10 t are not shown on the map.



В



Figure 8.3.1.1. (A) Annual catch (tonnes) of blue whiting by fishery sub-areas from 1998-2009 and (B) the percentage contribution to the overall catch by fishery sub-area over the same period.

A



Figure 8.3.1.2. Distribution of total landings of blue whiting by ICES sub-area.



Figure 8.3.1.3. Distribution of total landings of blue whiting by quarter.



Catch proportion at age for Blue whiting

Figure 8.3.1.3.1 Catch proportion at age of blue whiting in the International catch from 1981-2009.



Figure 8.3.1.3.2. Blue whiting. Age disaggregated blue whiting catch (numbers) plotted on log scale. The labels behind each panel indicate year classes. The grey dotted lines correspond to Z=0.6.



Figure 8.3.3.1. Mean catch weight (kg) at age of blue whiting by year.



Figure 8.3.5.1.1. (A) Approximate 50% and 95% confidence limits for blue whiting biomass estimates. The confidence limits are based on the assumption that confidence limits for annual estimates of mean acoustic density can be translated to confidence limits of biomass estimates by expressing them as relative deviations from the mean values. These confidence limits only account for spatio-temporal variability in acoustic observations. (B) Internal consistency within the International blue whiting spawning stock survey. The upper left part of the plots shows the relationship between log index-at-age within a cohort. Linear regression line shows the best fit to the log-transformed indices. The lower-right part of the plots shows the correlation coefficient (r) for the two ages plotted in that panel. The background colour of each panel is determined by the r value, where red equates to r=1 and white to r=-1.



Figure 8.3.5.1.2. Schematic map of blue whiting acoustic density (sA, m2/nm2) found during the spawning survey in spring 2007-2010.



Figure 8.3.5.1.3. Length (line) and age (bars) distribution of the blue whiting stock in the area to the west of the British Isles, spring 2006 (lower panel) to 2010 (upper panel).



Figure 8.3.5.2.1. Areas defined for acoustic estimation of blue whiting and Norwegian spring spawning herring in the International Ecosystem survey in the Nordic Seas. The dark red box in the middle represents the standard area (8°W–20°E and north of 63°N) of which blue whiting data is used for assessment. The outer green box represents the total survey area.



Low er right panels show the Coefficient of Correlation (r)

Figure 8.3.5.2.2. Internal consistency within the International Ecosystem survey in the Nordic Seas for blue whiting. The upper left part of the plots shows the relationship between log index-at-age within a cohort. Linear regression line shows the best fit to the log-transformed indices. The lower-right part of the plots shows the regression coefficient (r) for the two ages plotted in that panel. The background colour of each panel is determined by the r value, where red equates to r=1 and white to r=-1.





Figure 8.3.5.2.3. Schematic map of blue whiting acoustic density (sA, m2/nm2) found during the International Ecosystem survey in the Nordic Seas in spring 2005–2010.



Figure 8.3.5.2.4. Estimated length (line) and age (bar) distributions of blue whiting in the International Ecosystem Survey in the Nordic Seas in May–June for 2006-2010 based on the "standard survey area" between 8°W-20°E and north of 63°N.





Figure 8.4.1.1 Blue Whiting SMS data exploration. Comparison of SMS run. The final 2009 assessment is compared with the 2010 assessment with and without data from the 2010 IBWSSS.



Figure 8.4.1.2 Blue Whiting SMS data exploration. Residuals from the IBWSSS survey for the without the use of the 2010 data (upper panel) and with the 2010 IBWSS data (lower panel).



Figure 8.4.1 3. Blue Whiting SMS data exploration, 2010 all data used configuration: effect on SSB (top panel), mean fishing mortality *F* bar (ages 3–7; middle panel) and estimated recruitment (bottom panel) of changing the a priori weighting on the survey observations. The *a priori* weight on catch observations is kept constant at 1.0, and thus a weighting factor of, for example, 2 represents a relative weight on the survey twice that of the catches.



Figure 8.4.1.4 Blue Whiting SMS data exploration Retrospective analysis of SSB, F and recruitment (age 1) using all available data.





Figure 8.4.1.5 Blue Whiting SMS data exploration. Sensitivity analysis for the use of The International ecosystem survey in the Nordic Sea. Run a) uses the survey indices as they are (including zero-observations); in run b) zero values are replaced by the lowest observed value >0; and in run c) the survey is not used at all.



Figure 8.4.1.6. Blue Whiting SMS final run. Red (dark) bubbles show that the observed value is larger than the expected value. The bubble at right is the size of the largest residual.







Figure 8.4.1.7. Blue Whiting SMS final run: survey residuals for survey observations for the Norwegian spawning stock survey (top panel), the International ecosystem survey in the Nordic seas (middle panel) and the International Blue Whiting Spawning Stock Survey (IBWSSS; bottom panel). Red (dark) bubbles show that the observed value is larger than the expected value. The bubble at right is the size of the largest residual. The bubble-size scale is constant between the individual surveys.



Figure 8.4.1.8. Blue whiting SMS final run: comparison of observed and predicted catch weight from the SMS run.



Figure 8.4.1.9.Blue whiting SMS final run: Stock summary. SSB at 1st January 2010 does notinclude age 1.



Figure 8.4.1.10. Blue whiting SMS final run: Estimates of CV of mean F and SSB.



Figure 8.4.1.11. Blue whiting SMS final run: Stock summary with mean value and 95% confidence interval. SSB at 1st January 2010 does not include age 1.



Figure 8.4.2.1. Blue whiting TISVPA data exploration Profiles of components of the TISVPA loss function. Survey 1 = Norwegian Spawning Stock Survey, survey 2 = International Survey in the Nordic Seas and survey 3 = IBWSSS.



Figure 8.4.2.2. Blue whiting TISVPA data exploration: estimated selection pattern









Figure 8.4.2.3. Blue whiting TISVPA data exploration: model residuals for catch at age data and the three blue whiting surveys.



Figure 8.4.2.4 Blue Whiting TISVPA data exploration: retrospective analysis for SSB (upper panel), *F*-bar (ages 3-7) and recruitment (age 1).





Figure 8.4.2.5. Blue whiting TISVPA data exploration: Estimates of uncertainty of the results

Residuals





Intern. survey in north seas



Int. spawning stock survey



Figure 8.4.3.1. Blue whiting XSA data exploration: survey residuals.


Figure 8.4.3.2. Blue whiting XSA data exploration: retrospective analysis.



Figure 8.4.4.1. Blue whiting data exploration: comparison between final exploratory SMS, TISVPA and XSA-backshifted assessments estimates of recruitment (age 1), F bar (ages 3-7) and SSB.



Figure 8.6.1. Deterministic and stochastic (taking into account uncertainty in weights, selectivity and maturity at age) stock recruit relationship fits for the Blue Whiting stock. Stock-recruit pairs are from the period 1981-2009.



Figure 8.6.2. The yield-per-recruit (YPR) curve for the Blue Whiting stock (bottom) and resulting stochastic estimates of F reference points (top).

9.1 Mackerel

To MKAMAC: Investigate aging problems as the reason for 1) Mean weight at age 1 in 2008-2009 equals mean weight at age in previous years 2) Two recent strong YC in assessment is 2005-2006 in contrast to 2004-2005.

To WGMEGS: Investigate lab effect on fecundity estimation

To Mackerel benchmark group: Raise discards from the available samples to total catches.

To Mackerel benchmark group: Develop and test new state based assessment model that do not depend on the separability assumption.

To WGNAPES: Standardize sampling and review calculations for the pelagic trawl summer survey in the Nordic seas

To National institutes: Improve collection of mean weight at age in the stock at the time of spawning.

To Mackerel benchmark group: Reanalyse existing data and look for new indicators that could be used to quantify recruitment and juveniles.

To Mackerel benchmark group: Raise timeseries of MES to whole area including North Sea and NW.

To Mackerel benchmark group: Update analysis of unaccounted mortality and explore novel methods for identification of the source and variation in unaccounted mortality.

To IMARES (Netherlands): Re-analyse otoliths from samples used for WEST in 2008-2009.

To WGWIDE: Update time series of WEST given correction of aging from IMARES.

To Mackerel benchmark group: Include aging uncertainty estimated by WKAMAC in new assessment model.

WGWIDE recommends applying the tagging time series as additional fishery independent information for tuning the NEA mackerel stock assessment. Due to the considerable changes in migration pattern of NEA mackerel observed in later years and to improve the time series WGWIDE further recommends that tagging/screening has to be continued on an international basis.

Carried on from last year:

To SCICOM: that a WGWIDE surveys coordination group consisting of experts on acoustics, pelagic trawling, survey design, biology and assessment is established to improve and modify existing surveys targeting mackerel. This group should deal with the harmonization and coordination of national and international surveys that already are targeting mackerel, particularly the ongoing surveys in the mackerel feeding area during the summer, and other surveys that with minor adjustments can provide such information.

To Mackerel benchmark group: WGWIDE recommends that in a future benchmark for mackerel the tagging time-series is evaluated as an additional fishery independent information for tuning the NEA mackerel stock assessment.

To ACOM and NATIONAL DELEGATES: WGWIDE recommends that attention is drawn to MS on their level of participation on the survey given their share in the mackerel total catch in order to attain a better coverage of mackerel spawning area at peak spawning time.

To Norway, Denmark and Germany: That acoustic data on mackerel from the North Sea herring cruise are stored and made available for scrutinizing by acoustic experts

9.2 Blue whiting

The assessment model has changed and assessment settings have also been altered in recent years. A consistent assessment method with well defined settings is needed for this stock. This should be examined in detail by the benchmark assessment which is planned for 2011. There is a also a need to investigate other possible recruitment indices. The Barents Sea index shows close correlations with the recruitment estimates that are generated from the assessment. Other surveys which catch juvenile blue whiting should also be examined. A discussion over allowable effort on the spawning grounds as a means to limit disturbance to recruitment could also be discussed.

In 2009 ACOM advised that a benchmark for blue whiting should be postponed until the stock structure issues are clarified. The WG believes assessments of individual components of the stock will not be feasible. It would be very difficult to organise what needs to be done, locate relevant expertise and allocate the work before a benchmark in early 2011. If this could be done over the next year, this stock should be an ideal candidate for a benchmark in 2012.

The working group proposes that a benchmark assessment takes place prior to the WGWIDE meeting in 2012.

Benchmark information for Blue Whiting.

| Stock | whb-comb: Blue whiting in Subareas I-IX, XII and XIV (Combined stock) | | | |
|--------------------------|---|---|---|--|
| Stock coordinator | Name: Manuel Meixide | Email: manolo.meixide@vi.ieo.es | | |
| Stock assessor | Name: Morten Vinther | Email: mv@aqua.dtu.dk | | |
| Data contact | Name: ??? | Email: | | |
| | | | | |
| Issue | Problem/Aim | Work needed / possible direction of solution | Data needed to be able to do this: are these available / where should these come from? | External expertise needed at benchmark |
| Tuning series | The precision of the IBWSSS survey is in general believed to be low (PGNAPES, ICES CM 2009/RMC:06). The assessment appears sensitive to the survey data (to the extension of the IBWSSS time series). | ?? | IBWSSS survey results (PGNAPES) | Survey experts. |
| | Poor indices of the incoming year classes in recent years (mismatch between survey indices and the amounts caught from these year classes later on) | Investigate other possible recruitment indices. Other surveys which catch juvenile blue whiting should also be examined. | The Barents Sea index shows close correlations with the recruitment estimates that are generated from the assessment. | Survey experts. |
| Discards | N/A – expected to be low. | | | N/A |
| Biological Parameters | Recruitment. Total collapse in recruitment. Has there been a Change in location of juveniles? It could be possible to merge available data on the occurrence of young fish in the commercial and | Analyse spatial distribution of juveniles. | | |

| Stock | whb-comb: Blue whiting in Subareas I-IX, XII and XIV (Combined stock) | | | |
|-------|--|---|----------------|--|
| | other catches at time of the low stock level in the past, then adapt the traditional surveys to the new conditions. | | | |
| | Stock identification. The stock identification methods working group (SIMWG) recommendeds that the blue whiting populations in areas VIIk and VIIj and further south be management as a separate unit from all other NE populations | | | |
| | Maturity at age used in the assessment is obtained by combining maturity ogives from the southern and northern areas, weighted by catch in numbers at age (ICES, 1995). These values have been used since 1994. Although the values of maturity at age may be too low, sufficient information for estimating new ogives is not available. | Evaluate available data on maturity at age for trends over time. | Maturity data. | |
| | Possible causal relations for the visible reductions in mean weight at age were investigated by WGWIDE in 2008. Ecosystem conditions could be responsible for the change in mean weight at age. An in depth analysis of the causes of these changes in mean weights, which would be needed for any kind of forecast is needed (ICES, 2008a). | | | |

| Stock | whb-comb: Blue whiting in Subareas I-IX, XII and XIV (Combined stock) | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|---|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | | | |
| Assessment method | The assessment method (SMS) is sensitive to changes in model structure. It is difficult to obtain stable and consistent estimates of the recent stock abundance and mortality. A consistent assessment method with well defined settings is needed for this stock (Skagen 2010 WP*) | Modelling work. | Catch at age, survey data as above. | Stock assessment. |
| Biological Reference Points | Currently used reference point are based on management strategy evaluation simulations and are believed to be valid. However, these values may need to be re- evaluated should the perception of the stock change significantly with a new assessment. | Simulation modelling / yield per recruit analyses. | Assessment outputs, weights at age. | Management strategy evaluation. |

*Skagen WP, WGWIDE 2010. "On the assessment of Blue Whiting"

9.3 Southern Horse Mackerel

The catches of the southern horse mackerel stock come exclusively from mixedfisheries. Horse mackerel in ICES IXa subdivision is mainly caught by bottom-trawl, together with hake and other demersal fish, and by purse-seine, by the same fleet that targets sardine, chub mackerel and other pelagic species. Part of the catches also come from artisanal fleets. Given the increasing importance of a mixed-fisheries approach for fishery management, and the move towards multi-fleet/multi-species management plans in Iberian waters, it would be convenient that the southern horse mackerel stock assessment is carried out in a WG dealing with other stocks caught in the same fisheries. Therefore, WGWIDE recommends that ICES moves the southern horse mackerel stock to an assessment WG dealing with Iberian stocks that are caught together with southern horse mackerel.

10 Abstracts of Working Documents

Cruise report from the coordinated ecosystem survey with M/V "Libas" and M/V "Brennholm", M/V "Finnur Fridi" and R/V "Arni Fridriksson" in the Norwegian Sea and surrounding waters, 9 July- 20 August 2010

Norway (Nøttestad *et al.*), Faroes (Jacobsen *et al.*) and Iceland (Sveinbjørnsson *et al.*) Abstract

Two chartered Norwegian fishing vessels M/V "Libas" and M/V "Brennholm", one chartered Faroese vessel M/V "Finnur Fridi" and the research vessel R/V "Arni Fridriksson" performed an ecosystem survey from 9 July until 20 August 2010 in the Norwegian Sea and adjacent waters. The abundances of Northeast Atlantic mackerel (Scomber scombrus L.), Norwegian spring-spawning herring (Clupea harengus L.) and blue whiting (Micromesistius poutassou L.) were measured acoustically. The total acoustical estimate of biomass of mackerel was 12.1 million tons, while swept area estimate from trawl catches was 4.5 million tons. Mackerel was distributed over larger areas than previously documented for the Nordic Seas in July-August. Furthermore, a central and western distribution was pronounced in July 2010. Repeated offshore catches of two year's old individuals indicate that the Norwegian Sea is increasingly showing to be an important nursery and feeding ground for immature mackerel. The 2005- and 2006 year classes dominated with 24% and 31% of total catches, respectively. Estimated biomass of herring was 10.7 million tons. Herring had rather periphery distribution in the Norwegian Sea and surrounding waters, and the majority of individuals were distributed feeding in the colder and frontal waters in the western, northwestern and northeastern parts of the Norwegian Sea. Herring also ate adult capelin, representing new scientific knowledge. The 2002 and 2004 year classes were most abundant representing 20% and 27% of the acoustical estimates, respectively. Estimated biomass of blue whiting was 3.46 million tons in the Norwegian Sea in July. The 2004 year class dominated with 36 % of the the acoustical estimates followed by the 2003 year class with 23% of the acoustical estimates. No major young year classes less than four years of age were found during the survey. A total of nine salmon were caught in the epi-pelagic trawl hauls. Lumpsucker were caught in vast areas of the covered areas. Horse mackerel were caught in the southernmost area of the Norwegian Sea.

Surface waters in the eastern, central and northern Norwegian Sea were colder compared to the last year, but still warmer than average temperature the last two decades. Extremely warm temperatures were found in the southern and southwestern part off Iceland.

Zooplankton concentrations including *Calanus finmarchicus*, krill and amphipods were generally low, except a few locations in the southernmost areas.

Fewer marine mammals were generally present in the Norwegian Sea in July 2010, compared to previous years. Low concentrations of krill and amphipods also suggest why baleen whales such as humpback whale and minke whale were scarcely present in the Norwegian Sea in July.

Key words: Norwegian Sea, planktivorous fish, herring, mackerel, blue whiting, abundance, distribution, spatial overlap, feeding ecology, schooling behavior, predatorprey interactions. Jens Ulleweit¹, Finlay Burns², Cindy van Damme⁴, Merete Fonn⁵, Matthias Kloppmann¹, Steve Milligan³, Anders Thorsen⁵: 2010 International Mackerel and Horse Mackerel Egg Survey - Preliminary Results

¹ vTI–SF, Palmaille 9, Hamburg, Germany, ² MSML, Victoria Rd., Aberdeen, Scotland, ³ CEFAS, Pakefield Rd, Lowestoft, Suffolk, England, ⁴ IMARES, Haringkade, IJmuiden., Netherlands, ⁵ IMR, Nordnesgaten, Nordnes, Bergen, Norway

Abstract

The working document describes and discusses the preliminary results of the 2010 international mackerel and horse mackerel egg survey.

On the assessment of Blue whiting

Dankert Skagen (IMR)

The assessment of Blue whiting has presented difficulties at the time of obtaining stable and consistent estimates of the recent stock abundance and mortality. More recently, the estimates of incoming year classes has been an additional problem. This WD attempts to sort out the sources of the instability and proposes possible ways out. The signals in the data are examined to identify conflicts and, assessment formulations with assumptions that are in accordance with the signals in the data are considered.

Catch at age and acoustic survey data, one on the spawning grounds in spring, and one in the Norwegian sea in summer, are analysed. In the most recent years, when the recruitment has been poor, there has been a mismatch between survey indices and the amounts caught from these year classes in subsequent years; questions are raised about whether this survey can be used as an indicator of recruitment. Estimates of the state of the stock in the most recent year appear driven by the noise in the catch data. The separability assumption in the current assessment model may be contributing to that effect.

11 References

- Abaunza, P., Gordo, L., Karlou-Riga, C., Murta, A., Eltink, A.T.G.W., García Santamaría, M.T., Zimmermann, C., Hammer, C., Lucio, P., Iversen, S.A., Molloy, J., Gallo, E., 2003. Growth and reproduction of horse mackerel, *Trachurus trachurus* (Carangidae). Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries, 13(1): 27 – 61.
- Abaunza, P., Gordo, L.S., García Santamaría, M.T., Iversen, S.A., Murta, A.G., Gallo, E., 2008s. Life history parameters as an important basis for the initial recognition of stock management units in horse mackerel (Trachurus trachurus). Fisheries Research 89: 167 – 180.
- Abaunza, P., Murta, A.G., Campbell, N., Cimmaruta, R., Comesaña, A.S., Dahle, G., García Santamaría, M.T., Gordo, L.S., Iversen, S.A., MacKenzie, K., Magoulas, A., Mattiucci, S., Molloy, J., Pinto, A.L., Quinta, R., Ramos, P., Sanjuan, A., Santos, A.T., Stransky, C., Zimmermman, C., 2008b. Stock identity of horse mackerel (Trachurus trachurus) in the Northeast Atlantic and Mediterranean Sea: integrating the results from different stock identification approaches. Fisheries Research. 89, 196 – 209.
- Agger, P. 1970. Danish mackerel investigations in 1970, Annales Biologiques, 27: 174-176
- Agger, P. 1970. Danish tagging experiments with mackerel (Scomber scombrus) 1959, 1967, 1968 and 1969. ICES CM:1970/H:24.
- Anon. 1996. Twenty-eighth Annual Report.Department of Marine and Fisheries.Dawson Press.Ottawa.Canada.
- Anon. 1997. SEFOS, Shelf Edge Fisheries and Oceanography Study. Final report: May 1997.
- Anon. 2002. Cruise report for the Norwegian summer cruise in the Norwegian Sea. Cruise report, Institute of Marine Research, Bergen, Norway.
- Anon. 2003. Cruise report for the Norwegian summer cruise in the Norwegian Sea. Cruise report, Institute of Marine Research, Bergen, Norway.
- Anon. 2005. Cruise report for M/V "Libas, M/V "Møgsterbas" and Russian airplane "Arktika" 15-29 July 2005 in the Norwegian Sea. Cruise report: 15-29 July 2005, Institute of Marine Research, Bergen, Norway.
- Anon. 2009. Report from the international meeting on mackerel distribution and migration in the Northeast Atlantic. Bergen, April 2009.
- Anon., 1999. Report of the Working Group to study appropriate harvest strategies for medium and longterm management of Norwegian spring spawning herring. September 1999.11pp.
- Anon., 2008. Report of the Working Group established by the Blue Whiting Coastal States on Blue Whiting management strategies. 26 – 30 May, 2008. Charlottenlund Castle, Denmark. 65 pp.
- Bailey, R.S., 1982. The population biology of blue whiting in the North Atlantic. Advances in Marine Biology, 19: pp 257 – 355
- Bartsch J, Coombs, S., 1997. A numerical model of the dispersal of blue whiting larvae, *Micro-mesistus poutassou* (Risso), in the eastern North Atlantic. Fisheries Oceanography, 63, 141 154.
- Beare, D. J., and Reid, D. G., 2002. Investigating spatio-temporal change in spawning activity by Atlantic mackerel between 1977and 1998 using generalized additive models. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 59: 711–724.
- Beaugrand, G., 2005. Monitoring pelagic ecosystems from plankton indicators. ICES Journal of Marine Science 62: 333–338.
- Belikov, S. V., Jakupsstovu, S. H., Shamrai, E. A., and Thomsen, B. 1998. Migration of mackerel during summer in the Norwegian Sea. ICES-CM-1998/AA:8.

- Bernal, M., 2008. Modelling the abundance, distribution and production of sardine (*Sardina pilchardus*, Walb.) eggs in the Iberian Peninsula. PhD Thesis, Universidad de Cádiz, Cádiz, Spain, 262pp.
- Bode, A., Alvarez-Ossorio, M.Y., Carreara, P. and Lorenzo, J., 2004. Reconstruction of trophic pathways between plankton and the North Iberian sardine (*Sardina pilchardus*) using stable isotopes. *Scientia Marina* 68:175 – 178.
- Bode, A., Carrera, P. and Lens, S., 2003. The pelagic foodweb in the upwelling ecosystem of Galicia (NW Spain) during spring: natural abundance of stable carbon and nitrogen isotopes. ICES Journal of Marine Science 60:11 – 22.
- Bogstad, B., Røttingen, I., Sandberg, P. and Tjelmeland, S., 2000. The use of Medium-Term forecasts in advice and management decisions for the stock of Norwegian spring spawning herring (*Clupea harengus* L.). ICES CM 2000/V:01.
- Borges, F. and Mendes, H., 2008. Sardine (*Sardina pilchardus*) recruitment is strongly affected by climate even at high spawning biomass. Science and the Challenge of Managing Small Pelagic Fisheries on Shared Stocks in Northwest Africa. Casablanca, Morocco, 11 – 14 March 2008.
- Borges, L., Rogan, E. and Officer, R. 2005. Discarding by the demersal fishery in the waters around Ireland. *Fisheries Research*, 76: 1-13.
- Borges, L., van Keeken, O.A., van Helmond, A.T.M., Couperus, B., Dickey-Collas, M., 2008. What do pelagic freezer-trawlers discard? ICES J. Mar. Sci. 65, 605 – 611.
- Borges, M.F., 1991. Biannual cohorts parameters and migration effects of horse mackerel (*Tra-churus trachurus* L.) in western Iberian waters, using length-frequency analysis. ICES CM 1991/H:52.
- Botsford, L.W., Smith, B.D. and Quinn, J.F., 1994. Bimodality in size distributions: The red sea urchin *Strongylocentrotus franciscanus* as an example. Ecological Applications, 4: 42 50.
- Brophy, D., King, P.A., 2007. Larval otolith growth histories show evidence of stock structure in Northeast Atlantic blue whiting (*Micromesistus poutassou*) ICES Journal of Marine Science, 64: 1136 – 1144.
- Cabanas, J.M., Porteiro, C. and Carrera, P., 2007. The effect of environmental changes in the NE Atlantic sardine fishery. Annex 6, ICES CM 2007/ACFM: 25.
- Cárdenas, L., Hernández, C.E., Poulin, E., Magoulas, A., Kornfield, I., Ojeda, F.P., 2005. Origin, diversification, and historical biogeography of the genus *Trachurus* (Perciformes: Carangidae). Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 35, 496 – 507.
- Castonguay, M and Beaulieu, J. L. 1993. Development of a hydroacoustic abundance index for mackerel in Cabot Strait. Department of Fisheries and Oceans Atlantic Fisheries Research Documents 93/12.
- Castro, J., Punzón, A., 2005. Pelagic *métiers* of the Northern Spanish coastal bottom trawl fleet. Working Document to 2005 ICES WGMHSA, Vigo (Spain) 6 – 15 September 2005.
- CEFAS, 1999. PA Software User's Guide.
- Couperus, A.S., 2008. Comparison of age reading from scales and otoliths for Norwegian spring spawning herring. Working Document to the Planning Group on Northeast Atlantic Pelagic Ecosystem Surveys (PGNAPES), ICES CM 2008/RMC:05
- Darby, C and Flatman S, 1994. Virtual Population Analysis:version 3.1 (Windows/DOS) user guide. Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food directorate of fisheries. Research information Technology Series Number 1
- de Barros, P. C. 1995. Quantitative studies on recruitment variations in Norwegian springspawning herring (*Clupea harengus* Linnaeus 1758), with special emphasis on the juvenile stage. Doctoral Thesis, University of Bergen, Norway, 143 pp.

- De Oliveira, J. A. A., Darby, C. D., and Roel, B. A. (submitted). A linked Separable–ADAPT VPA assessment model for western horse mackerel (*Trachurus trachurus*), accounting for realized fecundity as a function of fish weight. ICES Journal of Marine Science.
- D'Amours, D. and Castonguay, M. 1992. Spring migration of Atlantic mackerel, Scomber scombrus, in relation to water temperature through Cabot Strait (Gulf of St. Lawrence). Environ Biol Fish, 34: 393-399.
- Dickey-Collas, M., Van Helmond, E., 2007. Discards by Dutch flagged freezer trawlers. Working Document to the Working Group Mackerel, Horse Mackerel, Sardine and Anchovy (ICES CM 2007/ACFM: 31).
- Dransfeld, L., Dwane, O., Molloy, J., Gallagher, S., and Reid, D. G. 2005. Estimation of mackerel (Scomber scombrus L., 1758) and horse mackerel (Trachurus trachurus L., 1758) daily egg production outside the standard ICES survey area. ICES Journal of Marine Science: 1705-1710
- Eaton, D. R. 1983. Scad in the North-East Atlantic. Lab. Leafl., MAFF Direct. Fish. Res., Lowestoft (56): 20pp.
- Enders, E., 1998. Vergleichende Untersuchungen zum Energiestoffwechsel der Holzmakrele *Trachurus trachurus*. Diploma thesis, University of Hamburg, Institute for Hydrobiology and Fishery Sciences, 75 pp.
- Engelhard, G. H. and Heino, M., 2004. Maturity changes in Norwegian spring-spawning herring before, during, and after a major population collapse. Fisheries Research, 66: 299 310.
- Engelhard, G.H., Dieckmann, U and Godø, O.R., 2003. Age at maturation predicted from routine scale measurements in Norwegian spring-spawning herring (Clupea harengus) using discriminant and neural network analyses. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 60: 304 313.
- Fernö, A., Pitcher, T. J., Melle, V., Nøttestad, L., Mackinson, S., Hollingworth, C. and Misund O. A., 1998. The challenge of the herring: Making optimal collective spatial decisions. Sarsia, 83:149 – 167.
- Fraga, F., Mouriño, C., and Manriquez, M. 1982. Las masas de agua en la costa de Galicia: junio-octubre. (Water bodies off the Galician coast, June-October). Resultados Expediciones Científicas, 10: 51-77.
- Furness, R.W., 2002. Management implications of interactions between fisheries and sandeeldependent seabirds and seals in the North Sea. *Ices Journal of Marine Science* 59:261 – 269.
- Ganias, K, Nunes, C., Stratoudakis, Y., 2007. Degeneration of postovulatory follicles in the Iberian sardine *Sardina pilchardus*: structural changes and factors affecting resorption. Fish. Bull. 105: 131 – 139.
- Ganias, K. Somapakis, S. Machias, A. Theodorau, A. J. 2003. Evaluation of spawning frequency in a Mediterranean sardine population (Sardina pilchardus) Marine biology, 142:1169-1179
- Garrido S., Marçalo A., Zwolinski J. and C.D. van der Lingen. 2007. Laboratory investigations on the effect of prey size and concentration on the feeding behaviour of *Sardina pilchardus*. *Mar. Ecol. Progr. Ser.* 330:189–199.
- Gill, H., Skaret, G., and Tenningen, E. 2004. Toktrapport for M/S "Libas, M/S "Endre Dyrøy" og flyet "Arktika" i Norskehavet, 15-30 juli 2004. Cruise report, Institute of Marine Research, Bergen, Norway.
- Giaever, M., Stein, J., 1998. Population genetic substructure in blue whiting based on allozyme data. Journal of fish biology (1998) 52, 782 795
- Gjøsæter, H., Huse, G., Robberstad, Y., and Skogen. M. (eds.), 2008. Marine Resources and Environment 2008. (Havets ressurser og miljø 2008. Fisken og Havet), særnr. 1 2008.
- Gordo, L. S., Costa, A., Abaunza, P., Lucio, P., Eltink, A. T. G. W., Figueiredo, I., 2008. Determinate versus indeterminate fecundity in horse mackerel. Fish. Res., 89: 181 – 185

- Griewank, A., Corliss, G. F. (Editors), 1991. Automatic Differentiation of Algorithms: Theory, Implementation, and Application. SIAM, Philadelphia.
- Hamre, J. 1978. The effect of recent changes in the North Sea mackerel fishery on stock and yield. Rapp p-v Reun (CIEM), 172: 197-210.
- Hamre, J. 1980. Biology, exploitation and management of the NorthEast Atlantic mackerel. Rapp p-v Reun (CIEM), 177: 212-242.
- Hamre, WD 1997.
- Hatún H, Payne, M.R., and Jacobson, J.A. (2009). The North Atlantic subpolar gyre regulates the spawning distribution of blue whiting (*Mocromesistius poutassou*). Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Science 66: 759-770.
- Hatún H, Payne, M.R., Beaugrand, G., Reid, P.C., Sando, A.B., Drange, H., Hansen, B., Jacobson, J.A. and Bloch., D. 2009. Large bio-geographical shifts in the north-eastern Atlantic Ocean: From the subpolar gyre, via plankton, to blue whiting and pilot whales. Progress in Oceanography 80 (2009b) 149-162.
- Hátún, H., A. B. Sandø, H. Drange, B. Hansen and H. Valdimarsson, 2005. Influence of the Atlantic subpolar gyre on the thermohaline circulation. *Science*, 309, 1841 – 1844.
- Hátún, H., Jacobsen, J.A. and Sandø, A.B., 2007. Environmental influence on the spawning distribution and migration pattern of northern blue whiting (Micromesistius poutassou). ICES CM 2007/B:06, 10 pp.
- Heino, M., Engelhard, G. H. & Godø, O. R., 2003. Variations in the distribution of blue whiting in the Barents Sea: climatic influences or year class effects? ICES CM 2003/Q:03.
- Henderson, G.T.D., (1957) Continuous Plankton records: the distribution of young *Gadus Poutassou* (Risso). Bulletins of Marine Ecology 3, 215-252.
- Hernández, 2008.
- Hjort, J., 1914. Fluctuations in the Great fisheries of northern Europe viewed in the light of biological research. Rapp P-v Réun Cons Int Explor mer 20: 1 – 228
- Hofstede, R. and Dickey-Collas, M. 2006. An investigation of seasonal and annual catches and discards of the Dutch pelagic freezer-trawlers in Mauritania, Northwest Africa. *Fisheries Research*, 77: 184–191.
- Holm, M., Melle, W., Årnes, C. Tangen, Ø. and Fagerheim K.A. 2008. Ecosystem survey & whale observations in the Southeast Greenland Sea and Northern Norwegian Sea. Cruise no. 2008 823, part 2. 11 pp.
- Holst, J. C. and Iversen, S. A. 1999. Distribution of mackerel in the Norwegian Sea during Summer, 1991-1998. ICES CM 1999/ACFM:6/WD.
- Holst, J. C. and Iversen, S. A. 1992. Distribution of Norwegian spring-spawning herring and mackerel in the Norwegian Sea in late summer, 1991. ICES CM 1992/H:13.
- Hughes, S. L., and Holliday, N. P. (Eds), 2007. ICES Report on Ocean Climate 2006. ICES Cooperative Research Report No. 289. 55 pp.
- Hunter, J. R., Macewicz, B. J., 1985. Rates of atresia in in the ovary of captive and wild northern anchovy, Engraulis mordax. Fish. Bull., U.S. 83: 119 136.
- Hurrel, J. W., 1995. Decadal trends in the North Atlantic Oscillation regional temperatures and precipitation. Science 269:676 679.
- ICES 2008. Report of the Planning Group on Northeast Atlantic Pelagic Ecosystem Surveys (PGNAPES). 19 22 August 2008. Hirshals Denmark. ICES CM 2008/RMC:05 Ref. LRC, ACOM
- ICES 2009. Report of the Planning Group on Northeast Atlantic Pelagic Ecosystem Surveys (PGNAPES), 18 21 August 2009, Tórshavn, Faroe Islands. ICES CM 2009/RMC:06. 144 pp.

ICES Study Group 1998.

- ICES, 1992. Report of the Atlanto-Scandian Herring and Capelin Working Group, ICES CM 1993/Assess:6
- ICES, 1996. Report of the Northern Pelagic and Blue Whiting Fisheries Working Group, ICES CM 1996/Assess:14
- ICES, 1997. Report of the Northern Pelagic and Blue Whiting Fisheries Working Group, ICES CM 1997/Assess:14
- ICES, 1998. Report of the Northern Pelagic and Blue Whiting Fisheries Working Group, ICES CM 1998/ACFM:18
- ICES, 2005. Report of the Northern Pelagic and Blue Whiting Fisheries Working Group, ICES CM 2006/ACFM:05
- ICES. 1980, Report of the Blue Whiting Assessment Working Group ICES C.M. 1980/H:5 Pelagic Fish Committee
- ICES. 1984. Report of the mackerel working group. ICES CM 1984/Assess:1.
- ICES. 1985. Report of the Mackerel Egg Production Workshop. ICES C.M.1985/H:7.
- ICES. 1987. Report of the Working Group on Atlanto-Scandian Herring and Capelin, ICES CM 1987/Assess:8
- ICES. 1989. Data base report of the stomach sampling project 1981.
- ICES. 1989. Report of the Working Group on the assessment of pelagic stocks in Divisions VIIIc and IXa and horse mackerel. 10-19 May 1989, ICES Headquarters, Copenhagen. ICES CM 1989/Assess:19: 143pp.
- ICES. 1990. Report of the Atlanto-Scandian Herring and Capelin Working Group, ICES CM 1991/Assess:6
- ICES. 1990. Report of the Blue Whiting Assessment Working Group. ICES CM/Assess: 3
- ICES. 1990. Report of the Working Group on the assessment of the stocks of sardine, horse mackerel and anchovy. 20-29 June 1990, ICES Headquarters, Copenhagen. ICES CM 1990/Assess:24: 169pp.
- ICES. 1990. Second report of the EEC Norwegian joint scientific group on migration and area distribution of mackerel (western stock). ICES CM 1990 / H:5.
- ICES. 1991. Report of the Atlanto-Scandian Herring and Capelin Working Group, ICES CM 1991/Assess:17
- ICES. 1991. Report of the study group on the coordination of bottom trawl surveys in Sub-Areas VI, VII and VIII and Division IXa. ICES CM 1991/G: 13
- ICES. 1991. Report of the Working Group on the assessment of the stocks of sardine, horse mackerel and anchovy. 18-27 June 1991, ICES Headquarters, Copenhagen. ICES CM 1991/Assess:22: 138pp.
- ICES. 1992. Report of the Atlanto-Scandian Herring and Capelin Working Group, ICES CM 1993/Assess:6
- ICES. 1992. Report of the Study Group on stock identity of mackerel and horse mackerel. 22-24 January 1992, Vigo, Spain. ICES CM 1992/H:4: 12pp.
- ICES. 1992. Report of the Working Group on the assessment of mackerel, horse mackerel, sardine and anchovy. 22-30 June 1992, ICES Headquarters, Copenhagen. ICES CM 1992/Assess:17: 207pp.
- ICES. 1992. Workshop for revising the Horse Mackerel Data Base of Divisions VIIIc and Ixa. Held at the INIP, Lisbon, 2 – 4 June 1992. Report reference: ICES C.M. 1992/H:7, 27 pp.

- ICES. 1993. Report of the Atlanto-Scandian Herring and Capelin Working Group, ICES CM 1994/Assess:8
- ICES. 1994. Report of the Atlanto-Scandian Herring and Capelin Working Group, ICES CM 1995/Assess:9
- ICES. 1995. Report of the Atlanto-Scandian Herring, Capelin and Blue Whiting Assessment Working Group, ICES CM 1996/Assess:9
- ICES. 1995. Report of the Blue Whiting Assessment Working Group. ICES CM/Assess: 7
- ICES. 1995. Report of the Working Group on the assessment of mackerel, horse mackerel, sardine and anchovy. 21 June-1 July 1994, ICES Headquarters, Copenhagen. ICES CM 1995/Assess:2, Part 1: 165pp.
- ICES. 1996. Report of the Northern Pelagic and Blue Whiting Fisheries Working Group, ICES CM 1996/Assess:14
- ICES. 1996. Report of the Working Group on the assessment of mackerel, horse mackerel, sardine and anchovy. 10-19 October 1995, ICES Headquarters, Copenhagen. ICES CM 1996/Assess:7, Part 1: 165pp.
- ICES. 1997. Database report of the Stomach Sampling Project 1991.
- ICES. 1997. Report of the Northern Pelagic and Blue Whiting Fisheries Working Group, ICES CM 1997/Assess:14
- ICES. 1997. Report of the Study Group on the Precautionary Approach to Fisheries Management. ICES CM 1997/ Assess: 7.
- ICES. 1997. Report of the Working Group on the assessment of mackerel, horse mackerel, sardine and anchovy. 13-22 August 1996, ICES Headquarters, Copenhagen. ICES CM 1997/Assess:3, Part 1: 198pp.
- ICES. 1997a. Database report of the Stomach Sampling Project 1991.
- ICES. 1997b. Report of the Working Group on the Assessment of Mackerel, Horse Mackerel, Sardine and Anchovy (WGMHSA). ICES CM 1997/Assess:3.
- ICES. 1998. Report of the Northern Pelagic and Blue Whiting Fisheries Working Group, ICES CM 1998/ACFM:18
- ICES. 1998. Report of the Working Group on the assessment of mackerel, horse mackerel, sardine and anchovy. 9-18 September 1997, ICES Headquarters, Copenhagen. ICES CM 1998/Assess:6, Part 1: 176pp
- ICES. 1999. Report of the Northern Pelagic and Blue Whiting Fisheries Working Group, ICES CM 1999/ACFM:18
- ICES. 1999. Report of the Working Group on the assessment of mackerel, horse mackerel, sardine and anchovy. 28 September-7 October 1998, ICES Headquarters, Copenhagen. ICES CM 1999/ACFM:6, Part 1: 241pp.
- ICES. 2000. Report of the Northern Pelagic and Blue Whiting Fisheries Working Group, ICES CM 2000/ACFM:16
- ICES. 2000. Report of the Working Group on the Assessment of Mackerel, Horse Mackerel, Sardine and Anchovy (WGMHSA). ICES CM 2000/ACFM:05.
- ICES. 2000. Report of the Working Group on the assessment of mackerel, horse mackerel, sardine and anchovy. 14-23 September 1999, ICES Headquarters, Copenhagen. ICES CM 2000/ACFM:05: 546pp.
- ICES. 2001. Report of the Northern Pelagic and Blue Whiting Fisheries Working Group, ICES CM 2001/ACFM:17
- ICES. 2001. Report of the study group on the further development of the precautionary approach to fishery management. ICES CM 2001/ACFM:11.

- ICES. 2001. Report of the Study Group on the further development of the Precautionary Approach to fishery management. 2-5 April 2001, ICES Headquarters, Copenhagen. ICES CM 2001/ACFM:11: 49pp.
- ICES. 2001. Report of the Working Group on the assessment of mackerel, horse mackerel, sardine and anchovy. 14-23 September 2000, ICES Headquarters, Copenhagen. ICES CM 2001/ACFM:06, Part 1: 251pp.
- ICES. 2002. Report of the Northern Pelagic and Blue Whiting Fisheries Working Group, ICES CM 2002/ACFM:19
- ICES. 2002. Report of the Northern Pelagic and Blue Whiting Working Group. ICES CM 2000 ACFM:16
- ICES. 2002. Report of the Working Group on mackerel and horse mackerel egg surveys. 16-20 April 2002, Dublin, Ireland. ICES CM 2002/G:06: 102pp.
- ICES. 2003. Report of the Northern Pelagic and Blue Whiting Fisheries Working Group, ICES CM 2003/ACFM:23
- ICES. 2003. Report of the Study Group on Precautionary Reference Points for advice on fishery management. 24-26 February 2003, ICES Headquarters. ICES CM 2003/ACFM:15: 81pp.
- ICES. 2003. Report of the Study Group on Precautionary Reference Points for Advice on Fishery Management ICES CM 2003/ACFM:15
- ICES. 2003. Working group on Methods of Fish Stock Assessments (WGMG) ICES2003/D:03
- ICES. 2004. Report of the Northern Pelagic and Blue Whiting Fisheries Working Group, ICES CM 2004/ACFM:24
- ICES. 2004. Report of the Working Group on the Assessment of Mackerel, Horse Mackerel, Sardine and Anchovy. ICES CM 2005/ACFM:08.
- ICES. 2005. Report of the Northern Pelagic and Blue Whiting Fisheries Working Group, ICES CM 2006/ACFM:05
- ICES. 2005. Report of the Working Group on mackerel and horse mackerel egg surveys. ICES C.M. 2005/G:09.
- ICES. 2005. Report of the Working Group on the Assessment of Mackerel, Horse Mackerel, Sardine and Anchovy (WGMHSA). ICES CM 2005/ACFM:08.
- ICES. 2005. Report of the Working Group on the assessment of mackerel, horse mackerel, sardine and anchovy (WGMHSA). 7-16 September 2004, ICES Headquarters, Copenhagen. ICES CM 2005/ACFM:08: 477pp.
- ICES. 2006. Manual for the international bottom trawl surveys revision VII. ICES CM 2006/RMC:03 Annex 1
- ICES. 2006. Report of the Northern Pelagic and Blue Whiting Fisheries Working Group, ICES CM 2006/ACFM:34
- ICES. 2006. Report of the Northern Pelagic and Blue Whiting Fisheries Working Group [WGNPBW], ICES Headquarters Copenhagen, 24–30 August 2006, ICES CM 2007/ACFM:34.
- ICES. 2006. Report of the Working Group on Acoustic and Egg Surveys for Sardine and Anchovy in ICES Areas VIII and IX (WGACEGG). 27 November-1 December 2006, Lisbon, Portugal. ICES CM 2006/LRC:18: 169 pp.
- ICES. 2006. Report of the Working Group on the assessment of mackerel, horse mackerel, sardine and anchovy (WGMHSA). 6-15 September 2005, Vigo, Spain. ICES CM 2006/ACFM:08. 615 pp.
- ICES. 2006. Report of the Working Group on the Assessment of Mackerel, Horse Mackerel, Sardine, and Anchovy. ICES CM 2006/ ACFM: 36.

- ICES. 2006a. Manual for the international bottom trawl surveys revision VII. ICES CM 2006/RMC:03 Annex 1.
- ICES. 2006b. Report of the Planning Group on Northeast Atlantic Pelagic Ecosystem Surveys (PGNAPES). ICES CM 2006/RMC:08.
- ICES. 2007 Report of the Workshop on Limit and Target Reference Points (WKREF) ICES CM 2007/ACFM:05
- ICES. 2007. Report of the ICES Advisory Committee on Fishery Management, Advisory Committee on the Marine Environment and Advisory Committee on Ecosystems. ICES Advice. Volumes 1 – 11.
- ICES. 2007. Report of the ICES Advisory Committee on Fishery Management, Advisory Committee on the Marine Environment and Advisory Committee on Ecosystems, 2007. ICES Advice. Book 9. 129 pp
- ICES. 2007. Report of the Northern Pelagic and Blue Whiting Fisheries Working Group, ICES CM 2007/ACFM:29
- ICES. 2007. Report of the Study group on management strategies (SGMAS). ICES CM 2007/ACFM:04
- ICES. 2007. Report of the Working Group on Acoustic and Egg Surveys for Sardine and Anchovy in ICES Areas VIII and IX (WGACEGG), 26 30 November 2007, Palma de Mallorca, Spain. ICES CM2007/LRC:16., 167pp.
- ICES. 2007. Report of the Working Group on Oceanic Hydrography (WGOH), 27–30 March 2007, Göteborg, Sweden. ICES CM 2007/OCC:05. 173 pp.
- ICES. 2007. Report of the Working Group on the Assessment of Mackerel, Horse Mackerel, Sardine, and Anchovy. ICES CM 2007/ACFM:31
- ICES. 2007a. Report of the Planning Group on Northeast Atlantic Pelagic Ecosystem Surveys (PGNAPES). ICES CM 2007/RMC:07.
- ICES. 2007b. Report of the Working Group on the Assessment of Mackerel, Horse Mackerel, Sardine and Anchovy (WGMHSA). ICES CM 2007/ACFM:31.
- ICES. 2008, Report on Ocean Climate. ICES cooperative research report No 291 Special Issue August 2008
- ICES. 2008. Report of the ICES Advisory Committee, 2008. ICES Advice, 2008. Book 9. 345 pp.
- ICES. 2008. Report of the Planning Group on Northeast Atlantic Ecosystem Surveys (PGNAPES), 19 22 August 2008, Hirtshals, Denmark. ICES CM 2008/RMC:05 87 pp.
- ICES. 2008. Report of the Working Group on Acoustic and Egg Surveys for Sardine and Anchovy in ICES Areas VIII and IX (WGACEGG). ICES CM 2008/LRC:17
- ICES. 2008. Report of the Working Group on Mackerel and Horse Mackerel Egg Surveys (WGMEGS). ICES CM 2008/LRC:09
- ICES. 2008. Report of the Working Group on Mackerel and Horse Mackerel Egg Surveys (WGMEGS). ICES CM 2008/LRC:09
- ICES. 2008. Report of the Working Group on Multispecies Assessment Methods (WGSAM). ICES CM2008/RMC:06.
- ICES. 2008. Report of the Working Group on Oceanic Hydrography (WGOH), 3 5 March 2008, Aberdeen, UK. ICES CM2008/OCC:01. 143 pp.
- ICES. 2008. Report of the Working Group on Widely Distributed Stocks (WGWIDE). 2-11 September 2008, ICES Headquarters Copenhagen. ICES CM 2008/ACOM:13: 691pp.
- ICES. 2008. Report on NEA mackerel long-term management scientific evaluation. (ICES CM 2008/ACOM:54).

- ICES. 2008a. Report of the Working Group on Multispecies Assessment Methods (WGSAM). ICES CM 2008/RMC:06.
- ICES. 2008b. Report of the Working Group on NEA Mackerel Long-Term Management Scientific Evaluat ions (NEAMACKLTM). ICES CM 2008/ ACOM:54.
- ICES. 2008c. Report of the Working Group on Widely Distributed Stocks (WGWIDE). ICES CM 2008/ACOM:13.
- ICES. 2009. Report of the International Bottom Trawl Survey Working Group (IBTSWG). 30 March-3 April 2009, Bergen, Norway. ICES CM 2009/RMC:04: 241 pp.
- ICES. 2009. Report of the North Western Working Group (NWWG), 29 April 5 May 2009, ICES Headquarters, Copenhagen. ICES CM 2009\ACOM:04. 655 pp.
- ICES. 2009. Report of the Planning Group on Northeast Atlantic Pelagic Ecosystem Surveys (PGNAPES). ICES CM 2009/RMC:06.
- ICES. 2009. Report of the Planning Group on Northeast Atlantic Pelagic Ecosystem Surveys (PGNAPES), 18 21 August 2009, Tórshavn, Faroe Islands. ICES CM 2009/RMC:06. 144 pp.
- ICES. 2009. Report of the Stock Identification Methods Working Group (SIMWG), By Correspondence. ICES CM 2009/LRC:12. 22 pp.
- ICES. 2009. Report of the Working Group on Mackerel and Horse Mackerel Egg Surveys (WGMEGS), 20–24 April 2009, Hamburg, Germany. ICES CM 2009/LRC:09. 107 pp.
- ICES. 2009. Report of the Workshop on Mackerel and Horse Mackerel Egg Staging and Identification (WKMHMES), 5–9 October 2009 and 1–4 December 2009, IJmuiden, The Netherlands and San Sebastian, Spain. ICES CM 2009/LRC:13. 90 pp.
- ICES. 2010. Report of the Working Group on Mackerel and Horse Mackerel Egg Surveys (WGMEGS), By Correspondence. ICES CM 2010/SSGESST:02. 59 pp.
- ICES. 2008. Report of the Working Group for Regional Ecosystem Description (WGRED), 25-29 February 2008, ICES, Copenhagen, Denmark. ICES CM 2008/ACOM:47. 203 pp.
- Iglesias, M., J. Miquel, B. Villamor, C. Porteiro and P. Carrera., 2005. Spanish Acoustic surveys in Division VIIIc and Sub-division IXa North: Results on Mackerel from 2001 to 2005. Working Document to Working Group Mackerel, Horse Mackerel, Sardine and Anchovy (ICES CM 2006/Assess: 06).
- IPCC, 2001. Climate change 2001: the scientific basis. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 881 pp.
- Iversen, S. A. 2002. Changes in the perception of the migration pattern of Northeast Atlantic mackerel during the last 100 years. ICES Marine Science Symposia, 215: 382-390.
- Iversen, S. A. and Adoff, G. R., 1983. Fecundity observations on mackerel from the Norwegian coast. ICES C.M.1983,H:45, 6pp.
- Iversen, S., A., Skogen, M., D., and Svendsen, E. 2002. Availability of horse mackerel (*Trachurus*) in the north-eastern North Sea, predicted by the transport of Atlantic water. Fish. Oceanogr., 11(4): 245-250.
- Jansen et al.(in prep.). 2010. Eastern migration and spawning of North Sea mackerel (Scomber scombrus) temperature and size effects. ???
- Jones, P. D., et Moberg, A., 2003. Hemispheric and large-scale surface air temperature variations: An extensive revision and an update to 2001. Journal of Climate 16: 206 – 223.
- Kell, L. T., Mosqueira, I., Grosjean, P., Fromentin, J-M., Garcia, D., Hillary, R., Jardim, E., Mardle, S., Pastoors, M. A., Poos, J. J., Scott, F., Scott, R. D., 2007. FLR: an open-source framework for the evaluation and development of management strategies. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 64, 640–646.

- Langoy, H., Noettestad, L., Skaret, G., Cecilie, T., Broms, A., and Fernö, A. 2006. Feeding Ecology of Atlantic Mackerel (Scomber scombrus) in the Norwegian Sea: Diet, Prey Selection and Possible Food Competition with Herring (Clupea harengus) in different Water Masses. ICES CM 2006/ F:12
- Langoy, H., Nøttestad, L., Skaret, G., Broms, A., Fernö, A., and (in prep). 2010. Prey selection and overlap in distribution and diets of mackerel, herring and blue whiting during late summer in the Norwegian Sea. Unknown
- Lavín, A., Moreno-Ventas, X., Ortiz de Zárate, V., Abaunza, P., Cabanas, J. M., 2007. Environmental variability in the North Atlantic and Iberian waters and its influence on horse mackerel (*Trachurus trachurus*) and albacore (*Thunnus alalunga*) dynamics. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 64: 425 – 438.
- Legault, C. M., Restrepo, V. R., 1998. A flexible forward age-structured assessment program. ICCAT Working Document SCRS/98/58. 15 pp.
- Lewy, P., M. Vinther, 2004. A stochastic age-length-structured multispecies model applied to North Sea stocks ICES CM 2004/ FF:20
- Lindquist and Hannerz. 1974. Migrations of the mackerel in the Northern North Sea and Skagerrak. J.Cons.int.Explor Mer, 35: 276-280
- Lloris, D. and Moreno, T., 1995. Distribution model and association in three pelagic congeneric species (*Trachurus* spp.) present in the Iberic Mediterranean Sea. Sci. Mar. 59, 399–403.
- Lockwood, S. J., Nichols, J. H. and Dawson, W. A., 1981. The Estimation of a Mackerel (Scomber scombrus L.) Spawning Stock Size by Plankton Survey. J.Plank.Res., 3:217 233.
- López, A., Pierce, G. J., Valeiras, X., Santos, M. B. and Guerra, A., 2004. Distribution patterns of small cetaceans in Galician waters. J. Mar. Biol. Ass. U.K., 84: 283 – 294.
- Manolo Blue whiting stock annex
- Maxwell, D., 2002. S-Plus 2000 functions used for Changepoint regression papers for the Study Group on the Precautionary Approach (ICES 1997). Unpublished.
- McAllister, M. K. and Ianelli, J. N., 1997. Bayesian stock assessment using catch-age data and the sampling-importance resampling algorithm. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 54: 284 300.
- Mehl, S. and Westgård, T. 1983. The diet and consumption of mackerel in the North Sea (a preliminary report). ICES CM/H:34
- Melle, W., Ellertsen, B., and Skjoldal, H. R., 2004. Zooplankton: The link to higher trophic levels. *In* The Norwegian Sea Ecosystem, 1st edn, pp. 137 202. Eds. H. R. Skjoldal R. Sætre, A. Fernö, O.A. Misund and I. Røttingen. Tapir Academic Press, Trondheim, Norway. 559 pp.
- Meynier, L., 2004. Food and feeding ecology of the common dolphin, *Delphinus delphis*, in the Bay of Biscay: interspecific dietary variation and food transfer modelling. MSc Thesis, University of Aberdeen, UK.
- Mork, J., Giaever, M., 1995. Genetic variation at isozyme loci in blue whiting from the northeast Atlantic. Journal of Fish Biology (1995), 46, 462 – 468.
- Murta, A. G., Abaunza, P., Cardador, F., Sánchez, F., 2008. Ontogenic migrations of horse mackerel (*Trachurus trachurus*) along the Iberian coast: implications for stock identification. Fisheries Research, 89: 186 – 195.
- Murta, A., Abaunza, P., 2000. Has horse mackerel been more abundant than it is now in Iberian waters? Working Document to the 2000 ICES Working Group on the Assessment of Mackerel, Horse Mackerel, Sardine and Anchovy
- NEAFC, 2007. Agreed record of conclusions of fisheries consultation on the management of the Norwegian spring-spawning (Atlanto-scandian) herring stock in the nort-east Atlantic for 2008. London, 12 November 2007

- NEAFC. 2008. Agreed record of conclusions of fisheries consultations between the Faroe islands, the European community and Norway on the management of mackrel in the northeast Atlantic for 2009. NEAFC London, 31 october 2008.
- Nichols, J. H. and Warnes, S. 1993. Field observations of the daily pattern of spawning in the western stock of mackerel (Scomber scombrus L.). ICES Journal of Marine Science: 219-225
- Noettestad, L., Giske, J., Holst, J. C., and Huse, G. 1999. A length-based hypothesis for feeding migrations in pelagic fish. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 56: 26-34.
- Nøttestad and Jacobsen 2009 section 2.13 working document
- Nøttestad L. and J.A. Jacobsen 2009. Coordinated Norwegian-Faroese ecosystem survey with M/V "Libas", M/V "Eros", and M/V "Finnur Fríði" in the Norwegian Sea, 15 July 6 August 2009. Working Document to WGWIDE, ICES 2-8 Sept. 2009. 32 p.
- Nøttestad, L., 2007. Cruise report on coordinated ecosystem survey with M/V "Libas" and M/V "Eros" in the Norwegian Sea, 15 July-6 August 2007. 39 pp.
- Nøttestad, L., A. Fernö, O.A. Misund, R. Vabø, 2004. Understanding herring behaviour: linking individual decisions, school patterns and population distribution. *In* The Norwegian Sea Ecosystem, 1st edn, pp. 221 – 262. Eds. H. R. Skjoldal R. Sætre, A. Fernö, O.A. Misund and I. Røttingen.Tapir Academic Press, Trondheim, Norway. 559 pp.
- Nøttestad, L., Giske, J., Huse, G., and Holst, J.C., 1999. A length-based hypothesis for feeding migrations in pelagic fish. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci 56(Suppl.1): 26 34.
- Oliveira, P. B. and Stratoudakis, Y., 2008. Mesoscale advection off the Iberian and the northern African Atlantic coasts: potential implications for sardine recruitment and population structure. *Remote Sensing of Environment* **112**:3376 3387.
- Óskarsson, G.J. and J. Pálsson 2009. Plausible causes for the *Ichthyophonus* outbreak in the Icelandic summer-spawning herring. Marine Research Institute, Iceland, Report 145: 48-53.
- Patterson, K.R. 1998: A programme for calculating total international catch-at-age and weightat-age. WD to Herring Assessment Working Group 1998
- Patterson, WD 1997.
- Pepin, P., Koslow, J. A., and Pearre, S. 1988. Laboratory study of faraging by Atlantic mackerel, Scomber scombrus, on natural zooplankton assemblages. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 45: 879-887.
- Pepin, Pearre, and Pearre. 1987. Predation on larval fish by Atlantic mackerel, *Scomber scomburs*, with a comparison of predation by zooplankton. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 44: 2012-2017.
- Perez, J. R., Villamor, B., and Abaunza, P. 2000. Maturity ogive of the Northeast Atlantic mackerel (Scomber scombrus L.) from the southern area using histological and macroscopic methods. ICES CM 2000/ACFM:5/WD.
- Porteiro C., Carrera P. and Miquel J., 1996. Analysis of Spanish acoustic surveys for sardine, 1991–1993: abundance estimates and inter-annual variability. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 53:429–433.
- Porteiro, C., J. M. Cabanas, P. Carrera, M. B. Santos, M. Bernal and G.J. Pierce, 2008. The effect of environmental changes in the NE Atlantic sardine (*Sardina pilchardus*) fishery. Effects of Climate Change on the World's Oceans, May 19 – 23, 2008, Gijón, Spain.
- Portilla, E., McKenzie, E., Beare, D., Reid, D., 2007. Estimating natural interstage egg mortality of Atlantic mackerel (Scomber scombrus) and horse mackerel (Trachurus trachurus) in the Northeast Atlantic using a stochastic model Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci./J. Vol. 64, no. 12, pp. 1656 – 1668. 2007.
- Postuma, K. H. 1972. On the abundance of mackerel (*Scomber scombrus* L.) in the north-eastern North Sea in the period 1959–1969. Journal du Conseil International pour l'Explorationde la Mer,

34: 455-465.Prokopchuk, I., and Sentyabov, E., 2006. Diets of herring, mackerel, and blue whiting in the Norwegian Sea in relation to *Calanus finmarchicus* distribution and temperature conditions. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 63: 117 – 127.

- Prokopchuk, I. P. 2006. Distribution of zooplankton, feeding and trophic relationships between herring, mackerel and blue whiting in the Norwegian Sea and southwestern Barents Sea in June-July 2001-2002.
- Punzon, A. and B. Villamor 2009. Does the timing of the spawning migration change for the southern component of the Northeast Atlantic Mackerel (Scomber scombrus, L. 1758)? An approximation using fishery analyses. Continental Shelf Research, 29. issue: 8. pp 1195-1204.
- Punzón, A. and Villamor, B., 2008. Changes in the timing of the spawning migration of the Southern component of the Northeast Atlantic mackerel (*Scomber scombrus*, L. 1758). Working Document Working Document to ICES Working Group on Widely distributed Stocks (WGWIDE), Copenhagen 2 – 11 September 2008.
- Quinn, T. J., and R. B. Deriso, 1999. Quantitative Fish Dynamics.
- Reid, D. G., 2001. SEFOS e shelf edge fisheries and oceanography studies: an overview. Fisheries Research, 50: 1–15.
- Reid, D. G., Eltink, A., and Kelly, C. J. 2003. Inferences on the changes in pattern in the prespawning migration of the western mackerel (Scomber scombrus) from commercial vessel data. ICES CM 2003/Q:19.
- Reid, D. G., Eltink, A., Kelly, C. J., and Clark, M. 2006. Long-Term Changes in the Pattern of the Prespawning Migration of the Western Mackerel (Scomber scombrus) Since 1975, using Commercial Vessel Data. ICES CM 2006/B:14.
- Reid, D. G., Turrell, W. R., Walsh, M., and Corten, A. 1997. Cross-shelf processes north of Scotland in relation to the southerly migration of western mackerel. ICES J Mar Sci, 54: 168-178.
- Reid, D. G., Walsh, M., and Turrel, W. R. 2001. Hydrography and mackerel distribution on the shelf edge west of the Norwegian deeps. Fish Res, 50: 141-150.
- Reid, D. G., Walsh, M., and. Turrell, W. R., 2001. Hydrography and mackerel distribution on the shelf edge west of the Norwegian deeps. Fisheries Research 50: 141–150.
- Revheim. 1951. Tagging experiments on mackerel in Norwegian waters. Annales Biologiques, 8
- Richardson, A.J. and Schoeman, D.S., 2004. Climate impact on plankton ecosystems in the northeast Atlantic. Science 305:1609–1612.
- Rossby, T., 1999. On gyre interaction. Deep-Sea Research II, Vol. 46, No. 1 2, pp. 139–164.
- Rothschild, B. J., 1986. Dynamics of Marine Fish Populations. Harvard University Press, Cambridge. 277 pp.
- Røttingen, I., 1998. Supplementary information to the process of establishing precautionary and limit reference points for Norwegian spring-spawning herring. Working document to ICES Northern Pelagic and Blue Whiting Fisheries Working Group in May 1998.
- Røttingen, I., 1999. Norwegian spring-spawning herring; A review of the progress in determining precautionary reference points. Working document to ICES Northern Pelagic and Blue Whiting Fisheries Working Group in May 1999.
- Røttingen, I., 2000. A review of the process leading to the establishment of limit and precautionary reference points for Norwegian spring-spawning herring. ICES CM 2000/X:08.
- Røttingen, I., 2003. The agreed recovery plan in the management of Norwegian springspawning herring. ICES CM 2003/U:01.

- Ryan A., Mattiangeli, V., Mork, J., 2005. Genetic differentiation of blue whiting (*Micromesistius poutassou* Risso) populations at the extremes of the species range and at the Hebridies Porcupine Bank spawning grounds. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 62: 948 – 955.
- Santos, A. M. P., Borges, M. F., and Groom, S., 2001. Sardine and horse mackerel recruitment off Portugal. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 58: 589–596
- Santos, M. B, Pierce, G. J., López, A., Martínez, J. A., Fernández, M. T., Ieno, E., Mente, E., Porteiro, C., Carrera, P. and M. Meixide., 2004. Variability in the diet of common dolphins (Delphinus delphis) in Galician waters 1991 – 2003 and relationship with prey abundance. ICES CM 2004/Q:09.
- Santos, M. B., Fernández, R., López, A., Martínez, J. A. and G. J. Pierce. 2007. Variability in the diet of bottlenose dolphin, *Tursiops truncatus*, in Galician waters, north-western Spain, 1990 – 2005. J.Mar. Biol. Ass. U.K., 87: 231 – 241.
- Seliverstova, E. I., 1990. Recommendations about rational operation of stock of the Alanto-Scandinavian herring. Murmansk: PINRO, – 83 pp

SGDFF 2003

- Shepherd, J. G., 1997. Prediction of year-class strength by calibration regression analysis of multiple recruit index series. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 54: 741 752.
- Sherman, K., and Skjoldal, H.R., 2002. Large Marine Ecosystems of the North Atlantic. Changing states and sustainability. Sherman, K., and Skjoldal H.R. (Eds.)). Elsevier Science B.V. The Netherlands.
- Silva, C. and Murta, A. Classification of the Trawl and Purse Seine fishing trips in the Portuguese Continental Waters. Working Document to be presented at the Meeting of the Working Group on the Assessment of Hake, Monk and Megrim, (WGHMM) – 8 – 17 May
- Silva, M. A., 2001. Diet of common dolphins, *Delphinus delphis*, off the Portuguese continental coast. J.Mar. Biol. Ass. U.K., 87: 231 241.
- Simmonds EJ, Portilla E, Skagen D, Beare D, Reid DG (2010) Investigating agreement between different data sources using Bayesian state-space models: an application to estimating NE Atlantic mackerel catch and stock abundance. ICES J Mar Sci 67:1138-1153
- Simmonds, E. J. 2007. Are reported catches sufficient to account for biomass in the NE Atlantic mackerel stock. ICES CM 2007/ACFM:31/WD:11
- Simmonds, E. J., D. Beare, and D. G. Reid, 2003. Sensitivity of the current ICA assessment of western mackerel and short term prediction to the sampling error in the egg survey parameters. ICES CM 2003/X:10
- Simmonds, J., 2007. Precision of mackerel assessment WD to Mackerel management plan SG.
- Skagen D. W. 2008. HCS program for simulating harvest rules: Outline of program and instructions for users. Available from the author.
- Skjoldal, H. R., Dalpadado, P., and Dommasnes, A., 2004. Food webs and trophic interactions. *In* TheNorwegian Sea Ecosystem, 1st edn, pp. 263 – 288. Eds. H. R. Skjoldal R. Sætre, A. Fernö, O.A. Misund and I. Røttingen. Tapir Academic Press, Trondheim, Norway. 559 pp.
- Skjoldal, H. R., R. Sætre, A. Fernö, O. A. Misund and I. Røttingen, 2004. Eds. H. R. Skjoldal R. Sætre, A. Fernö, O.A. Misund and I. Røttingen. Tapir Academic Press, Trondheim, Norway. 559 pp.
- Skogen, M., Monstad, T., Svendsen, E., 1999. A possible separation between a northern and a southern stock of northeast Atlantic blue whiting. Fisheries Research 41 (1999) 119 131.
- Solow, A. R., 2002. Fisheries recruitment and the North Atlantic Oscillation. *Fish. Res.* 54:295 297.

- Spiegelhalter, D. J., Best, N. G., Carlin, B. P. and Van der Linde, A., 2002. Bayesian Measures of Model Complexity and Fit (with Discussion). Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series B, 2002 64(4):583 – 616.
- Spiegelhalter, D., Thomas, A., Best, N., and Lunn, D., 2003. WinBUGS User Manual version 1.4 http://www.mrc-bsu.cam.ac.uk/bugs
- Stenevik, E.K., 2008: Maturity ogive of Norwegian Spring Spawning Herring. Working Document to the Working Group on Widely Distributed Stocks (ICES CM 2008/ACOM:13).
- Thorsen, A. (2010): Appendum regarding the fecundity estimate of the 2010 International Mackerel and Horse Mackerel Egg Survey - Preliminary Results, Survey Report to WGWIDE 2010

Tjelmeland WD 1997.

- Toresen, R. and O.J. Østvedt, 2000.Variations in abundance of Norwegian spring-spawning herring throughout the 20th century and the influence of climatic fluctuations. Fish and Fisheries, 1:231-256.
- Ulleweit, J. and Panten, K., 2007. Observing the German Pelagic Freezer Trawler Fleet 2002 to 2006 Catch and Discards of Mackerel and Horse Mackerel. Working Document to the Working Group Mackerel, Horse Mackerel, Sardine and Anchovy (ICES CM 2007/ACFM: 31).
- Ulleweit, J., Burns, F., van Damme, C., Fonn, M., Kloppmann, M., Milligan, S., Thorsen, A. (2010): The 2010 International Mackerel and Horse Mackerel Egg Survey – Preliminary Results, Survey Report to WGWIDE 2010
- Uriarte, A. and Lucio, P. 1996. Results of a tagging survey of mackerel in the Bay of Biscay in 1994. ICES CM 1996/S:10.
- Uriarte, A., Alvarez, P., Iversen, S., Molloy, J., Villamor, B., Martins, M. M., and Myklevoll, S. 2001. Spatial pattern of migration and recruitment of North East Atlantic Mackerel. ICES CM 2001/O:17.
- Velasco, F., Abaunza, P., Blanco, M. 2008. Spanish bottom trawl surveys in Cantabrian Sea and Galician waters (North of Spain). Overview of horse mackerel historical series. Communication to XI International Symposium on Oceanography of the Bay of Biscay, 2 – 4 April, 2008 – The Kursaal Conference Center Donostia-San Sebastián (Spain).
- Villamor, B., Abaunza, P., Lucio, P., Porteiro, C., 1997. Distribution and age structure of mackerel (Scomber scombrus, L.) and horse mackerel (Trachurus trachurus, L.) in the northern coast of Spain, 1989-1994. Sci. Mar., 61, 345-366.
- Walsh, M. and Martin, J. H. A. 1986. Recent changes in the distribution and migration of the western mackerel stock in relation to hydrographic changes. ICES CM 1986/H:17.
- Walsh, M. and Rankine, P. 1979. Observations on the diet of mackerel in the North Sea and to the west of Britain. ICES CM/H:45.
- Walsh, M., Reid, D. G., and Turrell, W. R. 1995. Understanding Mackerel Migration Off Scotland - Tracking with Echosounders and Commercial Data, and Including Environmental Correlates and Behavior. ICES J Mar Sci, 52: 925-939.
- Was, A., Gosling, E., McCrann, K., Mork, J., 2008. Evidence for population structuring of blue whiting (*Micromesistus poutassou*) in the Northeast Atlantic. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 65: 216 – 225
- Worsøe Clausen, L., Power, G., Timoshenko, N. and Tangen, O. (2005) Report of the Blue Whiting Otolith Ageing Workshop. ICES Planning Group on Commercial Catch, Discards and Biological Sampling (PGCCDBS). Co-sponsored by the Commission Regulation (EC) No 1639/2001
- Zijlstra and Postuma. 1965. The mackerel fishery of the Netherlands in the North Sea, 1966. Annales Biologiques, 23

Zwolinski, J., P. Oliveira, A. Morais, V. Marques and Y. Stratoudakis, 2008. Distribution of sardine and anchovy potential habitat of Southern Iberia. International Symposium on Ecosystem Approach with Fisheries Acoustics and Complementary Technologies (SEAFACTS), Bergen, Bergen, Norway, 16 – 20 June 2008.

Annex 1 - List of Participants

Working Group on Widely Distributed Stocks (WGWIDE)

28 August – 3 September 2010

| ΝΑΜΕ | Address | PHONE/FAX | EMAIL |
|----------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|
| Beatriz Roel (Chair) | Centre for Environment, | Phone +44 1 502 52 | beatriz.roel@cefas.co.uk |
| | Fisheries & Aquaculture | 4358 | |
| | Science Lowestoft | Fax +44 1502 524 | |
| | Laboratory | 511 | |
| | Pakefield Road | | |
| | NR33 0HT Lowestoft | | |
| | Suffolk | | |
| | United Kingdom | | |
| Frans van Beek | Centre for Fisheries | Phone +31 | frans.vanbeek@wur.nl |
| | Research | 317487044 | |
| | P.O. Box 68 | Fax +31 317487326 | |
| | NL-1970 CP IJmuiden | | |
| | Netherlands | | |
| Thomas Brunel | Wageningen IMARES | Phone +31 317 487 | thomas.brunel@wur.nl |
| | P.O. Box 68 | 161 | |
| | NL-1970 AB IJmuiden | Fax +31 | |
| | Netherlands | | |
| Andrew Campbell | Marine Institute | Phone +353 91 387 | andrew.campbell@marine.ie |
| | Rinville | 200 | |
| | Oranmore | Fax +353 91 387 201 | |
| | Co. Galway | | |
| | Ireland | | |
| Gersom Costas | Instituto Espanol de | Phone +34 | gersom.costas@v1.1eo.es |
| | Oceanografia Centro | 943004800 | |
| | Deanografico de Vigo | | |
| | P.O. BOX 1552 | | |
| | (Dentevedre) | | |
| | (Pontevedra) | | |
| Hami Dohoo | Hagni Dahas | | Hoognid@hov.fo |
| Tiogin Debes | Faroo Marino Rosparch | | 110egina@nav.io |
| | Institute | | |
| | P O Box 3051 | | |
| | FO-110 Tórshavn | | |
| | Faroe Islands | | |
| Afra Egan | Marine Institute | Phone +353 91 387 | afra.egan@marine.ie |
| | Rinville | 200 | |
| | Oranmore | Fax +353 91 387 201 | |
| | Co. Galway | | |
| | Ireland | | |
| Katja Egberg | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | Norway | | |
| Asta Gudmundsdóttir | Marine Research Institute | Phone +354- | asta@hafro.is |
| | Skúlagata 4 | 5752001 | |
| | IS-121 Reykjavík | Fax +354-5752000 | |
| | Iceland | | |
| Tessa van der | Wageningen IMARES | Phone +31 | tessa.vanderhammen@wur.nl |
| Hammen | P.O. Box 68 | Fax +31 | |
| | NL-1970 AB IJmuiden | | |
| | Netherlands | | |

| ΝΑΜΕ | Address | PHONE/FAX | EMAIL |
|-------------------|---|---|--------------------------|
| Åge Høines | Institute of Marine Research P.O. Box 1870 N-5817 Bergen Norway | Phone +47 55 238 674 Fax +47 55 238 687 | Aageh@imr.no |
| Svein A. Iversen | Institute of Marine Research P.O. Box 1870 N-5817 Bergen Norway | Phone +47 55 23 84 07 Fax +47 55 23 85 55 | Svein.iversen@imr.no |
| Jan Arge Jacobsen | Faroe Marine Research Institute P.O. Box 3051 FO-110 Tórshavn Faroe Islands | Phone +298 353900 Fax +298 353901 | janarge@frs.fo |
| Teunis Jansen | The National Institute of Aquatic Resources Section for Fisheries Advice Charlottenlund Slot, Jægersborg Alle 1 DK-2920 Charlottenlund Denmark | | tej@aqua.dtu.dk |
| Alexander Krysov | Knipovich Polar Research Institute of Marine Fisheries and Oceanography 6 Knipovitch Street RU-183763 Murmansk Russian Federation | Phone +7 (8152) 47 34 24 Fax +47 789 10 518 | a_krysov@pinro.ru |
| Charlotte Main | Fisheries Research Services FRS Marine Laboratory P.O. Box 101 AB11 9DB Aberdeen Torry United Kingdom | Phone +44 Fax +44 | c.main@marlab.ac.uk |
| Manolo Meixide | Instituto Español de Oceanografía Centro Oceanográfico de Vigo P.O. Box 1552 E-36200 Vigo (Pontevedra) Spain | Phone +34 986 492111 Fax +34 986 492351 | manolo.meixide@vi.ieo.es |
| David Miller | Fisheries and Oceans Canada P.O. Box 5 St. John s NL A1C 5X1 Canada | Phone +1 709-772- 0573 Fax +1 709 772 4105 | MillerDCM@dfo-mpo.gc.ca |
| Cristina Morgado | International Council for the Exploration of the Sea H. C. Andersens Boulevard 44-46 DK-1553 Copenhagen V Denmark | Phone +45 33 38 67 21 Fax +45 33 63 42 15 | cristina@ices.dk |
| Alberto Murta | IPIMAR Avenida de Brasilia PT-1449-006 Lisbon Portugal | Phone +351 21 302 7000 Fax +351 21 301 5948 | amurta@ipimar.pt |

| ΝΑΜΕ | Address | PHONE/FAX | EMAIL |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| Leif Nøttestad | Institute of Marine | Phone +47 | leif.noettestad@imr.no |
| | Research | Fax +47 | |
| | P.O. Box 1870 | | |
| | N-5817 Bergen | | |
| | Norway | | |
| Gudmundur J. | Marine Research | Phone +354-5752001 | gjos@hafro.is |
| Oskarsson | Institute | Fax +354-5752000 | |
| | IS-121 Roykiavík | | |
| | Iceland | | |
| Lisa Readdy | Centre for Environment, | | lisa.readdy@cefas.co.uk |
| | Fisheries & Aquaculture | | |
| | Science Lowestoft | | |
| | Laboratory | | |
| | Pakefield Road | | |
| | NR33 0HT Lowestoft | | |
| | Suffolk | | |
| | United Kingdom | | |
| Maxim Rybakov | Knipovich Polar | Phone +7 | fisher@pinro.ru |
| | Marina Fisherias and | Fax +7 | |
| | Oceanography | | |
| | 6 Knipovitch Street | | |
| | RU-183763 Murmansk | | |
| | Russian Federation | | |
| Sonia Sanchez | AZTI-Tecnalia | Phone 943 004 800 | ssanchez@azti.es |
| | Herrera kaia portualdea | Fax 943 004 801 | |
| | z/g | | |
| | E-20110 Pasaia | | |
| | (Gipuzkoa) | | |
| Erling Kåro Stonovik | Spain Institute of Marine | Phone +47 | orling kaara stanovik@imr na |
| Elling Kale Stellevik | Research | Fax ± 47 | ening.kaare.stenevik@init.no |
| | P.O. Box 1870 | Tux 'H | |
| | N-5817 Bergen | | |
| | Norway | | |
| Jens Ulleweit | Johann Heinrich von | Phone +49 40 3890 | jens.ulleweit@vti.bund.de |
| | Thünen-Institute, | 5217 | |
| | Institute for Sea Fisheries | Fax +49 40 3890 5263 | |
| | Palmaille 9 | | |
| | D-22767 Hamburg | | |
| Dmitmy A. Vacilyory | Germany Bussian Fodoral | Phana 17 400 264 | duaciluou@mino mi |
| Dinny A. vasilyev | Research Institute of | 1 HOLE +7 499 204 8974 | uvasnyev@viiir0.ru |
| | Fisheries & | Fax +7 499 264 91 87 | |
| | Oceanography | 14.000 201010 | |
| | 17 Verkhne | | |
| | Krasnoselskaya | | |
| | RU-107140 Moscow | | |
| | Russian Federation | | |
| Morten Vinther | The National Institute of | Phone +45 33 96 33 | mv@aqua.dtu.dk |
| | Aquatic Resources | 50 | |
| | Section for Fisheries | Fax +45 33 96 33 33 | |
| | Advice Charlottenlund Slot | | |
| | Tægershorg Alle 1 | | |
| | DK-2920 Charlottenlund | | |
| | Denmark | | |

Annex A - Stock annex Northeast Atlantic mackerel

| Quality Handbook | ANNEX: WGWIDE-MAC-NEA |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| | |

Stock specific documentation of standard assessment procedures used by ICES

| Stock | Mackerel in the Northeast Atlantic |
|----------------|--|
| Working Group: | Working Group on Widely Distributed Stocks |
| Date: | 8 September 2009, Updated 30 August 2010 |
| Revised by | T. Jansen, T. Brunel, A. Campbell, C. Main, L. Readdy, L. Nøttestad |
| | |

A. General

A.1. Stock definition

ICES currently uses the term North East Atlantic Mackerel to define the mackerel present in the area extending from the Iberian peninsula in the south to the Northern Norwegian Sea in the north, and Iceland in the west to western Baltic Sea in east.

Even though spawning occurs widely on the shelf from Biscay to the Norwegian Sea, there are two loci of increased intensity (Figure A.3.2.1). One elongated area along the shelf break from Spanish and Portuguese waters in March, around Ireland to the west of Scotland where spawning peaks in June (Beare and Reid 2002). The other area is in the central North Sea in May-July. Only the stock in the North Sea is sufficiently distinct to be identified as a separate spawning component. Since the egg distributions in south and west overlap in the Bay of Biscay, it is impossible to define the northern border of a Southern component and the southern border of a Western component. Since it is currently impossible to allocate catches to the stocks previously considered by ICES, they are at present, for practical reasons, considered as one stock: the North East Atlantic Mackerel Stock.

Tagging experiments have demonstrated that after spawning, fish from Southern and Western areas migrate to feed in the Norwegian Sea and the North Sea during the second half of the year (Uriarte *et al.* 2001). In the North Sea they mix with the North Sea component. However, in order to keep track of the development of the spawning biomasses in the different spawning areas, the North East Atlantic mackerel stock is divided into three area components: the Western Spawning Component, the North Sea Spawning Component, and the Southern Spawning Component. By convention the catches from the components are separated according to the area in which they are taken:

| Spawning component | Western | Southern | North Sea |
|--------------------|-----------------------|-------------|-----------|
| Spawning Areas | VI, VII, VIIIa,b,d,e. | VIIIc, IXa. | IV, IIIa. |

The Western Component is defined as mackerel spawning in the western area (ICES Divisions and Subareas VI, VII, and VIII a,b,d,e). This component currently comprises most of the North East Atlantic stock. Similarly, the Southern Component is defined as mackerel spawning in the southern area (ICES Divisions VIIIc and IXa). Although the North Sea component has been at an extremely low level since the early 1970s, ICES regards the North Sea Component as still existing. This component spawns in the North Sea and Skagerrak (ICES Subarea IV and Division IIIa).

A.2. Fishery

The patterns of NEA mackerel fishing are very variable throughout the wide mackerel distribution and between the seasons due to migration, spawning, feeding and over-wintering. The sections below outline the historic changes of the mackerel fisheries and encapsulate the main actors in the recent years:

A.2.1. Mackerel fishing since the 1960s

The largest fisheries have been on the over-wintering and early spawning migration phases. The geographic area of these fisheries has changed over time.

In the 1960's a Norwegian fishery in the Northern North Sea unparalleled in size arose with the development of modern sonar, single vessel purse seining, power blocks and hydraulic fish pumps. After a few years of extreme over-fishing of the North Sea component, the catches dropped to the present day level until, in the late 1970s, the stock component collapsed and the fishery ceased. Meanwhile in the Cornwall area, of the UK, in Q4 and Q1 an intensive fishery by USSR and UK had built up, this effectively ended with the introduction of a closed box in the early 1980s. While the first quarter fishery since then came from the west of Orkney to the west of Ireland; the fourth quarter fishery moved to the west of Scotland and the North of Ireland in the 1980s and by the 1990s this had gradually shifted to the Northern North Sea. A summer fishery in the international zone of division IIa has developed since the late 1980s, in most recent years this has extended into the Icelandic zone. Peak fisheries in the Iberian region have shifted slightly in time from early Q2 to late Q1. This fishery is targeting spawning mackerel.

A.2.2. Recent year's major fisheries by area

The largest fishery is in the Northern North Sea (Subareas IV), by purse seine and pelagic trawl in late Q3, Q4 and early Q1. The catches are predominantly taken by the Norwegian fleet, followed in size by Scottish, English, Danish, Irish and Faroese fleets.

To the west of the British Isles (Subarea VI and divisions VIIb,c) most catches are taken by the Scottish and Irish pelagic trawler fleets, while Subdivisions VIId-j are also fished by the English fleet and Dutch, French and German freezer trawlers.

In the Norwegian Sea (Subarea II) most catches are taken in Q3. The major fisheries are: Russian freezer trawlers (55 – 80 m) that target mackerel, blue whiting and herring at the same time. Most recently Icelandic vessels targeting herring have begun to land much mackerel. The big Norwegian fishery has ceased.

The Spanish fleet operating off the Iberian Peninsula (divisions VIIIa and IXc) consists of demersal trawlers, purse seiners between 10 - 32 m and a large artisanal fleet with vessels between 2 and 34 m. Most of the landings are adult mackerel and the fishery has shifted slightly in time from peaking in early Q2 to late Q1.

The main mackerel catching countries in recent years continue to be Scotland, Norway, Spain, Ireland, the Netherlands, Denmark and Russia. Icelandic catches now also contribute a significant amount to the total. England & Wales, the Faroe Islands, France, Germany, Northern Ireland, Portugal and Sweden all have catches over 1,000t (combined catch 78,000t in 2007).

A.3. Ecosystem and behavioural aspects

A.3.1. Feeding

Post larval mackerel feed on a variety of zooplankton and small fish. They prefer larger prey species over smaller prey (Langoy *et al.* 2006, Pepin and Pearre 1987). Feeding patterns vary seasonally, spatially and with size. Mackerel stop feeding almost completely during winter. Main zooplankton prey species in the North Sea are: Copepods (mainly *Calanus finmarchicus*), euphasids (mainly *Meganyctiphanes norvegica*), while primary fish prey species are: Sandeel, herring, sprat, and norway pout (ICES 1989, ICES 1997a, Mehl and Westgård 1983, Walsh and Rankine 1979). Mackerel and horse mackerel are responsible for virtually all of the predation on 0- group herring as well as a large part of the consumption of 0-group Norway pout and of all ages of sandeel in the North Sea (ICES 2008a). In the Norwegian Sea euphausiids, copepods (mainly *Calanus finmarchicus* and *Oithona*), *Limacina retroversa*, *Maurolicus muelleri*, amphipods, Appendicularia and capelin are the main diet during the summer feeding migration (Langoy *et al.* 2006, Langoy *et al.* 2010, Prokopchuk 2006).

A.3.2. Spawning

Mackerel spawn at any time of the day or night and the eggs remain in the upper water masses (Nichols and Warnes 1993). Mackerel egg surveys have been conducted since 1968. In the later years these surveys have been carried out every third year, with the North Sea and Western areas in alternating years.

Even though spawning occurs widely on the shelf from Biscay to the Norwegian Sea, there are two loci of increased intensity (figure A.3.2.1). One elongated area along the shelf break from Spanish and Portuguese waters in March, around Ireland to the west of Scotland where spawning peaks in June (Beare and Reid 2002, Iversen 2002). Since the egg distribution of the Southern and Western components overlaps in the Bay of Biscay, it is impossible to define the northern border of the Southern component and the southern border of the Western component. The other area is in the central North Sea in May-July.

Spawning activity in the south and west has shifted to the north through the 80s and 90s, declining in the south and rising in the north (Beare and Reid 2002). In the North Sea there is a westward shift in the main spawning area from the central part of the North Sea in the early 1980s to the western part in recent years (2005 and 2008) (Anon 2009).



Figure A.3.2.1. NEA mackerel spawning areas. Upper left: Shaded areas indicate > 100 eggs/m2 in at least two of the years in the period 1977-1988 (from (ICES 1990)). Upper right: Average distribution of mackerel eggs by ICES statistical rectangle in 1992-2007, each map represents a survey between February and August (from (Anon 2009)). Lower left: North sea spawning area defined by a daily egg production of at least 50 mackerel eggs per m² of sea surface in any of the years 1980, 1983, 2005 and 2008 (from (Anon 2009)). Lower right: Experimental survey in May 2002 (from (Dransfeld *et al.* 2005)).

A.3.3. Migration

Mackerel perform extensive migration between spawning, feeding and overwintering areas. The migration pattern has changed substantially through time.

It is well known that swimming speed is related to fish length (Pepin *et al.* 1988). Tagging has shown that juveniles of the southern/western component do not migrate as far as the adults (Uriarte *et al.* 2001) and in the Norwegian Sea it is the larger fish that reach furthest to the North and North-West during the feeding migration in summer (Anon 2009, Holst and Iversen 1992, ICES 2009, Noettestad *et al.* 1999) and in the east end of the feeding migration large mackerel arrive before and leave later than small mackerel (Jansen et al. in prep.). Temperature has been suggested as a cause of the observed changes in the western and southern mackerel pre-spawning migration (Reid et al. 2003, Walsh and Martin 1986). The location before the onset of migration in winter, that ultimately ends at the spawning grounds in the spring, is probably constrained by temperature (Reid et al. 2001), as are the migration path and speed (Reid et al. 1997, Walsh et al. 1995). However, other factors than temperature preferences are affecting the mackerel behaviour and can in different scenarios have different weights. D'Amours and Castonguay (1992) showed that mackerel from the northern component of the West Atlantic mackerel migrated into Cabot Straight with approx. 4 °C in order to get to their spawning grounds. They argued that the fish's thermal preferences could be subordinate to their reproductive requirements, a point supported by the fact that this stock always enter the Cabot Straight around the same date (Anon 1896, Castonguay and Beaulieu 1993). Studies of the post-spawning feeding migration are limited. Patterns of food and temperature related distributions in the Norwegian Sea in the summer are emerging from summer surveys in the Norwegian Sea in 1992 and 2002-2009.

However, the big picture of when and where is the thermal preference dominating/subordinate in relation to other activities like feeding, spawning and predator avoidance remains to be drawn.

Western and southern stocks

Tagging studies (Belikov *et al.* 1998, Uriarte *et al.* 2001, Uriarte and Lucio 1996) have demonstrated that mackerel travel from both the western and southern spawning ground north up into the Norwegian and North Seas. The migration can be considered as having two elements;

- 1. A post spawning migration from the spawning areas along the western European shelf edge (Uriarte *et al.* 2001)
- 2. A pre-spawning migration from feeding grounds in the North and Norwegian Seas (Walsh et al 1995, Reid et al 1997). This pre-spawning migration includes shorter or longer halts that sometimes are referred to as overwintering.

The changes in the timing of the pre-spawning migration of the western spawning component of the north-east Atlantic mackerel have been dramatic over the last 30 years (Figure A.3.3.1.): The migration passed through the west of Scotland area in September 1975. By the late 1990s it passed through this area in January/February. This appears to have been fairly consistent up to 2005 (Reid et al. 2003, Reid et al. 2006, Walsh and Martin 1986) and the pattern in the last years has been variable but without a common trend: 2006-2007 with later migration (ICES 2007b) and in 2008 commercial fishing and IBTS Q1 data suggests that the stock initiated the south-western migration earlier. There are also indications of variation in spawning time: The Spanish spring fishery in the Bay of Biscay has been occurring earlier each year, and since this fishery is targeting spawning mackerel, this indicates that the spawning in the southern component occurs earlier each year (Punzon and Villamor 2009). Recently and in the 90s, it has been documented that the mackerel distribution in the Nordic Seas in the summer covers a vast area up to 73-75°N and from Norway in the east and beyond Iceland in the west. The dynamics and environmental drivers of the mackerel distribution are not yet uncovered. Surveys in recent years indicate substantial interannual variation and provides hypothesis on relations to temperature and food (Anon 2002, Anon 2003, Anon 2005, Gill et al. 2004, Holst and Iversen 1992, Holst and Iversen 1999, ICES 2006b, ICES 2007a, ICES 2009).



Figure A.3.3.1. Schematic outline of the migration of the western (+ southern in right map) adult mackerel through time. From left: late 1970s (ICES 1990), early 1980s (ICES 1990), latter half of 1980s (ICES 1990), mid 1990 (Anon 1997) and (Belikov *et al.* 1998).

North Sea stock

Due to the inability to separate individuals from the North Sea stock and the other stocks, our perception of the distribution in time and space of the smaller North Sea stock is based on observations from before the stock collapsed in the late 1960s.

After spawning the stock spreads out. The post-spawning feeding migration takes the mackerel north into the Northern North Sea and the Norwegian Sea, east into the transition waters and western Baltic Sea, while parts remain in the North Sea. Later in the autumn the mackerel move to deeper waters in the northern part of the Norwe-gian Trench, Shetland area, and Viking Bank for wintering. In April/May, they return to the surface layer for feeding, and migrate towards the spawning area in the central part of the North Sea and Skagerrak (Agger 1970a, Agger 1970b, Hamre 1978, Iversen 2002, Lindquist and Hannerz 1974, Postuma 1972, Revheim 1951, Zijlstra and Postuma 1965)


Figure A.3.3.2. Assumed migration and area distribution of the North Sea mackerel. From (ICES 1990).

A.3.4. By-catch

Only fragmented information on by-catch is available.

NEA mackerel and NSS herring currently have a pronounced overlap in spatial distribution in the south-western and northern parts of the Norwegian Sea. Mackerel was caught together with considerable amounts of herring in the same trawl hauls, both in several commercial fisheries and in international surveys, suggesting that bycatch is an issue for the pelagic trawl fisheries in this area (ICES 2008c).

The distribution of chub mackerel (*Scomber colias*) overlaps with the mackerel distribution in the southern area, with some substantial catches in Division IXa.

B. Data

In this section data used directly in the analytical assessment are outlined. This includes:

- Commercial catch data
 - i. Total catch in weight
 - ii. Catch in number at age
 - iii. Mean weight at age
- Biological data
- i. Weighting of spawning components
- ii. Mean weight at age
- iii. Maturity ogive (proportion mature at age)
- iv. Natural mortality and proportion of F and M
- Survey data
- i. SSB estimate from egg surveys

ii. Recruit abundance index from demersal trawl survey (no longer being used)

Currently, the western and southern egg survey provides the only fisheryindependent data that are actually used for tuning the stock assessment models.

B.1. Commercial catch

Estimates of the magnitude (in tonnes) and precision of the unaccounted fishing mortality in the NEA mackerel fisheries suggest that, on average, total catch related removals are equivalent to between 1.6 and 3.4 times the catch. The variation could be due to:

- Fish that escape from fishing, but die, such as those that pass through the meshes and die
- Discards, slippage and high-grading not included in the ICA assessment
- Unreported catch throughout the time-series

(ICES 2008c, Simmonds 2007).

B.1.2. Total catch weight, catch in numbers and mean weight at age

Data Compilation

Commercial catch and associated sampling data are submitted to the stock coordinator each year by the national laboratories of the major mackerel catching nations. The 'exchange format' Excel worksheet was developed specifically for this purpose. In addition to catches and sampling data, information on misreporting, unallocated and discarded catch can also be submitted using this format. Data for nations with small (and generally unsampled) catches are retrieved by the stock coordinator from the Statlant database to complete the dataset for the year in question.

Once the complete dataset has been screened for errors, the stock coordinator will compile the data into the format required for input to the assessment. This involves the allocation of sample data to unsampled catches in order that all catches have an associated age structure. The process for allocating samples is rather ad-hoc with the stock coordinator selecting the appropriate samples (and their associated weighting) on the basis of the fleet definitions (gear), area and quarter.

Assessment Inputs

When the allocation exercise is complete the stock coordinator will format the data for input to the sallocl program (Patterson 1998). This involves the creation of 2 comma separated text files: disfad.csv (which contains the disaggregated dataset) and alloc.csv (which contains details of the sample allocations). The sallocl program produces a file sam.out from which the assessment inputs (catch number at age, catch weight at age and total catch weight) can be extracted. The sam.out, alloc.csv and disfad.csv files are stored in the working group archives folder.

Since 2007, the InterCatch, web-based application has been used in parallel with sallocl. It is necessary to compile the data into an alternative format for upload to Inter-Catch. Comparisons of the sallocl and InterCatch output show good agreement between the two, with minimal differences.

B.1.2. Discards

Discarding of small mackerel has historically been a major problem in the mackerel fishery and was largely responsible for the introduction of the south-west mackerel box. In the years prior to 1994 there was evidence of large-scale discarding and slipping of small mackerel in the fisheries in Division IIa and Subarea IV, mainly because of the very high prices paid for larger mackerel (>600g) for the Japanese market. This factor was put forward as a possible reason for the very low abundance of the 1991 year class in the 1993 catches. Norway therefore introduced a special regulation to limit the slipping; this regulation was in force from 1988 to 2002. Anecdotal evidence from the fleet suggests that since 1994, discarding/slipping has been reduced in these areas. This is supported by the fact that the price for smaller fish have increased.

In some of the horse mackerel directed fisheries e.g. those in Subareas VI and VII mackerel is taken as by-catch. Reports from these fisheries have suggested that discarding may be significant because of the low mackerel quota relative to the high horse mackerel quota – particularly in those fisheries carried out by freezer trawlers in the fourth quarter. The level of discards is greatly influenced by the market price and by quotas.

With a few exceptions, since 1978 estimates of discards were provided to the Working Group for the areas VI, VII/VIIIa,b,d,e and III/IV. However, the Working Group considers the estimates for these areas as incomplete, e.g in 2007 discard data for mackerel were only provided by three nations: Scotland, the Netherlands and Germany. Countries providing discard estimates should be encouraged to also provide age based information so that the total stock removal may be more accurately estimated. No discards are available for the areas I/II/Vb and VIIIc/IXa.

B.2. Biological

B.2.1. Weighting of spawning components

The SSB estimates from the last egg surveys in the North Sea and the western/southern area are used.

B.2.2. Weight at age in stock

The mean weight at age in the stock is based on available samples from the area and season of spawning of each of the spawning components. The mean weights at age for the total stock are then calculated as weighted means, where the weighting is the egg survey based estimate of SSB in the three components. For a complete time series on mean weights at age in the three components and their relative weighting for the stock weights see the 2004 WHMHSA report (ICES 2005) and the WGWIDE reports since then.

Available samples from the commercial fishery have been supplemented by samples from the egg surveys. The egg survey samples have been applied to the year before the survey year as well as in the survey year. Since selectivity of the applied gear might affect the weight at age estimate; outlier samplings (e.g. from scientific vessels with small trawls and low engine power) are not used.

B.2.3. Maturity ogive (proportion mature at age)

The maturity ogive is based on the following information:

North Sea component: The present maturity ogive was constructed in 1984 on the basis of analysis of Norwegian biological samples from June-August 1960-81. This revealed that 74% of the 2 year old mackerel, which appeared in the catches, were sexually mature. By comparing fishing mortalities for II-group mackerel with the fishing mortalities for the III-group the year after, when they are fully recruited to the spawning stock, it seems that about 50% of the II-group mackerel are available to the fishery. Assuming that only the spawning component of the stock is available in the fishery, maturity ogive for the North Sea stock was estimated (ICES 1984).

Western component: The present maturity ogive was constructed in 1985 based on Dutch commercial and research vessel samples taken in April, May, June, July and August in Division VIa south of 57"N and Divisions VIIb,e,f,g,h,j during the period 1977-1984 (ICES 1985). The ogives was reviewed in 1997, but kept constant as before (ICES 1997b).

Southern component: Based on a histological analysis of mackerel samples collected during the 1998 Egg Survey (ICES 2000, Perez *et al.* 2000).

The proportion of mature mackerel at age for the total stock are calculated as the weighted mean each of the three components. The weighting is the egg survey based estimate of SSB in the three components. The maturity ogive is thus updated only when there has been an egg survey.

B.2.4. Natural mortality and proportion of F and M

Natural mortality (M) has been fixed at 0.15 for decades. The basis for this number can be found in Hamre (1980). The first mackerel working group report where this value was given in was 1983 (ICES 1984).

To calculate proportions of F and M before spawning; the time of spawning each year was set to be the julian day where 50% of the egg spawning had occurred. Subsequently, the time of spawning was taken as the mean of the annual estimates.

Interannual variation was observed to be low at the time of the benchmark in 2007. However, later estimates challenge this fixed assumption.

Natural mortality (M) was assumed to be constant through the year, so the proportion of natural mortality happening before spawning was readily calculated by multiplying M by the proportion of the year before the mean date of spawning.

Catch numbers were by quarter. The quarter 2 data partitioned in the observed catch before and after the mean date of spawning. Partial F's were calculated using the output from the last assessment and the estimated catch was calculated using the catch equation. A proportion of F before spawning was then obtained by age and year and mean values calculated.

B.3. Surveys

B.3.1. Egg surveys

Two mackerel egg surveys have been performed for decades. Both surveys are presently only adding new information to these time-series every third year. One survey covers the western-southern spawning grounds while the other partly covers the spawning in the North Sea and Skagerrak (figure A.3.2.1.).

Temporally each survey is split into several periods in order to cover the whole spawning season. Most countries use Gulf III or Gulf VII samplers with a mesh size of

 $250 \mu m$. These samplers are torpedo-shaped with a flow meter, and may be encased or have an open design. Germany uses a Nackthai sampler, which has a similar design. Samples are collected using double oblique hauls at speeds of approximately 5 knots. Trawl samples of fish are collected in order to determine the sex ratio and the fecundity and atresia of female fish.

Mackerel eggs are sorted out from plankton samples. The eggs are staged and aged according the temperature at a five meter depth (Lockwood *et al.* 1981). Total annual egg production is then calculated by integrating all periods. Daily egg production (stage 1 eggs per m² per day) is measured and used to calculate a constant spatio-temporal coefficient of variation (CV). The SSB is estimated using information on sex ratio and fecundity of the females. The results are reported at the working group for mackerel egg surveys (WGMEGS).

B.3.2. International Bottom Trawl Survey

The CPUE index of mackerel recruits have previously been used in the mackerel assessment, however this was discontinued in the late 90's because of the poor performance of this survey (ICES 2000). Further analysis in 2008 concluded that calibration regression did not provide a more sensible prediction of recruitment than the approach of using the geometric mean of the recruitment series from VPA (ICES 2008c). The distribution of juvenile mackerel is very patchy, and abundance is highly variable between years. Although the survey data indicate presence and absence of young mackerel, they cannot be used to quantify spatial abundance accurately (Anon 2009).

The time series used for this analysis was based on surveys carried out by France, Ireland, Portugal, Scotland and Spain (quarter 4 surveys) and by Scotland (quarter 1 surveys):

- 4th Quarter, age 0 mackerel from surveys 1985 2007
- 1_{st} Quarter, age 1 mackerel from surveys 1985 2008
- 4th Quarter age 1 mackerel from surveys1985 2007
- A combined index using data from 4th quarter, age 0 mackerel and 1st quarter, age 1 mackerel from surveys 1985 2007.

Background on the IBTS survey

In the 1960s a number of countries around the North Sea started research vessel trawl surveys which were specifically aimed at the distribution and abundance of young herring (*Clupea harengus*); the International Young Herring Survey. Since 1974 the whole of the North Sea, Skagerrak and Kattegat have been surveyed annually in the first quarter of the year. It was soon realised that the survey also yielded valuable information for other fish species, such as cod and haddock, and so the objectives were broadened and the survey was renamed into the International Young Fish Survey (IYFS). A number of additional national surveys developed in a similar manner during the 1970s and 80s, these were mainly carried out in the third quarter.

In 1990 ICES decided to combine these surveys into the International Bottom Trawl Survey (IBTS) and over the years, co-ordinated them under the auspices of the IBTSWG with the aim of improving standardisation and collaboration between surveys. Prior to 1977 there was no standardisation of gear although all ships used bottom trawls with a small mesh cover. In 1977 ICES recommended that all ships should use a GOV trawl as specified by the Institute des Peches Maritimes, Boulogne. A de-

tailed description of the net is to be found in the manual (ICES 2006a). The GOV trawl was gradually phased in, e.g. in 1979 only 3 vessels were equipped with the GOV trawl, but by 1983 all 8 nations were using this gear. It should be noted that although the gear is now standard, variations in the rigging exist between the various countries. This should be borne in mind when comparing results across the areas covered. The fishing method is also standardized and described in the manual (ICES 2006a). Fishing speed is 4 knots measured as trawl speed over the ground. In 1977 ICES also recommended that the duration of a tow should be reduced from an hour to half an hour with the catch data to be expressed in numbers per hour. All nations accepted this recommendation although it was a number of years before 30 minutes became the standard.

Two areas can be distinguished which differ in terms of the degree to which standardisation has been achieved: IBTS North Sea and IBTS Western and Southern areas. The North Sea IBTS are being carried out twice per year (1st and 3rd quarters) and in the period 1991-1996 also in 2nd and 4rd quarter. In 1994, the remit of the IBTSWG was extended to co-ordinate surveys in the western and southern areas (i.e. English Channel, Celtic Sea, Bay of Biscay, eastern Atlantic waters from the Shetlands to the strait of Gibraltar). While some attempts have been made in order to achieve a consensus on the choice of a standard gear, this was not achieved due to the variation in bottom types, and each country uses a different gear (GOV for France, Scotland and Ireland, BAKA for Spain and Norwegian Campelen Trawl for Portugal). Each country conducts surveys in adjacent areas with no overlapping, in various quarters of the year.

B.4. Commercial CPUE

None

B.5. Other relevant data

None

C. Historical Stock Development

A benchmark assessment for NEA Mackerel was carried out in 2007 by the working group on the assessment of Mackerel, Horse mackerel, Sardine and Anchovy (ICES 2007b). Following this benchmark investigation, the tool chosen for the assessment is ICA (Patterson & Melvin 1996). Since 2008, this method has been implemented in FLR (Kell *et al.* 2007) using the FLICA routine¹.

The ICA programme operates by minimising the following general objective function:

$$\sum \lambda_C \left(C - \hat{C} \right)^2 + \sum \lambda_I \left(I - \hat{I} \right)^2$$

which is the sum of the squared differences between the estimated and true value for the catches (separable model) and the tuning indices (catchability model).

The final objective function chosen for the stock assessment model was:

¹ In 2008, the assessment was run using both the old ICA software and FLICA and no difference was found between the output of the two methods.

$$\sum_{a=0}^{12+} \sum_{y=Y-12}^{Y} \lambda_{ca} \left(\ln \left(C_{a,y} \right) - \ln \left(\hat{C}_{a,y} \right) \right)^2 + \sum_{y \in \{Y_{Egg}\}} \lambda_{MES} \left(\ln \left(q_{MES} S \hat{S} B_{y} \right) - \ln \left(MES_{y} \right) \right)^2$$

where

| a and y | age and year |
|--|--|
| С | catch |
| \hat{C} | catch estimated by the separable model |
| SŜB | spawning stock biomass estimated by the model |
| MES | Mackerel Eggs Survey index (biomass index) triennialy |
| qмes | catchability of mackerel egg survey |
| $\lambda_{\scriptscriptstyle ca}$ and $\lambda_{\scriptscriptstyle MES}$ | weighting factors for the catches and the survey |
| Y | Assessment year |
| Y_Egg | Egg survey years (e.g: 1992, 1995, 1998, 2001, 2004, 2007, 2010, etc.) |

The λ_{ca} and λ_{MES} were defined to give the same weighting to the catch at age and to the survey for fitting the model. This was done by giving a weight of 0.33 to each year and age in the catch matrix (except for ages 0 and 1 which were down weighted by a factor 100 and 10 respectively). The weight given to the catch for a period of 3 years (interval between survey) is 3 years * 10 age classes * 0.33 = 10. Therefore, a weight of 10 was given to each survey value (setting in FLICA : index.var=0.1).

With ICA, it is possible to use a survey index related to the assessment year (Y), even if the last catch data available (and therefore the last population numbers at age estimated) are for the year previous to the assessment (Y-1). In this case, the survivors are projected until the time of spawning and the corresponding SSB is calculated, assuming that maturity, weights and fishing mortality at age in the year Y are the same as in the year Y-1.

Note that the specific case of using the weighting described as above, results in giving a slightly higher weight to the survey than to the catch at age.

Implementation of the method is done by using R2.8.1, with the following FLR packages : FLCore3.0, FLAssess1.99-102, FLICA1.4-10, FLSTF1.99-1, FLEDA2.0, FLBRP2.0, FLash2.0 and the scripts developed to work with ICA : NEAMac Assessment.r, HAWG Common assessment module.r, HAWG Retro func.r, WriteIcaSum.r.

| Туре | Name | Year range Y = Assessment year | Age range | Variable from year to year |
|---------|---|--|--------------|-------------------------------|
| Caton | Catch in tonnes | 1972 - Y-1 | | Yes |
| Canum | Catch at age in numbers | 1972 - Y-1 | 0-12+ | Yes |
| Weca | Weight at age in the commercial catch | 1972 - Y-1 | 0-12+ | Yes |
| West | Weight at age of the spawning stock at spawning time. | 1972 - Y-1 | 0-12+ | Yes |
| Mprop | Proportion of natural mortality before spawning | 1972 - Y-1 | 0-12+ | No, fixed at 0.35 |
| Fprop | Proportion of fishing mortality before spawning | 1972 - Y-1 | 0-12+ | No, fixed at 0.421 |
| Matprop | Proportion mature at age | 1972 - Y-1 | 0-12+ | Yes |
| Natmor | Natural mortality | 1972 - Y-1 | 0-12+ | No, fixed at 0.15 |

Input data types and characteristics:

Tuning data:

| Tuning | | | | |
|--------|--|--|----------------------------------|--|
| Туре | Name | Year range | Age range | |
| Survey | ICES Triennial Mackerel and Horse Mackerel Egg Survey | 1992, 1995, 1998, 2001, 2004, 2007, 2010, etc. | Not applicable (gives SSB) | |

Model Options chosen according to the 2007 benchmark:

| | Settings | Description |
|------------------------|---|---|
| FLICA.control settings | | |
| sr | FALSE | No stock-recruitment relationship used in the model |
| lambda.age | 0.0033333, 0.033333, 0.33333, 0.33333, 0.33333, 0.33333, 0.33333, 0.33333, 0.33333, 0.33333, 0.33333, 0.33333, | Weighting matrices for catch-at-age; for aged surveys; for SSB surveys |
| lambda.yr | 111111111111 | Relative weights by year |
| lambda.sr | 0.1 | weight for the SRR term in the objective function |
| index.model | linear | Catchability model for each survey |
| index.cor | FALSE | Are the age-structured indices correlated across ages |
| sep.nyr | 12 | Number of years for separable model |
| sep.age | 5 | Reference age for fitting the separable model |
| sep.sel | 1.5 | Selection on last true reference age |
| FLIndex settings | | |
| index.var | 0.1 for all years | Variance of the index (inverse of the weight given to each survey year) |

Due to the high uncertainty in the recruitment estimates for the terminal year for the NEA Mackerel, the value estimated by ICA is arbitrarily replaced by the geometric mean of recruitment over the period 1972 to two year before assessment year.

Due to the lack of data, the age for the plus group in the first years in the catch at age matrix is increasing until the year 1980 when it is definitely set at age 12. For this reason Fbar4–8 can not be correctly estimated when the plus group was smaller than 8 (before 1977), and SSB can not be correctly estimated when the plus group was smaller than 12 (before 1980). Recruitment and total catch estimates are not affected by this problem.

D. Short-Term Projection

Deterministic short-term predictions are calculated using the stf routine in the FLAssess package. Projections are done three years ahead: assessment year (Y) to Y +2. For the intermediate year (= Y) an assumed catch is used (see below for more details). A range of management options for Y +1 are then tested.

In 2009 and 2010 the short term forecast was run in parallel comparing the stf routine with MDFP v.1a. The test showed that the two programs gave the same results.

The input data are detailed below:

Initial stock size:

- Age 2 to 12+the survivors at the 1st of January Y estimated by ICA are used as
the starting populations in the prediction. The recruitment of age
0 (year class Y) and the abundance at age 1 (year class Y-1) are
routinely revised due to the uncertainty of these estimates:
- Age 0 The geometric mean of the recruitments for the period from the first year of data until three years before the assessment year (i.e. 1972 Y-3) is used for the recruitment at age 0 for Y-1 Y in the predictions.

ICA estimates of recruitment in Y-1 and Y-2 are considered too uncertain be used in the geometric mean, because these year classes have not yet grown into the fishery. Recruitment in Y-2 is kept as estimated by ICA in order to be consistent with previous assessments, but changing this to a historically based value should be considered during next benchmark assessment.

Age 1 the abundance of the survivors at age 1 (in Y) is the geometric mean recruitment at age 0 brought forward 1 year by the total mortality at age 0 in the year before the assessment year.

Exploitation pattern:

The exploitation pattern used in the predictions was the separable ICA F's, scaled to the F in the final year. As the model is fitted with 12 year separable period this is effectively the mean exploitation from Y-12 to Y-1 inclusive.

The stf routine then use the same relative selection pattern in Y to Y+2.

Maturity at age, weight at age in the catch and weight at age in the stock:

The 3 year average of Y-3 to Y-1 was used.

Proportion of natural and fishing mortality occurring before spawning:

Use the constant values used for the whole period

Assumptions for the intermediate year:

The catch in the intermediate year (=Y) is taken as a TAC constraint. The catch is estimated from declared quotas modified by e.g. paybacks (e.g.EU COMMISSION REGULATION (EC) No 147/2007), discards, interannual transfers and expected overcatch.

Management Option Tables for the TAC year

The different management options for the catch in Y+1 are tested, according to the management plan implemented for NEA Mackerel since 2009:

- Catch_{Y+1} = zero
- Catch $_{Y+1} = TAC_Y 20\%$
- Catch Y+1 = TACY
- Catch $_{Y+1} = TAC_Y + 20\%$
- Fbar $Y_{+1} = 0.20$
- Fbar $_{Y+1} = 0.21$
- Fbar $Y_{+1} = 0.22$

E. Medium-Term Projections

No medium-term projections

F. Long-Term Projections

No long term projections

G. Biological Reference Points

Limit points

Investigation using precautionary software (PaSoft, Cefas 1999) showed that there was no indications of reduced recruitment at biomasses above the lowest observed biomass of B_{loss} =1.67Mt. A segmented regression fits a point of inflection to the same biomass point. On this basis B_{lim} is given the value of B_{loss}.

Yield per recruit evaluations using B_{loss} and assuming historic mean recruitment give an estimate of $F_{loss} = 0.42$. The value of F_{loss} is compatible with the proposed B_{lim} and on this basis F_{lim} is given the value of F_{loss} .

Precautionary reference points

Evaluations of precision of the assessment carried out during the management plan evaluations (ICES 2007b) show that the precision of F estimated in the assessment has a CV of 36%. The ICES procedure for evaluating precautionary reference points from limit points uses a formula based on the CV (ICES 2001) This formula gives a factor of 0.55 and an estimate of F_{pa} =0.23.

A similar evaluation of precision of the SSB (29%) would result in $B_{pa} = 2.69Mt$, which exceeds the observed biomass during most of the period of the assessment of SSB (more reliable values since 1979). Due to the limited range of stock biomass and the precision of the assessment in the final year, it is therefore not possible to define both B_{lim} and B_{pa} that lie within the observed range of biomass. Setting a B_{pa} outside the range of reliable observations is not thought to be appropriate. Given this situation it was deiced that B_{pa} should not be revised, until more information becomes available. Note that given B_{lim} the existing $B_{pa} = 2.3$ Mt does not reflect the assessment uncertainty. Under these circumstances it is not recommended to use B_{pa} as a management target but rather to follow one of the precautionary options under the proposed management plan.

| | Туре | Value | Technical basis |
|---------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|--|
| | B_{lim} | 1.67 million t | Bloss |
| Precautionary approach | B _{pa} | 2.3 million t | Trigger reference point used in the management agreed between Norway, Faroe, Islands, and the EU in 1999. |
| | F_{lim} | 0.42 | Floss |
| | F_{pa} | 0.23 | Flim*0.55 (CV 36%) |
| Targete | Fy | Between 0.20 and 0.22 | 2008 Management plan |
| Targets | By | > 2.2 million t | 2000 Management plan |

B_{pa} unchanged since 1998; target reference points changed in 2008; *F_{pa}*, *F_{lim}*, and *B_{lim}* revised in 2008

H. Other Issues

H.1. Management plans and evaluations

During 2007 and 2008 ICES provided a report on NEA mackerel long-term management (ICES 2008b) The content of the study was developed through a request from the European Commission and a series of meetings with representatives of Pelagic Regional Advisory Council (PRAC). The report was used by ICES to give advice in June 2008, which was presented to the PRAC in July 2008. Following this a request was made by the PRAC to provide information on tradeoffs between different management criteria, particularly concentrating on average catch, inter-annual change in catch and proportion of older fish. More runs were carried out with the software HCM with the same model conditioning and setting used to give ICES advice. These were used to give more detail in the region of greatest interest. The information on the methods used was given in (ICES 2008b). An agreed management plan for NE Atlantic mackerel was finalised in October 2008. The management plan is as follows:

The agreed record of negotiations between Norway, Faroe Islands, and EU in 2008 states that the long-term management plan shall consist of the following elements: 1. For the purpose of this long-term management plan, "SSB" means the estimate according to ICES of the spawning stock biomass at spawning time in the year in which the TAC applies, taking account of the expected catch. 2. When the SSB is above 2,200,000 tonnes, the TAC shall be fixed according to the expected landings, as advised by ICES, on fishing the stock consistent with a fishing mortality rate in the range of 0.20 to 0.22 for appropriate age groups as defined by ICES. 3. When the SSB is lower than 2,200,000 tonnes, the TAC shall be fixed according to the expected landings as advised by ICES, on fishing the stock at a fishing mortality rate determined by the following: Fishing mortality $F = 0.22^* SSB/2,200,000$ 4. Notwithstanding paragraph 2, the TAC shall not be changed by more than 20% from one year to the next, including from 2009 to 2010. 5. In the event that the ICES estimate of SSB is less than 1,670,000 tonnes, the Parties shall decide on a TAC which is less than that arising from the application of paragraphs 2 to 4. 6. The Parties may decide on a TAC that is lower than that determined by paragraphs 2 to 4. 7. The Parties shall, as appropriate, review and revise these management measures

From (NEAFC 2008)

ICES consider the agreement to be consistent with the precautionary approach. However, the management plan does not specify measures that would apply under poor stock conditions that preclude further evaluation.

and strategies on the basis of any new advice provided by ICES

Quality Handbook ANNEX: B – Western Horse Mackerel

Stock specific documentation of standard assessment procedures used by ICES.

| Stock | Western Horse Mackerel (Divisions IIa, IIIa-west, IVa, Vb, VIa, VIIa-c, VIIe-k, VIIIa-e) |
|----------------|--|
| Working Group: | Working Group on Widely Distributed Stocks |
| Date: | 6 September 2010 |
| Revised by | WGWIDE (first draft) |

A. General

A.1. Stock definition

Stock Identity

For many years, ICES considered horse mackerel (*Trachurus trachurus*) in the northeast Atlantic to be separated into three stocks. Prior to the conclusion of the project HOMSIR in 2003 (description to follow), this separation was motivated mainly on the basis of temporal and spatial distributions of the fishery and observed egg and larval distributions (ICES 2008/ACOM:13), but early on was also supported by information from acoustic and trawl surveys, and from parasite infestation rates in horse mackerel (ICES 1989/Assess:19, 1990/Assess:24, 1991/Assess:22). The southern stock was defined as that found in the Atlantic waters of the Iberian Peninsula, the North Sea stock in the eastern English Channel and North Sea area, and the western stock on the northeast continental shelf of Europe, stretching from the Bay of Biscay in the south to Norway in the north.

The occurrence of the large 1982 year class in the eastern part of the North Sea during the latter half of 1987, which resulted in the commencement of a sizeable Norwegian fishery for horse mackerel in the third and fourth quarters from the late 1980s, led to questions about the distribution of the North Sea stock (ICES 1989/Assess:19). A combination of commercial catch and bottom trawl survey data indicated that western horse mackerel had a similar migration pattern to mackerel, so that outside the spawning season bigger fish migrate north to reach the northern North Sea in the latter half of the year (Iversen *et al.* 2002). Differences were also noted in the development of the fishery and in the parasite infestation rates of horse mackerel in Divisions IIa and IVa compared to Divisions IVb-c and the English Channel, suggesting that fisheries in these two areas were exploiting fish from two different spawning areas (ICES 1990/Assess:24, 1991/Assess:22). Therefore, since 1989 ICES has allocated catches taken in Division IIa and in Division IVa (in later years only during the third and fourth quarters of the year for IVa, and including the western part of Division IIIa) to the western stock (ICES 1989/Assess:19).

A Study Group on stock identity held in 1992 (ICES 1992/H:4) found that, although there were clear centres of egg production, there were no major discontinuities in the distribution of eggs between the western and southern areas, bringing into question the separation between these stocks (ICES 1992/Assess:17). It was hoped a tagging program launched in Spain and Portugal in 1994 (ICES 1995/Assess:2), and two studies conducted in 1997 using allozyme differentiation and morphometric characteristics (ICES 1998/Assess:6) would shed further light on stock identity, but none of the tags were ever recovered (ICES 1996/Assess:7, 1997/Assess:3, 1998/Assess:6, 1999/ACFM:6, 2000/ACFM:5, 2001/ACFM:06), and neither study provided a basis for changing the stock separation previously defined (ICES 1998/Assess:6).

Further refinements of the definitions of stock units were made based on the results from HOMSIR (EU-funded project: QLK5-CT1999-01438), which integrated a variety of approaches to investigate horse mackerel stock identification (ICES 2005/ACFM:08, Abaunza *et al.* 2008). The project investigated the stock structure of horse mackerel from a holistic point of view within the western, southern, North Sea and Mediterranean areas. It included various genetic approaches (multilocus allozyme electrophoresis, mitochondrial DNA analysis, microsatellite DNA analysis and single stranded conformation polymorphysm SSCP analysis), the use of parasites as biological tags, body morphometrics, otolith shape analysis and the comparative study of life history traits (growth, reproduction and distribution). The project concluded in June 2003, and some of the main results from this project, which are of relevance to the western stock, were as follows (ICES 2005/ACFM:08):

- Horse mackerel from the west Iberian Atlantic coast can be distinguished from the rest of the Atlantic areas.
- In the Atlantic Ocean, the northern boundary of the so called "southern stock" ought to be revised, and accordingly, the southern boundary of the so called "western stock". The body morphometrics and the otolith shape analysis joined the northwest of the Iberian Peninsula (North Galicia) to the areas located more to the North in the Atlantic Ocean, Bay of Biscay and Celtic Sea. On the other hand, the genetic results from SSCP associated the northwest of Iberian Peninsula to the Portuguese sampling sites. These differences between the techniques suggested that North Galicia may correspond to a transition area between two possible stock units. Therefore, it was proposed to move the actual boundary of the "Southern" and "Western" stocks from Cape Breton Canyon (southeast of Bay of Biscay) to the northwest of Iberian Peninsula (Galician coasts) and specifically to Cape Finisterre at 43° N latitude, which could be considered also as a boundary for certain hydrographic features, like the influence of North-Atlantic Central Water (Fraga *et al.*, 1982).
- Parasites and body morphometrics indicated that horse mackerel in the North Sea could constitute a stock well differentiated from the rest of adjacent Atlantic areas.
- Horse mackerel along western European coasts, from the northwest of Spain to Norway, seem to be a unique stock. This definition is very similar to that previously used for the "western stock", except that, based on results from HOMSIR, the north coast of the Iberian Peninsula should also be included. Neither the SSCP results nor the parasite composition study showed any contradiction with this definition. Anisakid parasite species composition is homogenous throughout this area. Otolith shape analysis

and body morphometrics include the sampling sites from this area in the same cluster, showing a great similarity in morphometric characteristics.

• However, the population structure in the western European coasts could be more complicated and more research is needed to clarify the migration patterns within the Northeast Atlantic Ocean. This is especially relevant to the boundary areas between the North Sea Stock and the Western stock (Northern North Sea and English Channel).

Therefore, in many ways, results from the HOMSIR project largely supported ICES perceptions of stock units. Based on findings from the project, ICES now includes Division VIIIc as part of the distribution area of the western horse mackerel stock. The boundaries for the different stocks are given in Figure B.1.

Allocation of catches to stock

Based on spatial and temporal distribution of the horse mackerel fishery the catches were allocated to the western stock as follows:

Western stock: Quarters 3&4 only: Divisions IIIa (west), IVa All Quarters: Divisions IIa, Vb, VIa, VIIa-c,e-k and VIIIa-e.

The reason why catches from only the western part of Division IIIa are allocated to the western stock is that these catches are taken in the third and fourth quarter, and are often taken in the neighbouring area of catches from the western stock in Division IVa. ICES is not sure if catches in Divisions IVa and IIIa during the first two quarters are of western or North Sea origin. Usually this is a minor problem because the catches in these areas during this period are small. However, in 2006 and 2007, relatively larger catches, 2 600 and 2 100 tons, were taken in Division IVa during the first half of the year and these catches were allocated to the North Sea stock.

A.2. Fishery

Germany and the Netherlands have a directed trawl fishery and Norway a directed purse seine fishery for horse mackerel. Spain and Portugal have both directed and mixed trawl and purse seine fisheries. In earlier years most of the catches were used for meal and oil while in later years most of the catches have been used for human consumption.

The Dutch and German fleets operated mainly west of the Channel, in the Channel area, and in the southern North Sea. The Spanish and Portuguese fleets operated mainly in their respective waters. Ireland fished mainly west of Ireland and Norway in the north eastern part of the North Sea.

A.3. Ecosystem aspects

Western horse mackerel have a long spawning season with a peak in late spring/early summer (Abaunza *et al.*, 2003). They spawn in the Bay of Biscay and southwest of the British Isles (indicated as the "juvenile area" in Figure B.1). Age and length distributions from around the British Isles suggest that, as for northeast Atlantic mackerel (*Scomber scombrus*), the largest fish tend to travel farthest and may reach areas around the Shetland Islands, the Norwegian coast, and the northern North Sea by September (Eaton, 1983).

Three species of genus Trachurus: *T. trachurus, T. mediterraneus* and *T. picturatus* are found together and are commercially exploited in NE Atlantic waters.

Following the Working Group recommendation (ICES 2002/ACFM: 06), special care has been taken to ensure that catch and length distributions and numbers at age of *T. trachurus* supplied to the Working Group did not include *T. mediterraneus* and *T. picturatus*. Spain provided data on *T. mediterraneus* and Portugal on *T. picturatus*.

T. mediterraneus is almost exclusively landed in ports of the Cantabrian Sea in the north of Spain. The fishery for *T. picturatus* takes place in the southern part of Division IXa and in Subarea X. The annual landings of *T. mediterraneus* show substantial variability, ranging from about 500t to 7,000 tones. Since 2004 there has been a decrease in landings reaching the lowest level in 2007.

B. Data

B.1. Commercial catch

Catch in numbers

Since 1998 there has been an increase in age readings compared with previous years, which has improved the quality of the catch at age matrix for western horse mackerel. Catches from some countries were converted to numbers at age using adequate samples from other countries. The procedure has been carried out using the specific software for calculating international catch at age (Patterson WD presented in ICES 1999/ACFM:6). Usually catch at age data are provided by the Netherlands, Norway, Ireland and Spain. In some years also Germany and Scotland have provided such data. Therefore adequate sampling has never been conducted in all fishing areas during the fishing season.

Discards

Over the years, only one, and in later years two, countries have provided data on discards, so that the estimated amount of discards are not representative for the total fishery. During recent years only the Netherlands and Germany have provided discard data. No data on discards were provided during 1998-2001. Based on the limited data available it is impossible to estimate the amount of discard in the horse mackerel fisheries.

B.2. Biological

Mean weight at age in the stock

The mean weight at age for two year olds was given a constant weight, [weight for age 3 and 4 estimated by WGWIDE (Svein)] while the weight for the older ages is based on all mature fish sampled from Dutch freezer trawlers in the first and second quarter in Divisions VIIj,k. In 2007, due to no catches in VIIk, weights were only available from Division VIIj. The mean weight by age groups in the stock and in the catches were lower than usual in 2001, but returned to normal since 2002.

Maturity ogive

Due to difficulties in estimating a maturity ogive (ICES 2000/ACFM:5, 2000/G:01) the working group has been unable to update the maturity ogive annually. Therefore the same maturity at age has been used since 1998.

Natural mortality

The natural mortalities applied in previous assessments of western horse mackerel are summarised and discussed in ICES (1998/Assess:6). The natural mortality is uncertain but probably low. ICES currently applies M=0.15.year⁻¹.

B.3. Surveys

Egg survey estimates of biomass

The Mackerel and Horse Mackerel Egg Survey takes place triennially with the participation of Portugal, Spain, Scotland, Ireland, The Netherlands, Norway and Germany. It is not possible to convert the horse mackerel egg production to SSB since horse mackerel is considered an indeterminate spawner.

In general the quality and reliability of the egg surveys are good. In contrast to 2007 the 2010 results display a bimodal distribution which is almost identical both in shape and scale to that seen in 1998 with peak spawning occurring in periods 3 and 5 and a significant decline in production during period 4

Since 2003 the ICES working group WGMEGS has held an egg identification and staging workshop prior to the survey. This permits a harmonisation of egg identification and realised fecundity in mackerel as well as spawning rates in horse mackerel across the participating institutes. These activities led to an improvement in the quality of the estimate.

Even when the survey coverage is good, WGMEGS concludes that while the starting of the spawning event is fully covered for mackerel and horse mackerel, the surveys end too early to adequately cover the end of spawning in the northern areas for both mackerel and horse mackerel, and in the southern area (south of 47°N) for horse mackerel.

Bottom trawl surveys

Bottom trawl surveys are carried out in a systematic and standardized way through the Northeast Atlantic. They cover a significant part of the western horse mackerel distribution area and are carried out mainly during the autumn. These surveys are coordinated in the International Bottom Trawl Surveys Working Group (IBTSWG, ICES 2009/RMC:04) with the main objective of obtaining an index of recruitment for the most important commercial fish species. Horse mackerel is a pelagic species, but its behaviour is closer to that of a demersal species than the rest of typical pelagic species. The IBTS could therefore provide information on horse mackerel distribution, catch rates and length distributions. Taking in to consideration the problems with the abundance index used in the western horse mackerel assessment, it is useful to consider the surveys under IBTSWG in order to analyse whether they could provide an index of recruitment or abundance for western horse mackerel.

Data from the bottom trawl survey carried out in autumn in the Cantabrian Sea and Galician coasts (North of Spain, Division VIIIc) were analysed in relation to horse mackerel. This survey is not used in the assessment because it covers only a small part of the western horse mackerel stock, but it provides valuable information on horse mackerel dynamics. Length distributions show a gap in length range 18-23cm that could be related to the particular exploitation pattern of this species. Juveniles are more abundant in the eastern part of the Cantabrian Sea, although the depth strata <120m, in which the young horse mackerel are also distributed, and are very poorly sampled in the Galician coasts. The recruitment in 1994 appeared to be strong

in the data series (ICES 2008/ACOM:13). The evolution of the cohorts through the data matrix compiled from this survey indicated poor information on mortality. This could be due to migration to and from other areas, especially the French continental shelf (Murta *et al.*, 2008; Velasco *et al.* 2008). The information provided by this survey will be combined with the results of other bottom trawl surveys carried out in adjacent areas. Traditionally age 0 has been adopted as the recruitment age for horse mackerel in this survey; nevertheless the use of age 1 as a proxy for recruitment may be more appropriate. The years before 1997 have been revised to account for the change in the strata of the sampling design adopted in 1997 (Velasco *et al.* 2008).

The French bottom trawl survey (EVHOE) covers the Bay of Biscay (French continental shelf) and part of the Celtic Sea. It is carried out in autumn and it is directed at demersal resources. Information on horse mackerel distribution and length distributions are available. The survey is carried out during the recruitment season, and juveniles form the majority in the catches.

It might be useful for the WG to collect all information available about horse mackerel from other bottom trawl surveys carried out in the distribution area of the western horse mackerel stock (e.g. IBTS).

Acoustic surveys

Horse mackerel data from the French acoustic PELGAS surveys are available as independent information on the western horse mackerel stock (ICES 2006/LRC:18). This multidisciplinary survey covers Divisions VIIIa and VIIIb during spring, collecting information on spatial distribution and length distribution. Revised survey estimates were presented in 2008 (Massé et al. WD presented in ICES 2008/ACOM:13).

Horse mackerel data from the Spanish acoustic PELACUS surveys are available as independent information on the western horse mackerel stock. This multidisciplinary survey covers Divisions VIIIc and IXa (north) during spring. In some years the survey is extended to the south of Divisions IXa (north) and VIIIb. Information on distribution and abundance estimates are available since 1997, but the biomass estimates of the historical series were calculated considering Divisions IXa (north) (actually belonging to the southern stock) and VIIIc (western stock) until 2006 .The information will be split up by stock in the future.

B.4. Commercial CPUE

Information on effort and catch per unit effort is only available from the southern limit of the stock distribution area. Since Division VIIIc became part of the western stock in 2004 (ICES 2005/ACFM:08), the bottom trawl fleet operating in the western part of Division VIIIc (north of the Galician coast) is exploiting the western stock. This area represents a very small part of the western horse mackerel stock and therefore the fleet has not been used in the assessment.

The activity of this bottom trawl fleet is considered as mixed fisheries in which different métiers can be distinguished. Due to the assumption that CPUE is proportional to abundance, it is important that any other factors that may influence CPUE are removed from the index. The process of reducing the influence of these factors on CPUE is commonly referred to as standardizing the CPUE. Therefore, it is possible to present in the future a new revised and standardized version of this CPUE series following the métiers classification, with the objective of obtaining a more reliable CPUE at age series.

B.5. Other relevant data

None

C. Historical Stock Development

Model used: SAD (linked separable-ADAPT VPA assessment model).

Software used: AD Model Builder, version 2008 (ICES 2008/ACOM:13). The source code is freely available in ICES folders.

Description of SAD

The SAD model has been used by the working group since the 2000 meeting. The WGMHSA Review Group of ACFM in 2005 stated that the SAD model, purposely designed to assess this stock, was the most appropriate tool. A detailed description of the SAD assessment model and rationale for its use is provided in ICES (2003/ACFM:07) and De Oliveira *et al.* (2010). Figure B.2 presents an illustration of the model structure and the "free" parameters estimated by maximum likelihood (i.e. those estimated directly), and the following table summarises its main features.

A summary of the main features of the SAD model used for the assessment of western horse mackerel:

| Model | SAD |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| Version | 2009 Working Group (WGWIDE) (ICES 2008/ACOM:13) |
| Model type | A linked separable VPA and ADAPT VPA model, so that different structural models are applied to the recent and historic periods. The separable component applies to the most recent period, while the ADAPT VPA component applies to the historic period. Model estimates from the separable period initiate a historic VPA for the cohorts in the first year of the separable period. Fishing mortality at the oldest true age (age 10) in the historic VPA is calculated as the average of the three preceding ages (7-9, ignoring the 1982 year-class where applicable), multiplied by a scaling parameter that is estimated in the model. In order to model the directed fishing of the dominant 1982 year-class, fishing mortality on this year-class at age 10 in 1992 is estimated in the model. |
| Data used | Egg production estimates, used as relative indices of abundance and catch-at-age data (numbers). Weights-at-age in the stock and maturity-at-age vary temporally, but are assumed to be known without error. Natural mortality and the proportions of fishing and natural mortality before spawning are fixed and year-invariant. Fecundity data are potential fecundity vs. fish weight data for the years 1987, 1992, 1995, 1998, 2000 and 2001, and a realised fecundity 'prior' distribution for 1989, with a mean and CV derived from a normal distribution in log-space, which covers (with a 95% probability) the range of realised fecundity values reported by Abaunza <i>et al.</i> (2003). |
| Selection | The separable period assumes constant selection-at-age, and requires estimation of fishing mortality age- and year-effects (the former reflecting selectivity-at-age) for ages 1-10 and the final <i>x</i> years for which catch data are available (<i>x</i> being the length of the separable period). Selectivity at age 8 is assumed to be equal to 1. The length of the separable period should be balanced against the precision of model estimates and whether there is any indication, from the log-catch residuals, that the separable assumption no longer holds. |
| Fishing mortality assumptions | The fishing mortality at age 10 (the final true age) is equal to the average of the fishing mortalities at ages 7-9 (ignoring the 1982 year-class where applicable) multiplied by a scaling parameter estimated within the model. The fishing mortality at age 10 in 1992 (applicable to the 1982 year-class) is estimated separately. The plus-group fishing mortality is assumed equal to that of age 10. |

| Estimated parameters | The parameters treated as "free" in the model (i.e. those estimated directly) are: (1) Fishing mortality year effects for the final four years for which catch data are available; (2) Fishing mortality age effects (selectivities) for ages 1-10 (except for selectivity at age 8 which is set to 1); (3) scaling parameter for fishing mortality at age 10 relative to the average for ages 7-9 (ignoring the 1982 year-class where applicable); (4) fishing mortality on the 1982 year-class at age 10 in 1992; (5) realised fecundity parameter, relating realised fecundity to potential fecundity, and therefore also relating estimated SSB to the egg production estimates; (6) potential fecundity parameters (intercept and slope), relating potential fecundity to fish weight. |
|--|--|
| Plus-group | A dynamic pool is assumed (plus group this year is the sum of last year's plus group and last year's oldest true age, both depleted by fishing and natural mortality). The plus group modelled in this manner allows the catch in the plus group to be estimated, and making the assumption that log-catches are normally distributed allows an additional component in the likelihood, fitting these estimated catches to the observed plus-group catch. |
| Objective function | The estimation is based on maximum likelihood. There are five components to the likelihood, corresponding to egg estimates, catches for the separable period, catches for the plus-group, potential fecundity vs. fish weight, and realised fecundity. The variance of each component is estimated, apart from that associated with realised fecundity for which a CV is input. |
| Variance estimates / uncertainty | Estimates of precision may be calculated by several methods, the simplest (based on the delta method) being used for results shown. |
| Program language | AD Model Builder (Otter Research Ltd) |
| References | Description in Working Group reports, De Oliveira et al. (2010). |

In 2005 the WG identified aspects of the assessment that warranted further exploration, which included whether there was additional information, particularly in relation to fecundity, that would allow scaling the model (ICES 2006/ACFM:08). Fecundity data (both actual data and estimates from the literature) was subsequently identified for inclusion in the model. Further investigation revealed evidence that potential (i.e. standing stock) fecundity per gram increases with fish weight (ICES 2002/G:06), and total realised fecundity would be expected to follow the same pattern. In line with this argument, the stock average fecundity would have increased as the 1982 year-class matured (as individuals gained weight) and then decreased when the strong year class was fished out. Ignoring these effects could lead to biased population estimates.

The SAD model explicitly incorporates and directly fits potential and realised fecundity data as functions of fish weight, with separate parameters for the two types of fecundity data, thus placing the estimation of fecundity parameters in a selfconsistent framework. The model uses a realised fecundity 'prior' distribution (mean=1847 eggs per gram spawning female, CV=0.287), which is derived from a normal distribution, in log-space, which covers (with a 95% probability) the range of realised fecundity values reported by Abaunza *et al.* 2003 (1 040-3 280 eggs per gram spawning female). This allows the incorporation of a realistic level of uncertainty about realised fecundity. The likelihood function used in SAD is as follows (ICES 2008/ACOM:13):

$$-\ln L = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{y \in Y_{egg}} \left\{ \frac{\left(\ln N_{egg,y} - \ln(\hat{N}_{egg,y}) \right)^2}{\hat{\sigma}_{egg}^2} + \ln \left[2\pi \hat{\sigma}_{egg}^2 \right] \right\}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{y=2003}^{2007} \sum_{i=1}^{10} \left\{ \frac{\left(\ln C_{y,i} - \ln \hat{C}_{y,i} \right)^2}{\hat{\sigma}_{sep}^2} + \ln \left[2\pi \hat{\sigma}_{sep}^2 \right] \right\}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{y=1983}^{2007} \left\{ \frac{\left(\ln C_{y,11+} - \ln \hat{C}_{y,11+} \right)^2}{\hat{\sigma}_{11+}^2} + \ln \left[2\pi \hat{\sigma}_{11+}^2 \right] \right\}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{y \in Y_{pfec}} \sum_{j=1}^{J_y} \left\{ \frac{\left(\ln f_{y,j} - \ln \hat{f}_{y,j} \right)^2}{\hat{\sigma}_{pfec}^2} + \ln \left[2\pi \hat{\sigma}_{pfec}^2 \right] \right\}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{\left(\ln \bar{f}_{1989}^r - \ln \hat{f}_{1989}^r \right)^2}{\hat{\sigma}_{rfec}^2} + \ln \left[2\pi \hat{\sigma}_{rfec}^2 \right] \right\}$$

where *i* represents age, $N_{egg,y}$ the egg production estimates, $C_{y,i}$ catch-at-age, $f_{y,j}^{p}$ potential fecundity for sample *j* in year *y*, and \overline{f}_{1989}^{r} population-mean realised fecundity for 1989. Model estimates are shown with "^" and data without.

The model estimates egg production as follows:

$$\hat{N}_{egg,y} = \sum_{i} q_{fec} (a_{fec} + b_{fec} w_{y,i}) B_{y,i}^{sp} s^{j}$$

where *i* represents age, q_{fec} the realised fecundity parameter, a_{fec} and b_{fec} the potential fecundity parameters, $w_{y,i}$ mean weights-at-age in the population, $B_{y,i}^{sp}$ SSB-at-age, and s^{f} the female sex ratio.

Potential fecundity is estimated as follows:

$$\hat{f}_{y,j}^{p} = a_{fec} + b_{fec} w_{y,j}$$

where $w_{y,j}$ are the sample weights for sample *j* of year *y* associated with the potential fecundity data $f_{y,j}^{p}$, and a_{fec} and b_{fec} are as before.

Population-mean realised fecundity is estimated as follows:

$$\hat{\bar{f}}_{y}^{r} = \frac{q_{fec}}{\sum_{i} N_{y,i} m_{y,i}} \sum_{i} N_{y,i} m_{y,i} (a_{fec} + b_{fec} w_{y,i})$$

where *i* represents age, $N_{y,i}$ population numbers-at-age, $w_{y,i}$ mean weights-at-age in the population, $m_{y,i}$ maturity-at-age, and q_{fec} , a_{fec} and b_{fec} as before.

The "free" parameters estimated directly in the model are:

1) Fishing mortality year effects (F_y) for the separable period;

- 2) Fishing mortality age effects (*S*^{*a*}, the selectivities) for ages 1-10 (excluding age 8, which is set at 1);
- 3) scaling parameter (*F*_{scal}) for fishing mortality at age 10 relative to the average for ages 7-9 (ignoring the 1982 year-class where applicable);
- 4) fishing mortality on the 1982 year-class at age 10 in 1992 ($F_{92,10}$);
- 5) realised fecundity parameter (q_{fec}), relating realised fecundity to potential fecundity, and therefore also relating SSB to egg production; and
- 6) potential fecundity parameters (*a_{fec}* and *b_{fec}*), relating potential fecundity to fish weight

Natural mortality (constant at age and by year at 0.15), maturity-at-age, stock weights-at-age and the proportions of F and M before spawning (0.45), are assumed to be known precisely.

Model Options chosen

For 2010, the separable window was 6 years long (2004-2009) (ICES 2008/ACOM:13). Decisions about whether to shift the window along (keeping it 6 years long) or whether to extend the window (keeping the starting date at 2003) depend on whether whether the log-catch residuals show the separable assumption to continue to hold or not. Egg data that become available for the year following the final year of catch data are used in the assessment.

| Туре | Name | Year range | Age range | Variable from year to year Yes/No |
|---------|--|--------------|-----------|---|
| Caton | Catch in tonnes | - | - | Not used |
| Canum | Catch at age in numbers | 1982-present | 0-11+ | Yes |
| Weca | Weight at age in the commercial catch | - | - | Not used |
| West | Weight at age of the spawning stock at spawning time. | 1982-present | 0-11+ | Yes |
| Мргор | Proportion of natural mortality before spawning | | | No |
| Fprop | Proportion of fishing mortality before spawning | | | No |
| Matprop | Proportion mature at age | 1982-present | 0-11+ | Yes (but constant since 1998) |
| Natmor | Natural mortality | - | - | No |

Input data types and characteristics:

| Туре | Name | Year range | Age range |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|-----------|
| Western Horse Mackerel egg survey | Total egg production estimates | 1983, 1989, 1992, (every third year) | - |
| Separable period catch-at-age | Separable catch-at-age | 2003-present (but depends on length of separable window) | 1-10 |
| Plus-group catch | Plus-group catch | 1982-present | 11+ |
| Potential fecundity | Potential fecundity vs. fish weight data | 1987, 1992, 1995, 1998, 2000 and 2001 | - |
| Realised fecundity | Total realised fecundity, based on Abaunza et al. (2003) | 1989 | - |

Tuning data (data appearing in likelihood function):

D. Short-Term Projection

Software used: MFDP (Multi Fleet Deterministic Projections)

Initial stock size: Stock numbers from the assessment

Recruitment: At the 2010 working group recruitment estimates for input to the short term forecast were based on the geometric mean of the estimated time series for the period 1983 to 2008. There is no indication that a large recruitment similar to that of 1982 will enter the stock.

Maturity: The proportion mature for this stock is assumed constant over the years. The maturity ogive used in the short term forecast is the same as the ogive used in the assessment for 2009.

F and M before spawning: Spawning is assumed to take place in April/March.

Weight at age in the stock and weight at age in the catch: Weight at age in the stock and weight at age in the catch are the 2009 estimates.

Exploitation pattern: This is based on F in the final, where the final year of data is calculated from the most recent assessment. The assessment assumes a fixed selection from 2004 to the final year of data.

Natural Mortality: Natural mortality is assumed to be 0.15 across all ages.

E. Medium-Term Projections

A medium-term forecast is not conducted for western horse mackerel because a management plan is in place.

F. Long-Term Projections

Long-term projections are not carried out for western horse mackerel.

G. Biological Reference Points

The stock is characterised by infrequent, extremely large recruitments.

| Reference point | Bum | Baa | Fum | Fm | Fol |
|--------------------|---|--|------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Value | 1 4 mill t | 1.8 mill t | • 1111 | i pa | 0.13 |
| Basis | Biomass that produced the extraordinary 1982 year class | $B_{lim}^* \exp(1.645^* \sigma),$ with $\sigma = 0.16.$ | Not de- fined | Not de- fined | Yield per recruit (WGWIDE, 2008) |

Biomass reference points

It could be assumed that the likelihood of a strong year class appearing would decline if stock size were to fall below the stock size at which the only such event has been observed. The WG therefore considers the biomass that produced the extraordinary 1982 yc as a good proxy for B_{lim}. This follows the rationale of SGPRP 2003 (ICES 2003/ACFM:15), proposing to use the stock size in 1982 for B_{lim}. Evaluation of precision of the assessment shows that the CV in SSB is 15%. The ICES procedure for evaluating precautionary reference points from limit points uses a formula based on the CV (ICES 2001/ACFM:11). This formula gives a factor of 30% and an estimate of $B_{pa} = 1.8Mt$.

Fishing mortality reference points

The age range used in the calculation of mean F was changed in 2003 from $F_{4:10}$ to $F_{1:10}$ to include the ages exploited in both the adult and juvenile fisheries. The management plan currently in place is not based on F (see section 5). There are indications that the assumed natural mortality (0.15) might be too high. However, there is insufficient data to estimate M.

H. Other Issues

None.



Figure B.1: Distribution of Horse Mackerel in the Northeast-Atlantic: Stock definitions as used by ICES (2005). Note that the "Juvenile Area" is currently only defined for the Western Stock distribution area – juveniles do also occur in other areas (like in Div. VIId). Map source: GEBCO, polar projection, 200m depth contour drawn.

ADAPT type VPA Separable 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 1982 82 82 82 2 82 82 4 5 82 ဟီ 82 6 81 8 Fv С 10 F₉₂[10 11. Model estimated parameters

- 1 Year effects in separable period fishing mortalities
- 2 Age effects in separable period fishing mortalities (with value at age 8 set to 1)
- 3 Fishing mortality on the 1982 year class at age 10 in 1992
- 4 Freat The scaling parameter which adjusts fishing mortality at age 10 relative to the avererage of ages 7 9
- 5 q_{egg} Realised fecundity parameter, relating realised fecundity to potential fecundity,
 - and therefore also relating estimated SSB to the western horse mackerel egg production time series
- $6 \quad a_{\text{fec}}, b_{\text{fec}} \text{ Potential fecundity parameters (intercept and slope), relating potential fecundity to fish weight} \\$

Figure B.2. Western Horse Mackerel. An illustration of the SAD model structure used for the assessment of the Western horse mackerel stock and the "free" parameters estimated by maximum likelihood.

Quality Handbook

Stock specific documentation of standard assessment procedures used by ICES.

| Stock | Horse Mackerel in Div. IXa (Southern horse mackerel) |
|----------------|--|
| Working Group: | WGWIDE |
| Date: | 07 September 2010 |
| Revised by | Alberto Murta |

A. General

A.1. Stock definition

Stock Units

For many years the Working Group has considered the horse mackerel in the north east Atlantic as separated into three stocks: the North Sea, the Southern and the Western stocks (ICES 1990/Assess: 24, ICES 1991/Assess: 22). According the technical minutes from the group reviewing last year's Working Group report, they discussed and questioned the stock unit definitions. Until the results from the EU project (HOMSIR, QLK5-Ct1999-01438), was available the separation into stocks was based on the observed egg distributions and the temporal and spatial distribution of the fishery. The extremely strong 1982 year class turned for the first time up in the eastern part of the North Sea in 1987 during the third and mainly the fourth quarter. This year class was the basis for the start of the Norwegian horse mackerel fishery in the eastern part of North Sea during the third and mainly the fourth quarter. Since Western horse mackerel are assumed to have broadly similar migration patterns as NEA mackerel the Norwegian catches have been considered to be fish of western origin migrating to this area to feed. In addition there is a fishery further south in the North Sea which is considered to be fish of North Sea origin. These views were supported by results from the mentioned EU project which was reviewed in ICES(2004/ACFM:8) which also concluded to include Division VIIIc as part of the distribution area of the western horse mackerel stock (see also Abaunza et al. 2008 for a comprehensive discussion of the results from the HOMSIR project).

Allocation of Catches to Stocks

Based on spatial and temporal distribution of the horse mackerel fishery the catches were allocated to the three stocks as follows:

Western stock: Divisions IIa, IIIa (western part), Vb, IVa (third and fourth quarter), VIa, VIIa–c,e–k and VIIIa-e. Allthough it seems strange that only catches from western part of Division IIIa are allocated to this stock. The reason for this is that the catches in the western part of this Division taken in the fourth quarter often are taken in neighbouring area of catches of western fish in Division IVa. The Working Group is not sure if catches in Divisions IIIa and IVa the first two quarters are of western or North Sea origin. Usually this is a minor problem because the catches here during this period are small. However, in 2006 relatively larger catches were taken in this

area during the first half of the year (3,600 tons) and these catches were allocated to the North Sea stock. In 2007 2,100 tons were caught during the two first quarters in Divisions IVa and IIIa and were allocated to the North Sea stock.

North Sea stock: Divisions IIIa (eastern part), IVa (first and second quarter), IVb,c and VIId. The catches 3-4 quarters of Divisions IVa and IIIa and 1-4 quartes from Divisions IVb,c and VIId from were allocated to the North Sea stock. In 2007 some small catches were reported from Divisions IIIb (4 tons) and IIIc (21.5 tons) which were allocated to the North Sea stock.

Southern stock: Division IXa. All catches from these areas are allocated to the southern stock.

A.2. Fishery

The catches of horse mackerel in Division IXa (Subdivision IXa North, Subdivision IXa Central-North, Subdivision IXa Central-South and Subdivision IXa South) are allocated to the Southern horse mackerel stock. In the years before 2004 the catches from Subdivisions VIIIc West and VIIIc East, were also considered to belong to the southern horse mackerel stock.

The Spanish catches in Subdivision IXa South (Gulf of Cádiz) are available since 2002. They will not be included in the assessment data until de time series is completed, to avoid a possible bias in the assessment results. On the other hand, the total catches from the Gulf of Cádiz are scarce and represent less than the 5% of the total catch. Therefore, their exclusion should not affect the reliability of the assessment.

The "Prestige" oil spill had also an effect in the fishery activities in the Spanish area in 2003. The Spanish catches increased markedly from 1991 until 1998, whereas the Portuguese ones are more stable showing a smooth decreasing trend since the peak obtained in 1992 (with a secondary peak in 1998).

Catches in Subdivisions IXa Central-North showed a decreasing trend whereas in Subdivision IXa North they increased markedly until 1998 and since then the catches were always higher than 7,000 t. The catches from bottom trawlers are the majority in both countries. The rest of the catches are taken by purse seiners, especially in the Spanish area and by the artisanal fleet which is much more important in the Portuguese area.

Description of the Portuguese fishing fleets operating in Division IXa (data provided by the Portuguese Fisheries Directorate) and catch horse mackerel (only trawlers and purse seiners):

| Gear | Length | Storage | Number of boats |
|-------------|--------|---------|-----------------|
| Trawl | 10-20 | Freezer | 2 |
| Trawl | 20-30 | Freezer | 7 |
| Trawl | 30-40 | Freezer | 5 |
| Trawl | 0-10 | Other | 259 |
| Trawl | 10-20 | Other | 68 |
| Trawl | 20-30 | Other | 60 |
| Trawl | 30-40 | Other | 29 |
| Purse seine | 0-10 | Other | 79 |
| Purse seine | 10-20 | Other | 103 |
| Purse seine | 20-30 | Other | 79 |

Note that horse mackerel is also caught in all polyvalent and most small scale fisheries.

| Gear | Bottom | Purse | Lgline | Lgline | Gillnet | Gillnet | Other |
|--------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|---------|-----------|
| | trawl | seine | Bottom | surface | (big mesh | | artisanal |
| | | | | | size) | | |
| Number | 282 | 410 | 100 | 67 | 35 | 57 | 5379 |
| Construction | 1996 | 1992 | 1990 | 1995 | 1990 | 1993 | 1982 |
| year (mean) | | | | | | | |
| Length | 9-35 | 8-38 | 6-28 | 18-38 | 4-28.6 | 12-27 | 3-27 |
| | (22.9) | (21) | (15.1) | (27.6) | (14) | (17.2) | (7) |
| Power | 66-800 | 24-1100 | 12-476 | 175-780 | 10-500 | 50-408 | 2-450 |
| | (322.3) | (302.5) | (150.3) | (418.9) | (141.8) | (164.9) | (32.6) |
| Tonnage | 6-228 | 4-221 | 2-118 | 37-206 | 1-110 | 10-99 | 0.3-83 |
| | (81.2) | (56.6) | (26) | (116) | (23.7) | (27.6) | (3.5) |

Description of the Spanish fishing fleets operating in Division IXa including the Gulf of Cádiz (Southern stock) and Division VIIIc (Western stock) (Hernández, 2008):

It is indicated the range and the arithmetic mean (in parenthesis). Data from official census (Hernández 2008). Note that horse mackerel in the Spanish area is mainly fished by bottom trawlers and purse seiners.

The Spanish bottom trawl fleet operating in ICES Divisions VIIIc (Western stock) and Subdivision IXa north (Southern stock), historically relatively homogeneous, has evolved in the last decade (approximately since 1995) to incorporate several new fishing strategies. A classification analysis for this fleet between the years 2002 and 2004 was made based on the species composition of the individual trips (Castro and Punzón 2005). The analysis resulted in the identification of five catch profiles in the bottom otter trawl fleet: 1) targeting horse mackerel (>70% in landings), 2) targeting mackerel (>73% in landings); 3) targeting blue whiting (>40% in landings); 4) targeting demersal species; and 5) a mixed "metier". In the bottom pair trawl fleet the classification analysis showed two métiers: 1) targeting blue whiting; and 2) targeting hake. These results should help in obtaining standardized and more coherent CPUE series from fishing fleets.

In the Portuguese area (Division IXa) Silva and Murta (2007) classified trawl fleet in two main types: those directed to fish and cephalopods species and those fishing crustaceans. Looking at the the fishing trips of those that catch fish and cephalopods, they identified three main clusters:

- Directed to horse mackerel,
- Directed to cephalopods
- The third cluster is a mixed cluster, not well defined.

In 2005, the landings of blue whiting increased, probably due to increased market demand and consequent reduction of discards, resulting in a fourth specific cluster. The Crustacean trawl clusters do not follow the same pattern every year, depending on the abundance of the two main target crustacean species, which are Norway lobster and deepwater rose shrimp. There can be one target species by cluster or mixed clusters with different percentages of these two species.

A.3. Ecosystem aspects

B. Data

B.1. Commercial catch

Mean length at age and mean weight at age

Both mean length at age and mean weight at age values are calculated by applying the mean weighted by the catch over the mean weights or mean lengths at age obtained by Subdivision.

Taking in consideration that the spawning season is very long, spawning is almost from September to June, and that the whole length range of the species has commercial interest in the Iberian Peninsula, with probably very scarce discards, there is no special reason to consider that the mean-weight in the catch is significantly different from the mean weight in the stock.

Catch in numbers at age

The sampling scheme is believed to achieve a good coverage of the fishery (above 95% of the total catch). The number of fish aged seems also to be sufficient through the historical series. Catch in numbers at age have been obtained by applying a quarterly ALK to each of the catch length distribution estimated from the samples of each Subdivision. In the case of Subdivision IXa north the catch in number estimates before 2003 have changed. In previous years the age length key applied to the length distributions from Subdivision IXa north had included otoliths from Division VIIIc, which has been defined recently as part of the Western stock. Since 2003 the catch in numbers at age from Subdivision IXa north were estimated using age length keys which included only otoliths from Division IXa.

B.2. Biological

Maturity at age

For multiple spawners, such as horse mackerel, macroscopical analysis of the gonads cannot provide a correct and precise means to follow the development of both ovaries and testes. Histological analysis has to be included because it provides precise information on oocyte developmental stages and it can distinguish between immature gonads and regressing ones or those partly spawned (Abaunza *et al.*, 2008). The HOMSIR project provided microscopical maturity ogives from the different IXa subdivisions. The maturity ogive from Subdivision IXa South is adopted here as the maturity at age for all years until 2006 of the southern stock, since it was based on a better sampling than in the others subdivisions. The percentage of mature female individuals per age group was adjusted to a logistic model.

In 2007 a new estimate of maturity proportion by age was available for Division IXa for the application of the Daily Egg Production Method (DEPM). This maturity ogive was then adopted since 2007 and will be revised with new data collected in the DEPM to be carried out in 2010.

Natural mortality

Natural mortality is considered to be 0.15. This level of natural mortality was adopted for all horse mackerel stocks since 1992 (ICES 1992/Assess: 17).

B.3. Surveys

There are currently 2 bottom-trawl survey series that can be used for tuning the assessment: the Portuguese and Spanish October surveys. These surveys cover Subdivisions VIIIc East, VIIIc West, IXa North (Spain) and Subdivisions IXa Central-North, Central-South and South (Portugal) from 20–500 m depth. The Spanish survey was disaggregated by Subdivision in order to use the data from the subdivision IXa North which is part of the southern horse mackerel stock. The same sampling methodology was used in both surveys but there are differences in the gear design. The Portuguese and the Spanish October survey indices are estimated for the whole range of distribution of horse mackerel in the area, which has been consistently sampled over the years. The two bottom-trawl surveys series, available to use as tuning data in the assessment, are joined given that both vessels and gears have a similar catchability for horse mackerel, as shown by the results of EU project SESITS. The weight given to each data set was proportional to the respective area covered, roughly 85% to the Portuguese data and 15% to the Spanish one. The variances of the survey indices in each age and year were approximated by the following expression:

 $var(I) = A^2 \cdot var(Q) + Q^2 \cdot var(A),$

where A is the abundance index in each year and length class, and Q is the proportion of each age in each length class in the age-length keys applied to the survey data. The variance of A was calculated across all hauls in each year, and $var(Q) = p \cdot (1-p)$, where p is the proportion of fish of a given length class that are in that age class in the age-length key. Given that there is a high natural variability in the survey indices from year to year, each year-class was smoothed with a moving average, in which:

 $N_i = 0.75N_i + 0.125N_{i-1} + 0.125N_{i+1}$, where N_i is the number/hour at age i in the year-class.

Recent work suggests that horse mackerel has indeterminate fecundity (Gordo et al., 2008), which makes the Annual Egg Production Method (AEPM) unsuitable to estimate SSB for this species. For species with indeterminate fecundity, the Daily Egg Production Method (DEPM) must be used instead. The existence of different series of data from egg surveys covering the whole area of the southern horse mackerel stock, makes it possible to obtain egg production estimates using DEPM.

For this stock, a total of three SSB estimates, for the years 2002, 2005 and 2007 were made available. The SSB estimate and variance for 2007 was obtained from a DEPM egg survey directed at horse mackerel. Details of the sampling procedure, data obtained and methods followed are available from the 2008 report of the Working Group on Mackerel and Horse Mackerel Egg Surveys (ICES, 2008 - ICES CM 2008/LRC:09). However, some details were corrected after the WGMEGS report, namely the total egg distribution area (which was corrected from 1.7e11 sq.meter to 7.1e11 sq.meter) and the fitting of the mortality curve to the egg abundance data, which was done using a GLM with a log link and assuming a Poisson distribution for the variance, instead of the non-linear regression described in the WGMEGS report. This resulted in a change of egg production from 13 eggs/sq.meter to 17 eggs/sq.meter.

The 2002 and 2005 estimates were obtained with egg abundance data collected during the surveys directed at sardine in 2002 and 2005 and from horse mackerel adult samples collected at the same time of those surveys. The methodology followed to estimate SSB was the same as the one for 2007, although the area covered in the egg

sampling, which corresponded to the sampling grid for sardine, was smaller than in 2007.

There are different criteria that can be used to estimate the spawning fraction, such as the presence of migratory nucleus, hydrated oocytes or post-ovulatory follicles (POF). Estimates of SSB were obtained for the three years with all these criteria, and the obtained trends in SSB were parallel but with different levels. The POF criteria, assuming POF last for 2 days as in other species at similar temperatures (Ganias et al., 2003; Hunter and Macewicz, 1985) was the one providing the lowest CV, being therefore adopted to use in the assessment. However, given the uncertainty in the absolute value of SSB, partly due to the choice of the criteria for the spawning fraction, the SSB index for the assessment must be treated as relative and a corresponding catchability parameter has to be estimated.

Still another source of uncertainty is the egg distribution area, which was roughly defined and kept fixed for the three years. In all these egg surveys, there are several transects with the presence of eggs in the most offshore station, which indicates that the area with egg presence must, in some cases, be extended further away from the coast. However, a good approximation of that area is impossible to obtain with the available data.

B.4. Commercial CPUE

No commercial CPUE data is used in the stock assessment.

B.5. Other relevant data

C. Historical Stock Development

D. Short-Term Projection

E. Medium-Term Projections

No medium-term projection has been performed for this stock

Model used:

Software used:

Initial stock size:

Natural mortality:

Maturity:

F and M before spawning:

Weight at age in the stock:

Weight at age in the catch:

Exploitation pattern:

Intermediate year assumptions:

Stock recruitment model used:

Uncertainty models used:

- 1. Initial stock size:
- 2. Natural mortality:
- 3. Maturity:
- 4. F and M before spawning:
- 5. Weight at age in the stock:
- 6. Weight at age in the catch:
- 7. Exploitation pattern:
- 8. Intermediate year assumptions:
- 9. Stock recruitment model used:

F. Long-Term Projections

No long-term projection has been performed for this stock.

Model used:

Software used:

Maturity:

F and M before spawning:

Weight at age in the stock:

Weight at age in the catch:

Exploitation pattern:

Procedures used for splitting projected catches:

G. Biological Reference Points

Reference points have not been defined for this stock

H. Other Issues

I. References

- Abaunza, P., Gordo, L.S., García Santamaría, M.T., Iversen, S.A., Murta, A.G., Gallo, E., 2008s. Life history parameters as an important basis for the initial recognition of stock management units in horse mackerel (Trachurus trachurus). Fisheries Research 89: 167 – 180.
- Abaunza, P., Murta, A.G., Campbell, N., Cimmaruta, R., Comesaña, A.S., Dahle, G., García Santamaría, M.T., Gordo, L.S., Iversen, S.A., MacKenzie, K., Magoulas, A., Mattiucci, S., Molloy, J., Pinto, A.L., Quinta, R., Ramos, P., Sanjuan, A., Santos, A.T., Stransky, C., Zimmermman, C., 2008b. Stock identity of horse mackerel (Trachurus trachurus) in the Northeast Atlantic and Mediterranean Sea: integrating the results from different stock identification approaches. Fisheries Research. 89, 196 – 209.
- Ganias, K. Somapakis, S. Machias, A. Theodorau, A. J. 2003. Evaluation of spawning frequency in a Mediterranean sardine population (Sardina pilchardus) Marine biology, 142:1169-1179
- Gordo, L. S., Costa, A., Abaunza, P., Lucio, P., Eltink, A. T. G. W., Figueiredo, I., 2008. Determinate versus indeterminate fecundity in horse mackerel. Fish. Res., 89: 181 – 185
- Griewank, A., Corliss, G. F. (Editors), 1991. Automatic Differentiation of Algorithms: Theory, Implementation, and Application. SIAM, Philadelphia.
- Hernández, 2008
- Hunter, J. R., Macewicz, B. J., 1985. Rates of atresia in in the ovary of captive and wild northern anchovy, Engraulis mordax. Fish. Bull., U.S. 83: 119 136.
- ICES. 1990. Report of the Working Group on the assessment of the stocks of sardine, horse mackerel and anchovy. 20-29 June 1990, ICES Headquarters, Copenhagen. ICES CM 1990/Assess:24: 169pp.
- ICES. 1991. Report of the Working Group on the assessment of the stocks of sardine, horse mackerel and anchovy. 18-27 June 1991, ICES Headquarters, Copenhagen. ICES CM 1991/Assess:22: 138pp.
- ICES. 2004. Report of the Working Group on the Assessment of Mackerel, Horse Mackerel, Sardine and Anchovy. ICES CM 2005/ACFM:08.
- ICES. 1992. Report of the Working Group on the assessment of mackerel, horse mackerel, sardine and anchovy. 22-30 June 1992, ICES Headquarters, Copenhagen. ICES CM 1992/Assess:17: 207pp.
- ICES. 2008. Report of the Working Group on Mackerel and Horse Mackerel Egg Surveys (WGMEGS). ICES CM 2008/LRC:09
- Legault, C. M., Restrepo, V. R., 1998. A flexible forward age-structured assessment program. ICCAT Working Document SCRS/98/58. 15 pp.
- Quinn, T. J., and R. B. Deriso, 1999. Quantitative Fish Dynamics.
- Silva, C. and Murta, A. Classification of the Trawl and Purse Seine fishing trips in the Portuguese Continental Waters. Working Document to be presented at the Meeting of the Working Group on the Assessment of Hake, Monk and Megrim, (WGHMM) – 8 – 17 May 2007 – Vigo.

Quality Handbook

Date:

Revised by

| - | 5 | Spring Spawning Herring | | | | | |
|----------------|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Stock ICES. | specific documentation of | standard assessment procedures used by | | | | | |
| | Stock | Norwegian Spring Spawning herring | | | | | |
| | Working Group: | WGWIDE | | | | | |

ANNEX:D – Norwegian

3 September 2010 of last revision

WGWIDE (first draft)

Stock Annex D - Norwegian Spring Spawning Herring

| Α | _ | G | e | n | e | r | а | L |
|---|---|----------|----------|---|----------|---|---|---|
| | | U | ~ | | <u> </u> | | u | |

A.1.1 Stock definition

The Norwegian spring spawning herring (*Clupea harengus*) is the largest herring stock in the world. It is widely distributed and highly migratory throughout large parts of the NE Atlantic during its lifespan. Formally, the description of the Norwegian spring spawning herring stock is not linked to specific areas and the ICES advice applies to all areas where it occurs. By far the majority of the stock occurs in Divisions IIa,b Va,b and XIVa. Juveniles of the stock have their nurseries in Division Ia. In some years, small amounts of Norwegian spring spawning herring can be found in adjacent areas mixing with other herring stocks.

It is a herring type with high number of vertebrae, large size at age, large maximum size, different scale characteristics from other herring stocks and large variation in year class strength. The herring spawns along the Norwegian west coast in February-April. Large variations in the north-south distribution of the spawning areas have been observed through the centuries. The larvae drift north and northeast and distribute as 0-group in fjords along the Norwegian coast and in the Barents Sea. The Barents Sea is by far the most important juvenile area for the large year classes, which form the basis for the large production-potential of the stock. Some year classes are in addition distributed into the Norwegian Sea basin as 0-group. Examples of this are the 1950 and 2002 year classes. Most of the young herring leave the Barents Sea as 3 years old and feed in the north-eastern Norwegian Sea for 1–2 years before recruiting to the spawning stock. Large year classes typically mature at a higher mean age due to density dependent distribution and growth. However, exceptions occur and the 2002 year class is a large year class, which has shown quick growth and a relatively early maturation. Juveniles growing up in the Norwegian Sea grow faster than those in the Barents Sea and mature one year earlier. With maturation the young herring start joining the adult feeding migration in the Norwegian Sea. The feeding migration starts just after spawning with the maximum feeding intensity and condition increase occurring from late May until early July. The feeding migration is in general length dependent, meaning that the largest and oldest fish perform longer and typically more western migrations than the younger ones. After the dispersed feeding migration the herring concentrate in one or more wintering areas in September-October.

These areas are unstable and since 1950 the stock has used at least 6 different wintering areas in different periods. During the 1950s and 1960s they were situated east of Iceland and since around 1970 in Norwegian fjords. In 2001–2002 a new wintering area was established off the Norwegian coast between 69°30'N and 72°N and in 2007\2009 no herring was observed in the fiords in winter. After wintering, the spawning migration starts around mid January.

Norwegian spring spawning herring is one the few stocks for which data have been collected over a very long period. Figure A.1.1.1 shows the dynamics of the stock in the past century indicated by assessments which go back to 1907.

A.1.2. Migration

A characteristic feature of this herring stock is a very flexible and varying migration pattern. The migration is characterised as relatively stable periods and periods characterised by large changes occurring at varying time intervals. The changes may or may not be correlated between the major distribution areas: Spawning, feeding and wintering. At present we see a period of large changes in both the wintering and feeding area. Until about 2002 the bulk of the adult herring wintered in fjords in northern Norway. The 1998 and 1999 year classes were expected to enter the fjords around 2002, but were instead observed wintering off the coast in the ocean off Vesterålen/Troms, between 69°30'N–72°N. This continued in the years to come and in 2005 also the 2002 year class was observed wintering in the same area. During these years, the amount of older herring wintering in the fjords has decreased rapidly and during the winter 2007 and 2008 no herring was observed in the fjords. The survey covering the oceanic wintering area in November have shown a strong decrease in the biomass in the wintering stock in the area, indicating that may be a third and so for unknown wintering area could be under establishment somewhere else. Such a development is supported by the western feeding distribution in recent years, and the fact that the return migration of the smaller herring feeding in the west could be too long compared with comparable return migration distances observed in earlier periods. It is also supported by the fact that the international survey in May did not show any such negative trend in the stock.

In May the herring is migrating westward into the Norwegian Sea to start feeding and main concentrations are found in the central part of this area. In July the herring are spread out over a wide area feeding around the fringes of the Norwegian Sea, particularly in the northern and western region, while almost no herring are observed in the central region.

During the autumn in the period 2004–2008 Norwegian spring spawning herring has been caught as bycatch in smaller concentrations in catches of Icelandic summer spawning herring off the Icelandic east coast. This feature is probably linked to the western movement of the south-western summer feeding area. It is not known whether Norwegian spring spawning herring are wintering in this area.

A.2. Fishery

The fishery is regulated and carried out by the Coastal States. The Coastal States involved are the European Community, Faroe Islands, Iceland, Norway and the Russian Federation. The fishery is carried out all year round by purse seines and pelagic trawlers. The catches are used as well for reduction purposes and human consumption. The traditional fishing pattern follows the clockwise migration pattern of the herring. Changes in the migration pattern have occurred in the past and consequently
also leading to changes in the fishery, following the fish. The migration pattern, together with environmental factors, was mapped in 2008 during the ICES PGNAPES (Planning Group on Northeast Atlantic Pelagic Ecosystem Surveys) investigations (ICES 2008/RMC:05).

Due to limitations by some countries to enter the EEZs of other countries the fisheries do not necessarily depict the distribution of herring in the Norwegian Sea and the preferred fishing pattern of the fleets given free access to any zone.

Most of the catches consist of herring only and discarding is absent or very low. In recent years increasing amounts of bycatch of mackerel are reported on the traditional fishing grounds, pointing to a change in de distribution of mackerel.

A.3. Ecosystem aspects

Norwegian spring spawning herring is a straddling stock. Juveniles and adults of this stock form an important part of the ecosystems in the Barents Sea, the Norwegian Sea, and the Norwegian coast. Herring has an important role as food resource to higher trophic levels (e.g. large fish, seabirds, and marine mammals), but also as a consumer of zooplankton in the Norwegian Sea and capelin larvae in the Barents Sea. The present high stock size will therefore have positive effects on its predators, but the effects on other pelagic fish stocks feeding in the Norwegian Sea such as blue whiting and mackerel may be negative due to competition for food.

Recent changes in the herring migration have led to an increased proportion of the population feeding in Faroese and Icelandic waters. The growth of these herring is faster than those feeding further east and north.

Not much information is available on the impact of the herring fishery on the ecosystem. The fishery is entirely pelagic. There is little quantitative information on the bycatches in the fisheries for herring but these are thought to be small. Therefore unintended effects of the fishery on the ecosystem are probably small or absent. Since herring is a major source of food for some populations of other species, overfishing of the herring stock could affect these populations. This is presently not the case since the herring stock is very abundant and is exploited at a low rate.

B. Data

B.1. Commercial catch

B.1.1. Nominal catch

The catches used in the assessment are the catches provided by the Working Group members.

B.1.2. Catch at age

From each country participating in the herring fishery exists a data delivery sheet containing at minimum information about total catch in tons by quarter of the year and ICES area. If the fleet has taken samples then catch in numbers by age, mean weight at age and mean length at age for each quarter of the year and ICES area are provided. Catch in tonnes by ICES rectangles and quarters are also reported. These sheets are combined into one file, the so called 'disfad' file. None sampled catches have then to be allocated to sampled ones. To do so positions of the catches by fleet are plotted, to see where the fleet was operating. Mean weights and mean lengths behind the sampled catches are also plotted. On the basis on these inspections allocations are done. Then the program SALLOC (ICES 1998/ACFM:18) is used to calculate the total international catch in numbers. Output from SALLOC is total catches in numbers by age as well as by quarters and areas.

B.1.3. Weight at age of the catch

Annual weight at age of the catch originate from national sampling programmes of the commercial catches. They are provided by most fishing nations each year on a quarterly basis. The weight at age of the catch used in the assessment is the average of the different nations weighted over the associated catch numbers. Mean weights by age in the catch by age is also output from SALLOC.

B.1.4. Length at age of the catch

Mean length by age in the catch is calculated the same way as mean weight at age of the catch. It is not used in the assessment Mean length by age in the catch is also output from SALLOC.

B.2. Biological parameters

B.2.2. Weight at age of the stock

Up to 2008 weight of age of the stock was taken from the Norwegian survey in the wintering area (reference). The survey has stopped in 2008. From 2009 onwards weight at age of the stock is taken from commercial catches taken in the same area and period as the Norwegian survey. In 2010 sampling of data on weight at age in the stock in this period and area has increased to improve the precision of the estimates.

B.2.3. Natural mortality

The back ground of the natural mortality used in the assessment has been reviewed in the 2008 benchmark assessment of this stock. By scanning through the Working Group reports from 1990 to 2007 it was noticed that different values had been used for natural mortality at age through the years. In some years an additional mortality at age had been applied because of a disease. But taken directly from the 1997 WGNPBW-report (ICES 1997): "Values of natural mortality assumed by the Working Group previously (ICES 1996/ASSESS:14) for ages 3 and older were 0.16 for the years 1950 to 1970 and 0.13 for the years 1971 and subsequently. In the previous assessment of this stock it was assumed (on the basis of observations of many diseased and dying fish in catches) that the fish of the 1987 cohorts and older had suffered a higher natural mortality in the years 1991 to 1994. An additional disease-induced natural mortality of 0.1 was assumed. However, interim studies (Patterson, WD 1997; Tjelmeland WD 1997) directed at estimating disease-induced mortality have failed to provide compelling evidence for values above zero. Attempts to estimate natural mortality from tagging information (Hamre, WD 1997; Patterson, WD 1997a; Tjelmeland, WD 1997) were highly consistent with values in the range 0.13 to 0.16, but the Working Group did not consider that this parameter could be estimated with sufficient precision to justify a discrimination between levels of 0.13 and 0.16. Consequently it was decided to predicate the assessment model estimates on an arbitrarily-chosen M=0.15 for ages 3 and older, and no attempt was made to include additional disease-induced mortality in the maximum likelihood assessment model."

This value M=0.15 has been used for ages 3 and older since the assessment in 1997 (for all years) until the assessment made in 2005 (ICES 2005). Then a value of 0.5 was used for the plus group (16+) and was used until 2007. This increase of M was done in order to get the SSB at low values in the collapsed phase in the 1970s. It caused only a slight decrease of the SSB in the newest years (ICES 2005).

From 2008 onwards age 15 is used in the assessment as a plus group and a value of M=0.15 is used.

In the Working Group report from 1992 (ICES 1992) a comparison of acoustic estimates for year classes 1983-1985 and 1988, and the same year classes as 3 year old (VPA) gave an average annual M=0.88, so M=0.9 was used for ages 0-2.

For ages 0-2 then the following is stated in the report from 1997 (ICES 1997): "Values of natural mortality for juvenile fish (ages 0-2) used by the Working Group in 1996 were 0.9 for all years in historic VPA, but for forecasting purposes values of 1.56 for age 1 and 0.54 for age 2 were used for the 199-1995 year classes. These values were based on an unpublished Ph.D. Thesis by de Barros (1995); this work was not available for evaluation by the Working Group, and hence it was decided to retain the assumption of M=0.9 for ages 0 to 2 in all years. This value is consistent with the mean of de Barros' estimates." This value of M=0.9 is still used in the present assessments for ages 0-2.

B.2.4. Maturity at age

In 2010 WKHERMAT evaluated the information on maturity for this stock. This work was planned to be carried out in the benchmark assessment in 2008 but at that time this information was not available. WKHERMAT proposed to used maturity o-gives based on back calculation of rings on the scale. This information provided a long time series which is reproducable. WGWIDE introduced this times series in the 2010 assessment. The old time series is not longer used and is presented in the stock annex. The text in italics in the folowing paragraphs in this section is old text and no longer valid

Except for the year class 2002, the proportion mature at age used in assessment has generally been the same during the last ten years (Table B.2.4.1).

The growth rate of the 2002 year class has been higher than usually seen in large year classes of this stock. One reason for this is that a large part of the juveniles stayed in the Norwegian Sea as juveniles, favouring quicker growth than in the Barents Sea, which is the area where juveniles normally are distributed.

The proportion mature of this year class was calculated from samples collected during the surveys in the wintering area in November (before spawning) and in the Norwegian Sea in May (after spawning). The proportion of fishes in maturation stage 3 or larger (fish to spawn) in November 2005 was used as a first proxy to the proportion maturing. The proportion maturing according to these data was 0.85. The proportion in stages >5 (spent) in May was used as a proxy for the proportion having spawned. The proportion having spawned according to these data was 0.92. Based on these observations and calculations 0.9 was adopted as proportion mature of the 2002 year class at age 4. Based on this 1.0 instead of 0.9 was adopted as proportion mature of the 2002 year class at age 5. All other year classes in the later years were set at the standard 0.3 at age 4, 0.9 at age 5 and 1.0 at age 6 both in the assessment and predictions.

The Working Group has accepted the present values for the use in the assessment but considers that there is a need to validate the presently assumed values in particular for the most recent years. The proportion mature at age used in assessment is based on various surveys carried out many years ago and is not always well documented. The Working Group acknowledged the potential problem of obtaining random samples of proportion mature at age from survey for this stock due to the different catchability of mature and immature fish of the same age groups caused by spatial segregation. An alternative method for estimating proportion mature at age was proposed to the Working Group. This method involves back-calculation of proportion mature at age from fully matured year classes and is based on work done by Engelhard et al. (2003) and Engelhard and Heino (2004). The Working Group found this approach interesting, but decided to explore it further before any decision should be taken regarding using it in assessment. The Working Group recommends that effort should be put into updating estimates on proportion mature at age from recent years with this method and compare it with data on direct measurements on proportion mature at age from the May survey during the period since 1997 when this survey was assumed to cover the entire stock. This work will be done by IMR but has not completed yet. Based on this, an evaluation will be done and may lead to revisions of the maturity 0-gives in the past.

The surveys in the wintering area in November (reference) have stopped in 2008. From 2008 onwards only information is available from the May survey (reference). In 2009, WGWIDE has recommended to adjust (increase) the sampling for maturity in this survey in the May survey to ensure sufficient coverage (spatial and by age) of the data.

B.3. Surveys

A number of surveys on this stock have been carried out in the Norwegian Sea and Barents Sea to estimate the size of the stock, its age composition or the recruitment to the stock. Some of the surveys have stopped but data are still used in the assessment The surveys and its potential use are described in the sections below.

B.3.1. Survey 1. Norwegian acoustic survey on spawning grounds in February/March

Background and status

The survey has been carried out since 1988 but not in every year. The survey will not be carried out after 2008.

Use of this survey in stock assessment

The age groups 5–15+ have been used in the assessment for the years 1994 to 2005. After this year the survey has not been used in the assessment. The reason for this being that the survey was carried out very earlier and before the herring had reached the spawning grounds, with the possibilities of herring emerging the spawning grounds also through other routes than those covered in the survey.

Results

Results can be found in Table B.3.1.1 and Figure B.3.1.1.

B.3.2. Survey 2. Norwegian acoustic survey in November/December

Background and status

The survey has been carried out by Norway since 1992 in the Norwegian fjords where the adult herring winter. Since 2003 also the oceanic areas north of Lofoten/Vesterålen has been included in the survey to take account of changes in the wintering area. The fjordic coverage was ceased during the winter 2007/2008 because the herring had to-tally left the fjords.

<u>Results</u>

In 2007 the RV Johan Hjort carried out an acoustic survey in the oceanic wintering area in northern Norway (Figure B.3.2.1). The results of this survey are shown in Table B.3.2.1. This survey covers the known wintering area of the mature part of the stock. The survey gave a very low biomass estimate due to unknown reasons. One possible explanation is that a new wintering area is building up somewhere else. This has so far not been confirmed and remains an open question.

Use of this survey in stock assessment

Given the large changes in the wintering pattern of herring and the possibility of a third and undescribed wintering area, it was decided not to use this survey for the period following the new wintering pattern of the herring in the assessment. The survey will not be continued by Norway and will not be carried from 2008 onwards.

B.3.3. Survey 3. Norwegian acoustic survey in January

Background and status

This survey was carried out by Norway in the fjords in the period 1991–1999.

<u>Results</u>

The results of the survey in the wintering area in January can be found in Table B.3.3.1.

Use of this survey in stock assessment

Although the survey series has ended, the data are still used in the assessment. The age groups 5–15+ from 1991 to 1999 are currently used.

B.3.4. Survey 4 and 5. International ecosystem survey in the Nordic Seas and Barents Sea

Background and status

The international ecosystem survey in the Nordic Seas and the Barents Sea is aimed at observing the pelagic ecosystem, focusing herring, blue whiting, zooplankton and hydrography. The survey, carried out since 1995, is coordinated by the ICES PGNAPES (ICES CM 2009/RMC:06) and is a cooperative effort by Faroes, Iceland, Norway, Russia, and the EU (Denmark, Germany, Ireland, The Netherlands, Sweden and UK). This trawl-acoustic survey supplies the most important time series for the assessment of NSSH and also a time series for young blue whiting in the juvenile areas.

Results

The age-disaggregated time-series of abundance for the Barents Sea and Norwegian Sea are presented in Table B.3.4.1. and Table B.3.4.2.

Both surveys together covering the entire stock during its migration on the feeding grounds. An example of the coverage of the survey (2009) is given in Figure B.3.4.1.

Use of this survey in stock assessment

From the area west of 20°E the full time series of age groups 4 and older in survey 5 are used for the assessment. Survey 4 in the area east of 20°E covering the Barents Sea has been used in the final assessment from 2005 onwards. The survey supplies the recruitment for age groups 1 and 2 in the assessment. No data exist for 2003 and 2004

in this survey. The data for 2008 are not used. The data for survey 4 are also used for estimating recruitment in RCT3.

B.3.5. Survey 6 and 7. Joined Russian-Norwegian ecosystem autumn survey in the Barents Sea

Background and status

The survey consists of a trawl survey catching 0–group herring amongst other species and an acoustic survey estimating one and two year old herring. In 2001, the Working Group decided to include data on immature herring obtained during the Russian-Norwegian survey in August-October in estimating the younger year classes in the Barents Sea.

Results

The results from these surveys on 0–group herring are given in Table B.3.5.1. The results for the 1 to 3 age groups are given in Table B.3.5.2. The youngest age groups (0+ to 3+) of the Norwegian spring spawning herring stock are found in the Barents Sea at irregular intervals. It is difficult to access the stock size during autumn, due to various reasons. The age groups 1 to 3 are found mixed with 0–group herring and are difficult to catch in the sampling trawl used in this survey. The stock size estimates of herring are therefore considered less reliable than those for capelin and polar cod. An example of the distribution of young herring is shown in Figure B.3.5.1. An example of the distribution of 0–group herring is presented in Figure B.3.5.2.

Use of this survey in stock assessment

The indices of age groups 1 and 2 of survey 6 are used in the assessment with the exception of 2002.. The index of survey 7 is used for the estimation of recruitment by RCT3.

B.3.6 Survey 8 Norwegian herring larvae survey on the Norwegian shelf

Background and status

A Norwegian herring larvae survey has been carried out on the Norwegian shelf since 1981 during March-April. The objectives of the survey are to map the distribution of herring larvae and other fish larvae on the spawning grounds on the Norwegian shelf and to collect data on hydrography, nutrients, chlorophyll and zooplankton. The larval indices are used as indicator of the size of the spawning stock. Two indices are available from this survey.

Results

Two larvae indices are available from this survey and presented in Table B.3.6.1. Index 1 represents the total number of herring larvae found during the survey. Index 2 represents the back-calculated number of newly hatched larvae assuming 10% daily mortality. Examples of the distribution of the herring larvae are given in Figure B.3.6.1.

Use of this survey in stock assessment

The "Index 1" is used in the assessment as representative for the size of the spawning stock except for the years 2003 and 2009 (Table B.3.6.1).

B.3.7 Survey 9 Coordinated ecosystem survey in Norwegian Sea and adjoining waters in July-August

Background and status

This ecosystem survey initiated in 2004 by Norway and have since then been gradually expanded in geographical coverage and scientific complexity (e.g. Nøttestad and Jacobsen 2009). In 2009, and 2010, the survey coverage was expanded further with participations of vessels from Iceland and the Faroese in addition to two vessles from Norway. The main objective of the survey is to study abundance, spatiotemporal distribution, aggregation and feeding ecology of Northeast Atlantic mackerel, Norwegian spring-spawning herring, blue whiting and other pelagic species in relation to oceanographic conditions, prey communities and marine mammals. Two different types and independent abundance estimates for herring can de derived from the survey, an acoustic estimate, and swept area estimate from pre-defined surface trawl stations.

Results

The survey was extended very much in 2009, so the acoustic estimates for herring since then (Table B.3.7.1) are not comparable to the previous estimates. An example of the coverage of the survey (2010) is given in Figure B.3.7.1.

Use of this survey in stock assessment

The time series where the herring stock has been coveraged adequately goes only back to 2009. Thus, the survey has not been used directly in the assessment of NSSH.

B.4. Commercial CPUE

No commercial CPUE data are used in the assessment.

B.5. Other relevant data

With the exception of 1999, 2001 and 2005, tagging has been carried out annually between 1975 and 2007. In 2007 Norway has decided to discontinue the tagging program in 2008 and in future years.

The use of the tagging data in the assessment was discontinued since 2006 due to a low number of recaptures. This comes as a result of too low tag density in the stock given the high stock size and amount of fish screened for tags.

C. Historical Stock Development

Model used: VPA

Software used: TASACS, version

Model Options chosen:

Analyses are restricted to the years 1988-present

Age range for the analyses is 0-15+

Natural mortality is assumed at 0.9 for ages 0, 1 and 2 and 0.15 for older ages.

Assumed fraction of fishing mortality and natural mortality for each of the agestructured surveys

| FLEET 1 | FLEET 2 | FLEET 3 | FLEET 4 | FLEET 5 | FLEET 6 | FLEET 7 | |
|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--|
| 0.17 | 0.91 | 0.17 | 0.41 | 0.41 | 0.70 | 0.70 | |

Catchability for the age structured surveys independent of age for ages >4

Exploration of the survey data is carried out in order to investigate whether the survey contributes information to the assessment or whether there is no or little in-formation in the survey data. In the case where the survey contributes mostly noise to the assessment it is not included in further exploration and in the final assessment. In addition, when conflicting information appears between different surveys, it is attempted, as far as possible, to use expert knowledge about the performance and known problems of the different surveys, to resolve conflicts by excluding the data that were considered the least reliable.

Rather than excluding information from the survey on a subjective basis, criteria are set for exclusion. These are set based on the general observations and the analysis of comparisons of the consistency within and between the surveys. The following criteria are used for exclusion of data:

- 1) Data outside the range of years and age windows selected by previous WG have also been excluded in the present assessment. Such as incomplete survey coverage of the stock of survey not completed due to other reasons.
- 2) Survey data of poor year classes with mostly noise are excluded. This is for instance the case for year class 1995 in all surveys.
- 3) Reject ages where the analysis of consistency between and within surveys indicate severe problems. For instance for survey 1, the conclusion from the correlation analyses is not to use information at ages older than age 11.
- 4) If there is a conflict between data from different surveys, discard the data where known problems with the survey indicates that these are the least reliable. This applied in particular to conflicts between survey 2 and survey 5, where survey 2 indicated a rapid decline in the stock and survey 5 a more gentle decline. Since representative sampling of old fish in survey 2 is a known problem, caused by vertical segregation in the wintering areas in the Lofoten fjord, the survey 2 data are ignored and the survey 5 data used. at ages above 10 years.
- 5) If there are internal inconsistencies in the old ages in a survey (mismatch between abundance at young and old age), the old ages are ignored.
- 6) No zero values are used.

All observations still included were given equal weight, except for the catches at the youngest ages, where the following weightings, relative to the standard weighting of 1.0 are used:

| Age 0 | 0.001 |
|-------|-------|
| Age 1 | 0.001 |
| Age 2 | 0.01 |
| Age 3 | 0.1 |

| Туре | Name | Year range | Age range | Variable from year to year Yes/No |
|---------|--|------------------------|-----------|---|
| Caton | Catch in tonnes | 1988-last data year | 0-15+ | Yes |
| Canum | Catch at age in numbers | 1988-last data year | 0-15+ | Yes |
| Weca | Weight at age in the commercial catch | 1988-last data year | 0-15+ | Yes |
| West | Weight at age of the spawning stock at spawning time. | 1988-last data year | 0-15+ | Yes |
| Мргор | Proportion of natural mortality before spawning | 1988-last data year | 0-15+ | Yes |
| Fprop | Proportion of fishing mortality before spawning | 1988-last data year | 0-15+ | Yes |
| Matprop | Proportion mature at age | 1988-last data year | 0-15+ | Fixed in later years |
| Natmor | Natural mortality | 1988-last data year | 0-15+ | Yes |

| Input data | types | and | characteristics: |
|------------|-------|-----|------------------|
|------------|-------|-----|------------------|

Tuning data:

| Туре | Name | Year range | Age range |
|----------------|---|---------------------|-----------|
| Tuning fleet 1 | Norwegian acoustic survey on spawning grounds | 1995-2005 | 5-15+ |
| Tuning fleet 2 | Norwegian acoustic survey in Nov/Dec | 1992-2001 | 4-14+ |
| Tuning fleet 3 | Norwegian acoustic survey in January | 1991-1999 | 5-15+ |
| Tuning fleet 4 | International survey in the Nordic Seas and Barents Sea | 1991-last data year | 1-2 |
| Tuning fleet 5 | International survey in the Nordic Seas and Barents Sea | 1991-last data year | 4-15+ |
| Tuning fleet 6 | Russian-Norwegian ecosystem autumn survey in the Barents Sea | 2000-last data year | 1-2 |
| Tuning fleet 7 | Russian-Norwegian ecosystem autumn survey in the Barents Sea | 2000-last data year | 0 |
| Tuning fleet 8 | Norwegian herring larvae survey | 1981-last data year | |

The stock summary from the 2009 assessment is included in table 9.4.5.3. The TA-SACS assessment covers the perio 1988 to the present. The data prior to 1988 originate from the Sea Star assessment carried out in 2007?

D. Short-Term Projection

Model used: Deterministic short-term projection, with management option table presenting average F-values for age 5-14 weighted over population numbers at the start of the year.

Software used: Excel spread sheet. No approved and formal tested software exists. A spreadsheet was developed because available software programmes cannot provide management option tables with annual F-factors which take account for weighted F.

Initial stock size: Input to the short-term projection are the stock number at age 4-15+ (survivors) at the 1st of January taken from the final assessment. For instance, if the last data year is 2008, the assessment provides the surviving stock numbers at the 1st of January 2009. Stock numbers at age 0-3 are estimated separately from independent data sources (for instance using RCT3).

Maturity: As a default a standard fixed maturity o-give is applied. In the case biological information is available indicating a change in proportions maturation at age, the values may be adjusted

| age | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
|-----|---|---|---|---|-----|-----|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

F and **M** before spawning: The SSB is calculated at the 1st of january. Consequently the proportion of F and M before spawning is 0.

Weight at age in the stock: for the intermediate year are the observed weights obtained from the winter survey (reference). For the other years the average of the last 3 years are used. Since 2008 the winter survey has stopped and weight at age data from commercial sampling in the same period and are used

Weight at age in the catch: is the average of the observed catch weights over the last three years.

Exploitation pattern: is the average over the last 3 years

Natural mortality: fixed values, the same as used in the assessment

Intermediate year assumptions: catch constraint

Stock recruitment model used: not applicable

Procedures used for splitting projected catches: not applicable

E. Medium-Term Projections not defined

Model used:

Software used:

Initial stock size:

Natural mortality:

Maturity:

F and M before spawning:

Weight at age in the stock:

Weight at age in the catch:

Exploitation pattern:

Intermediate year assumptions:

Stock recruitment model used:

Uncertainty models used:

- 1. Initial stock size:
- 2. Natural mortality:
- 3. Maturity:
- 4. F and M before spawning:
- 5. Weight at age in the stock:
- 6. Weight at age in the catch:
- 7. Exploitation pattern:
- 8. Intermediate year assumptions:
- 9. Stock recruitment model used:

F. Long-Term Projections not defined

Model used: Software used: Maturity: F and M before spawning: Weight at age in the stock: Weight at age in the catch: Exploitation pattern: Procedures used for splitting projected catches:

G. Biological Reference Points

G.1. Precautionary and limit reference points:

The reference points for herring were considered by the Workshop on Limit and Target Reference Points (WKREF) held in Gdynia in 2007. Although it was the intention to review and update the biological basis of limit reference point taking into account the possible effects of species interactions and regime shifts, this has not been done because of lack of data. Instead, the breakpoint of a segmented regression applied to the stock recruitment plot was investigated. This breakpoint gives an indication at which SSB recruitment starts to decline and is a candidate for Blim. The breakpoint in the stock recruit data varied between 2 to 4 million tonnes and seemed to be very sensitive to small changes in the estimates of the poor year classes (points near the origin of the S/R plot) in assessments carried out in different years. WKREF could not explain the sensitivity and considered this behaviour of the model highly undesirable. WKREF decided to ask the Methods Working Group to investigate this observation further. Given this, the use of segmented regression technique to establish a limit biomass reference point for Norwegian spring spawning herring was not considered appropriate until the observed methodological issue has been resolved.

| | ICES CONSIDERS THAT: | ICES PROPOSED THAT: | | | | |
|--|--|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Precautionary Approach reference points | \mathbf{B}_{lim} is 2.5 million t | $B_{\rm pa}$ be set at 5.0 million t | | | | |
| | Flim is not considered relevant for this stock | \mathbf{F}_{pa} be set at F = 0.15 | | | | |
| Technical basis: | | | | | | |
| Blim: MBAL | B _{pa} = B _{lim} *exp(0.4*1.6 | 45) (ICES Study Group 1998) | | | | |
| Flim: not relevant for this stock | F_{P^a} : based on medium term simulations (ICES Stu Group 1998) | | | | | |

The presently used values originate from an analysis carried out in 1998.

The new assessment did not give different perceptions of the dynamics and levels of SSB and Fishing Mortality compared to the assessment which was the basis for establishing the reference points. Therefore there was no need to reconsider the reference points because of the new assessment method.

MSY reference points (included in 2010)

HCS Simulation model analysis

HCS is a stochastic simulation model for studying different management scenarios. The parameterization of HCS for NSSH is described in a working document sent for WGWIDE in 2010 (WD, Skagen; the values for weights, natural mortality and initial N-values can be found in ICES 2009, WGWIDE Table 7.10.1.3, input to short term prediction; see also Skagen 2010, WD WKFRAME). Two stock-recruitment relationships, Beverton-Holt and hockey stick, are explored:

Beverton-Holt: R = a*SSB/(SSB+b)

Hockey stick: S > b: R = a

S < b: R = a*SSB/b

The stock-recruitment parameters are shown in Table 7.8.2. params, and a plot of these together with the data is shown in Figure 7.8.2.srstoch. A plot of the data together with model output for Beverton-Holt function is show in Figure 7.8.2. srmodeldata, and the cumulative distribution of recruitment in data and model output is shown in Figure 7.8.2.cumdist. The long term sustained yields with Beverton-Holt recruitment function are shown in Figure 7.8.2.catch. A similar figure for hockey stick recruitment function can be found in Skagen 2010 (WD, Skagen).

In WKHERMAT in 2010 a new maturity ogive matrix for NSSH based on a back calculation methods was estimated (ICES 2010, WKHERMAT). This is used in the assessment in 2010. There appears to be a difference in the maturation ogive between strong and weak year classes such that strong year classes tend to mature at later age compared to weak year classes (Engelhart & Heino 2004, ICES 2010, WKFRAME). However, the model used here currently allows only static maturity ogive, and in order to take into account the effect of variation in maturation of strong and weak year classes for MSY and FMSY we have run the analysis using the standard maturity ogive used in assessment the latest years, an ogive estimated for weak year classes and an ogive estimated for strong year classes (Table 7.8.2.modelparams). Furthermore, in year 2009 the selection pattern is different to the historical period, appearing more dome-shaped than the historical sigmoidal selection pattern (Table 7.8.2.modelparams). We have not been able to identify any reason why the selection pattern would have changed, as there have been no changes in gear or fishery in general. Nevertheless, we also studied the effect of possible change in selection pattern by using alternatively the historical (old) or the selection curve from 2009 (Table 7.8.2.modelparams).

The results of the simulation analysis suggest that the MSY, for all the scenarios and with both stock-recruitment functions, is within the same range: between 1 and 1.2 million tonnes (Figure 7.8.2.msyBH, 7.8.2.msyHS, and Table 7.8.2.results). Even though the different scenarios result in MSY within the same range, the FMSY has more variation (Figure 7.8.2.fmsy and Table 7.8.2.results). When Beverton-Holt recruitment function is used, the risk of stock going below Blim (2.5 million t.) and Btrigger (4 million t.) at FMSY are both very low, whereas with the Hockey stick recruitment function the risk of the stock falling below Btrigger at FMSY is relatively high (Table 7.8.2.results). Hockey stick recruitment function appears not to be very useful in modelling population dynamics, as the spawning stock size where MSY is reached is the same point where stock reproductive capacity starts decreasing (see also the discussion in the equilibrium analysis below). When Beverton-Holt recruitment function is used, unweighted F_{MSY} using the historical fishery selection pattern is 0.16 (for all maturity ogive scenarios), and adopting the 2009 selection pattern suggests of FMSY 0.12 (for all maturity ogive scenarios). In NSSH management weighted F values are used, and the weighted values tend to be somewhat lower than unweighted values (Figure 7.8.2. fvalues). As we have no reason to believe that the selection pattern has really changed, we consider unweighted F_{MSY} to be 0.16. This unweighted F value is in close agreement with the reference values originating from an analysis carried out in 1998 (ICES 2008/ACOM 13), where a weighted F_{pa} is defined as 0.150.

Equilibrium and YPR analyses

Deterministic and stochastic equilibrium analyses were carried out using the 'plot-MSY' software (ICES 2010, WKFRAME) to determine candidate F_{MSY} values for the Norwegian spring spawning herring stock. Stock-recruitment pairs from the period 1988-2009, as outputted from the most recent assessment of the stock, were used together with 5-year averages of selectivity, weight and maturity at age (backcalculated ogive). Two stock recruit relationships were examined, Beverton and Holt and the ('smooth hockey stick' (segmented regression), and yield-per-recruit (YPR) analyses were also done. For the stochastic analyses, uncertainty (CVs) in the biological and fishery parameters at age were used to create alternative fits to two stockrecruit relationships (*N*=1000).

While the Beverton and Holt fit is reasonable under using the old maturity ogive to estimate SSB (results not shown), the majority of stochastic stock-recruit model fits fell out of the range of the deterministic fit to the data, and thus it can be concluded that the stock-recruit form is unclear and not suitable for the data and the level of uncertainty associated with the parameters. Using the new back-calculated maturity ogive, as has been decided by the working group for the assessment of this stock, results in an very poor Beverton and Holt fit (Figure 7.8.2.XXXsr), with an extremely steep slope at the origin and an asymptote at the geometric mean recruitment level. Given the lack of any clear patterns in the stock-recruit data, a hockey stick model fit, while uncertain around the origin, probably provides the most cautious fit to the data. For the hockey stick, the slope at the origin is the descending limb of the stockrecruit curve, which for this stock is relatively shallow, hence F_{crash} is low. The value for B_{msy} is at the breakpoint in the hockey stick, hence F_{msy} is estimated to be the same as F_{crash} (Table 7.8.2.XXXmsy). The uncertainty with regards to the slope at the origin makes this stock-recruitment function unsuitable as a basis for advice on F_{msy} . In such cases the slope is more useful as an indication of Fpa or Flim.

Given the poor fits to stock recruitment functions, a yield-per-recruit analysis was conducted (Figure 7.8.2.XXXypr). The stochastic analysis shows a high degree of uncertainty and a very poorly defined F_{max} . That both the hockey stick and per-recruit analysis suggests a high degree of uncertainty with regards to F_{max} could be down to the assumptions made about the uncertainties input into the analyses, though these assumptions are believed to be realistic given the information on the stock. This would preclude the use of F_{max} as an F_{msy} proxy, although F0.1 may remain a viable, safer alternative. The YPR curve shows that F values in the range 0.125-0.15 are likely to result in high long term yields.

Conclusions

In the equilibrium analysis, the structure of the stock and recruitment pairs as estimated from the most recent assessment does not lead to any clear definition of an optimum yield equilibrium fishing mortality level. Given this uncertainty it is more appropriate to select an F_{msy} proxy tested by a stochastic simulation model that takes into account the long term trends in the stock biomass. The simulation model results presented in this report and in the stock annex provide a more appropriate method for the determining a viable long term target, and the values from this analysis could be put forward as potential F_{msy} targets. However, it should be noted that it is clear that the estimation of MSY reference points is very sensitive to the choice of stock-recruitment function and the approach chosen to estimate the reference points. This is in accordance with previous analyses by Skagen (WD 2010) and by WKFRAME (ICES 2010, WKFRAME).

The stochastic model uses unweighted F values, which have historically been found to be slightly lower than the unweighted values (Figure 7.8.2.fvalues). Therefore, a weighted F_{msy} of 0.15 corresponding to the unweighted 0.16 F_{msy} proxy from the simulation analyses is proposed for this stock. This is in agreement with the current simu-

lation-tested management plan F_{pa} level and should ensure high long term yield with a low risk to the stock.

Table 7.8.2.params. Norwegian spring spawning herring. Stock recruitment parameters used in the simulation model and their fit to the data (Skagen 2010).

| | a-parameter | b-parameter | SSQ | |
|---------------|-------------|-------------|-------|--|
| Beverton-Holt | 180805 | 6986 | 81.85 | |
| Hockey stick | 88803 | 3957 | 81.47 | |

Table 7.8.2.modelparams. Norwegian spring spawning herring. Age-specific maturation probabilities, exploitation patterns and weight at age in stock and in catches used in the different stochastic simulation scenarios.

| | Maturity | ogive | | Exploitat | ion pattern | Weight at age | | |
|-----|----------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------|-------------|---------------|-------|--|
| Age | historic | weak year class | Strong year class | Old | 2009 | stock | catch | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.001 | 0 | |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.05 | 0.05 0.00 | | 0.052 | |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.04 | 0.87 | 0.033 | 0.115 | |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.05 | 0.26 | 0.077 | 0.159 | |
| 4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.18 | 0.29 | 0.141 | 0.225 | |
| 5 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.41 | 0.47 | 0.215 | 0.264 | |
| 6 | 1 | 1 | 0.9 | 0.67 | 0.84 | 0.27 | 0.301 | |
| 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.03 | 0.93 | 0.306 | 0.32 | |
| 8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.10 | 1.01 | 0.336 | 0.338 | |
| 9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0.81 | 1.65 | 0.346 | 0.359 | |
| 10 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.03 | 1.10 | 0.364 | 0.366 | |
| 11 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0.77 | 0.73 | 0.369 | 0.375 | |
| 12 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.42 | 1.14 | 0.411 | 0.391 | |
| 13 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.36 | 0.59 | 0.353 | 0.397 | |
| 14 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.39 | 0.56 | 0.389 | 0.396 | |
| 15 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.39 | 0.56 | 0.393 | 0.406 | |

Table 7.8.2.results. Norwegian spring spawning herring. MSY and FMSY values provided by HCS model for different scenario combinations. Risk B_{lim} refers to the probability that SSB < B_{lim} in the last year (2.5 million tonnes), and Risk $B_{trigger}$ refers to the probability that SSB < $B_{trigger}$ ($B_{trigger} = 5$ million tonnes, risk calculated as risk B_{lim}).

| | | Bever | ton-Holt | | | Hockey stick | | | | |
|----------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------|------------------|--------------|--------|-------|-------|--|
| Ogive | selection FMSY MSY Risk Risk FMSY pattern Blim Btrigger | | MSY | Risk Blim | Risk Btrigger | | | | | |
| Historical | old | 0.16 | 1120.1 | 0 | 0.026 | 0.32 | 1180.1 | 0.067 | 0.354 | |
| | 2009 | 0.12 | 1071.5 | 0.006 | 0.064 | 0.2 | 1135.7 | 0.088 | 0.431 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Weak year class | old | 0.16 | 1132.8 | 0 | 0.022 | 0.32 | 1193.4 | 0.058 | 0.321 | |
| | 2009 | 0.12 | 1083.4 | 0.006 | 0.051 | 0.2 | 1149.4 | 0.075 | 0.401 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Strong year class | old | 0.16 | 1093.3 | 0.002 | 0.045 | 0.26 | 1157.9 | 0.04 | 0.232 | |
| | 2009 | 0.12 | 1046.4 | 0.007 | 0.086 | 0.16 | 1117.9 | 0.017 | 0.203 | |

Table 7.8.2.msy. Deterministic and stochastic estimates of *F* and biomass reference points form two stock recruit relationships and yield-per-recruit analysis for the Norwegian spring spawning herring stock (*=poorly defined).

| | Beverton-H | olt | | | | | | | |
|---------------|--------------|------|------|------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Fcrash | Fmsy | Bmsy | MSY | | | | | |
| Deterministic | * | * | 0.25 | 1.06 | | | | | |
| 50%ile | 0.52 | 0.15 | 3.11 | 0.61 | | | | | |
| CV | 1.09 | 0.60 | 0.72 | 0.61 | | | | | |
| | Hockey Stick | | | | | | | | |
| | Fcrash | Fmsy | Bmsy | MSY | | | | | |
| Deterministic | 0.18 | 0.18 | 4.25 | 0.70 | | | | | |
| 50%ile | 0.20 | 0.20 | 3.88 | 0.90 | | | | | |
| CV | 0.71 | 0.69 | 0.39 | 0.49 | | | | | |
| | Per recruit | | | | | | | | |
| | F01 | Fmax | | | | | | | |
| Deterministic | 0.23 | * | | | | | | | |
| 50%ile | 0.19 | 0.77 | | | | | | | |
| CV | 0.39 | 0.58 | | | | | | | |



Figure 7.8.2. srstoch. Stock recruitment relationship used in the simulation model. Red dots show the recruitment from data, green stars the fitted Beverton-Holt function and yellow stars the fitted hockey stick function. Figure show also in Skagen 2010 (WD, Skagen).



Figure 7.8.2.srmodeldata. Norwegian spring spawning herring. Stock-recruitment of NSSH from data (big red diamonds) and produced by the model (blue small diamonds) using Beverton-Holt recruitment function.



Cumulated distribution of recruitments

Figure 7.8.2.cumdist. Norwegian spring spawning herring. Cumulative probability of recruitment values of NSSH from the data (red dots) and produced by the model (small blue diamonds) using Beverton-Holt recruitment function.



Figure 7.8.2.catch. Norwegian spring spawning herring. Yield (catch) and the probability of the stock being below Blim (2.5. million tonnes) after 50 years at target F for NSSH using Beverton-Holt recruitment function. C10, C50 and C90 show the 10, 50 and 90 percentiles of catch. Risklim shows the probability of stock falling below Blim as a percentage of the model runs. For similar figure for hockey stick recruitment function see WD Skagen 2010.



Figure 7.8.2.msyBH. Norwegian spring spawning herring. The MSY for three different maturity ogives and two different fishery selection patterns with 10 and 90 percentiles using Beverton-Holt recruitment function. See text for further details.



Figure 7.8.2.msyHS. Norwegian spring spawning herring. The MSY for three different maturity ogives and two different fishery selection patterns with 10 and 90 percentiles using hockey stick recruitment function. See text for further details.



Figure 7.8.2.fmsy. Norwegian spring spawning herring. F_{MSY} for three different maturity ogives and two different fishery selection patterns with Beverton-Holt and hockey stick recruitment function. See text for further details.



Figure 7.8.2.fvalues. Norwegian spring spawning herring. Unweighted (red squares) and weighted (green triangles) average F values from the current assessment.



Figure 7.8.2.sr. Deterministic and stochastic (taking into account uncertainty in weights, selectivity and maturity at age) stock recruit relationship fits for the Norwegian spring spawning herring stock. Stock-recruit pairs are from the period 1988-2009.



Figure 7.8.2 ypr. The yield-per-recruit (YPR) curve for the Norwegian spring spawning herring stock (left) and resulting stochastic estimates of *F* reference points (right).

G.3. Target reference points

The Coastal States have agreed a target reference point defined at F=0.125. (Note that the average fishing mortality is calculated as a weighted mean over the age groups 5–14 (weighted over abundance).

H. Other Issues not defined

| | ag | е | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|----|---|---|------|------|------|------|-----|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| Year | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| 1950 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1951 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1952 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1953 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1954 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1955 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.08 | 0.22 | 0.37 | 0.85 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1956 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.08 | 0.22 | 0.37 | 0.85 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1957 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1958 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.08 | 0.22 | 0.37 | 0.85 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1959 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.08 | 0.22 | 0.37 | 0.85 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1960 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.08 | 0.22 | 0.37 | 0.85 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1961 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.04 | 0.35 | 0.68 | 0.94 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1962 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.11 | 0.67 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1963 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.32 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1964 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.28 | 0.32 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1965 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.34 | 0.35 | 0.76 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1966 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.01 | 0.15 | 1 | 0.96 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1967 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.01 | 0.23 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1968 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.01 | 0.76 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1969 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.62 | 0.89 | 0.95 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1970 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.06 | 0.13 | 0.31 | 0.17 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1971 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1972 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1973 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1974 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1975 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1976 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1977 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.73 | 0.89 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1978 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.13 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1979 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.62 | 0.95 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1980 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 0.97 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1981 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1982 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.48 | 0.7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1983 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.69 | 0.71 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1984 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.95 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1985 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1986 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Table B.2.4.1. Norwegian spring spawning herring. Maturity at age information used in the as-sessments before the 2010 assessments.

•

| | age | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|-----|---|---|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| Year | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| 1987 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1988 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1989 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1990 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1991 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1992 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1993 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.01 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1994 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.01 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1995 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1996 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1997 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1998 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1999 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2001 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2002 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2003 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2004 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2005 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2006 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2007 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Table B.2.4.1, cont. Norwegian spring spawning herring. Maturity at age information used in the assessments before the 2010 assessments.

| | SURVEY 1 age | | | | | | | | | | | | | Total | | |
|--------|--------------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-------|-------|---------|
| Year | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15+ | Total | Biomass |
| 1988 | | 255 | 146 | 6805 | 202 | | | | | | | | | | 7408 | |
| 1989 | 101 | 5 | 373 | 103 | 5402 | 182 | | | | | | | | | 6166 | |
| 1990 | 183 | 187 | 0 | 345 | 112 | 4489 | 146 | | | | | | | | 5462 | |
| 1991 | 44 | 59 | 54 | 12 | 354 | 122 | 4148 | 102 | | | | | | | 4895 | |
| 1992* | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1993* | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1994 | 16 | 128 | 676 | 1375 | 476 | 63 | 13 | 140 | 35 | 1820 | | | | | 4742 | |
| 1995 | | 1792 | 7621 | 3807 | 2151 | 322 | 20 | 1 | 124 | 63 | 2573 | | | | 18474 | 3514 |
| 1996 | 407 | 231 | 7638 | 11243 | 2586 | 957 | 471 | 0 | 0 | 165 | 0 | 2024 | | | 25722 | 4824 |
| 1997* | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1998 | | | 381 | 1905 | 10640 | 6708 | 1280 | 434 | 130 | 39 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 915 | 22496 | 5360 |
| 1999 | 106 | 1366 | 337 | 1286 | 2979 | 11791 | 7534 | 1912 | 568 | 132 | 0 | 0 | 392 | 437 | 28840 | 7213 |
| 2000 | 1516 | 690 | 1996 | 164 | 592 | 1997 | 7714 | 4240 | 553 | 71 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 24 | 19566 | 4913 |
| 2001** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2002** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2003** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2004** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2005 | 103 | 281 | 811 | 3310 | 7545 | 10453 | 887 | 563 | 159 | 122 | 610 | 1100 | 686 | | 26649 | 6501 |
| 2006 | 13 | 75 | 10167 | 684 | 1103 | 4540 | 4407 | 133 | 47 | 11 | 113 | 120 | 323 | 135 | 21871 | 4858 |
| 2007 | 109 | 534 | 2097 | 14575 | 952 | 592 | 3270 | 3092 | 263 | 276 | 20 | 285 | 189 | 628 | 26882 | 6004 |
| 2008 | 10 | 145 | 3517 | 3749 | 15066 | 972 | 612 | 2410 | 2374 | 426 | 136 | 121 | 90 | 171 | 29798 | 7244 |

Table B.3.1.1. Norwegian Spring-spawning herring. Estimates from the acoustic surveys on the spawning stock in February-March. Numbers in millions. Biomass in thousands. Data in black box are used in assessment. There have been corrections due to age readings. *Survey 1*.

* No estimate due to poor weather conditions.

** No surveys.

| | SURV | SURVEY 2 age | | | | | | | | | | | | Total | | |
|--------|------|--------------|------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-------|-------|---------|
| year | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14+ | total | biomass |
| 1992 | | 36 | 1247 | 1317 | 173 | 16 | 208 | 139 | 3742 | 69 | | | | | 6947 | |
| 1993 | 72 | 1518 | 2389 | 3287 | 1267 | 13 | 13 | 158 | 26 | 4435 | | | | | 13178 | |
| 1994 | | 16 | 3708 | 4124 | 2593 | 1096 | 34 | 25 | 196 | 29 | 3239 | | | | 15209 | |
| 1995 | 380 | 183 | 5133 | 5274 | 1839 | 1040 | 308 | 19 | 13 | 111 | 39 | 907 | | | 15246 | |
| 1996 | | 1465 | 3008 | 13180 | 5637 | 994 | 552 | 92 | 0 | 7 | 41 | 15 | 393 | | 25384 | |
| 1997 | 9 | 73 | 661 | 1480 | 6110 | 4458 | 1843 | 743 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 904 | 16411 | |
| 1998 | 65 | 1207 | 441 | 1833 | 3869 | 12052 | 8242 | 2068 | 629 | 111 | 14 | 0 | 40 | 573 | 31144 | |
| 1999 | 74 | 159 | 2425 | 296 | 837 | 2066 | 6601 | 4168 | 755 | 212 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 146 | 17754 | |
| 2000 | 56 | 322 | 1522 | 5260 | 165 | 497 | 1869 | 4785 | 3635 | 668 | 205 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 18995 | |
| 2001 | 362 | 522 | 3916 | 1528 | 2615 | 82 | 338 | 864 | 3160 | 2216 | 384 | 127 | 0 | 1 | 16115 | |
| 2002* | 7 | 50 | 276 | 1659 | 624 | 1029 | 32 | 188 | 516 | 1831 | 911 | 184 | 0 | 0 | 7307 | |
| 2003** | 586 | 406 | 2167 | 10670 | 13237 | 1047 | 678 | 41 | 134 | 301 | 1214 | 502 | 10 | 37 | 31030 | |
| 2004** | 257 | 6814 | 1123 | 1596 | 5334 | 6731 | 363 | 280 | 37 | 42 | 187 | 761 | 392 | 83 | 24000 | |
| 2005 | 61 | 352 | 7173 | 465 | 685 | 2030 | 3101 | 177 | 190 | 57 | 46 | 184 | 476 | 327 | 15325 | |
| 2006 | 940 | 7785 | 3712 | 21320 | 1153 | 340 | 2879 | 4851 | 4 | 23 | 713 | 4 | 150 | 58 | 43778 | |
| 2007 | 1233 | 343 | 4161 | 2407 | 6213 | 226 | 288 | 695 | 694 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 126 | 188 | 16617 | 3660 |

Table B.3.2.1 Norwegian Spring-spawning herring. Estimates obtained on the acoustic surveys in the wintering areas in November-December. Numbers in millions. Data in black box are used in assessment. There have been corrections due to age readings. *Survey 2*.

* Much of the youngest yearclasses (-98,-99) wintered outside the fjords this winter and are not included in the estimate

** In 2003-2004 a combined estimate from the Tysfjord, Ofotfjord and oceanic areas off Vesterålen/Troms.

Table B.3.3.1 Norwegian spring spawning herring. Estimates obtained on the acoustic surveys in the wintering areas in January. Numbers in millions. Data in the black box are used in the assessment. There have been corrections due to age readings. *Survey 3*.

| | SURVEY 3 age | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|--------------|------|-------|-------|------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-------|
| Year | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15+ | Total |
| 1991 | 90 | 220 | 70 | 20 | 180 | 150 | 5500 | 440 | | | | | | | 6670 |
| 1992 | | 410 | 820 | 260 | 60 | 510 | 120 | 4690 | 30 | | | | | | 6900 |
| 1993 | | 61 | 1905 | 2048 | 256 | 27 | 269 | 182 | 5691 | 128 | | | | | 10567 |
| 1994 | 73 | 642 | 3431 | 4847 | 1503 | 102 | 29 | 161 | 131 | 3679 | | | | | 14598 |
| 1995 | | 47 | 3781 | 4013 | 2445 | 1215 | 42 | 24 | 267 | 29 | 4326 | | | | 16189 |
| 1996 | | 315 | 10442 | 13557 | 4312 | 1271 | 290 | 22 | 25 | 200 | 58 | 1146 | | | 31638 |
| 1997* | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - |
| 1998 | 214 | 267 | 1938 | 4162 | 9647 | 6974 | 1518 | 743 | 16 | 4 | 0 | 33 | 7 | 462 | 25985 |
| 1999** | 0 | 1358 | 199 | 1455 | 4452 | 12971 | 7226 | 1876 | 499 | 16 | 16 | 0 | 156 | 220 | 30444 |

* No estimate due to poor weather conditions.

** No surveys since 1999.

| | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | survey | 4 | age | age | | | | | | |
| Year | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | | | | | |
| 1991 | 24.3 | 5.2 | | | | | | | | |
| 1992 | 32.6 | 14 | 5.7 | | | | | | | |
| 1993 | 102.7 | 25.8 | 1.5 | | | | | | | |
| 1994 | 6.6 | 59.2 | 18 | 1.7 | | | | | | |
| 1995 | 0.5 | 7.7 | 8 | 1.1 | | | | | | |
| 1996 ¹ | 0.1 | 0.25 | 1.8 | 0.6 | 0.03 | | | | | |
| 1997 ² | 2.6 | 0.04 | 0.4 | 0.35 | 0.05 | | | | | |
| 1998 | 9.5 | 4.7 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0 | | | | | |
| 1999 | 49.5 | 4.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | |
| 2000 | 105.4 | 27.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | |
| 2001 | 0.3 | 7.6 | 8.8 | 0 | 0 | | | | | |
| 2002 | 0.5 | 3.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | |
| 2003 ³ | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20043 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2005 | 23.3 | 4.5 | 2.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 | | | | | |
| 2006 | 3.7 | 35.0 | 5.3 | 0.87 | 0 | | | | | |
| 2007 | 2.1 | 3.7 | 12.5 | 1.9 | 0 | | | | | |
| 2008 ⁴ | 0.043 | 0.38 | 0.2 | 0.28 | 0 | | | | | |
| 2009 | 0.191 | 0.845 | 2.180 | 2.643 | 1.213 | | | | | |

Table B.3.4.1. Norwegian spring-spawning herring. Acoustic estimates (billion individuals) of immature herring in the Barents Sea in May/June. No survey in 2003, 1990-2002. See footnotes. Data in black box used in the assessment except the yellow highlighted cell. *Survey 4*.

¹ Average of Norwegian and Russian estimates

² Combination of Norwegian and Russian estimates as described in 1998 WG report, since then only Russian estimates

³ No surveys

⁴ Not a full survey

| | survey 5 Age Total | | | | | | | | | | | | | Total | | | |
|------|--------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|------|-------|---------|
| Year | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15+ | Total | Biomass |
| 1996 | 0 | 0 | 4114 | 22461 | 13244 | 4916 | 2045 | 424 | 14 | 7 | 155 | 0 | 3134 | | | 50514 | 8532 |
| 1997 | 0 | 0 | 1169 | 3599 | 18867 | 13546 | 2473 | 1771 | 178 | 77 | 288 | 190 | 60 | 2697 | | 44915 | 9435 |
| 1998 | 24 | 1404 | 367 | 1099 | 4410 | 16378 | 10160 | 2059 | 804 | 183 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 492 | 37415 | 8004 |
| 1999 | 0 | 215 | 2191 | 322 | 965 | 3067 | 11763 | 6077 | 853 | 258 | 5 | 14 | 0 | 158 | 128 | 26016 | 6299 |
| 2000 | 0 | 157 | 1353 | 2783 | 92 | 384 | 1302 | 7194 | 5344 | 1689 | 271 | 0 | 114 | 0 | 75 | 20758 | 6001 |
| 2001 | 0 | 1540 | 8312 | 1430 | 1463 | 179 | 204 | 3215 | 5433 | 1220 | 94 | 178 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 23274 | 3937 |
| 2002 | 0 | 677 | 6343 | 9619 | 1418 | 779 | 375 | 847 | 1941 | 2500 | 1423 | 61 | 78 | 28 | 0 | 26089 | 4628 |
| 2003 | 32073 | 8115 | 6561 | 9985 | 9961 | 1499 | 732 | 146 | 228 | 1865 | 2359 | 1769 | | 287 | 0 | 75580 | 6653 |
| 2004 | 0 | 13735 | 1543 | 5227 | 12571 | 10710 | 1075 | 580 | 76 | 313 | 362 | 1294 | 1120 | 10 | 88 | 48704 | 7687 |
| 2005 | 0 | 1293 | 19679 | 1353 | 1765 | 6205 | 5371 | 651 | 388 | 139 | 262 | 526 | 1003 | 364 | 115 | 39114 | 5109 |
| 2006 | 0 | 19 | 306 | 14560 | 1396 | 2011 | 6521 | 6978 | 679 | 713 | 173 | 407 | 921 | 618 | 243 | 35545 | 9100 |
| 2007 | 0 | 411 | 2889 | 5877 | 20292 | 1260 | 1992 | 6780 | 5582 | 647 | 488 | 372 | 403 | 1048 | 1010 | 49051 | 12161 |
| 2008 | 0 | 1193 | 587 | 8332 | 8270 | 16345 | 1381 | 1920 | 3958 | 2500 | 416 | 242 | 159 | 217 | 408 | 45928 | 9996 |
| 2009 | 202 | 906 | 2980 | 2754 | 14292 | 9487 | 11629 | 1472 | 1253 | 2587 | 1357 | 267 | 183 | 60 | 258 | 49687 | 10700 |

Table B.3.4.2. Norwegian spring spawning herring. Estimates from the international acoustic surveys on the feeding areas in the Norwegian Sea in May. Numbers in millions. Biomass in thousands. Data in black box are used in assessment. There have been corrections due to age readings. *Survey 5*.

| surve | v 7 |
|-------|-----------------|
| Year | Abundance index |
| 1980 | 4 |
| 1981 | 3 |
| 1982 | 202 |
| 1983 | 40557 |
| 1984 | 6313 |
| 1985 | 7237 |
| 1986 | 7 |
| 1987 | 2 |
| 1988 | 8686 |
| 1989 | 4196 |
| 1990 | 9508 |
| 1991 | 81175 |
| 1992 | 37183 |
| 1993 | 61508 |
| 1994 | 14884 |
| 1995 | 1308 |
| 1996 | 57169 |
| 1997 | 45808 |
| 1998 | 79492 |
| 1999 | 15931 |
| 2000 | 49614 |
| 2001 | 844 |
| 2002 | 23354 |
| 2003 | 28579 |
| 2004 | 133350 |
| 2005 | 26332 |
| 2006 | 66819 |
| 2007 | 22481 |
| 2008 | 15727 |

Table B.3.5.1. Norwegian spring-spawning herring. Abundance indices for 0-group herring 1980-2008 in the Barents Sea, August-October. *This index has been recalculated since 2006, these are the new values. Survey 7.*

Table B.3.5.2. Norwegian spring-spawning herring. Acoustic estimates (billion individuals) of immature herring in the Barents Sea in August-October. Data in black boxes used in the assessment. *Survey 6.*

| survey 6 | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|------|------|-----|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Age | | | | | | | | | |
| Year | 1 | 2 | 3 | | | | | | | |
| 2000 | 14.7 | 11.5 | 0 | | | | | | | |
| 2001 | 0.5 | 10.5 | 1.7 | | | | | | | |
| 2002 | 1.3 | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | |
| 2003 | 99.9 | 4.3 | 2.5 | | | | | | | |
| 2004 | 14.3 | 36.5 | 0.9 | | | | | | | |
| 2005 | 46.4 | 16.1 | 7.0 | | | | | | | |
| 2006 | 1.6 | 5.5 | 1.3 | | | | | | | |
| 2007 | 3.9 | 2.6 | 6.3 | | | | | | | |
| 2008 | 0.03 | 1.6 | 4.0 | | | | | | | |

| Table B.3.6.1 Norwegian Spring-spawning herring. The indices for herring larvae on the Norwe- |
|--|
| gian shelf for the period 1981-2009 (N* 10^{-12}). Data in black box are used in the assessment. Survey |
| 8. |

| YearIndex1Index 219810.3119820.7119832.5119841.4119852.3119861.1119871.325.519871.3.428.7198713.428.7198718.329.2198913.423.5199018.329.219918.623.519926.327.8199324.781.7199419.536.3199518.236.3199527.781.7199766.6147.5199842.4138.6199519.838.9200119.818.8200227.1138.62003*3.718.8200456.4215.1200573.91196.7200698.9389.02007**8.453.82009***8.453.8 | survey 8 | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------|--------|---------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 19810.319820.719832.519841.419852.31986119871.319871.319889.2198713.419889.2198913.4208778199018.319918.623.519926.3199324.7199419.5198518.2199518.2199627.7199766.6147.5199842.4199842.4199842.4199319.5200019.8200119.8200227.1138.62003*3.7200573.91200698.92007**90.62008107.920088.42009***8.42009*** | Year | Index1 | Index 2 | | | | | | | |
| 19820.719832.519841.419852.31986119871.319871.319889.2198713.419889.2198913.4198913.4199018.319918.619926.3199324.7199419.5199518.2199518.2199627.7199766.6199766.6199842.4199842.4199756.41998389.0200137.7188200456.4200573.91200698.9389.02007**90.62008107.9393.32009***8.453.8 | 1981 | 0.3 | | | | | | | | |
| 19832.519841.419852.3198611986119871.319889.2198913.4198913.4198018.319918.619926.3199324.7199419.5199518.2199518.2199627.7199766.6199519.2199627.7199766.61997138.6199842.4199842.41998342.4200019.8200119.8200227.1138.62003*3.718.8200456.4200573.91200698.92007**90.62008107.920088.42009***8.4 | 1982 | 0.7 | | | | | | | | |
| 19841.419852.31986119871.319871.3198713.419889.2198913.4198913.4198913.4199018.319918.619926.319926.3199324.7199419.5199518.2199518.2199627.7199766.6199842.4199842.4199819.9200019.8200140.7200227.1138.62003*3.7200573.91200698.92008107.92008107.920088.42009***8.453.8 | 1983 | 2.5 | | | | | | | | |
| 1985 2.3 1986 1 1987 1.3 1988 9.2 1988 9.2 1988 9.2 1989 13.4 1989 13.4 1990 18.3 1991 8.6 1992 6.3 1993 24.7 1994 19.5 1995 18.2 1994 19.5 1995 18.2 1996 27.7 1997 66.6 1998 42.4 1998 42.4 1999 19.9 2000 19.8 2001 40.7 2002 27.1 2003* 3.7 2004 56.4 2005 73.91 2006 98.9 2007** 90.6 2008 107.9 2008 107.9 | 1984 | 1.4 | | | | | | | | |
| 1986 11 1987 1.3 4 1987 1.3 25.5 1989 13.4 28.7 1990 18.3 29.2 1991 8.6 23.5 1992 6.3 27.8 1993 24.7 78 1994 19.5 48.6 1995 18.2 36.3 1994 19.5 48.6 1995 18.2 36.3 1994 19.5 48.6 1995 18.2 36.3 1995 27.7 81.7 1996 27.7 81.7 1997 66.6 147.5 1998 42.4 138.6 1999 19.9 73 2000 40.7 135.9 2001 40.7 138.6 2002 27.1 18.8 2003* 3.7 18.8 2004 56.4 215.1 2005 | 1985 | 2.3 | | | | | | | | |
| 19871.3419889.225.5198913.428.7199018.329.219918.623.519926.327.8199324.778199419.548.6199518.236.3199627.781.7199766.6147.5199842.4138.6199919.973200019.889.4200140.7135.9200227.1138.62003*3.718.8200456.4215.1200573.91196.7200698.9389.02007**90.6139.32008107.9393.32009***8.453.8 | 1986 | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| 1988 9.2 25.5 1989 13.4 28.7 1990 18.3 29.2 1991 8.6 23.5 1992 6.3 27.8 1993 24.7 78 1994 19.5 48.6 1995 18.2 36.3 1994 19.5 48.6 1995 18.2 36.3 1996 27.7 81.7 1997 66.6 147.5 1998 42.4 138.6 1999 19.9 73 2000 19.8 89.4 2001 40.7 135.9 2002 27.1 138.6 2003* 3.7 18.8 2004 56.4 215.1 2005 73.91 196.7 2006 98.9 389.0 2007** 90.6 196.3 2008 107.9 393.3 2009**** 8.4 53.8 < | 1987 | 1.3 | 4 | | | | | | | |
| 1989 13.4 28.7 1990 18.3 29.2 1991 8.6 23.5 1992 6.3 27.8 1993 24.7 78 1994 19.5 48.6 1993 24.7 78 1994 19.5 48.6 1995 18.2 36.3 1996 27.7 81.7 1997 66.6 147.5 1998 42.4 138.6 1999 19.9 73 2000 19.8 89.4 2001 40.7 135.9 2002 27.1 138.6 2003* 3.7 188 2004 56.4 215.1 2005 73.91 196.7 2006 98.9 389.0 2007** 90.6 2008 107.9 393.3 2009**** 8.4 53.8 | 1988 | 9.2 | 25.5 | | | | | | | |
| 1990 18.3 29.2 1991 8.6 23.5 1992 6.3 27.8 1993 24.7 78 1994 19.5 48.6 1995 18.2 36.3 1994 19.5 48.6 1995 18.2 36.3 1996 27.7 81.7 1997 66.6 147.5 1998 42.4 138.6 1999 19.9 73 2000 19.8 89.4 2001 40.7 135.9 2002 27.1 138.6 2003* 3.7 18.8 2004 56.4 215.1 2005 73.91 196.7 2006 98.9 389.0 2007** 90.6 199.3 2008 107.9 393.3 2009**** 8.4 53.8 | 1989 | 13.4 | 28.7 | | | | | | | |
| 1991 8.6 23.5 1992 6.3 27.8 1993 24.7 78 1994 19.5 48.6 1994 19.5 36.3 1995 18.2 36.3 1996 27.7 81.7 1997 66.6 147.5 1998 42.4 138.6 1999 19.9 73 2000 19.8 89.4 2001 40.7 135.9 2002 27.1 138.6 2003* 3.7 188 2004 56.4 215.1 2005 73.91 196.7 2006 98.9 389.0 2007** 90.6 1 2008 107.9 393.3 2009**** 8.4 53.8 | 1990 | 18.3 | 29.2 | | | | | | | |
| 1992 6.3 27.8 1993 24.7 78 1994 19.5 48.6 1995 18.2 36.3 1996 27.7 81.7 1997 66.6 147.5 1997 66.6 147.5 1998 42.4 138.6 1999 19.9 73 2000 19.8 89.4 2001 40.7 135.9 2002 27.1 138.6 2003* 3.7 18.8 2004 56.4 215.1 2005 73.91 196.7 2006 98.9 389.0 2007** 90.6 1 2008 107.9 393.3 2009**** 8.4 53.8 | 1991 | 8.6 | 23.5 | | | | | | | |
| 1993 24.7 78 1994 19.5 48.6 1995 18.2 36.3 1996 27.7 81.7 1996 27.7 81.7 1997 66.6 147.5 1998 42.4 138.6 1999 19.9 73 2000 19.8 89.4 2001 40.7 135.9 2002 27.1 138.6 2003* 3.7 18.8 2004 56.4 215.1 2005 73.91 196.7 2006 98.9 389.0 2007** 90.6 2008 107.9 393.3 2009**** 8.4 53.8 | 1992 | 6.3 | 27.8 | | | | | | | |
| 1994 19.5 48.6 1995 18.2 36.3 1996 27.7 81.7 1997 66.6 147.5 1997 66.6 147.5 1998 42.4 138.6 1999 19.9 73 2000 19.8 89.4 2001 40.7 135.9 2002 27.1 138.6 2003* 3.7 18.8 2004 56.4 215.1 2005 73.91 196.7 2006 98.9 389.0 2007** 90.6 2008 107.9 393.3 2009**** 8.4 53.8 | 1993 | 24.7 | 78 | | | | | | | |
| 1995 18.2 36.3 1996 27.7 81.7 1997 66.6 147.5 1998 42.4 138.6 1999 19.9 73 2000 19.8 89.4 2001 40.7 135.9 2002 27.1 138.6 2003* 3.7 18.8 2004 56.4 215.1 2005 73.91 196.7 2006 98.9 389.0 2007** 90.6 2008 107.9 393.3 2009**** 8.4 53.8 | 1994 | 19.5 | 48.6 | | | | | | | |
| 1996 27.7 81.7 1997 66.6 147.5 1998 42.4 138.6 1999 19.9 73 2000 19.8 89.4 2001 40.7 135.9 2002 27.1 138.6 2003* 3.7 18.8 2004 56.4 215.1 2005 73.91 196.7 2006 98.9 389.0 2007** 90.6 2008 107.9 393.3 2009**** 8.4 53.8 | 1995 | 18.2 | 36.3 | | | | | | | |
| 1997 66.6 147.5 1998 42.4 138.6 1999 19.9 73 2000 19.8 89.4 2001 40.7 135.9 2002 27.1 138.6 2003* 3.7 18.8 2004 56.4 215.1 2005 73.91 196.7 2006 98.9 389.0 2007** 90.6 2008 107.9 393.3 2009*** 8.4 53.8 | 1996 | 27.7 | 81.7 | | | | | | | |
| 1998 42.4 138.6 1999 19.9 73 2000 19.8 89.4 2001 40.7 135.9 2002 27.1 138.6 2003* 3.7 18.8 2004 56.4 215.1 2005 73.91 196.7 2006 98.9 389.0 2007** 90.6 2008 107.9 393.3 2009*** 8.4 53.8 | 1997 | 66.6 | 147.5 | | | | | | | |
| 1999 19.9 73 2000 19.8 89.4 2001 40.7 135.9 2002 27.1 138.6 2003* 3.7 18.8 2004 56.4 215.1 2005 73.91 196.7 2006 98.9 389.0 2007** 90.6 2008 107.9 393.3 2009*** 8.4 53.8 | 1998 | 42.4 | 138.6 | | | | | | | |
| 2000 19.8 89.4 2001 40.7 135.9 2002 27.1 138.6 2003* 3.7 18.8 2004 56.4 215.1 2005 73.91 196.7 2006 98.9 389.0 2007** 90.6 2008 107.9 393.3 2009*** 8.4 53.8 | 1999 | 19.9 | 73 | | | | | | | |
| 2001 40.7 135.9 2002 27.1 138.6 2003* 3.7 18.8 2004 56.4 215.1 2005 73.91 196.7 2006 98.9 389.0 2007** 90.6 2008 107.9 393.3 2009*** 8.4 53.8 | 2000 | 19.8 | 89.4 | | | | | | | |
| 2002 27.1 138.6 2003* 3.7 18.8 2004 56.4 215.1 2005 73.91 196.7 2006 98.9 389.0 2007** 90.6 2008 107.9 393.3 2009*** 8.4 53.8 | 2001 | 40.7 | 135.9 | | | | | | | |
| 2003* 3.7 18.8 2004 56.4 215.1 2005 73.91 196.7 2006 98.9 389.0 2007** 90.6 2008 107.9 393.3 2009*** 8.4 53.8 | 2002 | 27.1 | 138.6 | | | | | | | |
| 2004 56.4 215.1 2005 73.91 196.7 2006 98.9 389.0 2007** 90.6 2008 107.9 393.3 2009*** 8.4 53.8 | 2003* | 3.7 | 18.8 | | | | | | | |
| 2005 73.91 196.7 2006 98.9 389.0 2007** 90.6 2008 107.9 393.3 2009*** 8.4 53.8 | 2004 | 56.4 | 215.1 | | | | | | | |
| 2006 98.9 389.0 2007** 90.6 2008 107.9 393.3 2009*** 8.4 53.8 | 2005 | 73.91 | 196.7 | | | | | | | |
| 2007** 90.6 2008 107.9 393.3 2009*** 8.4 53.8 | 2006 | 98.9 | 389.0 | | | | | | | |
| 2008 107.9 393.3 2009*** 8.4 53.8 | 2007** | 90.6 | | | | | | | | |
| 2009*** 8.4 53.8 | 2008 | 107.9 | 393.3 | | | | | | | |
| | 2009*** | 8.4 | 53.8 | | | | | | | |

Index 1. The total number of herring larvae found during the cruise.

Index 2. Back-calculated number of newly hatched larvae with 10% daily moratlity. The larval age is estimated from the duration of the yolksac stages and the size of the larvae.

* Poor weather conditions and survey was late in April

** only representative for the area 62-66°N $\,$

****Likely that spawning was particularly early in 2009

| | survey 9 Age | | | | | | | | | | | | | Total | | | |
|------|--------------|-----|------|------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|-------|-----|-------|---------|
| Year | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15+ | Total | Biomass |
| 2009 | 0 | 415 | 4136 | 3522 | 12448 | 7479 | 12362 | 1223 | 2144 | 1761 | 410 | 0 | 157 | 75 | 756 | 46888 | 13603 |
| 2010 | 543 | 327 | 1309 | 2631 | 2500 | 10141 | 6619 | 6471 | 1163 | 2310 | 804 | 422 | 166 | 87 | 144 | 35637 | 10717 |

Table B.3.7.1. Norwegian spring spawning herring. Acoustic estimates from the coordinated ecosystem survey in Norwegian Sea and adjoining waters in July-August. Numbers in millions. Biomass in thousands. *Survey 9*.







Figure A.1.1.1. Norwegian spring spawning herring. Long term trends in spawning stock, catches and recruits (1907-1988 from Toresen and Østvedt; 1989-2007 from WGNPBW 2007).



Figure B.3.1.1. NSSH Acoustic survey on spawning grounds in February March, 2007 (left) and 2008 (right).



Figure B.3.2.1. NSSH Acoustic survey in November/December 2006 (left panel here) and 2007 (right panel).



Figure B.3.4.1. Cruise tracks during the International North East Atlantic Ecosystem Survey in April-May 2009 and location of trawl stations.



Figure B.3.5.1. Estimated total density of herring (tonnes/nautical mile²) in August-September 2008 (left panel) and 2007 (right panel).



Figure B.3.5.2. NSSH O-group surveys in August/September in the Barents Sea in 2008 (left panel) and 2007 (right panel).



Figure B.3.6.1. NSSH. Distribution of herring larvae on the Norwegian shelf in 2009 (left panel) and 2008 (right panel). The 200 m depth line is also shown.



Figure B.3.7.1. Cruise tracks during the coordinated ecosystem survey in Norwegian Sea and adjoining waters in July-August 2010 and location of trawl stations.

| - | | - | | - |
|------|-------------|----------|----------|------------|
| Year | Recruitment | SSB | Landings | F weighted |
| | Age 0 | | | Ages 5-14 |
| | thousands | tonnes | tonnes | |
| 1950 | 751000000 | 14200000 | 826000 | 0.0584 |
| 1951 | 146000000 | 12500000 | 1280000 | 0.0697 |
| 1952 | 96600000 | 10900000 | 1250000 | 0.0728 |
| 1953 | 86100000 | 9350000 | 1070000 | 0.0663 |
| 1954 | 42100000 | 8660000 | 1640000 | 0.1130 |
| 1955 | 25000000 | 9270000 | 1360000 | 0.0783 |
| 1956 | 29900000 | 10900000 | 1660000 | 0.1100 |
| 1957 | 25400000 | 9650000 | 1320000 | 0.1030 |
| 1958 | 23100000 | 8690000 | 986000 | 0.0787 |
| 1959 | 412000000 | 7180000 | 1110000 | 0.1130 |
| 1960 | 198000000 | 5850000 | 1100000 | 0.1360 |
| 1961 | 76100000 | 4390000 | 830000 | 0.1040 |
| 1962 | 19000000 | 3440000 | 849000 | 0.1460 |
| 1963 | 169000000 | 2670000 | 985000 | 0.2530 |
| 1964 | 93900000 | 2530000 | 1280000 | 0.2260 |
| 1965 | 8490000 | 3060000 | 1550000 | 0.2780 |
| 1966 | 51400000 | 2800000 | 1960000 | 0.6960 |
| 1967 | 3950000 | 1470000 | 1680000 | 1.5200 |
| 1968 | 5190000 | 344000 | 712000 | 3.4900 |
| 1969 | 9780000 | 145000 | 67800 | 0.5900 |
| 1970 | 661000 | 71000 | 62300 | 1.3200 |
| 1971 | 236000 | 32000 | 21100 | 1.5300 |
| 1972 | 957000 | 16000 | 13200 | 1.5000 |
| 1973 | 12900000 | 85000 | 7020 | 1.1700 |
| 1974 | 8630000 | 91000 | 7620 | 0.1140 |
| 1975 | 2970000 | 79000 | 13700 | 0.1900 |
| 1976 | 10100000 | 138000 | 10400 | 0.1060 |
| 1977 | 5100000 | 286000 | 22700 | 0.1110 |
| 1978 | 6200000 | 358000 | 19800 | 0.0434 |
| 1979 | 12500000 | 388000 | 12900 | 0.0238 |
| 1980 | 1470000 | 471000 | 18600 | 0.0341 |
| 1981 | 1100000 | 504000 | 13700 | 0.0215 |
| 1982 | 2340000 | 503000 | 16700 | 0.0200 |
| 1983 | 343000000 | 575000 | 23100 | 0.0291 |
| 1984 | 11500000 | 602000 | 53500 | 0.0903 |
| 1985 | 36600000 | 515000 | 170000 | 0.3790 |
| 1986 | 6040000 | 437000 | 225000 | 1.0700 |
| 1987 | 9090000 | 926000 | 127000 | 0.4040 |
| 1988 | 25724000 | 2768000 | 135301 | 0.045 |
| 1989 | 73988400 | 3409000 | 103830 | 0.029 |
| 1990 | 109705800 | 3702000 | 86411 | 0.022 |

Table 9.4.5.3Herring in the Northeast Atlantic (Norwegian spring-spawning herring).Summary of the stock assessment. Data prior to 1988 are from the 2006 assessment year.
| Year | Recruitment | SSB | Landings | F weighted |
|---------|-------------|----------|----------|------------|
| | Age 0 | | | Ages 5-14 |
| | thousands | tonnes | tonnes | |
| 1991 | 320875600 | 3877000 | 84683 | 0.023 |
| 1992 | 383921700 | 3767000 | 104448 | 0.027 |
| 1993 | 121890400 | 3641000 | 232457 | 0.064 |
| 1994 | 42242100 | 4122000 | 479228 | 0.129 |
| 1995 | 18643900 | 4976000 | 905501 | 0.229 |
| 1996 | 57789400 | 6545000 | 1220283 | 0.192 |
| 1997 | 50575900 | 7887000 | 1426507 | 0.180 |
| 1998 | 282407700 | 7290000 | 1223131 | 0.153 |
| 1999 | 227356600 | 6852000 | 1235433 | 0.186 |
| 2000 | 54030800 | 5837000 | 1207201 | 0.213 |
| 2001 | 35695300 | 4794000 | 766136 | 0.180 |
| 2002 | 568142000 | 4928000 | 807795 | 0.184 |
| 2003 | 185261300 | 6298000 | 789510 | 0.114 |
| 2004 | 344513300 | 7149000 | 794066 | 0.094 |
| 2005 | 53536700 | 7715000 | 1003243 | 0.128 |
| 2006* | 90770000 | 11580000 | 968958 | 0.131 |
| 2007* | 30990000 | 11836000 | 1266993 | 0.098 |
| 2008** | 103000000 | 12437000 | 1545656 | 0.125 |
| 2009** | 103000000 | 13300000 | | |
| Average | 100457748 | 4646433 | 690524 | 0.3220 |

* Recruitment value has been replaced in the forecast by RCT estimate.

** GM mean 1989-2005

Stock Annex E – Stock Annex Blue Whiting combined stock (Subareas I-IX, XII and XIV

| Quality Handbook | Blue whiting combined stock (Subareas I– IX, XII and XIV) |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Stock specific documentation of | f standard assessment procedures used by ICES. |
| Stock: | Blue Whiting |
| Working Group: | Working Group for Widely distributed stocks |
| Date: | Updated in September 2010. |
| Revised By: | Afra Egan <i>et al.</i> |
| | |

A. General

A.1. Stock definition

Blue whiting (Micromesistius poutassou) is a pelagic gadoid that is widely distributed in the eastern part of the North Atlantic. The highest concentrations are found along the edge of the continental shelf in areas west of the British Isles and on the Rockall Bank plateau where it occurs in large schools at depths ranging between 300 and 600 meters but is also present in almost all other management areas between the Barents Sea and the Strait of Gibraltar and west to the Irminger Sea. Adults reach maturation at 2-7 years old and undertake long annual migrations from the feeding grounds to the spawning grounds (Bailey, 1982). Most of the spawning takes place between March and April, along the shelf edge and the banks west of the British Isles. Juveniles are abundant in many areas, with the main nursery area believed to be the Norwegian Sea. Morphological, physiological, and genetic research has suggested that there may be several components of the stock which mix in the spawning area west of the British Isles. Due to the large population size, its considerable migratory capabilities and wide spatial distribution, much remains to be understood regarding the stock composition and dynamics. The migration routes of blue whiting in the north Atlantic are shown in Figure E1.

Blue Whiting Stock Identity

Prior to 1993, for the purposes of assessment, it was assumed that blue whiting had two components, a northern and a southern component. The Northern stock was known to feed in the Norwegian Sea and spawn to the west of the British Isles. The Southern stock was found along the continental shelf off the coast of Spain and Portugal with the main spawning areas towards the Porcupine Bank. The Porcupine Bank is considered a transitional area between the two main stocks (ICES, 1990). In 1993 it was argued that there was no strong evidence to maintain this division between the two stocks. Results from an otolith age reading workshop at that time showed no significant difference in mean annual ring diameter between northern and southern stocks. It was agreed by ACFM in 1993 that the two stocks should be combined for assessment purposes (ICES, 1995). Since then this stock has been assessed as one unit. Several approaches have been employed to investigate the stock structure of blue whiting. The details of studies relating to genetics, larval otolith growth patterns and the movements of eggs and larvae have been published in recent years.

Blue Whiting have a wide geographic distribution and large population size, which is generally advantageous for the accumulation and preservation of genetic variability (Mork and Giaever, 1995). The first genetic work was carried out in the early 1990s. A study was carried out by Mork and Giaever, 1995 included samples from most of the eastern Atlantic but the amount of samples from the southern part of this area was generally low. Further work revealed significant geographic heterogeneity with reproductive units found at the fringes of the distribution range. A genetically distinct population was found in the Barents Sea and potential populations identified in the Mediterranean and Romsdalsfjord area of Norway. Samples taken from the area west of the British Isles and from the Norwegian Sea were genetically similar, which suggests a single blue whiting stock throughout the area (Giaever and Stein, 1998). Genetically distinct populations were also found in the Barents Sea and Mediterranean by Ryan et al 2005 by using one minisatellite and five microsatellite loci. Temporal variation was also seen between samples collected on the main spawning area. In this case there was insufficient data to identify explicitly the geographic range of these possible stocks. The most recent study conducted by Was et al, 2008 used a landscape genetics approach which combines spatial and genetic information to detect barriers to gene flow. This microsatellite analysis found that samples collected and analysed from along the south flowing current from the Porcupine Bank i.e. the Celtic Sea and Bay of Biscay were genetically different from those in the northward flowing current. Temporal variation was seen in samples collected in the Rockall Bank area and the reasons for this are inconclusive.

Oceanographic modelling has been used to examine movements of blue whiting eggs and larvae. Larval drift is an important factor in recruitment. A hypothesis put forward by Skogen *et al*, 1999, was that the southern stock will spawn in an area where the eggs and larvae are likely to drift southwards and the northern stock where the eggs and larvae will drift northwards. Based on modelled drift patterns they found that a possible separation line was located at 54.5°N but this was subject to significant interannual variability over the twenty years studied. Work conducted by Bartsch and Coombs (1997) used a three dimensional baroclinic model suggests that particles released on the Porcupine Bank drifted southwards with a separation at about 53-54°N. This work gave some additional information about stock separation but suggested that the division might be more southerly. Additional testing of the use of this type of model was recommended.

An investigation of larval growth histories was carried out in 2007 (Brophy and King, 2007). Groups that are spatially or temporally distinct after hatching show measurable differences in the larval portion of the otolith. This study has shown that larvae from the Bay of Biscay grow faster than those from more northerly spawning areas. It also confirmed that fish spawning to the west of Ireland and Scotland, do not form a randomly mixing unit and that subunits within this aggregation have experienced differences during the larval phase. The dispersal of larvae influences the subsequent dispersal of spawning adults. The fish that are found in the feeding assemblages throughout the distribution do not contribute equally to the spawning assemblages in the north and south of the spawning grounds.

There is growing evidence from these studies that there may be several components in the North east Atlantic blue whiting stock. It is difficult to determine how many possible sub-populations may exist. In many of the studies conducted to date sample sizes are small and further more rigorous sampling is recommended. Further investigation is needed if any changes are to be implemented regarding existing management units.

In 2009 the stock identification methods working group (SIMWG) stated that that the perception of blue whiting in the NE Atlantic as a single unit stock is not consistent with recently observed differences in genetics and growth and should be revised; based on current available data. They recommended that a precautionary approach should initially treat blue whiting populations in areas VIIk and VIIj and further south as a separate unit from all other NE populations. SIMWG is in support of an initial, precautionary delineation of "two main stocks" but also vigorously suggests that a large, interdisciplinary project on this species is needed in order to comprehensively understand blue whiting stock structure in the NE Atlantic so that SIMWG may provide more robust advice (ICES, 2009a).

A.2. Fishery

Since 1988, 18 national fleets have been involved in the blue whiting fisheries. The highest landings have been reported by Norway, followed by the USSR/Russia, Iceland and the Faroes. Over the last decade, 13 or 14 national fleets land parts of the blue whiting quota each year. The highest concentrations of catches are generally found along the edge of the continental shelf in the area west of the British Isles, on the Rockall and Hatton Banks and around the Faroe Islands in quarter 1. In the following quarters catches are generally taken further north in the Norwegian Sea and also in the North Sea with lesser quantities of blue whiting caught in the southern area off Spain and Portugal.

Most of the catches are taken in the directed pelagic trawl fishery in the spawning and post spawning areas (Divisions Vb, VIa, b, and VIIb, c). Catches are also taken in the directed and mixed fishery in Subarea IV and Division IIIa, and in the pelagic trawl fishery in the Subareas I and II and in Divisions Va and XIVb. These fisheries in the northern areas have taken between 360,000–2,300,000 t per year in the last decade, while catches in the southern areas (Subarea VIII, IX, Divisions VIId, e and g–k) have been in the range of 20,000–85,000 t. The proportion of landings originating from the Norwegian Sea fluctuates greatly, having increased from 5% of the total in the mid-1990s to around 30% in 2003–2004, after which the proportion decreased again to below 10%. These fluctuations are thought to be linked to fluctuations in recruitment. In Division IXa blue whiting is mainly taken as bycatch in mixed trawl fisheries (ICES, 2008a). The proportions of landings originating in each area are mapped and presented in the annual working group reports.

The procedure of the working group is to split length frequency data into three areas, although it is recognised that the northern area comprises both spawning size fish and juveniles. The three areas are as follows:

- 1. The southern area around Spain and Portugal
- 2. The northern area which includes the spawning grounds and the Norwegian Sea
- 3. The North Sea and the Skagerrak.

A.3. Ecosystem aspects

The blue whiting stock has seen an almost threefold increase in spawning stock biomass since the mid 1990's. In recent years the stock has declined in terms of spawning stock biomass and there are no signs of good incoming recruitment. The early life stages have a significant influence on the reproductive success of this stock. The main blue whiting spawning areas are located along the shelf edge and banks west of the British Isles. The eggs and larvae can drift both towards the south and towards the north, depending on the spawning location and oceanographic conditions. The northward drift spreads the major part of the juvenile blue whiting to warmer parts of the Norwegian Sea and adjacent areas from Iceland to the Barents Sea. Adult blue whiting carry out active feeding and spawning migrations in the same area as herring. Blue whiting has consequently an important role in the pelagic ecosystems of the area, both by consuming zooplankton and small fish, and by providing a food resource for larger fish and marine mammals. (ICES, 2009b).

During the spawning stock survey on blue whiting in 2009, large amounts of mackerel were observed throughout the spawning grounds. The mackerel was distributed from 60-300 meters and fed heavily on pearlsides (*Maurolicus mülleri*) (PGNAPES, ICES RMC/06, 2009). The overlapping distribution of feeding mackerel within the blue whiting spawning grounds suggests a possible ecologic interaction between the two stocks, and predation from mackerel on blue whiting egg and larvae could be a contributing factor to the collapse in blue whiting recruitment observed. This interaction may have increased significantly both with the growth in the mackerel stock and with the changes observed in mackerel distribution in recent years. It is strongly suggested that investigations are carried out on this relationship in order to evaluate possible effects of mackerel on blue whiting recruitment.

Environmental conditions in the main spawning areas have undergone significant changes during this time. Changes in temperature, salinity and circulation have been recorded in long term trend data. Blue whiting are sensitive to temperature and salinity and will only spawn in waters with suitable ranges. Hatún *et al* 2009a suggests a temperature range of 9°-10°C and salinity ranges of between 35.35 and 35.45 psu.

The ICES report on ocean climate (ICES, 2008b) provides a summary of long term trends in environmental conditions until the end of 2007. Increases in temperature and salinity have been recorded over the blue whiting distribution area. An increase in sea surface temperature (SST) was shown at several of the monitoring stations in the NE Atlantic with temperatures up 3°C since the early 1980s (ICES, 2008c). Salinity has shown some fluctuations throughout the time series. In the Rockall trough salinity reached a peak in 2003 and has declined slightly since then. The same trend can be seen in the Faroes Shetland Channel. In the Norwegian Sea increases in both temperature and salinity have occurred since the mid 1990s (ICES, 2008b).

The circulation of the North Atlantic is characterized by two large gyres: the subpolar and subtropical gyre. Some of the water in the subtropical gyre is re-circulated to the west of the Mid Atlantic Ridge (MAR) and some water continues east and crosses the MAR in the Azores Current and the remainder forms the North Atlantic Current (NAC) (ICES 2008f). The subpolar gyre controls the flow trajectory of the NAC in the Northeastern Atlantic. When the gyre is strong, it extends eastwards, branches off and carries cold less saline water to the Rockall Trough and over the Rockall plateau (Figure E2a). When the gyre is weak it moves west and allows subtropical water to spread north and west and this results in warmer more saline conditions (Figure E2b) (Hatún, *et al* 2009). Work carried out by Hatún, *et al* 2007 used a gyre index value which is obtained from the simulated sea surface height over the entire North Atlantic Ocean and it reflects the shape and strength of the subpolar gyre. Since blue whiting are known to spawn in water masses with a relatively narrow temperature and salinity range the variability in the strength of the gyre index influences their spawning distribution. A strong gyre index is associated with cold and fresh conditions in the North East Atlantic and this seems to coincide with spawning to the east, along the continental slope and the Porcupine Bank area. The post spawning migration takes place in the Faroe Shetland channel and is possibly associated with a smaller total fish stock. When the gyre index is weak spawning takes place on the western slope of the Faroe plateau and over the Rockall plateau. The post spawning migration is also on the west through the Faroe Bank channel and is possibly leads to a larger stock size. The estimated threefold increase in blue whiting biomass coincided with major changes in the marine climate and this shift between east and west during the mid 1990s indicates a possible connection.

Hatún, *et al* 2009a explored the hypothesis that the spawning distribution is predominantly controlled by the marine climate conditions west of Ireland, along the continental slope and west of Rockall when the sub polar gyre is weak and towards the Porcupine bank when the sub polar gyre is strong. This study used hydrographic, acoustic biomass and larval data as well as catch statistics and data from the regional gyre index. This study showed that the spawning distribution of blue whiting is determined by oceanographic conditions to the west of Great Britain and Ireland which in turn are regulated by the North Atlantic subpolar gyre.

Further work was carried out to examine large scale bio-geographical shifts in the northeast Atlantic from the SPG which used an ocean circulation model and data from four trophic levels including phytoplankton, zooplankton, blue whiting and pilot whales (Hatún, *et al* 2009b). This study found that changes in the distribution of blue whiting are caused by variable stock size and by shifts in the migration pattern. The subpolar gyre influences this process either by

- 1. Directly regulating the currents and or hydrographic conditions that will influence the migration routes
 - or
- 2. Indirectly via trophodynamics.

This work suggests that recent advances in simulating the dynamics of the subpolar gyre may provide a potential for predicting the distribution of the main faunal zones in the north-eastern Atlantic a few years into the future. This in turn would facilitate more rational management of commercially important fish species.

Recruitment

A workshop was held in 2009 that examined blue whiting recruitment. The group reviewed and updated existing work on both the oceanography in the region and the distribution dynamics of blue whiting, particularly focusing on the most recent observations. A broad selection of hypothesizes were examined that may explain the recruitment dynamics of this stock. The group focused on two potential mechanisms that may account for the hypothesized links between the oceanographic climate and the recruitment dynamics.

1. The predation hypothesis

This hypothesis examines the role of mackerel predation and changes in the spawning distribution of blue whiting. Changes in the spawning distribution lead to changes in the mackerel-blue whiting larvae overlap, and therefore the degree of predation.

2. The food hypothesis

This hypothesis is based on the amount and availability of food to the larvae and juveniles. Changes in the oceanographic conditions may change the food availability and ultimately impact larval/juvenile growth, survival and recruitment. More research if required to examine these topics (ICES, 2009 c, RMC:09)

Finally, the workshop examined potential schemes that could be used for generating recruitment forecasts. A high-degree of autocorrelation is present in the time-series, and indeed the assumption that recruitment in the following year is the same as the recruitment in the previous year was found to give relatively good predictions (r2=0.57). However, in the absence of a detailed process understanding, it was not possible to move beyond such basic schemes towards making genuine, knowledge-based, forecasts. Further research is required.

B. Data

B.1. Commercial catch

SALLOCL

Commercial catch data is obtained from national laboratories of nations exploiting blue whiting. Data exchange spreadsheets are submitted to the stock coordinator. Prior to 2009 the data in the exchange spreadsheets were allocated samples to catch using the SALLOCL-application (Patterson, 1998). This programme produced the standard outputs on sampling status and biological parameters. It also clearly documented any decisions made by the stock co-ordinators for filling in missing data and raising the catch information of one nation/quarter/area with information from another data set.

InterCatch

InterCatch which is a web-based system for handling fish stock assessment data was first used in 2009. Blue Whiting data are submitted using the 'Data Submission Workbook' spreadsheet and converted into the InterCatch format by the program "InterCatchFilemaker", developed by Andrew Campbell from Marine Institute, Galway, Ireland. The total International Catch-at-Age was obtained through the Inter-Catch web program in 2009 and 2010. The allocations for those countries reporting catches without samples, were generally made using all available data for the same ICES Division and the same quarter. In cases where this was not possible, data from the nearest Divisions and the same quarter were used.

B.2. Biological Data

Sampling Protocol

In recent years all of the main countries participating in this fishery have provided sampling data to the working group. The European Commission Regulation 1639/2001 sets out the minimum and extended programmes for the collection of data in the fisheries sector and includes guidelines for blue whiting. This regulation requires EU Member States to take a minimum of one sample to be taken for every 1000 t landed in their country. Detailed information on the number of samples collected, number of fish aged and measured by year and by country is presented in the work-

ing group report (ICES, 2008a). This regulation applies to EU member states and there are currently no guidelines in place for other countries. Current precision levels of the sampling intensity are unknown and the group recommends reviewing the sampling frequency and intensity on a scientific basis and providing guidelines for sampling intensity.

Age Reading

The most recent age reading workshop took place in Hirtschals Denmark in June 2005. Guidelines for ageing blue whiting are outlined in this report and all of the workshop participants agreed to follow these guidelines. The workshop found that overall there was a high level of agreement between age readers. The two main reasons for disagreement between age readers were firstly the position of the first ring when the Bowers ring is clear and secondly true rings not counted by less experienced readers. Younger fish achieved better precision than older fish. This illustrates the problems associated with ageing older fish and is a common problem among many fish species (Worsøe Clausen, *et al* 2005).

An otolith exchange is being carried out in 2009/2010 with a workshop planned for 2011.

Age composition in the catch

The catch numbers at age were mean standardised by year and are presented in Figure E3. Strong year classes can be seen in the past as they moved through the fishery. In recent years the numbers of fish at younger year classes are not as abundant and there are no signs of incoming strong recruitment.

Weight at age in the catch and Weight at age in the stock

Mean weight at age in the catch data are calculated on an annual basis from data supplied by Denmark, the Faroes, Iceland, Ireland, the Netherlands, Norway, Portugal, Russia, Scotland and Spain. Figure E4 shows the mean weight at age for the total catch from 1981–2009 which is used in the stock assessment.

Maturity

Maturity at age used in the assessment was obtained by combining maturity ogives from the southern and northern areas, weighted by catch in numbers at age (ICES, 1995). These values have been used since 1994. Although the values of maturity at age may be too low, sufficient information for estimating new ogives is not available.

Natural Mortality

The current M of 0.2 was derived from investigations undertaken in the 1980s that examined the age distribution of the stock before the industrial fishery started. The possible need for revising the current estimate of instantaneous natural mortality rate M for blue whiting was discussed in detail by the 2002 WG (ICES, 2002). The value of M estimated from different methods was in the range of 0.38 to 0.60. Although it was acknowledged that the current estimate M =0.2 yr might be too low, there is not a strong basis for revision. Methodological work by WGMG (ICES, 2003a) emphasizes that natural mortality rate cannot be estimated reliably with information normally available for stock assessment models. The working group therefore considers that there is no new information that would justify a revision of the current estimate of M.

F and M before spawning

This is not used by SMS assessment model.

Discards

Discards of blue whiting are thought to be small. Most of the blue whiting caught in directed fisheries are used for reduction to fish meal and fish oil. However, some discarding occurs in the fisheries for human consumption and as bycatch in fisheries directed towards other species. Estimates of discarding are not included in the assessment. Reports on discarding from fisheries which catch blue whiting were available from the Netherlands for the years 2002–2007. A study carried out to examine discarding in the Dutch fleet found that blue whiting made a minor contribution to the total pelagic discards when compared with the main species mackerel, horse mackerel and herring (Figure E5). The length frequencies of landed and discarded fish caught were compared and from this data it is clear that herring and blue whiting are not selected and discarded for length reasons (Figure E6). It is more likely that in sorting and processing of mackerel small fish are commonly discarded (Borges, *et al* 2008).

Information on discards was available for Spanish fleets in 2006. Blue whiting is a bycatch in several bottom trawl mixed fisheries. The estimates of discards in these mixed fisheries in 2006 ranged between 23% and 99% (in weight) as most of the catch is discarded and only last day catch may be retained for marketing fresh. The catch rates of blue whiting in these fisheries are however low. In the directed fishery for blue whiting for human consumption with pair trawls, discards were estimated to be 13% (in weight) in 2006.

In general, discards are assumed to be minor in the blue whiting directed fishery. Discard data are provided by the Netherlands to the working group. Blue whiting is also by catch in several Spanish bottom trawl mixed fisheries. However, the catch rates of blue whiting in these fisheries are low (ICES, 2008a).

B.3. Surveys

A number of surveys are carried out which provide data on blue whiting abundance in different areas of their distribution. Three surveys are used to tune the assessment. The remaining surveys are not used in the assessment but data are updated on an annual basis.

Surveys Used in the assessment

1. International Blue Whiting spawning stock survey (IBWSS)

The International Blue Whiting Spawning Stock Survey (IBWSS) is carried out annually on the spawning grounds west of the British Isles in March-April. The survey started in 2004 and is carried out by Norway, Russia, the Faroe Islands and the EU. The primary purpose of the survey was to obtain estimates of blue whiting stock abundance in the main spawning grounds using acoustic methods as well as to collect hydrographic information. Results of all the surveys are presented in national reports and also combined in one international survey report. The International survey is coordinated by WGNAPES. International co-operation allows for wider and more synoptic coverage of the stock and better use of resources. This survey was first used the tune the assessment in 2007 and the time series is now 7 years with ages 3-8 used.

2. International ecosystem survey in the Nordic Seas (IESNS)

An international ecosystem survey is carried out annually in the Nordic Seas from late April to early June aimed at observing the pelagic ecosystem in this area. This survey focuses on Norwegian spring spawning herring, blue whiting, zooplankton and hydrography.

The survey area was split into three subareas which are as follows:

- Area I Barents Sea
- Area II northern and central Norwegian Sea
- Area III Southwestern area, i.e. Faroese and Icelandic zones and Southwestern part of the Norwegian Sea

The survey is coordinated by WGNAPES. Ages 1-2 from this survey are used to tune the assessment.

3. Norwegian survey on the spawning grounds

The Norwegian survey on the spawning grounds for blue whiting, west of the British Isles, provides the longest time series covering a significant part of the blue whiting stock, and is an important time series for tuning the assessment. This survey was carried out from 1991-2006. The time series from 1991 – 2003, ages 3-8 is currently used to tune the assessment. This survey was replaced by the International spawning stock survey.

Surveys not used in the assessment but provide information

4. Norwegian bottom trawl survey in the Barents Sea

Norway has conducted bottom trawl surveys targeting cod and other demersal fish in the Barents Sea since late 1970s. From 1981 onwards there have been systematically designed surveys carried out during the winter months (usually late January - early March) by at least two Norwegian vessels; in some years the survey has been conducted in co - operation with Russia. Blue whiting is a regular bycatch species in these surveys, and has in some years been among the numerically dominant species (Heino *et al*, 2003). This survey is presently giving the first reliable indication of year class strength of blue whiting. The survey is not used in the assessment because of it coverage at the edge of the distribution area, but it is used for recruitment predictions. The indices of 1 group blue whiting are presented in Table E1.

5. Spanish bottom trawl survey

Bottom trawl surveys have been conducted off the Galician (NW Spain) coast since 1980, following a stratified random sampling design and covering depths down to 500 m. The survey is directed to a mixture of species. Since 1983, the area covered in the Spanish survey was extended to completely cover Spanish waters in Division VIIIc. A new stratification has been established since 1997. The survey is not used in the assessments as it is only representative for a small part of the stock area. The mean catch and standard error of these bottom trawl surveys are presented in Table E2 and Figures E7. The stratified mean catch is presented in Figure E8.

6. Portuguese bottom trawl survey

Bottom trawl surveys have been conducted off the Portuguese coast since 1979, following a stratified random sampling design and covering depths down to 500 m. The area covered in the Portuguese survey was extended in 1989 to the 750 m contour. The survey is not used in the assessments as it is only representative for a small part of the stock area. The mean catch and standard error of these surveys is presented in Table E3.

7. Other Surveys

Several other surveys have in the past provided data to the Working Group. In recent years however these data have not been updated. Historical results from the following surveys are presented in WGNPBW working group reports.

- Norwegian Sea summer survey carried out in 1981 2001, 2005 2007. The stock estimates in numbers at age are given in the 2007 report.
- Faroes plateau spring bottom trawl survey carried out in March 1996–2008. The survey is aimed at cod, haddock and saithe, but varying amounts of blue whiting are caught as bycatch each year.
- Faroes plateau autumn bottom trawl survey carried out in August- September 1994–2008. The survey is aimed at cod, haddock and saithe, but varying amounts of blue whiting are caught as bycatch each year.

B.4. CPUE

Spanish pair trawl CPUE

The Spanish pair trawls CPUE series was used for several years as a tuning fleet in the blue whiting assessment. Following a recommendation of the methods working group (ICES, 2003) the use of this CPUE data was discontinued because this fleet represents only a small part of the landings caught in a small part of the distribution area. This data series runs from 1983-2003 and has not been updated since then. The age stratified CPUE data are shown in Table 4 and Figure 9 and show a slight declining trend in CPUE.

Norwegian CPUE

CPUE data in the spawning area was collected from the Norwegian commercial fleet 1982–2003. The time series has not been updated in recent years. The data are not considered to be representative for the development of the stock and are not used in the assessment.

B.5. Other relevant data

C. Historical Stock Development

Analytical assessment

A benchmark assessment for this stock has not been conducted to date.

Models used for exploratory assessments

1. TISVPA

Since 2006 a "triple-separable" version of the ISVPA model (TISVPA) was used for exploratory blue whiting assessment runs (Vasilyev, 2006). This version of the model allows it to take into account possible cohort-dependent peculiarities in selection pattern originating from different interactions of different cohorts with fishing fleet, or by possible errors in aging of some cohort or by some other unknown reason. The so called mixed version of the model was used (giving equal weights to assumptions that catch-at-age data are true and that selection pattern is stable). Other model settings were the following: unbiased separable representation of fishing mortalities and single selection pattern for the whole period (ICES, 2006a)

The model settings were chosen to minimize non - contradicting signals from all available data (catch - at - age and 3 surveys: Norwegian spawning stock survey (survey 1); IESNS (survey 2), and the IBWSS (survey 3)) in order to retain the meaningful input into the model from all of them.

In 2009 the following settings were used:

- The "catch-controlled" version (catch-at-age is assumed as true and all residuals in catch-at-age are attributed to violations of selection pattern stability) with the assumption of unbiased separable representation of fishing mortalities (more correctly of exploitation rates);
- The window for estimation of cohort-factors from age 1 to age 8; the measure of closeness of fit for catch-at-age – sum of squared residuals in logarithmic catch-at-age;
- Catchability-at-age were estimated for all surveys.
- The year of the change in selection pattern was chosen as 1994 (first year of the second selection pattern in the model) as corresponding to the best fit to the catch-at-age data. The results are presented in annual working group reports.

TISVPA was used again in 2010 with the following settings:

- the "mixed" version (residuals in catch-at-age are attributed both to violations of selection pattern stability and to errors in catch-at-age data) with the condition of unbiased separable representation of fishing mortalities (more correctly - of exploitation rates)
- window for estimation of cohort-factors from age 1 to age 8
- the measure of closeness of fit for catch-at-age was the absolute median deviations (AMD) in residuals in logarithmic catch-at-age. For survey 2 the AMDs in residuals between logarithmic abundance-at-age from the survey and their model-derived values were minimized, for surveys 1 and 3 the measure of closeness of fit was sum of squared residuals in abundance-at-age. Catchability coefficients were estimated for all surveys. The overall objective function was the weighted sum of the above mentioned components.

2. XSA

XSA or extended survivors analysis is also used for exploratory assessment runs. XSA focuses on the relationship between catch per unit effort and population abundance, allowing the use of a more complicated model for the relationship between CPUE and year class strength at the youngest ages (Darby and Flatman, 1994).

XSA was used with the following configuration:

- q plateau set at age 7;
- Catchability depends on stock size for ages less than 3;
- SE at survey estimates set as 0.3;
- Regression type P;
- Survivor estimates shrunk towards the mean F of the final 5 years or the 3 oldest ages.

Model used for the Final Assessment: SMS

Since 2005, SMS has been the final assessment model chosen by the working group.

SMS (Stochastic Multi Species model) (Lewy and Vinther, 2004) is an age structured assessment model to handle biological interactions; however, it can be reduced to operate with one species only. In "single species mode" an objective functions for catch at age numbers and survey indices at age time series are minimized assuming a log-normal error distribution for both data sources. The expected catch is calculated from the catch equation and F at age, which is assumed to be separable into an age selection and a year effect. SMS uses maximum likelihood to weight the various data sources (ICES, 2006a).

| Table of final assessment settings from 2007-2010 | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| Settings/options for the final as- sessment | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | | |
| Software | SMS | SMS | SMS | SMS | | |
| Age range for the analysis | 1–10+ | 1–10+ | 1-10+ | 1-10+ | | |
| Last age a plus-group? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | | |
| Catch data | | | | | | |
| Constant selection pattern for the catch | 2 periods: 1981– 1992,1993– 2006 | 2 periods: 1981– 1999,1999– 2007 | 2 periods: 1981– 1999,1999– 2008 | 2 periods: 1981– 1999,1999– 2009 | | |
| First age with age independent catchability | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Age groups with the same variance | 1, 2, 3–6, 7– 10 | | |
| Age-structured tuning time-series | | | | | | |
| Norwegian spawning ground survey, ages 3–8 | 1993–2003 | 1993–2003 | 1993–2003 | 1993–2003 | | |
| First age with age independent catchability | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| Age groups with the same variance | 3-4, 5-6, 7-8 | 3-4, 5-6, 7-8 | 3-4, 5-6, 7-8 | 3-4, 5-6, 7-8 | | |
| International ecosystem survey in the Nordic Seas, ages 1–2 | 2000–2007 | 2000–2008 | 2000-2009 | 2000-2010 | | |
| First age with age independent catchability | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Age groups with the same variance | 1, 2 | 1, 2 | 1,2 | 1,2 | | |
| International blue whiting spawn- ing stock ground survey , ages 3–8 | 2004–2007 | 2004–2008 | 2004-2009 | 2004-2010 | | |
| First age with age independent catchability | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| Age groups with the same variance | 3–8, min std 0.4 | 3–8, min std 0.4 | 3–8, min std 0.4 | 3–8, min std 0.4 | | |

Model Options chosen:

| Туре | Name | Year range | Age range | Variable from year to year Yes/No |
|---------|---|-------------|-----------|---|
| Caton | Catch in tonnes | 1981 – 2009 | 1-10 | Yes |
| Canum | Catch at age in numbers | 1981 - 2009 | 1-10 | Yes |
| Weca | Weight at age in the commercial catch | 1981 – 2009 | 1-10 | Yes |
| West | Weight at age of the spawning stock at spawning time. | 1981 – 2009 | 1-10 | Yes |
| Mprop | Proportion of natural mortality before spawning | 1981 – 2009 | 1-10 | No |
| Fprop | Proportion of fishing mortality before spawning | 1981 - 2009 | 1-10 | No |
| Matprop | Proportion mature at age | 1981 - 2009 | 1-10 | No |
| Natmor | Natural mortality | 1981 - 2009 | 1-10 | No |

Input data types and characteristics:

Tuning data:

| Туре | Name | Year range | Age range |
|----------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| Tuning fleet 1 | Norwegian Acoustic Survey | 1991-2003 | 3-8 |
| Tuning fleet 2 | International Ecosystem Survey | 2000 - 2010 | 1-2 |
| Tuning fleet 3 | International Spawning Stock Survey | 2004 - 2010 | 3-8 |

D. Short-Term Projection

Software used: MFDP (Multi Fleet Deterministic Projections)

Initial stock size: Stock numbers from the assessment

Recruitment: At the 2007 working group recruitment estimates for input to the short term forecast were based on a mean of two surveys. The surveys used were the International ecosystem survey in the Nordic Seas with full coverage and one from the Barents Sea winter survey. The reason for not using the final assessment estimate of recruitment at age 1 in 2006 is that this is unrealistically low and appeared as an extreme outlier (ICES 2007a).

In 2008 and 2009 a survey-based estimate of recruitment using the standard ICES software, RCT3 was carried out. This uses the most recent available information from the International ecosystem survey standard area index and the Barents Sea bottom trawl time series. Both recruitment indices show the same signal as previous years that the 2005-2008 year classes are very weak and are orders of magnitude lower than earlier in the series.

In 2010 the surveys provided very low indices of recruitment which may not be realistic. It was therefore decided to use the lowest observed recruitment estimate produced by the assessment in the forecasts.

Maturity: The proportion mature for this stock is assumed constant over the years. The maturity ogive used in the short term forecast is the same as the ogive used in the assessment.

F and M before spawning: Spawning is assumed to take place the 1st January.

Weight at age in the stock and weight at age in the catch: Weight at age in the catch and weight at age in the stock are the same and for the short term forecast are calculated as three year averages.

Exploitation pattern: This is based on F in the year where the final year of data calculated from the most recent assessment. The assessment assumes a fixed selection from 1999 to the final year of data.

Natural Mortality: Natural mortality is assumed to be 0.2 across all ages.

E. Medium-Term Projection

Medium term projections were carried out as part of the management plan evaluation simulations at a meeting in May 2008 (Anon, 2008). These simulations were updated at WGWIDE in September 2008. HCS (Skagen, 2008) with some minor modifications were made to cover the needs of the blue whiting simulations. As a control, some simulations were repeated with the SMS software which is also used to assess the stock of blue whiting and was used for evaluation of the management plan presently in use (ICES, 2008a).

F. Long-Term Projections

Long term projections have not been carried out.

G. Biological Reference Points

| Reference Point | Blim | B _{pa} | Flim | Fpa |
|-----------------|------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------|
| Value | 1.5 mill t | 2.25 mill t | 0.51 yr ⁻¹ | 0.32 yr -1 |
| Basis | Bloss | Blim*exp(1.645* σ) | Floss | Fmed |
| | | With $\sigma = 0.25$ | | |

Although problems have been identified with these reference points they have remained unchanged since then. A major problem is that fishing at F_{Pa} implies a high probability of bringing the stock below B_{Pa} , in other words the present combination of F_{Pa} and B_{Pa} is inconsistent. The Workshop on Limit and Target Reference Points (WKREF) considered the biological reference points for Blue Whiting at a meeting in Gdynia, Poland in January in 2007 (ICES, 2007b). The original reference points for this stock were set in 1998, before the era of high productivity became apparent. The group examined the consequences of these new observations on the reference points by first splitting the time - series into two productivity regimes (low productivity from 1981–1994, and high productivity from 1995–2005). Standard methods (i.e. using the guidelines from the Study Group on Precautionary Reference points, SGPRP (ICES, 2003b) were then used to re - estimate the reference points, which were found to be comparable to the current values. A new probabilistic approach for estimating B_{lim} was also employed, but again, the result was found to be comparable with the current values. The group concluded that there was no basis for revising the current reference points. WKREF also noted that there may be no need for different B_{lim} values in different productivity regimes.

A stochastic equilibrium analysis made during the Working Group established by the Blue Whiting Coastal States on Blue Whiting management strategies (Anon, 2008) indicates a high risk of stock collapse with an F from approximately 0.3 and upwards given the "low recruitment" regime as observed in 1981–1996. F_{max} is poorly defined and a very limited increase in yield is obtained for F in the range 0.18 to 0.30. F_{0.1} was estimated at 0.18. Sensitivity analysis of a change in exploitation pattern showed that these conclusions are robust with respect to the choice of exploitation pattern. A yield per recruit analysis was conducted using MFYPR which also calculated F_{0.1} as 0.18.

H. Other Issues

Changes in Blue Whiting Mean Weights over time

Possible causal relations for the visible reductions in mean weight at age were investigated by WGWIDE in 2008. Several aspects relating to the biology of fish stocks such as recruitment, growth or natural mortality, are influenced by ecosystem conditions. Some of these conditions were suggested as possible reasons for the change in mean weight at age. These include the following:

- Density dependant competition- too many fish competing for the same food resource.
- Changes in plankton abundance would impact on the amount of food available for blue whiting.
- External environmental factors, such as temperature and salinity. Spawning is effected by both of these environmental variables.

An in depth analysis of the causes of these changes in mean weights, which would be needed for any kind of forecast is outside the scope of this working group (ICES, 2008a)

Possible effects of protecting juvenile Blue Whiting

The modern blue whiting fishery developed during the second half of the 1970s when the landings increased from around 100 000 tonnes to above 1 million tonnes. The majority of the catches have since been taken on the spawning grounds west of the British Isles. A small but fairly constant fraction of the catches are taken in the southern areas and in the North Sea (Norwegian trench) and a variable fraction in the Norwegian Sea (Figure E10). The proportion of landings taken in the Norwegian Sea increased after the strong year classes from 1995 onwards led to increased densities of (young) blue whiting in this area, but is now decreasing and was in 2007 around the pre-2000 level.

Landings from the Norwegian Sea and the North Sea are generally comprised of a higher proportion of juvenile fish compared to landings from the spawning area, though this proportion varies between years. A measure to reduce the exploitation of juveniles could therefore, in theory, be to close the fishery in these areas (or a temporal closure of the fishery outside the spawning season). However, it is impossible to estimate the resulting reduction in juvenile fishing mortality of such measures since juveniles are also exploited in the spawning ground fishery. The effects on the yield per recruit curve of applying three different exploitation patterns on ages 1–2 were explored using the standard ICES software MFYPR; (1) zero exploitation, (2) "high" exploitation and (3) the constant F selection pattern used in SMS from 1999 onwards. The "high" exploitation pattern which gave the highest relative fishing mortality on ages 1–2 during the last 15 years was derived from the XSA assessment. The SMS exploitation pattern was used on ages older than 2 years. Figure E11 shows the three F selection patterns used and the resulting yield per recruit curves. The difference between the curves is marginal with similar values for F_{0.1} derived. The conclusion is that the effect on yield of protecting juveniles is likely to be very small. A separate clause for the protection of juveniles in the management plan is not needed (ICES, 2008a).

H.1 Management and ICES advice

In 2003, ICES stated that both estimates of SSB and fishing mortality were high but uncertain. Nevertheless, the spawning stock biomass in 2003 was likely to be above B_{Pa} . Therefore, based on the most recent estimates of fishing mortality and SSB, ICES classified the stock as likely to be harvested outside safe biological limits (F>Flim). The incoming year classes seemed to be strong. ICES recommended that catches should be less than 925 000 tonnes in 2004 in order to achieve a 50% probability that the fishing mortality in 2004 is less than F_{Pa} (=0.32). This would also assure a high probability that the spawning stock biomass in 2005 to be above B_{Pa} (ICES, 2005).

In 2004 ICES concluded from the most recent estimates of fishing mortality and SSB, that the stock had full reproductive capacity, but was harvested unsustainably. Although the estimates of SSB and fishing mortality were not considered precise, it was certain that SSB was above B_{pa} and the estimated fishing mortality well above F_{lim} . Recruitments in the last decade appeared to be at a much higher level than earlier. The unimplemented management plan implied catches of less than 1.075 million t in 2005 which was expected to keep fishing mortality less than 0.32 with 50% probability. This would also have assured a high probability that the spawning stock biomass in 2006 would be above B_{pa} . ICES recommended that measures be taken to protect juveniles (ICES, 2005).

In 2005 ICES advised that fishing within the limits of the management plan (F=0.32) implied catches of less than 1.5 million t in 2006. This would result in a high probability that the spawning stock biomass in 2007 would be above B_{pa} . The present fishing level was well above levels defined by the management plan and should be reduced. The primarily approach to reduce catch of juveniles is to reduce overall fishing mortality. Catches of juveniles in the last 4 years were much greater than in earlier periods. If an overall reduction of fishing mortality cannot be achieved then specific measures should be taken to protect juveniles (ICES, 2006a).

In 2006 ICES stated that the maximum catch in 2007 corresponding to a new agreed management plan is 1.9 million tonnes, which is expected to leave the spawning stock biomass at 2.86 million t, i.e. above B_{pa} in 2008, but would lead to an F above F_{lim} in 2007. Fishing mortality is estimated at 0.48 and was above the fishing mortalities expected to lead to high long-term yields and low risk of depletion of production potential. Fishing at F_{pa} implies catches of less than 980 thousand t in 2007. This was expected to result in a spawning stock biomass in 2008 well above B_{pa}. The newly agreed management plan was evaluated by ICES and was not considered in accordance with the precautionary approach. ICES concluded that the exploitation boundaries for this stock should be based on the precautionary limits (ICES, 2007a).

In 2007 ICES classified the stock as having full reproductive capacity, but being harvested at increased risk. SSB increased to a historical high in 2003, but has decreased since then. The estimated fishing mortality was well above F_{pa} . Recruitment in the last decade appears to be at a much higher level than prior to 1996. The 2005 and 2006 year classes were estimated at the pre 1996 level. ICES has evaluated the present management plan in 2006 and found it not to be in accordance with the precautionary approach. ICES concluded that the exploitation boundaries for this stock should be based on the precautionary limits. The advice for 2008 is a maximum TAC at 835 000 t based on an F at F_{pa} (ICES, 2008a).

The 2008 advice for Blue whiting states that based on the most recent estimates of fishing mortality and SSB, ICES classifies the stock as having full reproductive capacity, but being harvested at increased risk. SSB increased to a historical high in 2003, but has decreased since then and is expected to be just above B_{Pa} in 2009. The estimated fishing mortality is well above F_{Pa} . Recruitment of the 2005 and 2006 year classes are estimated to be in the very low end of the historical time-series. Surveys indicate that the 2007 year class could also be low.

In 2009 ICES advised that based on the most recent estimates of SSB (in 2009) and, fishing mortality (in 2008), ICES classifies the stock as having full reproductive capacity and being harvested sustainably (F=0.29). Year classes 2005-2008 are among the lowest observed. Due to recent low recruitment, SSB has declined from its historical peak in 2003-2004 of more than 7 million tonnes to 3.6 million tonnes at the beginning of 2009, and the decline is expected to continue in the short-term.

A management plan was agreed for this stock between the four coastal states (Norway, Faroe Islands, Iceland, and EU) in December 2005. The text for the agreed plan is given below. This management agreement aims to maintain the SSB of the blue whiting stock at levels above 1.5 million tonnes (Blim) and the fishing mortality rates at levels of no more than 0.32 (F_{pa}). To achieve this, the TAC is reduced by at least 100 000 t a year until the fishing mortality is reduced to 0.32 (F_{pa}). The plan states that if the spawning stock falls below 2.25 million t unspecified actions to obtain a safe and rapid recovery to this level should be taken. ICES has evaluated this management plan in 2006 and found it not to be in accordance with the precautionary approach in a period of low recruitment.

Text for the 2005 management plan for Blue Whiting

- 7) The Parties agree to implement a multi-annual management arrangement for the fisheries on the blue whiting stock which is consistent with the precautionary approach, aiming at constraining harvest within safe biological limits, protecting juveniles, and designed to provide for sustainable fisheries and a greater potential yield, in accordance with advice from ICES.
- 8) The management targets are to maintain the Spawning Stock Biomass (SSB) of the blue whiting stock at levels above 1.5 million tonnes (Blim) and the fishing mortality rates at levels of no more than 0.32 (Fpa) for appropriate age groups as defined by ICES.
- 9) For 2006, the Parties agree to limit their fisheries of blue whiting to a total allowable catch of no more than 2 million tonnes.
- 10) The Parties recognise that a total outtake by the Parties of 2 million tonnes in 2006 will result in a fishing mortality rate above the target level as defined in Paragraph 2. Until the fishing mortality has reached a level of no more than 0.32,

the Parties agree to reduce their total allowable catch of blue whiting by at least 100 000 tonnes annually.

- 11) When the target fishing mortality rate has been reached, the Parties shall limit their allowable catches to levels consistent with a fishing mortality rate of no more than 0.32 for appropriate age groups as defined by ICES.
- 12) Should the SSB fall below a reference point of 2.25 million tonnes (Bpa), either the fishing mortality rate referred to in Paragraph 5 or the tonnage referred to in Paragraph 4 shall be adapted in the light of scientific estimates of the conditions then prevailing. Such adaptation shall ensure a safe and rapid recovery of the SSB to a level in excess of 2.25 million tonnes.
- 13) This multi-annual management arrangement shall be reviewed by the Parties on the basis of ICES advice

The stock is currently in a period of low recruitment. In July 2008 a new draft management plan was proposed by the Coastal States. ICES has evaluated the draft management plan and considers it precautionary if fishing mortality in the first year is immediately reduced to the fishing mortality that is implied by the HCR. The text of this plan is also presented below.

Text for the 2008 management plan for Blue Whiting

- The Parties agree to implement a long term management plan for the fisheries on the Blue Whiting stock, which is consistent with the precautionary approach, aiming at ensuring harvest within safe biological limits and designed to provide for fisheries consistent with maximum sustainable yield, in accordance with advice from ICES.
- 2) For the purpose of this long term management plan, in the following text, "TAC" means the sum of the coastal State TAC and the NEAFC allowable catches.
- 3) As a priority, the long term plan shall ensure with high probability that the size of the stock is maintained above 1.5 million tonnes (Blim).
- 4) The Parties shall aim to exploit the stock with a fishing mortality of 0.18 on relevant age groups as defined by ICES.
- 5) While fishing mortality exceeds that specified in paragraph 4 and 6, the Parties agree to establish the TAC consistent with reductions in fishing mortality of 35% each year until the fishing mortality established in paragraph 4 and 6 has been reached. This paragraph shall apply only during 2009 and 2010.
- 6) For the purposes of this calculation, the fishing percentage mortality reduction should be calculated with respect to the year before the year in which the TAC is to be established. For this year, it shall be assumed that the relevant TAC constrains catches.
- 7) When the fishing mortality in paragraph 4 has been reached, the Parties agree to establish the TAC in each year in accordance with the following rules:
 - a. In the case that the spawning biomass is forecast to reach or exceed 2.25 million tonnes (SSB trigger level) on 1 January of the year for

which the TAC is to be set, the TAC shall be fixed at the level consistent with the specified fishing mortality.

In the case that the spawning biomass is forecast to be less than
2.25 million tonnes on 1 January of the year for which the TAC is to be set (B), the TAC shall be fixed that is consistent with a fishing mortality given by:

F = 0.05 + [(B - 1.5)(0.18 - 0.05) / (2.25 - 1.5)]

- c. In the case that spawning biomass is forecast to be less than 1.5 million tonnes on 1 January of the year for which the TAC is to be set, the TAC will be fixed that is consistent with a fishing mortality given by F = 0.05.
- 8) When the fishing mortality rate on the stock is consistent with that established in paragraph 4 and the spawning stock size on 1 January of the year for which the TAC is to be set is forecast to exceed 2.25 million tonnes, the Parties agree to discuss the appropriateness of adopting constraints on TAC changes within the plan.
- 9) The Parties, on the basis of ICES advice, shall review this long term management plan at intervals not exceeding five years and when the condition specified in paragraph 4 is reached

| | Catch Rate | | | | | |
|------|------------|--------|--|--|--|--|
| Year | All | <19cm | | | | |
| 1981 | 0.13 | 0 | | | | |
| 1982 | 0.17 | 0.01 | | | | |
| 1983 | 4.46 | 0.46 | | | | |
| 1984 | 6.97 | 2.47 | | | | |
| 1985 | 32.51 | 0.77 | | | | |
| 1986 | 17.51 | 0.89 | | | | |
| 1987 | 8.32 | 0.02 | | | | |
| 1988 | 6.38 | 0.97 | | | | |
| 1989 | 1.65 | 0.18 | | | | |
| 1990 | 17.81 | 16.37 | | | | |
| 1991 | 48.87 | 2.11 | | | | |
| 1992 | 30.05 | 0.06 | | | | |
| 1993 | 5.8 | 0.01 | | | | |
| 1994 | 3.02 | 0 | | | | |
| 1995 | 1.65 | 0.10 | | | | |
| 1996 | 9.88 | 5.81 | | | | |
| 1997 | 187.24 | 175.26 | | | | |
| 1998 | 7.14 | 0.21 | | | | |
| 1999 | 5.98 | 0.71 | | | | |
| 2000 | 129.23 | 120.90 | | | | |
| 2001 | 329.04 | 233.76 | | | | |
| 2002 | 102.63 | 9.69 | | | | |
| 2003 | 75.25 | 15.15 | | | | |
| 2004 | 124.01 | 36.74 | | | | |
| 2005 | 206.18 | 90.23 | | | | |
| 2006 | 269.2 | 3.52 | | | | |
| 2007 | 80.38 | 0.16 | | | | |
| 2008 | 16.72 | 0.01 | | | | |
| 2009 | 3.74 | 0 | | | | |
| 2010 | 3.19 | 0.10 | | | | |

Table E1: 1-group indices of blue whiting from the Norwegian winter survey (late January-early March) in the Barents Sea. (Blue whiting <19cm in total body length which most likely belong to 1-group.)

| Kg/haul | 3 | 0-100 m | 10 | 101-200 m | | 201-500 m | | TOTAL 30-500 m | |
|---------|-------|---------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|----------------|--|
| Year | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | |
| 1985 | 9.50 | 5.87 | 119.75 | 45.99 | 68.18 | 13.79 | 92.83 | 28.24 | |
| 1986 | 9.74 | 7.13 | 45.41 | 12.37 | 29.54 | 8.70 | 36.93 | 7.95 | |
| 1987 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 1988 | 2.90 | 2.59 | 154.12 | 38.69 | 183.07 | 141.94 | 143.30 | 45.84 | |
| 1989 | 14.17 | 12.03 | 76.92 | 17.08 | 18.79 | 6.23 | 59.00 | 11.68 | |
| 1990 | 6.25 | 3.29 | 52.54 | 9.00 | 18.80 | 4.99 | 43.60 | 6.60 | |
| 1991 | 64.59 | 34.65 | 126.41 | 26.06 | 46.07 | 18.99 | 97.10 | 17.16 | |
| 1992 | 6.37 | 2.59 | 44.12 | 6.64 | 29.50 | 6.16 | 34.60 | 4.23 | |
| 1993 | 1.06 | 0.63 | 14.07 | 3.73 | 51.08 | 22.02 | 22.59 | 6.44 | |
| 1994 | 8.04 | 5.28 | 37.18 | 8.45 | 25.42 | 5.27 | 29.70 | 5.19 | |
| 1995 | 19.97 | 13.87 | 36.43 | 4.82 | 15.97 | 4.10 | 28.52 | 3.66 | |
| 1996 | 7.27 | 3.95 | 49.23 | 7.19 | 92.54 | 17.76 | 54.52 | 6.36 | |
| Kg/haul | 70- | -120 m | 12 | 121-200 m | | 201-500 m | | TOTAL 70-500 m | |
| Year | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | |
| 1997 | 17.87 | 7.35 | 44.68 | 10.52 | 57.14 | 16.60 | 42.62 | 7.29 | |
| 1998 | 14.13 | 4.17 | 42.78 | 8.13 | 78.88 | 22.01 | 47.14 | 7.58 | |
| 1999 | 93.01 | 14.60 | 112.39 | 19.92 | 169.21 | 50.26 | 124.66 | 17.85 | |
| 2000 | 62.39 | 12.00 | 91.99 | 14.75 | 58.72 | 24.94 | 76.19 | 10.61 | |
| 2001 | 8.35 | 3.31 | 50.18 | 10.09 | 52.41 | 16.71 | 42.02 | 7.02 | |
| 2002 | 31.40 | 5.02 | 69.00 | 13.41 | 36.75 | 12.07 | 51.80 | 7.64 | |
| 2003 | 42.52 | 12.22 | 71.40 | 11.01 | 46.43 | 11.42 | 58.13 | 6.92 | |
| 2004 | 2.80 | 2.11 | 14.05 | 7.79 | 59.51 | 21.41 | 24.76 | 7.31 | |
| 2005 | 50.63 | 16.15 | 95.17 | 19.28 | 40.06 | 8.88 | 69.94 | 10.57 | |
| 2006 | 14.28 | 7.01 | 70.79 | 12.60 | 115.08 | 39.88 | 71.64 | 13.18 | |
| 2007 | 4.76 | 3.75 | 39.10 | 23.21 | 21.69 | 4.41 | 26.86 | 11.74 | |

Table E2: Stratified mean catch (Kg/haul and Number/haul) and standard error of Blue Whiting in bottom trawl surveys in Spanish waters (Divisions VIIIc and IXa north). All surveys in September-October.

| | - | 20-10 | 00 m | 100-2 | 200 m | 200-5 | 500 m | 500-7 | ′50 m | TO | TAL |
|------|----------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----|-----|
| Year | Month | у | sy | у | sy | у | sy | у | sy | У | sy |
| 1990 | July | 2 | 2 | 153 | 103 | 242 | 42 | 50 | 5 | 96 | 35 |
| | October | 11 | 5 | 90 | 28 | 762 | 234 | 42 | 10 | 153 | 35 |
| 1991 | July | 1 | 1 | 140 | 40 | 268 | 38 | 64 | 18 | 98 | 15 |
| | October | 8 | 5 | 83 | 18 | 259 | 53 | 121 | 27 | 91 | 11 |
| 1992 | February | 7 | 7 | 43 | 35 | 249 | 21 | 73 | 3 | 68 | 12 |
| | July | 1 | 1 | 29 | 18 | 216 | 43 | 27 | 5 | 47 | 9 |
| | October | 1 | 1 | 22 | 7 | 208 | 44 | 80 | 3 | 54 | 7 |
| 1993 | February | 0 | 0 | 19 | 14 | 105 | 31 | 36 | 0 | 42 | 10 |
| | July | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 151 | 28 | 55 | 5 | 34 | 4 |
| | November | 0 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 189 | 43 | 6 | 1 | 86 | 9 |
| 1994 | October | 0 | 0 | 374 | 30 | 283 | 32 | 49 | 7 | 174 | 11 |
| 1995 | July | 0 | 0 | 18 | 14 | 130 | 20 | 52 | 3 | 35 | 5 |
| | October | 18 | 15 | 103 | 21 | 328 | 91 | 31 | 12 | 94 | 16 |
| 1996 | October | 25 | 24 | 12 | 2 | 36 | 6 | 25 | 7 | 22 | 8 |
| 1997 | June | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 116 | 42 | 45 | 12 | 27 | 7 |
| | October | 2 | 1 | 54 | 20 | 77 | 13 | 7 | 2 | 32 | 8 |
| 1998 | July | 0 | 0 | 8 | 5 | 105 | 17 | 38 | 3 | 25 | 3 |
| | October | 1 | 1 | 384 | 87 | 427 | 101 | 20 | 2 | 212 | 36 |
| 1999 | July | 1 | 0 | 60 | 21 | 66 | 19 | 25 | 2 | 37 | 9 |
| | October | 0 | 0 | 69 | 16 | 80 | 20 | 18 | 8 | 41 | 7 |
| 2000 | July | 23 | 13 | 109 | 34 | 116 | 10 | 63 | 6 | 75 | 13 |
| | October | 11 | 4 | 155 | 53 | 196 | 22 | 54 | 4 | 99 | 19 |
| 2001 | July | 18 | 7 | 238 | 37 | 305 | 116 | 57 | 14 | 152 | 23 |
| | October | 106 | 6 | 474 | 224 | 294 | 66 | | 0 | 295 | 97 |
| 2002 | October | 19 | 12 | 176 | 81 | 180 | 24 | | 0 | 116 | 34 |
| 2003 | October | 24 | 10 | 114 | 14 | 119 | 30 | 34 | 6 | 76 | 8 |
| 2004 | October | 0 | 0 | 44 | 10 | 380 | 27 | | | 84 | 15 |
| 2005 | October | 0 | 0 | 25 | 7 | 407 | 239 | | | 81 | 42 |
| 2006 | October | 1 | 1 | 154 | 59 | 196 | 32 | | | 95 | 26 |
| 2007 | October | 1 | 1 | 136 | 66 | 141 | 25 | | | 91 | 32 |

Table E3 Stratified mean catch (Kg/haul) and standard error of bottom trawl surveys in Portuguese waters (Division IXa).

| Numbers | | | | ag | ge | | | |
|---------|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|-------|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | total |
| 1982 | | | | | | | | |
| 1983 | | 7196 | 16392 | 9311 | 7476 | 6326 | 1718 | 48419 |
| 1984 | | 13710 | 27286 | 14845 | 4836 | 1755 | 1750 | 64182 |
| 1985 | | 14573 | 23823 | 14126 | 6256 | 1232 | 217 | 60227 |
| 1986 | | 3721 | 14131 | 14745 | 7113 | 1278 | 505 | 41493 |
| 1987 | | 25328 | 13153 | 6664 | 2938 | 1029 | 166 | 49278 |
| 1988 | | 7778 | 21473 | 18436 | 6391 | 1300 | 781 | 56159 |
| 1989 | | 15272 | 18486 | 17160 | 8374 | 3760 | 1003 | 64055 |
| 1990 | | 21444 | 19407 | 5194 | 1803 | 1357 | 451 | 49656 |
| 1991 | | 15924 | 15370 | 4989 | 2329 | 1045 | 440 | 40097 |
| 1992 | | 10007 | 24235 | 9671 | 4316 | 1194 | 462 | 49885 |
| 1993 | | 4036 | 13991 | 22493 | 7979 | 1354 | 658 | 50511 |
| 1994 | | 543 | 6066 | 15917 | 7474 | 2990 | 1055 | 34045 |
| 1995 | | 9090 | 14409 | 6833 | 4551 | 1990 | 623 | 37496 |
| 1996 | | 3905 | 14557 | 14449 | 3931 | 3639 | 1834 | 42315 |
| 1997 | | 8742 | 15875 | 11134 | 3698 | 1046 | 450 | 40945 |
| 1998 | | 5884 | 13236 | 9803 | 10844 | 5229 | 1153 | 46149 |
| 1999 | | 2048 | 10268 | 20242 | 9833 | 6287 | 3047 | 51725 |
| 2000 | | 6207 | 15518 | 13987 | 5375 | 1264 | 1414 | 43765 |
| 2001 | | 16223 | 16488 | 6830 | 1620 | 1148 | 162 | 42471 |
| 2002 | | 10520 | 13725 | 10265 | 3385 | 336 | 69 | 38300 |
| 2003 | | 9069 | 10461 | 6517 | 3983 | 1932 | 737 | 32699 |

Table E4: Age stratified CPUE from the Spanish surveys



Figure E1. Migration routes for the blue whiting in the Northern Atlantic. Tangen and Sveinbjörnsson (Source: Worsoe Clausen, *et al* 2005)



Figure E2 Outline of the source flows to the blue whiting spawning grounds in the Rockall Region. (a) A strong subpolar gyre (SPG) results in strong influence of cold subarctic water near the Rockall Plateau. (b) A weak gyre results in warm subtropical dominance near the plateau (based on Hátún *et al.*, 2005). Abbreviations - RP: Rockall Plateau and PB: Porcupine Bank. (Source: Hatun *et al* 2009a)



Figure E3: Catch numbers at age mean standardised by year 1981 - 2009



Figure E4: Mean weight in the catch 1981-2009



Figure E5: Biomass discarded by the Dutch freezer trawler fleet annually (raised using total number of trips) for the six most discarded species. The vertical lines represent the standard error on the estimates. (From Borges *et al* 2008)



Figure E6: Length frequencies of discarded (filled histograms) and landed blue whiting (white histograms) by the Dutch fleet between 2002 and 2005. (From Borges, *et al* 2008)

Spanish Bottom Trawl Survey



Figure E7. Mean catch rates (Kg/haul and Number/haul) of blue whiting in Spanish bottom trawl survey.





Figure E8: Stratified mean catch (Kg/haul and Number/haul) and standard error of blue whiting in bottom trawl surveys in Spanish waters (Divisions VIIIc and IXa north). All surveys in September –October



Figure E9: Blue Whiting CPUE from Spanish Pair Trawlers in ICES Div VIIIc and IXa (North)



Figure E10: Development of Blue Whiting fisheries in different areas



Figure E11: Blue Whiting exploitation pattern (upper) and yield per recruit curves (lower)

Annex 03 Review group Technical Minutes

Review Group Widely Distributed Stocks

Review of ICES Working Group of Widely Distributed Stocks - Report 2010 7 -14 September, 2010

| Reviewers: | Ari Leskelä (chair) |
|--------------|-----------------------|
| | Antonio Avila de Melo |
| | Höskuldur Bjornsson |
| | Max Cardinale |
| Chair WG: | Beatriz Roel |
| Secretariat: | Cristina Morgado |

Audience to write for: advice drafting group, ACOM, benchmark groups and next years EG.

General

The RG acknowledges the intense effort expended by the Working Group to produce the report. The draft report was delivered to RG in time. However, for some of the stocks Working Group report was scattered in separate files in separate folders. This was by no doubt caused by the time limits and work load of the Working Group, but nonetheless it made the Review Group work more difficult.

The Review Group considered the following stocks:

- Herring in the Northeast Atlantic (Norwegian spring-spawning herring) Update assessment
- Horse mackerel (*Trachurus trachurus*) in Division IIIa, Division IVb,c and VIId (North Sea stock)
 Same advice as last year
- Horse mackerel (*Trachurus trachurus*) in Division IXa (Southern stock) Same advice as last year
- Horse mackerel (*Trachurus trachurus*) in Divisions IIa, IVa, Vb, VIa,, VIIac, e-k, VIIIa-e (Western stock) Update assessment
- Mackerel in the Northeast Atlantic (combined Southern, Western and North Sea spawning components)
 Update assessment
- Blue whiting in Subareas I-IX, XII and XIV (Combined stock) Update assessment

Review group worked by correspondence and two webex meetings. As the time available for review was very short, the working group had to focus more on the update stocks than on the SALY stocks, and tried to pick up the most important issues to be discussed. Other commitments and workload put the RG members under a considerable pressure. Having some more time to do the review and finished reports to work with would have made the review process more convenient.

Herring in the Northeast Atlantic (Norwegian spring-spawning herring) (report section 7)

- 1) Assessment type: Update assessment
- 2) Assessment: analytical assessment
- 3) **Forecast**: short term forecast presented
- 4) Assessment model: VPA (TASACS toolbox), 8 surveys
- 5) **Consistency**: A new maturity ogive was used in the assessment according to recommendations by WKHERMAT. The results from this year's assessment deviate from the results from previous years. This is partly because of a change in maturity oogive but mainly because of a low value of survey index in survey 5.
- 6) **Stock status**: SSB at B_{pa} and MSY B_{trigger}, F above F_{pa} and Fmsy. No strong year classes after 2004.
- 7) **Man. Plan.**: Agreed in 1996. ICES considers that the management plan is consistent with precautionary approach

General comments

In 2008 an extensive benchmark analysis was made for northern spring spawning herring. Several stock assessment methods were examined and VPA within TASACS framework was chosen as the assessment method due to somewhat better fit of the survey data to the catch data. The assessment appeared to be more sensitive to the choice of data used than to the choice of model.

For this year assessment, catch data was available from all those countries, which took part to the fishery in 2009. Sampled catches accounted for 94 % of the total catches. Working group has no comprehensive estimates on discards. However, discarding is considered to be very low, as confirmed by recent estimates from sampling programmes carried out by some EU countries in the DCR framework. New data was available for surveys 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8.

Catch in numbers by age and weight at age were calculated with SALLOC program as described in the stock annex.

Mackerel by-catch problems reported in Faroes and Iceland catches in recent year were less in 2009 due to differences in the distribution area of herring and mackerel.

The 2010 assessment shows considerable downward revision from last year, mostly driven by the International ecosystem survey in the Nordic Seas in May (survey 5) 2010 that measured 45% less biomass than the same survey one year earlier.

Technical comments

The "final formatted" received by review group was not complete, e.g. the output tables were missing. Those could be found in the WG sharepoint draft folder, but searching pieces of advice from different folders and downloading them took some time.

The assessment was carried out as described in the stock annex except for the change in the maturity ogive. As recommended by WG in previous years, a workshop on estimation of maturity ogive in Norwegian spring spawning herring (WKHERMAT) was arranged. Working group considered the results of WKHERMAT and adopted the maturity ogives derived from back calculation of scales for the historical time period (years 1950-2007) in the assessment. For the years after 2007 for which no data are available from this method (including the years considered in the forecast) default maturity ogives were assumed, one for average and weak year classes and one for strong year classes.

The new maturity at age is much lower for ages 4 and 5 than earlier one in the case f strong year classes (table 7.8.2), and the strong year classes are those that count.

Review group agrees on the choice of maturity ogive made by working group. Review group also notes that introducing new maturity ogive now does not affect the advice in the short term.

More details on how much of the change in SSB is caused by reduced stock numbers and how much by reduced stock weights. Stock assessment models do only to limited degree take account of new survey numbers that do not fit with older numbers. Changes in mean weight at age, on the other hand, are taken at face value. For herring there is up to 10% reduction in mean weight at age between 2009 and 2010, that does directly lead to 10% (or more if no growth continues)change in TAC for next year. How much of the 25% reduction in advice is caused by changed mean weight at age?

Residual plot (figure 7.7.3.4) was somewhat less than perfect, practically useless. Residuals for survey 5 in 2010 look relatively small except for ages 11 and 12 and possibly 7. There is a huge drop in biomass in the survey that the model does not pick up so either we must have large negative residuals in the terminal year or positive in the years before that.

The most important thing to be presented in the assessment would be residuals from survey 5, both log-residuals and observed vs. modelled biomass. As fishing mortality is low old data depreciate slowly and the model does probably only pick up small part of the 45% drop in survey biomass. This is OK and to some extent accounted for in the Harvest control rule. Still some comments on this would be appreciated. Can the biomass of approximately 10 million tonnes in the summer survey be put in context with the development of the stock?

According to this year's assessment, year classes 2005 and later are all very small. Historically this stock has shown large variations and dependency on the occurrence of irregular strong year classes. Consecutive years with poor recruitment are natural for the stock and one of the reasons for the relatively conservative management plan.

Conclusions

The Review Group agrees with the WG on this stock.

The model is a VPA type model tuned with a number of acoustic surveys some of which have been discontinued. The main surveys are 2 recruitment surveys in the Barents sea and the survey in the Norwegian sea in May. The use of other surveys than those 3 should be questioned in the next benchmark workshop. Data are screened and spurious data points are not used. Survey data for small year classes are ignored as they contain much noise. Equivalent approach would be to use multinomial or log(I+R) where R is a resolution parameter.

There arises a question if biomass reference/trigger points should be re-evaluated in the next benchmark assessment due to change in maturity ogives.

Mackerel in the Northeast Atlantic (combined Southern, Western and North Sea spawning components) (report section 2))

- 1) Assessment type: update
- 2) Assessment: analytical
- 3) **Forecast**: Short term forecast presented in the assessment.
- 4) **Assessment model**: An integrated catch analysis (ICA) was used and calibrated with a triennial egg survey providing an SSB estimate.
- 5) **Consistency**: ICA settings have not changed since previous assessments. Catch data were updated and results of the 2010 egg survey for the western and southern components were included. The addition of new data changed perception of stock status. It resulted in an upward revision of SSB and TSB while F remained at the same level as in previous assessment
- 6) **Stock status**: SSB above Fpa and MSY B trigger. F at Fpa, above FMSY. Recruitment estimates uncertain.
- 7) **Man.** Plan.: Agreed in 2008. ICES considers the agreed management plan to be consistent with the precautionary approach.

General comments

Report received from WG was still in bits and pieces so it was difficult to read.

There is a lot of speculation about discard and misreporting in the assessment. Based on egg surveys and the tagging experiments it has been estimated that the actual catches might be 1.7 - 3.6 times the reported catches (Simmonds *et al.*, 2010). Landings by area are described in the report, but landings probably are not informative due to discard and unreported landings.

The length composition of the stock has remained "similar to recent years except for ages 0 and 1 fish for which the mean length has increased by 4cm and 2cm respectively. This increase has been reported by several national sampling programmes." Could this be caused by earlier spawning?

Technical comments

The assessment was done according to the stock annex.

The recent egg survey has most effects on the assessment and changes the perception of the stock status. A few things about the egg survey could have affected the results

- 1) Area coverage of the survey was expanded to the north in periods 4 and 5.
- 2) Extremely high production in the first measurement (fig 2.1 in WGMEGS preliminary report). Perhaps some spawning was missed. According to WG spawning may have started before the nominal starting date. The high mean length of age groups 0 and 1 in 2009 (mentioned in report) could indicate that spawning has been started earlier.
- 3) Low fecundity was measured and preliminary reported. However, it was later discovered that laboratory effect may have biased fecundity estimate downwards. The estimated low fecundity was not used but replaced with an average fecundity estimate of the last three survey years (2001, 2004 and 2007).

The first of these points should lead to relatively higher estimated spawning stock biomass but the other ones to lower. Review group agrees with the decisions made in WG, but the many changes connected to egg survey data make the survey data series less reliable.

Conclusions

Review group agrees with working group on this assessment.

Ideas for the next benchmark

No information is available on the age composition of the stock except from the landings. This is not good in a stock where discard is a large problem. Is it possible to use the pelagic survey results in the Norwegian sea in July? There is a need to standardize the results before using them.

Egg surveys are the only fisheries independent data in the assessment. The importance of egg surveys obvious since there is high unaccounted mortality in the fishery. Annual egg surveys would be a better alternative than triannual, especially since spawning time and area seems to be changing.

Should the assessment be done by fixing q in the egg survey to 1, estimating M ? Could be reasonable in a stock where there is so high unreported mortality.

As each cohort lasts in the catch for some time catch curve analysis would be useful to check if Z is roughly in line with model estimates.

WGWIDE recommendation: (see section 2.3.7.) WGWIDE recommends applying mackerel tagging data time series as additional fishery independent information for tuning the NEA mackerel stock assessment. Due to the considerable changes in migration pattern of NEA mackerel observed in later years and to improve the time series WGWIDE further recommends that tagging/screening has to be continued on an international basis. Review group has a positive attitude for this approach, especially if it would be possible to increase the portion of the catch which is checked with detectors. However, since there was no information on recapture rates in the wg report, it is difficult to estimate the potential of tagging results as a fisheries independent tuning data.
Horse mackerel (*Trachurus trachurus*) in Divisions IIa, IVa, Vb, VIa,, VIIa-c, e-k, VIIIa-e (Western stock) (report section 5)

- 1) Assessment type: update
- 2) Assessment: analytical
- 3) **Forecast**: A short-term forecast is not conducted for western horse mackerel because a management plan is in place.
- 4) **Assessment model**: SAD is a linked separable VPA and ADAPT-VPA which explicitly incorporates and fits potential and realised fecundity data, with separate parameters for the two types of fecundity data. SAD also uses egg production estimates (sampled every three years) and catch at age data.
- 5) **Consistency**: consistent in all methodological aspects and data input with the 2009 assessment.

However, the update assessment presents a more pessimistic perception of the stock compared to 2009 assessment both in terms of SSB and F. There is also an increase in the selectivity-at-age for 1 to 6 year old individuals, probably related also to the 30% egg reduction between the 2007 and 2010 egg surveys, rather than exclusively to a sudden shift of selectivity towards younger ages between the last couple of years.

- 6) Stock status: SSB in 2009 (2.27 mt) is above both Btrigger (1.80 mt) and Bpa (1.80 mt). F (0.087) is below F_{msy} (0.13, F₀₁). There is a large historical retrospective pattern with a clear tendency to underestimate F and overestimate SSB that mostly depends on the egg estimates and the length of the separable period. Reference points are unchanged compared to the 2009 assessment.
- 7) **Man. Plan**: Management plan was evaluated by ICES and it provides a constant TAC set for 3 years. The triennial TAC was set in 2007, based on an egg production estimate derived from triennial egg survey results. This TAC (181 211t) has been updated using the provisional 2010 egg survey estimate. This value will remain unchanged for 2012 and 2013, subject to review in 2014. However, so far the TAC has only been given for a partial distribution of this stock whereas it should apply to all areas where western horse mackerel is caught. Thus, the TAC is not set by EU in accordance with the distribution of the stock.

General comments

Discard information is not available and discard is not considered in the assessment and not even mentioned in the report.

Taking into account the apparent chronically low to very low fishing mortalities, this stock should present a clear increase of SSB regardless its generally low recruitment regime (occasionally interrupted by the income of a good year class). However, this is not apparent in the present update assessment.

The SSB plot with associated error bars given by the update assessment suggests no significant changes of spawning biomass since 1995. Similar apparent stability is suggested by the plot of fishing mortality year effects between 2004 and 2009.

Technical comments

5.1.1

The TAC set by EU is not in accordance with the distribution of the stock.

5.2.5

The RG does not understand what the WG means by a sampling intensity of 84% for catch at age data. This was already pointed out by the RG in 2009 but no action has been taken by the EG to clarify this issue.

5.2.11

The assessment is an update of the 2009 assessment, where the separable window has been kept at 6 years. The key parameters in terms of model settings and data input are: i) length of the separable period and ii) total egg production from the triennial egg survey.

Selection has shifted towards younger fish over the past decade. This likely affects the length of the separable period and it might violate the assumption of constant selectivity in the separable period.

The lack of fisheries independent information for the age classes included in the catch at age matrix is a matter of concern and it has been pointed out before by previous RG and EG. This might possibly cause the assessment to shift in level of SSB and F between years and generate large retrospective bias especially when new egg information is made available every three years. However, it is not easy to separate the effect of the changes in selectivity in the separable period and the inclusion of the egg productivity data on the retrospective bias of the assessment.

French and Spanish bottom trawl and acoustic surveys are carried out in a systematic and standardized way, covering different areas of the western horse mackerel distribution. Thus, efforts should be made in order to use the age disaggregated abundance indices estimated from those surveys in the assessment of western horse mackerel.

The existing egg surveys are not able to fully cover the horse mackerel spawning season, despite their good geographical and temporal coverage. Furthermore, since horse mackerel is an indeterminate spawner, egg production conversion to SSB is weak.

No age disaggregated tuning data is included in the assessment framework. Only one parameter (SSB) is calibrated with fishery-independent data, with a high associated uncertainty. An SSB observation is derived from an egg production point given by a sequence of egg surveys carried out every three years, some of them with a considerable amount of interpolated egg production values. From the sensitivity analysis regarding the length of the separable window, the retrospective analysis with fixed and variable length of the separable window, and the comparison of the last consecutive assessments, it seems that the assessment results are greatly dependent on these egg production estimates, namely the most recent one from which is derived the observed SSB on the terminal year. Furthermore a realized fecundity parameter, needed to convert egg production into SSB, is derived from potential fecundity vs. fish weight data, with the underlying assumption that these fecundity data are from determinate spawners. But the spawning biology considers at present that horse mackerels of this stock are indeterminate spawners. Finally even if this realized fecundity is adequate to the stock biology, there is a possibility of presenting a trend over time instead of being kept constant, and, if so, inducing a systematic bias on SSB estimates.

The reliability of the results is heavily dependent on the reliability of the realized fecundity parameter and its stability over time. Although some estimates for the uncertainty of the egg input data are available, they are not currently available in a form that can be included in the assessment model. This is one area that need to be addressed in the future if a systematic estimation of likely error in the SAD model is to be evaluated. The inclusion of independent estimates of the uncertainty of the egg production would improve the reliability of the SAD assessment. Selectivity for the younger ages (1-6) is very sensitive to the length of SAD separable window.

Although egg production estimates are very similar to 2007, changes in realised fecundity might have a large impact on egg production estimates and thus on the assessment. Priority should be given to gather more information of the variability of this parameter.

5.6

Figure 5.2.8.2a should be Figure 5.2.9.2.

5.12

Ecological factors or environmental conditions possibly impacting the dynamic of the stock have not been accounted for in the assessment but they have been briefly discussed in the report.

A short-term forecast is not conducted for western horse mackerel because a management plan is in place. A deterministic and stochastic equilibrium analyses, carried out using the 'plot-MSY' software (WKFRAME 2010) was carried out to determine candidate Fmsy for the western horse mackerel stock. These analyses were dependent on results given by the last SAD assessment, such as stock-recruit pairs from the period 1982-2009 and 5-year averages of selectivity. Taking into account the uncertainty associated with the assessment, F_{msy} estimation, this value might be need to be revised in the future when more knowledge will be available.

Conclusions:

The assessment has been performed correctly and in accordance with the stock Annex, thus the review group agrees with the WG on this assessment.

All results and implications are well presented and explained, possible sources of uncertainties are also well presented.

As pointed out by previous RG, the main areas for potential improvement in the assessment have been mentioned in the report and those are: i) the incorporation of survey indices for the age classes included in the catch at age matrix, ii) further information on realised fecundity and thus total egg production, iii) selectivity assumption in the separable model and length of the separable period iv) explore the performance of age structured models that are not dependent on fecundity data and allowing for flexibility on catchability and selectivity at age.

Blue whiting in Subareas I-IX, XII and XIV (Combined stock) (report section 8)

- 1) **Assessment type:** update but no benchmark has ever been conducted (benchmark scheduled for 2011).
- 2) Assessment: analytical
- 3) **Forecast**: presented with the assessment (MFDP)
- 4) Assessment model: SMS tuned by 3 surveys (1 historical survey and 2 ongoing surveys)
- 5) Consistency: Consistent in all methodological aspects and data input with 2009 assessment, including short term forecast except for the RCT3 estimates of recruitment that were not used this year and were substituted by the lowest observed value. Current assessment indicates much worse state of the stock than previous assessments.
- 6) Stock status: SSB in 2010 (1.3 mt) is below Blim(1.5mt) and is predicted to decrease to 0.8mt in 2011 with landings of 548kt in 2008.). F2009 (0.399) is both above Fpa (0.32) and F_{msy} (0.18, F_{0.1}). F2010 is predicted to be around 0.5. Reference points are unchanged compared to last year.
- 7) **Man. Plan.**: ICES has evaluated the management plan and considers it precautionary providing "that to be consistent with the precautionary approach it is necessary to reduce F according to the HCR in one year. The management plan stipulated a maximum reduction of 35% in fishing mortality in the first two years (2009 and 2010) of the plan and a trigger biomass set at 2.25 million tonnes. ICES also considered that the harvest control rules contained in the agreed management plan are consistent with the precautionary approach in the long-term (the risk of falling below Blim in the long term 10-20 years is less than 5 %)". This is written in 2009 but now the situation is such that according to current stock assessment the stock will most likely be below Blim for at least next 5 years, even with no fishing.

General comments

The assessment is conducted according to the Stock Annex. However, no benchmark has ever been performed. General, it is apparent that assessment results are largely dependent on the input data (i.e. selection of the surveys used for tuning purposes) and, in negligible extent if any, to the type of model used. SSB has largely declined, F is still high and R is very low although the assessment might have underestimated SSB and possibly R due to issue related to the survey data (See 8.3.5).

Technical comments

8.3

There has been a slight shift of the catches towards the southern part of the range distribution of the stock and to the first part of the year compared to previous years. As the stock is widely distributed and productivity is largely dependent and linked to large scale oceanographic features, such changes might be related to a real changes in the stock distribution. Such phenomenon can affect the survey estimates in case they do not cover the entire area of the stock (see section 8.3.5).

8.3.1.1

Discard seems to be negligible except in the human consumption fisheries (13%). It is not clear to which part of the human consumption fleet or fisheries corresponds in tables 8.3.1.3.1-3.

8.3.5

The blue whiting assessment is tuned with 3 surveys, 1 "historical survey" on the spawning grounds conducted by Norway in 1990-2003 (NBWSSS), international survey in the same area 2004-2010 with somewhat different coverage (IBWSSS) and ecosystem survey in the Norwegian sea in May 2000-2010. The first 2 surveys are used for tuning age groups 3-9 but the May survey for age groups 1-2. Selection of the surveys is the key parameter affecting the estimate of F and SSB of the blue whiting stock and treatment of survey indices both regarding preparation of data and how they are treated in the model may be questioned.

The largest problem in the current assessment is the IBWSSS in 2010 where Russian data were discarded due to time mismatch (2 weeks) with other vessels. The other vessels did not manage to cover the area properly due to combination of limited time, bad weather and no work on weekends for some vessel. An area where heavy fishing was taking place was not surveyed and as blue whiting presents generally a highly patchy distribution high proportion of the stock could have been in this area . PGNAPES interpolates over those areas using data from neighbourhood areas (with low abundance) and recommends using that index.

"Total stock abundance was revised during the WGNAPES meeting by interpolating surrounding mean acoustic values into un-surveyed rectangles. The exercised revised the total stock biomass upwards by 19% (580,000t) and stock abundance by 15% (2.8x109 individuals). The revised estimate is considered robust by the group and it is recommended that this estimate is accepted by WGWIDE. The international survey in the Nordic seas in May also observed the strong decrease in the stock found during the spawning stock survey."

The Russian data seem to cover the main part of the stock distribution area better and a sensitivity analysis of the assessment tuned with the IBWSSS survey index estimated also using the Russian observation should have been conducted. But there is of course no good way to handle this problem and 2 weeks is a substantial time delay in this area.

The IBWSSS series is rather short (since 2004). The inclusion of the new low survey point increases estimated catchability which would not be possible in a longer series based on more years where the stock-assessment has converged. In last years report catchability by age is shown both for the IBWSSS and NBWSSS showing some alarming difference between those two. Nothing comparable is provided this year. The question is really if those two surveys can not be merged to one series.

Residuals from the IBWSSS show very strong yearblocks that should be modelled seperately either by modelling correlation between adjacent age groups in the same year or year factor. Tuning where the total biomass is used in tuning as lognormal and proportion at age as multinomial could be a solution.

In the Ecosystem survey in the Norwegian sea in May the very low indices of age 1 and 2 seen in recent years are not treated properly. The survey indices indicate that the most recent yearclasses are very small even zero. There is no doubt that these yearclasses are small, landings by number confirm that but not as small as the survey indicates. This is known problem with many recruitment indices and one possible solution

to this is to tune with $\log\left(\frac{I+R}{\hat{I}+R}\right)$ where R is a low number (not very low)

corresponding to the intercept in I vs N plot or looked at as a sampling error something that would correspond to 5 otholits in catch in numbers calculations. It is the point where the error starts to become multinomial rather than lognormal. Same problem has been observed in NSSH due to largest in yearclass size. There the solution has been kind of ignoring the survey indices of the small yearclasses that are very noisy.

Dankert Skagen discusses this problem in his working paper and shows that if selection on the youngest fish is allowed to change it increases in recent years if the survey indices for age 1 and 2 are used. According to development of the fisheries the opposite should have happened as the fisheries in the Norwegian Sea in the latter part of the year have more or less stopped and most of the young fish were caught there.

This problem does propably not have major effect on the biomass estimates as most of these yearclasses are very small and even though the estimate is doubled or tripled it does not have major effect on stock biomass.

The survey names are inconsistent through the entire report.

8.10

Ecological factors and environmental conditions possibly impacting the dynamic of the stock have not been accounted for in the assessment but they have been well discussed and presented.

8.4

The settings of the assessment run made by the SMS final model are the same as in the 2009 assessment. However, the range of the years of the Norwegian spawning ground survey (1991-2003) used in the assessment does not correspond to what stated in the stock Annex (1993-2003).

8.7.1

RCT3 estimates were discarded because considered too low. However, the same survey data have been used as input to tune the final assessment. Thus, there is an inconsistency here as the same data are sometime considered valid (i.e. assessment) and sometime discarded (i.e. recruitment prediction).

Conclusions:

The assessment has been performed correctly and in accordance with the stock Annex, thus the review group agrees with the WG on this assessment. However, this assessment is an example of a situation where rigid following of stock annex might be questioned.

The problem is though not easy and "the solution" does not exist. Rather a number of sensitivity analysis should have been conducted like including the Russian observations for the IBWSSS, combining NBWSSS and IBWSSS, improve treatment of the recruitment indices etc. The main results of the assessment that the stock is rapidly decreasing are probably robust, the question is really how small it is today. Year classes 2005 and later are all small, most very small (Table 8.7.2.2). If the recruitment estimates are correct incoming year classes will be considerably smaller than those observed before the high recruitment period from 1996 – 2003 and SSB will be below Blim for at least 5 years, even if no fishing takes place. This is very different from what was shown in the report last year.

The report should contain more information about the assessment. Tables of catchability in the survey is an example of things that are missing. The report should also contain more detailed comparison with last years assessment and more sensitivity analysis using the SMS model but different treatment of the data. Some of those details were in last years report and the reason for them not being here is probably that the report which the working group got was not finished. Horse mackerel (Trachurus trachurus) in Division IIIa, Division IVb,c and VIId (North Sea stock) (report section 4)

- 1) Assessment type: no assessment conducted, landings only
- 2) Assessment: not conducted
- 3) **Forecast**: not conducted
- 4) Assessment model: none
- 5) Consistency: not relevant
- 6) Stock status: unknown
- 7) Man. Plan.: none

General comments

No assessment has ever been conducted for this stock.

The data available for this stock do not give reason to change the advice from 2007

Specific section comment

The RG does not understand what the WG means by a sampling intensity of 92% for catch at age data. This was already pointed out by the RG in 2009 but no action has been taken by the EG to clarify this issue.

Conclusions

RG agrees with WG on this stock

Horse mackerel (Trachurus trachurus) in Division IXa (Southern stock) (report section 6)

Assessment type:SALYAssessment:Several age based assessments using different models/frameworks were carried out as part of the preparatory work for the forthcoming benchmark assessment.Forecast:No forecast was presented.Assessment model:AgeStructuredAssessment model:AgeStructured

(ASAP), two alternate runs

Extended Survivors Analysis (XSA)

Integrated Catch-at-age Analysis (ICA), two alternate runs

Consistency: Last years ASAP assessment was not accepted.

The last ASAP assessment has been updated with 2009 catch and survey data, using last year settings. The assessment diagnostics were very similar to the previous ones, with the model generally overestimating catches up to 8 years old and underestimating catches of older ages for the Spanish bottom-trawl fleet. The model also continues to present high residuals in the fitting of the catch at age from the combined survey.

The XSA trials presented the same type of poor diagnostics (convergence dependent of a heavy F shrinkage, year effects on survey catchabilty residuals) as on earlier XSA's for this stock, regardless the longer times series now available. The two ICA trials, both with separability through the whole assessment period but one using survey catch at age assembled into survey biomass and the other using the survey catch at age matrix, have also shown catch-at-age and survey data residuals of high magnitude associated with clear patterns.

One alternate ASAP trial was made with catch-at-age data not disaggregated by fleet and with separable survey catchability at age (considered as a combination of a year effect with an age effect). Annual catch was the only source contributing to the objective function with a (100 times) higher weight, in order to compensate for the much higher number of data points on the catch proportions at age and on the agestructured survey data.

This last ASAP assessment provided better diagnostics than the rest of the exploratory assessments: good fitting to the total catch and generally low residuals with no clear patterns on survey indices, either for annual abundance or relative abundance at age.

Stock status: Reference points have not been defined for this stock. This stock has supported a stable exploitation level for a long time period. The SSB estimates from the bottom-trawl survey are highly variable but show no trends. The SSB estimates based on triannula egg surveys have increased from 2002-2007.

Man. Plan: No management plan. However, fishing mortality is increasingly driven by the catches from Spanish bottom trawl fleet. Catches from this fleet are mainly composed of larger fish. Such shift on the overall exploitation pattern towards the adult component lead to recent decline on SSB and can impact the reproductive potential of the stock.

General comments:

Catch from the Galician coasts were distributed between the Subdivisions VIIIc and Subdivision IXa North for the period 1992 – 2009. Catch during the assessment period declined from a 1998 peak until a 2003 minimum. Catch increased in 2004 and remained at stable until 2008. A marginal increase is recorded on 2009. Catch from Portugal decrease in recent years (2006-2009) while catch from Spain is increasing.

Survey catch at age matrices are available from the fall bottom trawl surveys of Portugal and Spain. Portuguese and Spanish bottom trawl surveys have a similar catchability for horse mackerel despite their different design and so are able to provide combined tuning indices, with the weight given to each data set proportional to the respective area covered. Cohorts can be tracked through the combined survey data set. Horse mackerel is a peculiar pelagic with a closer association to the sea floor than most pelagic species, which makes the Portugal-Spain bottom trawl survey combo a valid source of fishery independent indices covering the whole distribution of southern horse mackerel.

Technical comments:

From the exploratory analyses carried out so far, this last alternate ASAP assessment gave the better fitting of a model to the available data. Taking into account that most of the horse mackerel catches in Iberian waters are from bottom-trawl, lumping together the several catches at age by fleet in a single matrix makes sense and helps the model to get rid of a bunch of selectivity parameters.

As regards relative abundance at age from the alternate ASAP assessment, more positive residuals than negative occur. The size of some cohorts may be underestimated and its impact on assessment results should be further investigated. The apparent contradiction between recent trends on SSB given by the model and the survey can be related with this unbalance.

Comparative assessment involving different age structured models should not be restricted to diagnostics but should also focus on comparison of results, namely as regards SSB and fishing mortality trends. Regardless better or worse diagnostics different models should tell basically the same story.

Retrospective analysis is missing from the exploratory assessments presented.

Things that need update before ADG:

Conclusions: RG considers this update/exploratory assessment an important work in preparation of the next benchmark assessment.